

Formalization of Forcing in Isabelle/ZF

Emmanuel Gunther* Miguel Pagano* Pedro Sánchez Terraf*†

September 3, 2020

Abstract

We formalize the theory of forcing in the set theory framework of Isabelle/ZF. Under the assumption of the existence of a countable transitive model of *ZFC*, we construct a proper generic extension and show that the latter also satisfies *ZFC*.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 4 |
| 2 | Forcing notions | 4 |
| 2.1 | Basic concepts | 5 |
| 2.2 | Towards Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma (RSL) | 9 |
| 3 | A pointed version of DC | 10 |
| 4 | The general Rasiowa-Sikorski lemma | 12 |
| 5 | Auxiliary results on arithmetic | 12 |
| 5.1 | Some results in ordinal arithmetic | 15 |
| 6 | Automatic synthesis of formulas | 16 |
| 7 | Aids to internalize formulas | 16 |
| 8 | Some enhanced theorems on recursion | 17 |
| 9 | Relativization of the cumulative hierarchy | 19 |
| 9.1 | Formula synthesis | 20 |
| 9.2 | Absoluteness results | 21 |

*Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía, Física y Computación.

†Centro de Investigación y Estudios de Matemática (CIEM-FaMAF), Conicet. Córdoba. Argentina. Supported by Secyt-UNC project 33620180100465CB.

| | |
|--|------------|
| 10 Interface between set models and Constructibility | 24 |
| 10.1 Interface with M_{trivial} | 26 |
| 10.2 Interface with M_{basic} | 26 |
| 10.3 Interface with M_{tranc} | 31 |
| 10.4 Interface with M_{eclose} | 32 |
| 11 Transitive set models of ZF | 38 |
| 11.1 <i>Collects</i> in M | 38 |
| 11.2 A forcing locale and generic filters | 39 |
| 12 The ZFC axioms, internalized | 41 |
| 12.1 The Axiom of Separation, internalized | 43 |
| 12.2 The Axiom of Replacement, internalized | 44 |
| 13 Renaming of variables in internalized formulas | 47 |
| 13.1 Renaming of free variables | 47 |
| 13.2 Renaming of formulas | 50 |
| 14 Automatic relativization of terms. | 52 |
| 15 Names and generic extensions | 54 |
| 15.1 The well-founded relation ed | 55 |
| 15.2 Values and check-names | 57 |
| 16 Well-founded relation on names | 65 |
| 17 Arities of internalized formulas | 74 |
| 18 The definition of <i>forces</i> | 78 |
| 18.1 The relation $frcrel$ | 79 |
| 18.2 Definition of <i>forces</i> for equality and membership | 82 |
| 18.3 The well-founded relation $forcerel$ | 85 |
| 18.4 frc_at , forcing for atomic formulas | 86 |
| 18.5 Recursive expression of frc_at | 94 |
| 18.6 Absoluteness of frc_at | 94 |
| 18.7 Forcing for general formulas | 96 |
| 18.7.1 The primitive recursion | 98 |
| 18.8 Forcing for atomic formulas in context | 98 |
| 18.9 The arity of <i>forces</i> | 100 |
| 19 The Forcing Theorems | 101 |
| 19.1 The forcing relation in context | 101 |
| 19.2 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a) | 101 |
| 19.3 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a) | 102 |
| 19.4 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(b) | 102 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 19.5 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.38 | 102 |
| 19.6 The relation of forcing and atomic formulas | 103 |
| 19.7 The relation of forcing and connectives | 104 |
| 19.8 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.29 | 105 |
| 19.9 Auxiliary results for Lemma IV.2.40(a) | 105 |
| 19.10 Induction on names | 106 |
| 19.11 Lemma IV.2.40(a), in full | 107 |
| 19.12 Lemma IV.2.40(b) | 107 |
| 19.13 The Strenghtening Lemma | 108 |
| 19.14 The Density Lemma | 109 |
| 19.15 The Truth Lemma | 109 |
| 19.16 The “Definition of forcing” | 111 |
| 20 Auxiliary renamings for Separation | 111 |
| 21 The Axiom of Separation in $M[G]$ | 114 |
| 22 The Axiom of Pairing in $M[G]$ | 114 |
| 23 The Axiom of Unions in $M[G]$ | 115 |
| 24 The Powerset Axiom in $M[G]$ | 116 |
| 25 The Axiom of Extensionality in $M[G]$ | 117 |
| 26 The Axiom of Foundation in $M[G]$ | 118 |
| 27 The binder <i>Least</i> | 118 |
| 27.1 Absoluteness and closure under <i>Least</i> | 119 |
| 28 The Axiom of Replacement in $M[G]$ | 120 |
| 29 The Axiom of Infinity in $M[G]$ | 124 |
| 30 The Axiom of Choice in $M[G]$ | 125 |
| 30.1 $M[G]$ is a transitive model of ZF | 127 |
| 31 Ordinals in generic extensions | 128 |
| 32 Separative notions and proper extensions | 128 |
| 33 A poset of successions | 129 |
| 33.1 The set of finite binary sequences | 129 |
| 33.2 Cohen extension is proper | 133 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| 34 The main theorem | 133 |
| 34.1 The generic extension is countable | 133 |
| 34.2 The main result | 134 |
| 35 Main definitions of the development | 134 |
| 35.1 ZF | 135 |
| 35.2 ZF-Constructible | 136 |
| 35.3 Forcing | 140 |

1 Introduction

We formalize the theory of forcing. We work on top of the Isabelle/ZF framework developed by Paulson and Grabczewski [4]. Our mechanization is described in more detail in our papers [1] (LSFA 2018), [2], and [3] (IJCAR 2020).

Release notes

We have improved several aspects of our development before submitting it to the AFP:

1. Our session **Forcing** depends on the new release of **ZF-Constructible**.
2. We streamlined the commands for synthesizing renames and formulas.
3. The command that synthesizes formulas produces the lemmas for them (the synthesized term is a formula and the equivalence between the satisfaction of the synthesized term and the relativized term).
4. Consistently use of structured proofs using Isar (except for one coming from a schematic goal command).

A cross-linked HTML version of the development can be found at <https://cs.famaf.unc.edu.ar/~pedro/forcing/>.

2 Forcing notions

This theory defines a locale for forcing notions, that is, preorders with a distinguished maximum element.

```
theory Forcing_Notions
  imports ZF-Constructible.Relative
begin
```

2.1 Basic concepts

We say that two elements p, q are *compatible* if they have a lower bound in P

```

definition compat_in ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
  compat_in( $A, r, p, q$ )  $\equiv \exists d \in A . \langle d, p \rangle \in r \wedge \langle d, q \rangle \in r$ 

definition
  is_compat_in ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_compat_in( $M, A, r, p, q$ )  $\equiv \exists d[M]. d \in A \wedge (\exists dp[M]. pair(M, d, p, dp) \wedge dp \in r \wedge$ 
     $(\exists dq[M]. pair(M, d, q, dq) \wedge dq \in r))$ 

lemma compat_inI :
   $\llbracket d \in A ; \langle d, p \rangle \in r ; \langle d, g \rangle \in r \rrbracket \implies \text{compat\_in}(A, r, p, g)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma refl_compat:
   $\llbracket \text{refl}(A, r) ; \langle p, q \rangle \in r \mid p = q \mid \langle q, p \rangle \in r ; p \in A ; q \in A \rrbracket \implies \text{compat\_in}(A, r, p, q)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma chain_compat:
   $\text{refl}(A, r) \implies \text{linear}(A, r) \implies (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. \text{compat\_in}(A, r, p, q))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma subset_fun_image:  $f : N \rightarrow P \implies f``N \subseteq P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma refl_monot_domain:  $\text{refl}(B, r) \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{refl}(A, r)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

locale forcing_notion =
  fixes  $P$  leq one
  assumes one_in_P:  $\text{one} \in P$ 
  and leq_preord:  $\text{preorder\_on}(P, \text{leq})$ 
  and one_max:  $\forall p \in P. \langle p, \text{one} \rangle \in \text{leq}$ 
begin

abbreviation Leq ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$  (infixl  $\preceq$  50)
  where  $x \preceq y \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in \text{leq}$ 

lemma refl_leq:
   $r \in P \implies r \preceq r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

A set D is *dense* if every element $p \in P$ has a lower bound in D .

definition

```

  dense ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  where
  dense( $D$ )  $\equiv \forall p \in P. \exists d \in D . d \preceq p$ 

```

There is also a weaker definition which asks for a lower bound in D only for the elements below some fixed element q .

definition

```
dense_below :: i⇒i⇒o where
dense_below(D,q) ≡ ∀ p∈P. p≤q → (∃ d∈D. d∈P ∧ d≤p)
```

lemma P_dense : $dense(P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

```
increasing :: i⇒o where
increasing(F) ≡ ∀ x∈F. ∀ p ∈ P . x≤p → p∈F
```

definition

```
compat :: i⇒i⇒o where
compat(p,q) ≡ compat_in(P,leq,p,q)
```

lemma leq_transD : $a\leq b \implies b\leq c \implies a \in P \implies b \in P \implies c \in P \implies a\leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma leq_transD' : $A \subseteq P \implies a\leq b \implies b\leq c \implies a \in A \implies b \in P \implies c \in P \implies a\leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $compatD[dest!]$: $compat(p,q) \implies \exists d \in P. d\leq p \wedge d\leq q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $Incompatible :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \perp 50)
where $p \perp q \equiv \neg compat(p,q)$

lemma $compatI[intro!]$: $d \in P \implies d\leq p \implies d\leq q \implies compat(p,q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $denseD$ [*dest*]: $dense(D) \implies p \in P \implies \exists d \in D. d \leq p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $denseI$ [*intro!*]: $\llbracket \wedge p. p \in P \implies \exists d \in D. d \leq p \rrbracket \implies dense(D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dense_belowD$ [*dest*]:
assumes $dense_below(D,p)$ $q \in P$ $q \leq p$
shows $\exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \leq q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dense_belowI$ [*intro!*]:
assumes $\wedge q. q \in P \implies q \leq p \implies \exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \leq q$
shows $dense_below(D,p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_cong*: $p \in P \implies D = D' \implies \text{dense_below}(D, p) \longleftrightarrow \text{dense_below}(D', p)$
(proof)

lemma *dense_below_cong'*: $p \in P \implies [\forall x. x \in P \implies Q(x) \longleftrightarrow Q'(x)] \implies \text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. Q(q)\}, p) \longleftrightarrow \text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. Q'(q)\}, p)$
(proof)

lemma *dense_below_mono*: $p \in P \implies D \subseteq D' \implies \text{dense_below}(D, p) \implies \text{dense_below}(D', p)$
(proof)

lemma *dense_below_under*:
assumes $\text{dense_below}(D, p) \quad p \in P \quad q \in P \quad q \leq p$
shows $\text{dense_below}(D, q)$
(proof)

lemma *ideal_dense_below*:
assumes $\bigwedge q. q \in P \implies q \leq p \implies q \in D$
shows $\text{dense_below}(D, p)$
(proof)

lemma *dense_below_dense_below*:
assumes $\text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. \text{dense_below}(D, q)\}, p) \quad p \in P$
shows $\text{dense_below}(D, p)$
(proof)

A filter is an increasing set G with all its elements being compatible in G .

definition

filter :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{filter}(G) \equiv G \subseteq P \wedge \text{increasing}(G) \wedge (\forall p \in G. \forall q \in G. \text{compat_in}(G, \text{leq}, p, q))$

lemma *filterD* : $\text{filter}(G) \implies x \in G \implies x \in P$
(proof)

lemma *filter_leqD* : $\text{filter}(G) \implies x \in G \implies y \in P \implies x \leq y \implies y \in G$
(proof)

lemma *filter_imp_compat*: $\text{filter}(G) \implies p \in G \implies q \in G \implies \text{compat}(p, q)$
(proof)

lemma *low_bound_filter*: — says the compatibility is attained inside G
assumes $\text{filter}(G)$ **and** $p \in G$ **and** $q \in G$
shows $\exists r \in G. r \leq p \wedge r \leq q$
(proof)

We finally introduce the upward closure of a set and prove that the closure of A is a filter if its elements are compatible in A .

definition

upclosure :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{upclosure}(A) \equiv \{p \in P. \exists a \in A. a \leq p\}$

```

lemma upclosureI [intro] :  $p \in P \implies a \in A \implies a \leq p \implies p \in \text{upclosure}(A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upclosureE [elim] :
   $p \in \text{upclosure}(A) \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in P \implies a \in A \implies a \leq x \implies R) \implies R$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upclosureD [dest] :
   $p \in \text{upclosure}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. (a \leq p) \wedge p \in P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upclosure_increasing :
  assumes  $A \subseteq P$ 
  shows increasing( $\text{upclosure}(A)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma upclosure_in_P:  $A \subseteq P \implies \text{upclosure}(A) \subseteq P$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma A_sub_upclosure:  $A \subseteq P \implies A \subseteq \text{upclosure}(A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma elem_upclosure:  $A \subseteq P \implies x \in A \implies x \in \text{upclosure}(A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma closure_compat_filter:
  assumes  $A \subseteq P$  ( $\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. \text{compat\_in}(A, \text{leq}, p, q)$ )
  shows filter( $\text{upclosure}(A)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma aux_RS1:  $f \in N \rightarrow P \implies n \in N \implies f^n \in \text{upclosure}(f `` N)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma decr_succ_decr:
  assumes  $f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P$  preorder_on( $P, \text{leq}$ )
     $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f ` \text{succ}(n), f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq}$ 
     $m \in \text{nat}$ 
  shows  $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq m \implies \langle f ` m, f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma decr_seq_linear:
  assumes refl( $P, \text{leq}$ )  $f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P$ 
     $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f ` \text{succ}(n), f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq}$ 
    trans[ $P$ ]( $\text{leq}$ )
  shows linear( $f `` \text{nat}, \text{leq}$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

2.2 Towards Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma (RSL)

```
locale countable_generic = forcing_notion +
  fixes D
  assumes countable_subsets_of_P:  $D \in \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Pow}(P)$ 
  and seq_of_denses:  $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{dense}(D^{'n})$ 
```

begin

definition

```
 $D_{\text{generic}} :: i \Rightarrow o$  where
 $D_{\text{generic}}(G) \equiv \text{filter}(G) \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. (D^{'n}) \cap G \neq \emptyset)$ 
```

The next lemma identifies a sufficient condition for obtaining RSL.

lemma RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski:

assumes

```
 $p \in P$   $f : \text{nat} \rightarrow P$   $f^{'0} = p$ 
 $\wedge \forall n. n \in \text{nat} \implies f^{'\text{succ}(n)} \leq f^{'n} \wedge f^{'\text{succ}(n)} \in D^{'n}$ 
```

shows

```
 $\exists G. p \in G \wedge D_{\text{generic}}(G)$ 
```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma Pi_rangeD:

assumes $f \in \text{Pi}(A, B)$ $b \in \text{range}(f)$

shows $\exists a \in A. f^{'a} = b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Now, the following recursive definition will fulfill the requirements of lemma *RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski*

consts RS_seq :: $[i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$

primrec

```
 $RS_{\text{seq}}(\theta, P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, D) = p$ 
 $RS_{\text{seq}}(\text{succ}(n), P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, D) =$ 
 $\text{enum}^{'}(\mu m. \langle \text{enum}^{'}m, RS_{\text{seq}}(n, P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, D) \rangle) \in \text{leq} \wedge \text{enum}^{'}m \in D^{'n}$ 
```

context countable_generic

begin

lemma countable_RS_sequence_aux:

fixes p enum

defines $f(n) \equiv RS_{\text{seq}}(n, P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, D)$

and $Q(q, k, m) \equiv \text{enum}^{'}m \leq q \wedge \text{enum}^{'}m \in D^{'k}$

assumes $n \in \text{nat}$ $p \in P$ $P \subseteq \text{range}(\text{enum})$ $\text{enum}: \text{nat} \rightarrow M$

$\wedge \forall k. k \in \text{nat} \implies \exists q \in P. q \leq f(n) \wedge q \in D^{'k}$

shows

```
 $f(\text{succ}(n)) \in P \wedge f(\text{succ}(n)) \leq f(n) \wedge f(\text{succ}(n)) \in D^{'n}$ 
```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma countable_RS_sequence:
  fixes p enum
  defines f ≡ λn∈nat. RS_seq(n,P,leq,p,enum,D)
    and Q(q,k,m) ≡ enum`m ≤ q ∧ enum`m ∈ D ` k
  assumes n∈nat p∈P P ⊆ range(enum) enum:nat→M
  shows
    f`0 = p f`succ(n) ≤ f`n ∧ f`succ(n) ∈ D ` n f`succ(n) ∈ P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma RS_seq_type:
  assumes n ∈ nat p∈P P ⊆ range(enum) enum:nat→M
  shows RS_seq(n,P,leq,p,enum,D) ∈ P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma RS_seq_funtype:
  assumes p∈P P ⊆ range(enum) enum:nat→M
  shows (λn∈nat. RS_seq(n,P,leq,p,enum,D)): nat → P
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas countable_rasiowa_sikorski =
  RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski[OF _ RS_seq_funtype countable_RS_sequence(1,2)]
end
end

```

3 A pointed version of DC

theory Pointed_DC **imports** ZF.AC

begin

This proof of DC is from Moschovakis "Notes on Set Theory"

consts dc_witness :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ i
primrec

wit0 : dc_witness(0,A,a,s,R) = a
 witrec :dc_witness(succ(n),A,a,s,R) = s`{x∈A. ⟨dc_witness(n,A,a,s,R),x⟩∈R }

lemma witness_into_A [TC]:

assumes a∈A
 $(\forall X . X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s`X \in X)$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0 \ n \in \text{nat}$
shows dc_witness(n, A, a, s, R)∈A
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma witness_related :

assumes a∈A
 $(\forall X . X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s`X \in X)$

$\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq \emptyset$ $n \in \text{nat}$
shows $\langle dc_witness(n, A, a, s, R), dc_witness(succ(n), A, a, s, R) \rangle \in R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *witness_funtype*:

assumes $a \in A$
 $(\forall X. X \neq \emptyset \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s^*X \in X)$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq \emptyset$
shows $(\lambda n \in \text{nat}. dc_witness(n, A, a, s, R)) \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A$ (**is** $?f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *witness_to_fun*: **assumes** $a \in A$

$(\forall X. X \neq \emptyset \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s^*X \in X)$
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq \emptyset$
shows $\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. f^*n = dc_witness(n, A, a, s, R)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *pointed_DC* :

assumes $(\forall x \in A. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in R)$
shows $\forall a \in A. (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. f^*0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f^*n, f^*succ(n) \rangle \in R))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aux_DC_on_AxNat2* : $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R \implies$
 $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A \times \text{nat}. \langle x, y \rangle \in \{\langle a, b \rangle \in R. \text{snd}(b) = \text{succ}(\text{snd}(a))\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *infer_snd* : $c \in A \times B \implies \text{snd}(c) = k \implies c = \langle \text{fst}(c), k \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *DC_on_A_x_nat* :

assumes $(\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R)$ $a \in A$
shows $\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. f^*0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle \langle f^*n, n \rangle, \langle f^*succ(n), \text{succ}(n) \rangle \rangle \in R)$ (**is**
 $\exists x \in \text{_.?P}(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aux_sequence_DC* :

assumes $\forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^*n$
 $R = \{\langle \langle x, n \rangle, \langle y, m \rangle \rangle \in (A \times \text{nat}) \times (A \times \text{nat}). \langle x, y \rangle \in S^*m\}$
shows $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aux_sequence_DC2* : $\forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^*n \implies$
 $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in \{\langle \langle x, n \rangle, \langle y, m \rangle \rangle \in (A \times \text{nat}) \times (A \times \text{nat}).$
 $\langle x, y \rangle \in S^*m\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sequence_DC*:

assumes $\forall x \in A. \forall n \in \text{nat}. \exists y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in S^*n$
shows $\forall a \in A. (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A. f^*0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f^*n, f^*\text{succ}(n) \rangle \in S^*\text{succ}(n)))$

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

4 The general Rasiowa-Sikorski lemma

```
theory Rasiowa_Sikorski imports Forcing_Notions Pointed_DC begin
```

```
context countable_generic
begin
```

```
lemma RS_relation:
```

```
assumes p ∈ P n ∈ nat
```

```
shows ∃ y ∈ P. ⟨p, y⟩ ∈ (λm ∈ nat. {⟨x, y⟩ ∈ P × P. y ≤ x ∧ y ∈ D ` (pred(m))}) ` n
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma DC_imp_RS_sequence:
```

```
assumes p ∈ P
```

```
shows ∃ f. f : nat → P ∧ f ` 0 = p ∧
```

```
(∀ n ∈ nat. f ` succ(n) ≤ f ` n ∧ f ` succ(n) ∈ D ` n)
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
theorem rasiowa_sikorski:
```

```
p ∈ P ⇒ ∃ G. p ∈ G ∧ D-generic(G)
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

5 Auxiliary results on arithmetic

```
theory Nat_Miscellanea imports ZF begin
```

Most of these results will get used at some point for the calculation of arities.

```
lemmas nat_succI = Ord_succ_mem_iff [THEN iffD2, OF nat_into_Ord]
```

```
lemma nat_succD : m ∈ nat ⇒ succ(n) ∈ succ(m) ⇒ n ∈ m
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemmas zero_in = ltD [OF nat_0_le]
```

```
lemma in_n_in_nat : m ∈ nat ⇒ n ∈ m ⇒ n ∈ nat
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma in_succ_in_nat : m ∈ nat ⇒ n ∈ succ(m) ⇒ n ∈ nat
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma ltI_neg :  $x \in \text{nat} \implies j \leq x \implies j \neq x \implies j < x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma succ_pred_eq :  $m \in \text{nat} \implies m \neq 0 \implies \text{succ}(\text{pred}(m)) = m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma succ_ltI :  $\text{succ}(j) < n \implies j < n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma succ_In :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies \text{succ}(j) \in n \implies j \in n$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas succ_leD = succ_leE[OF leI]

lemma succpred_leI :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq \text{succ}(\text{pred}(n))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma succpred_n0 :  $\text{succ}(n) \in p \implies p \neq 0$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma funcI :  $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies a \in A \implies b = f^{\cdot} a \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in f$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas natEin = natE [OF lt_nat_in_nat]

lemma succ_in :  $\text{succ}(x) \leq y \implies x \in y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas Un_least_lt_ifn = Un_least_lt_iff [OF nat_into_Ord nat_into_Ord]

lemma pred_le2 :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies \text{pred}(n) \leq m \implies n \leq \text{succ}(m)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pred_le :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq \text{succ}(m) \implies \text{pred}(n) \leq m$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Un_leD1 :  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(j) \implies \text{Ord}(k) \implies i \cup j \leq k \implies i \leq k$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Un_leD2 :  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(j) \implies \text{Ord}(k) \implies i \cup j \leq k \implies j \leq k$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma gt1 :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies i \in n \implies i \neq 0 \implies i \neq 1 \implies 1 < i$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pred_mono :  $m \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq m \implies \text{pred}(n) \leq \text{pred}(m)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma succ_mono :  $m \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq m \implies \text{succ}(n) \leq \text{succ}(m)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma pred2_U $n$ :
  assumes  $j \in \text{nat}$   $m \leq j$   $n \leq j$ 
  shows  $\text{pred}(\text{pred}(m \cup n)) \leq \text{pred}(\text{pred}(j))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nat_union_abs1 :
   $\llbracket \text{Ord}(i) ; \text{Ord}(j) ; i \leq j \rrbracket \implies i \cup j = j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nat_union_abs2 :
   $\llbracket \text{Ord}(i) ; \text{Ord}(j) ; i \leq j \rrbracket \implies j \cup i = j$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nat_un_max :  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(j) \implies i \cup j = \max(i, j)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma nat_max_ty :  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{Ord}(j) \implies \text{Ord}(\max(i, j))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma le_not_lt_nat :  $\text{Ord}(p) \implies \text{Ord}(q) \implies \neg p \leq q \implies q \leq p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas nat_simp_union = nat_un_max nat_max_ty max_def

lemma le_succ :  $x \in \text{nat} \implies x \leq \text{succ}(x)$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma le_pred :  $x \in \text{nat} \implies \text{pred}(x) \leq x$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Un_le_compat :  $o \leq p \implies q \leq r \implies \text{Ord}(o) \implies \text{Ord}(p) \implies \text{Ord}(q) \implies$ 
 $\text{Ord}(r) \implies o \cup q \leq p \cup r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Un_le :  $p \leq r \implies q \leq r \implies$ 
   $\text{Ord}(p) \implies \text{Ord}(q) \implies \text{Ord}(r) \implies$ 
   $p \cup q \leq r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Un_leI3 :  $o \leq r \implies p \leq r \implies q \leq r \implies$ 
   $\text{Ord}(o) \implies \text{Ord}(p) \implies \text{Ord}(q) \implies \text{Ord}(r) \implies$ 
   $o \cup p \cup q \leq r$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diff_mono :
  assumes  $m \in \text{nat}$   $n \in \text{nat}$   $p \in \text{nat}$   $m < n$   $p \leq m$ 
  shows  $m \# p < n \# p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma pred_U $n$ :
   $x \in \text{nat} \implies y \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Arith}.pred(\text{succ}(x) \cup y) = x \cup \text{Arith}.pred(y)$ 
   $x \in \text{nat} \implies y \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Arith}.pred(x \cup \text{succ}(y)) = \text{Arith}.pred(x) \cup y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma le_natI :  $j \leq n \implies n \in \text{nat} \implies j \in \text{nat}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma le_natE :  $n \in \text{nat} \implies j < n \implies j \in n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma diff_cancel :
  assumes  $m \in \text{nat}$   $n \in \text{nat}$   $m < n$ 
  shows  $m \# n = 0$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma leD : assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$   $j \leq n$ 
  shows  $j < n \mid j = n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

5.1 Some results in ordinal arithmetic

The following results are auxiliary to the proof of wellfoundedness of the relation *frecR*

```

lemma max_cong :
  assumes  $x \leq y$   $\text{Ord}(y)$   $\text{Ord}(z)$  shows  $\max(x,y) \leq \max(y,z)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma max_commutes :
  assumes  $\text{Ord}(x)$   $\text{Ord}(y)$ 
  shows  $\max(x,y) = \max(y,x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma max_cong2 :
  assumes  $x \leq y$   $\text{Ord}(y)$   $\text{Ord}(z)$   $\text{Ord}(x)$ 
  shows  $\max(x,z) \leq \max(y,z)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma max_D1 :
  assumes  $x = y$   $w < z$   $\text{Ord}(x)$   $\text{Ord}(w)$   $\text{Ord}(z)$   $\max(x,w) = \max(y,z)$ 
  shows  $z \leq y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma max_D2 :
  assumes  $w = y \vee w = z$   $x < y$   $\text{Ord}(x)$   $\text{Ord}(w)$   $\text{Ord}(y)$   $\text{Ord}(z)$   $\max(x,w) = \max(y,z)$ 
  shows  $x < w$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma oadd_lt_mono2 :
  assumes Ord(n) Ord( $\alpha$ ) Ord( $\beta$ )  $\alpha < \beta$   $x < n$   $y < n$   $0 < n$ 
  shows  $n * \alpha + x < n * \beta + y$ 
{proof}
end

```

6 Automatic synthesis of formulas

```

theory Synthetic_Definition
imports ZF-Constructible.Formula
keywords
  synthesize :: thy-decl % ML
  and
  synthesize_notc :: thy-decl % ML
  and
  from_schematic

begin
{ML}

```

The `synthetic_def` function extracts definitions from schematic goals. A new definition is added to the context.

```
end
```

7 Aids to internalize formulas

```

theory Internalizations
imports
  ZF-Constructible.DPow_absolute
  Synthetic_Definition
begin

```

We found it useful to have slightly different versions of some results in ZF-Constructible:

```

lemma nth_closed :
  assumes env $\in$ list(A)  $0 \in A$ 
  shows nth(n,env) $\in A$ 
{proof}

lemmas FOL_sats_iff = sats_Nand_iff sats_Forall_iff sats_Neg_iff sats_And_iff
          sats_Or_iff sats_Implies_iff sats_Iff_iff sats_Exists_iff

lemma nth_ConsI:  $\llbracket \text{nth}(n,l) = x; n \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a,l)) = x$ 
{proof}

lemmas nth_rules = nth_0 nth_ConsI nat_0I nat_succI

```

```

lemmas sep_rules = nth_0 nth_ConsI FOL_iff_sats function_iff_sats
          fun_plus_iff_sats successor_iff_sats
          omega_iff_sats FOL_sats_iff Replace_iff_sats

Also a different compilation of lemmas (termsep_rules) used in formula synthesis

lemmas fm_defs =
  omega_fm_def limit_ordinal_fm_def empty_fm_def typed_function_fm_def
  pair_fm_def upair_fm_def domain_fm_def function_fm_def succ_fm_def
  cons_fm_def fun_apply_fm_def image_fm_def big_union_fm_def union_fm_def
  relation_fm_def composition_fm_def field_fm_def ordinal_fm_def range_fm_def
  transset_fm_def subset_fm_def Replace_fm_def

lemmas formulas_def = fm_defs
  is_iterates_fm_def iterates_MH_fm_def is_wfrec_fm_def is_recfun_fm_def is_transrec_fm_def
  is_nat_case_fm_def quasinat_fm_def number1_fm_def ordinal_fm_def finite_ordinal_fm_def
  cartprod_fm_def sum_fm_def Inr_fm_def Inl_fm_def
  formula_functor_fm_def
  Memrel_fm_def transset_fm_def subset_fm_def pre_image_fm_def restriction_fm_def
  list_functor_fm_def tl_fm_def quasilist_fm_def Cons_fm_def Nil_fm_def

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

8 Some enhanced theorems on recursion

theory Recursion_Thms **imports** ZF.Epsilon **begin**

We prove results concerning definitions by well-founded recursion on some relation R and its transitive closure R^*

lemma fld_restrict_eq : $a \in A \implies (r \cap A \times A)^{-\{\{a\}\}} = (r^{-\{\{a\}\}} \cap A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fld_restrict_mono : $relation(r) \implies A \subseteq B \implies r \cap A \times A \subseteq r \cap B \times B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma fld_restrict_dom :
assumes $relation(r)$ $domain(r) \subseteq A$ $range(r) \subseteq A$
shows $r \cap A \times A = r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition tr_down :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$
where $tr_down(r,a) = (r^+)^{-\{\{a\}\}}$

lemma tr_downD : $x \in tr_down(r,a) \implies \langle x,a \rangle \in r^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred_down* : *relation(r)* $\implies r^{-\langle\langle} \{a\} \subseteq tr_down(r,a)$
(proof)

lemma *tr_down_mono* : *relation(r)* $\implies x \in r^{-\langle\langle} \{a\} \implies tr_down(r,x) \subseteq tr_down(r,a)$
(proof)

lemma *rest_eq* :
assumes *relation(r)* **and** $r^{-\langle\langle} \{a\} \subseteq B$ **and** $a \in B$
shows $r^{-\langle\langle} \{a\} = (r \cap B \times B)^{-\langle\langle} \{a\}$
(proof)

lemma *wfrec_restr_eq* : $r' = r \cap A \times A \implies wfrec[A](r,a,H) = wfrec(r',a,H)$
(proof)

lemma *wfrec_restr* :
assumes *rr: relation(r)* **and** *wfr:wf(r)*
shows $a \in A \implies tr_down(r,a) \subseteq A \implies wfrec(r,a,H) = wfrec[A](r,a,H)$
(proof)

lemmas *wfrec_tr_down* = *wfrec_restr[OF ... subset_refl]*

lemma *wfrec_trans_restr* : *relation(r)* $\implies wf(r) \implies trans(r) \implies r^{-\langle\langle} \{a\} \subseteq A \implies a \in A \implies wfrec(r, a, H) = wfrec[A](r, a, H)$
(proof)

lemma *field_trancl* : *field(r^+)* = *field(r)*
(proof)

definition
Rrel :: $[i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Rrel(R,A) \equiv \{z \in A \times A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge R(x,y)\}$

lemma *RrelI* : $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies R(x,y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in Rrel(R,A)$
(proof)

lemma *Rrel_mem*: $Rrel(mem,x) = Memrel(x)$
(proof)

lemma *relation_Rrel*: *relation(Rrel(R,d))*
(proof)

lemma *field_Rrel*: *field(Rrel(R,d))* $\subseteq d$
(proof)

lemma *Rrel_mono* : $A \subseteq B \implies Rrel(R,A) \subseteq Rrel(R,B)$
(proof)

```

lemma Rrel_restr_eq : Rrel(R,A) ∩ B×B = Rrel(R,A∩B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma field_Memrel : field(Memrel(A)) ⊆ A
⟨proof⟩

lemma restrict_tranci_Rrel:
assumes R(w,y)
shows restrict(f,Rrel(R,d)-“{y})‘w
      = restrict(f,(Rrel(R,d) ^+)-“{y})‘w
⟨proof⟩

lemma restrict_trans_eq:
assumes w ∈ y
shows restrict(f,Memrel(eclose({x})))-“{y})‘w
      = restrict(f,(Memrel(eclose({x})) ^+)-“{y})‘w
⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_eq_tranci:
assumes ⋀ f y . H(y,restrict(f,R-“{y})) = H(y,restrict(f,R ^+-“{y}))
shows wfrec(R, x, H) = wfrec(R ^+, x, H) (is wfrec(?r,-,-) = wfrec(?r',-,))
⟨proof⟩

end

```

9 Relativization of the cumulative hierarchy

```

theory Relative_Univ
imports
  ZF-Constructible.Rank
  Internalizations
  Recursion_Thms

```

```
begin
```

```
declare (in M_trivial) powerset_abs[simp]
```

```

lemma Collect_inter_Transset:
assumes
  Transset(M) b ∈ M
shows
  {x ∈ b . P(x)} = {x ∈ b . P(x)} ∩ M
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_trivial) family_union_closed: [strong_replacement(M, λx y. y = f(x));
M(A); ∀ x ∈ A. M(f(x))]
```

$\implies M(\bigcup_{x \in A} f(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$HVfrom :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $HVfrom(M, A, x, f) \equiv A \cup (\bigcup_{y \in x} \{a \in Pow(f^*y). M(a)\})$

definition

$is_powapply :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $is_powapply(M, f, y, z) \equiv M(z) \wedge (\exists f[M]. fun_apply(M, f, y, f) \wedge powerset(M, f, y, z))$

lemma $is_powapply_closed$: $is_powapply(M, f, y, z) \implies M(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_HVfrom :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $is_HVfrom(M, A, x, f, h) \equiv \exists U[M]. \exists R[M]. union(M, A, U, h)$
 $\wedge big_union(M, R, U) \wedge is_Replace(M, x, is_powapply(M, f), R)$

definition

$is_Vfrom :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $is_Vfrom(M, A, i, V) \equiv is_transrec(M, is_HVfrom(M, A), i, V)$

definition

$is_Vset :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $is_Vset(M, i, V) \equiv \exists z[M]. empty(M, z) \wedge is_Vfrom(M, z, i, V)$

9.1 Formula synthesis

schematic_goal $sats_is_powapply_fm_auto$:

assumes

$f \in nat \ y \in nat \ z \in nat \ env \in list(A) \ 0 \in A$

shows

$is_powapply(\#\#A, nth(f, env), nth(y, env), nth(z, env))$
 $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?ipa_fm(f, y, z), env)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal $is_powapply_iff_sats$:

assumes

$nth(f, env) = ff \ nth(y, env) = yy \ nth(z, env) = zz \ 0 \in A$

$f \in nat \ y \in nat \ z \in nat \ env \in list(A)$

shows

$is_powapply(\#\#A, ff, yy, zz) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?is_one_fm(a, r), env)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$Hrank :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Hrank(x,f) = (\bigcup_{y \in x} succ(f'y))$

definition

$PHrank :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $PHrank(M,f,y,z) \equiv M(z) \wedge (\exists f[M]. fun_apply(M,f,y,fy) \wedge successor(M,fy,z))$

definition

$is_Hrank :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_Hrank(M,x,f,hc) \equiv (\exists R[M]. big_union(M,R,hc) \wedge is_Replace(M,x,PHrank(M,f),R))$

definition

$rrank :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $rrank(a) \equiv Memrel(eclose(\{a\}))^+$

lemma (in M_eclose) $wf_rrank : M(x) \implies wf(rrank(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_eclose) $trans_rrank : M(x) \implies trans(rrank(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_eclose) $relation_rrank : M(x) \implies relation(rrank(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_eclose) $rrank_in_M : M(x) \implies M(rrank(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

9.2 Absoluteness results

locale $M_eclose_pow = M_eclose +$
assumes
 $power_ax : power_ax(M)$ **and**
 $powapply_replacement : M(f) \implies strong_replacement(M, is_powapply(M,f))$ **and**
 $HVfrom_replacement : \llbracket M(i) ; M(A) \rrbracket \implies$
 $transrec_replacement(M, is_HVfrom(M,A), i)$ **and**
 $PHrank_replacement : M(f) \implies strong_replacement(M, PHrank(M,f))$ **and**
 $is_Hrank_replacement : M(x) \implies wfrec_replacement(M, is_Hrank(M), rrank(x))$

begin

lemma $is_powapply_abs : \llbracket M(f); M(y) \rrbracket \implies is_powapply(M,f,y,z) \leftrightarrow M(z) \wedge z = \{x \in Pow(f'y). M(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\llbracket M(A); M(x); M(f); M(h) \rrbracket \implies$
 $is_HVfrom(M, A, x, f, h) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists R[M]. h = A \cup \bigcup R \wedge is_Replace(M, x, \lambda x. y. y = \{x \in Pow(f^x) . M(x)\}, R))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Replace_is_powapply*:
assumes
 $M(R) M(A) M(f)$
shows
 $is_Replace(M, A, is_powapply(M, f), R) \longleftrightarrow R = Replace(A, is_powapply(M, f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *powapply_closed*:
 $\llbracket M(y) ; M(f) \rrbracket \implies M(\{x \in Pow(f^y) . M(x)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun_is_powapply*:
assumes
 $M(R) M(A) M(f)$
shows
 $Replace(A, is_powapply(M, f)) = RepFun(A, \lambda y. \{x \in Pow(f^y) . M(x)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun_powapply_closed*:
assumes
 $M(f) M(A)$
shows
 $M(Replace(A, is_powapply(M, f)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union_powapply_closed*:
assumes
 $M(x) M(f)$
shows
 $M(\bigcup y \in x. \{a \in Pow(f^y) . M(a)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation2_HVfrom*: $M(A) \implies relation2(M, is_HVfrom(M, A), HVfrom(M, A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HVfrom_closed* :
 $M(A) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(HVfrom(M, A, x, g))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *transrec_HVfrom*:
assumes $M(A)$
shows $Ord(i) \implies \{x \in Vfrom(A, i) . M(x)\} = transrec(i, HVfrom(M, A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vfrom_abs$: $\llbracket M(A); M(i); M(V); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies is_Vfrom(M, A, i, V) \longleftrightarrow V = \{x \in Vfrom(A, i). M(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vfrom_closed$: $\llbracket M(A); M(i); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies M(\{x \in Vfrom(A, i). M(x)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vset_abs$: $\llbracket M(i); M(V); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies is_Vset(M, i, V) \longleftrightarrow V = \{x \in Vset(i). M(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Vset_closed$: $\llbracket M(i); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies M(\{x \in Vset(i). M(x)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Hrank_tranch$: $Hrank(y, restrict(f, Memrel(eclose(\{x\})) - ``\{y\})) = Hrank(y, restrict(f, (Memrel(eclose(\{x\})) ^+) - ``\{y\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rank_tranc$: $rank(x) = wfrec(rrank(x), x, Hrank)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $univ_PHrank$: $\llbracket M(z) ; M(f) \rrbracket \implies univalent(M, z, PHrank(M, f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $PHrank_abs$:
 $\llbracket M(f) ; M(y) \rrbracket \implies PHrank(M, f, y, z) \longleftrightarrow M(z) \wedge z = succ(f`y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $PHrank_closed$: $PHrank(M, f, y, z) \implies M(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Replace_PHrank_abs$:
assumes
 $M(z) M(f) M(hr)$
shows
 $is_Replace(M, z, PHrank(M, f), hr) \longleftrightarrow hr = Replace(z, PHrank(M, f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $RepFun_PHrank$:
assumes
 $M(R) M(A) M(f)$
shows
 $Replace(A, PHrank(M, f)) = RepFun(A, \lambda y. succ(f`y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $RepFun_PHrank_closed$:
assumes

```

 $M(f) M(A)$ 
shows
 $M(Replace(A, PRank(M, f)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma relation2_Hrank :
  relation2(M, is_Hrank(M), Hrank)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Union_PRank_closed:
  assumes
     $M(x) M(f)$ 
  shows
     $M(\bigcup_{y \in x} succ(f^*y))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_Hrank_closed :
   $M(A) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(Hrank(x, g))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank_closed:  $M(a) \implies M(rank(a))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma M_into_Vset:
  assumes  $M(a)$ 
  shows  $\exists i[M]. \exists V[M]. ordinal(M, i) \wedge is\_Vfrom(M, 0, i, V) \wedge a \in V$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

10 Interface between set models and Constructibility

This theory provides an interface between Paulson’s relativization results and set models of ZFC. In particular, it is used to prove that the locale *forcing_data* is a sublocale of all relevant locales in ZF-Constructibility (*M_trivial*, *M_basic*, *M_eclose*, etc).

```

theory Interface
  imports
    Nat_Miscellanea
    Relative_Univ
  begin

```

syntax

```

 $_sats :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o ((-, - \models -) [36,36,36] 60)$ 
translations
 $(M,env \models \varphi) \Leftarrow CONST\ sats(M,\varphi,env)$ 

abbreviation
 $dec10 :: i (10) \mathbf{where} 10 \equiv succ(9)$ 

abbreviation
 $dec11 :: i (11) \mathbf{where} 11 \equiv succ(10)$ 

abbreviation
 $dec12 :: i (12) \mathbf{where} 12 \equiv succ(11)$ 

abbreviation
 $dec13 :: i (13) \mathbf{where} 13 \equiv succ(12)$ 

abbreviation
 $dec14 :: i (14) \mathbf{where} 14 \equiv succ(13)$ 

definition
 $infinity\_ax :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o \mathbf{where}$ 
 $infinity\_ax(M) \equiv$ 
 $(\exists I[M]. (\exists z[M]. empty(M,z) \wedge z \in I) \wedge (\forall y[M]. y \in I \longrightarrow (\exists sy[M]. successor(M,y,sy) \wedge sy \in I)))$ 

definition
 $choice\_ax :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o \mathbf{where}$ 
 $choice\_ax(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists a[M]. \exists f[M]. ordinal(M,a) \wedge surjection(M,a,x,f)$ 

context  $M\_basic$  begin

lemma  $choice\_ax\_abs :$ 
 $choice\_ax(M) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x[M]. \exists a[M]. \exists f[M]. Ord(a) \wedge f \in surj(a,x))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

definition
 $wellfounded\_tranci :: [i=>o,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \mathbf{where}$ 
 $wellfounded\_tranci(M,Z,r,p) \equiv$ 
 $\exists w[M]. \exists wx[M]. \exists rp[M].$ 
 $w \in Z \wedge pair(M,w,p,wx) \wedge tran\_closure(M,r,rp) \wedge wx \in rp$ 

lemma  $empty\_intf :$ 
 $infinity\_ax(M) \Longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists z[M]. empty(M,z))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Transset_intf :
  Transset(M)  $\implies$   $y \in x \implies x \in M \implies y \in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale M_ZF =
  fixes M
  assumes
    upair_ax: upair_ax( $\#\#M$ ) and
    Union_ax: Union_ax( $\#\#M$ ) and
    power_ax: power_ax( $\#\#M$ ) and
    extensionality: extensionality( $\#\#M$ ) and
    foundation_ax: foundation_ax( $\#\#M$ ) and
    infinity_ax: infinity_ax( $\#\#M$ ) and
    separation_ax:  $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies$ 
      arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq 1 \#+ length(env) \implies$ 
      separation( $\#\#M, \lambda x. sats(M, \varphi, [x] @ env)$ ) and
    replacement_ax:  $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies$ 
      arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq 2 \#+ length(env) \implies$ 
      strong_replacement( $\#\#M, \lambda x y. sats(M, \varphi, [x, y] @ env)$ )
  replaces Transset_ax: Transset(M)

locale M_ZF_trans = M_ZF +
  assumes
    trans_M: Transset(M)
  begin

lemmas transitivity = Transset_intf[OF trans_M]

```

10.1 Interface with M_trivial

```

lemma zero_in_M:  $0 \in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

sublocale M_ZF_trans  $\subseteq$  M_trans  $\#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale M_ZF_trans  $\subseteq$  M_trivial  $\#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context M_ZF_trans
  begin

```

10.2 Interface with M_basic

```

schematic_goal inter_fm_auto:
  assumes
    nth(i,env) = x nth(j,env) = B
    i  $\in$  nat j  $\in$  nat env  $\in$  list(A)
  shows

```

```
( $\forall y \in A . y \in B \longrightarrow x \in y$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $sats(A, ?ifm(i,j), env)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma inter_sep_intf :
assumes
   $A \in M$ 
shows
   $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x . \forall y \in M . y \in A \longrightarrow x \in y)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
schematic_goal diff_fm_auto:
assumes
   $nth(i, env) = x$   $nth(j, env) = B$ 
   $i \in nat$   $j \in nat$   $env \in list(A)$ 
shows
   $x \notin B \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?dfm(i,j), env)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma diff_sep_intf :
assumes
   $B \in M$ 
shows
   $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x . x \notin B)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
schematic_goal cprod_fm_auto:
assumes
   $nth(i, env) = z$   $nth(j, env) = B$   $nth(h, env) = C$ 
   $i \in nat$   $j \in nat$   $h \in nat$   $env \in list(A)$ 
shows
   $(\exists x \in A. x \in B \wedge (\exists y \in A. y \in C \wedge pair(\#\#A, x, y, z))) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?cpfm(i,j,h), env)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cartprod_sep_intf :
assumes
   $A \in M$ 
and
   $B \in M$ 
shows
   $separation(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. x \in A \wedge (\exists y \in M. y \in B \wedge pair(\#\#M, x, y, z)))$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

```
schematic_goal im_fm_auto:
assumes
   $nth(i, env) = y$   $nth(j, env) = r$   $nth(h, env) = B$ 
   $i \in nat$   $j \in nat$   $h \in nat$   $env \in list(A)$ 
```

```

shows
 $(\exists p \in A. p \in r \ \& \ (\exists x \in A. x \in B \ \& \ pair(\#\#A, x, y, p))) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?imfm(i, j, h), env)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma image_sep_intf :
assumes
 $A \in M$ 
and
 $r \in M$ 
shows
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists p \in M. p \in r \ \& \ (\exists x \in M. x \in A \ \& \ pair(\#\#M, x, y, p)))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic_goal con-fm-auto:
assumes
 $nth(i, env) = z$ 
 $nth(j, env) = R$ 
 $i \in nat$ 
 $j \in nat$ 
 $env \in list(A)$ 
shows
 $(\exists p \in A. p \in R \ \& \ (\exists x \in A. \exists y \in A. pair(\#\#A, x, y, p) \ \& \ pair(\#\#A, y, x, z)))$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?cfm(i, j), env)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma converse_sep_intf :
assumes
 $R \in M$ 
shows
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists p \in M. p \in R \ \& \ (\exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. pair(\#\#M, x, y, p) \ \&$ 
 $pair(\#\#M, y, x, z)))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic_goal rest-fm-auto:
assumes
 $nth(i, env) = z$ 
 $nth(j, env) = C$ 
 $i \in nat$ 
 $j \in nat$ 
 $env \in list(A)$ 
shows
 $(\exists x \in A. x \in C \ \& \ (\exists y \in A. pair(\#\#A, x, y, z)))$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?rfm(i, j), env)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma restrict_sep_intf :
assumes
 $A \in M$ 
shows
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y \in M. pair(\#\#M, x, y, z)))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

schematic_goal comp_fm_auto:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = xz \quad \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = S \quad \text{nth}(h, \text{env}) = R$ 
   $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad h \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
   $(\exists x \in A. \exists y \in A. \exists z \in A. \exists xy \in A. \exists yz \in A.$ 
     $\text{pair}(\#\#A, x, z, xz) \& \text{pair}(\#\#A, x, y, xy) \& \text{pair}(\#\#A, y, z, yz) \& xy \in S$ 
     $\& yz \in R) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?cfm(i, j, h), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma comp_sep_intf :
assumes
   $R \in M$ 
  and
   $S \in M$ 
shows
   $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda xz. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \exists z \in M. \exists xy \in M. \exists yz \in M.$ 
     $\text{pair}(\#\#M, x, z, xz) \& \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, xy) \& \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, z, yz) \& xy \in S$ 
     $\& yz \in R) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?cfm(i, j, h), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

schematic_goal pred_fm_auto:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = y \quad \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = R \quad \text{nth}(h, \text{env}) = X$ 
   $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad h \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
   $(\exists p \in A. p \in R \& \text{pair}(\#\#A, y, X, p)) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?pfm(i, j, h), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma pred_sep_intf:
assumes
   $R \in M$ 
  and
   $X \in M$ 
shows
   $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists p \in M. p \in R \& \text{pair}(\#\#M, y, X, p)) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?pfm(i, j, h), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

schematic_goal mem_fm_auto:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = z \quad i \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
   $(\exists x \in A. \exists y \in A. \text{pair}(\#\#A, x, y, z) \& x \in y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?mfm(i), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma memrel_sep_intf:
  separation( $\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x \in M. \exists y \in M. \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, z) \& x \in y$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic_goal recfun_fm_auto:
  assumes
     $\text{nth}(i1, env) = x \text{ nth}(i2, env) = r \text{ nth}(i3, env) = f \text{ nth}(i4, env) = g \text{ nth}(i5, env)$ 
     $= a$ 
     $\text{nth}(i6, env) = b \quad i1 \in \text{nat} \quad i2 \in \text{nat} \quad i3 \in \text{nat} \quad i4 \in \text{nat} \quad i5 \in \text{nat} \quad i6 \in \text{nat} \quad env \in \text{list}(A)$ 
  shows
     $(\exists xa \in A. \exists xb \in A. \text{pair}(\#\#A, x, a, xa) \& xa \in r \& \text{pair}(\#\#A, x, b, xb) \& xb \in r$ 
     $\&$ 
     $(\exists fx \in A. \exists gx \in A. \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#A, f, x, fx) \& \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#A, g, x, gx)$ 
     $\& fx \neq gx))$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?rffm(i1, i2, i3, i4, i5, i6), env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma is_recfun_sep_intf :
  assumes
     $r \in M \quad f \in M \quad g \in M \quad a \in M \quad b \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. \exists xa \in M. \exists xb \in M.$ 
     $\quad \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, a, xa) \& xa \in r \& \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, b, xb) \& xb \in r \&$ 
     $\quad (\exists fx \in M. \exists gx \in M. \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#M, f, x, fx) \& \text{fun\_apply}(\#\#M, g, x, gx)$ 
     $\&$ 
     $\quad fx \neq gx))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

schematic_goal funsp_fm_auto:
  assumes
     $\text{nth}(i, env) = p \text{ nth}(j, env) = z \text{ nth}(h, env) = n$ 
     $i \in \text{nat} \quad j \in \text{nat} \quad h \in \text{nat} \quad env \in \text{list}(A)$ 
  shows
     $(\exists f \in A. \exists b \in A. \exists nb \in A. \exists cnbf \in A. \text{pair}(\#\#A, f, b, p) \& \text{pair}(\#\#A, n, b, nb) \&$ 
     $\text{is\_cons}(\#\#A, nb, f, cnbf) \&$ 
     $\text{upair}(\#\#A, cnbf, cnbf, z)) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?fsfm(i, j, h), env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma funspace_succ_rep_intf :
  assumes
     $n \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{strong\_replacement}(\#\#M,$ 

```

$$\lambda p z. \exists f \in M. \exists b \in M. \exists nb \in M. \exists cnbf \in M.$$

$$pair(\#\#M, f, b, p) \& pair(\#\#M, n, b, nb) \& is_cons(\#\#M, nb, f, cnbf)$$

&

$$upair(\#\#M, cnbf, cnbf, z))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemmas M_basic_sep_instances =
inter_sep_intf diff_sep_intf cartprod_sep_intf
image_sep_intf converse_sep_intf restrict_sep_intf
pred_sep_intf memrel_sep_intf comp_sep_intf is_recfun_sep_intf

end
```

```
sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_basic ##M
⟨proof⟩
```

10.3 Interface with M_{trancl}

```
schematic_goal rtran_closure_mem_auto:
assumes
nth(i,env) = p nth(j,env) = r nth(k,env) = B
i ∈ nat j ∈ nat k ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
rtran_closure_mem(##A,B,r,p) ←→ sats(A,?rcfm(i,j,k),env)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) rtrancl_separation_intf:
assumes
r ∈ M
and
A ∈ M
shows
separation(##M, rtran_closure_mem(##M,A,r))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
schematic_goal rtran_closure_fm_auto:
assumes
nth(i,env) = r nth(j,env) = rp
i ∈ nat j ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
rtran_closure(##A,r,rp) ←→ sats(A,?rtc(i,j),env)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
schematic_goal trans_closure_fm_auto:
assumes
```

```

nth(i,env) = r nth(j,env) = rp
i ∈ nat j ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  tran_closure(##A,r,rp) ←→ sats(A,?tc(i,j),env)
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

schematic_goal wellfounded_tranci_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = p nth(j,env) = r nth(k,env) = B
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat k ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  wellfounded_tranci(##A,B,r,p) ←→ sats(A,?wtf(i,j,k),env)
⟨proof⟩

context M_ZF_trans
begin

lemma wftranci_separation_intf:
assumes
  r ∈ M and Z ∈ M
shows
  separation (##M, wellfounded_tranci(##M,Z,r))
⟨proof⟩

Proof that nat ∈ M

lemma finite_sep_intf: separation(##M, λx. x ∈ nat)
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_subset_I':
  [I ∈ M ; 0 ∈ I ; ∀x. x ∈ I ⇒ succ(x) ∈ I] ⇒ nat ⊆ I
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_subset_I: ∃I ∈ M. nat ⊆ I
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_in_M: nat ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_tranci ##M
⟨proof⟩

```

10.4 Interface with M_eclose

```

lemma repl_sats:
assumes

```

sat: $\bigwedge x z. x \in M \implies z \in M \implies sats(M, \varphi, Cons(x, Cons(z, env))) \longleftrightarrow P(x, z)$
shows

strong_replacement(##M, $\lambda x z. sats(M, \varphi, Cons(x, Cons(z, env)))$) \longleftrightarrow
strong_replacement(##M, P)
{proof}

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *list_repl1_intf*:
assumes
 $A \in M$
shows
iterates_replacement(##M, *is_list_functor*(##M, A), 0)
{proof}

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *iterates_repl_intf* :
assumes
 $v \in M$ **and**
isfm:*is_F_fm* $\in formula$ **and**
arty:*arity*(*is_F_fm*)=2 **and**
satsf: $\bigwedge a b env'. \llbracket a \in M ; b \in M ; env' \in list(M) \rrbracket$
 $\implies is_F(a, b) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, is_F_fm, [b, a] @ env')$
shows
iterates_replacement(##M, *is_F*, v)
{proof}

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *formula_repl1_intf* :
iterates_replacement(##M, *is_formula_functor*(##M), 0)
{proof}

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *nth_repl_intf*:
assumes
 $l \in M$
shows
iterates_replacement(##M, $\lambda l' t. is_tl(##M, l', t), l$)
{proof}

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *eclose_repl1_intf*:
assumes
 $A \in M$
shows
iterates_replacement(##M, *big_union*(##M), A)
{proof}

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *list_repl2_intf*:
assumes

```

A ∈ M
shows
  strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ nat & is_iterates(##M, is_list_functor(##M, A),
  0, n, y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) formula_repl2_intf:
  strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ nat & is_iterates(##M, is_formula_functor(##M),
  0, n, y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) eclose_repl2_intf:
  assumes
    A ∈ M
  shows
    strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ nat & is_iterates(##M, big_union(##M),
    A, n, y))
    ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_datatypes ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_eclose ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

powerset_fm :: [i,i] ⇒ i where
  powerset_fm(A,z) ≡ Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),subset_fm(0,succ(A))))

```

lemma powerset_type [TC]:

```

[ x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat ] ⇒ powerset_fm(x,y) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

is_powapply_fm :: [i,i,i] ⇒ i where
  is_powapply_fm(f,y,z) ≡
    Exists(And(fun_apply_fm(succ(f), succ(y), 0),
    Forall(Iff(Member(0, succ(succ(z))),
    Forall(Implies(Member(0, 1), Member(0, 2)))))))

```

lemma is_powapply_type [TC] :

```

[ f ∈ nat ; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat ] ⇒ is_powapply_fm(f,y,z) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare is_powapply_fm_def[fm_definitions add]

lemma sats_is_powapply_fm :
  assumes
     $f \in \text{nat}$   $y \in \text{nat}$   $z \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$   $0 \in A$ 
  shows
     $\text{is\_powapply}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(f, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{is\_powapply\_fm}(f, y, z), \text{env})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) powapply_repl :
  assumes
     $f \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{strong\_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is\_powapply}(\#\#M, f))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
 $P\text{Hrank\_fm} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
 $P\text{Hrank\_fm}(f, y, z) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{fun\_apply\_fm}(\text{succ}(f), \text{succ}(y), 0),$ 
 $\text{succ\_fm}(0, \text{succ}(z))))$ 

lemma PHrank_type [TC]:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies P\text{Hrank\_fm}(x, y, z) \in \text{formula}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) sats_PHrank_fm:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{sats}(M, P\text{Hrank\_fm}(x, y, z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $P\text{Hrank}(\#\#M, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) phrank_repl :
  assumes
     $f \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{strong\_replacement}(\#\#M, P\text{Hrank}(\#\#M, f))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
 $\text{is\_Hrank\_fm} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where

```

```

is_Hrank_fm(x,f,hc) ≡ Exists(And(big_union_fm(0,succ(hc)),
Replace_fm(succ(x),PHrank_fm(succ(succ(succ(f))),0,1),0)))

```

lemma *is_Hrank_type* [*TC*]:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{is_Hrank_fm}(x,y,z) \in \text{formula}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *sats_is_Hrank_fm*:
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(M, \text{is_Hrank_fm}(x,y,z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_Hrank}(\#\# M, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$
⟨proof⟩

declare *is_Hrank_fm_def*[*fm_definitions add*]
declare *PHrank_fm_def*[*fm_definitions add*]

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *wfrec_rank* :
assumes
 $X \in M$
shows
 $\text{wfrec_replacement}(\#\# M, \text{is_Hrank}(\#\# M), \text{rrank}(X))$
⟨proof⟩

definition
 $\text{is_HVfrom_fm} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{is_HVfrom_fm}(A,x,f,h) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{union_fm}(A \#+ 2, 1, h \#+ 2),$
 $\text{And}(\text{big_union_fm}(0, 1),$
 $\text{Replace_fm}(x \#+ 2, \text{is_powapply_fm}(f \#+ 4, 0, 1), 0))))$
declare *is_HVfrom_fm_def*[*fm_definitions add*]

lemma *is_HVfrom_type* [*TC*]:
 $\llbracket A \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; f \in \text{nat}; h \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{is_HVfrom_fm}(A,x,f,h) \in \text{formula}$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *sats.is_HVfrom_fm* :
 $\llbracket a \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; f \in \text{nat}; h \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A); 0 \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{is_HVfrom_fm}(a,x,f,h), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_HVfrom}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(a, \text{env}), \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(f, \text{env}), \text{nth}(h, \text{env}))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *is_HVfrom_iff_sats*:
assumes
 $\text{nth}(a, \text{env}) = aa \quad \text{nth}(x, \text{env}) = xx \quad \text{nth}(f, \text{env}) = ff \quad \text{nth}(h, \text{env}) = hh$
 $a \in \text{nat} \quad x \in \text{nat} \quad f \in \text{nat} \quad h \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \quad 0 \in A$
shows
 $\text{is_HVfrom}(\#\# A, aa, xx, ff, hh) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{is_HVfrom_fm}(a,x,f,h), \text{env})$
⟨proof⟩

```

schematic_goal sats_is_Vset_fm_auto:
assumes
   $i \in \text{nat}$   $v \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$   $0 \in A$ 
   $i < \text{length}(\text{env})$   $v < \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
shows
   $\text{is\_Vset}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(i, \text{env}), \text{nth}(v, \text{env}))$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?\text{ivs\_fm}(i, v), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

schematic_goal is_Vset_iff_sats:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = ii$   $\text{nth}(v, \text{env}) = vv$ 
   $i \in \text{nat}$   $v \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$   $0 \in A$ 
   $i < \text{length}(\text{env})$   $v < \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
shows
   $\text{is\_Vset}(\#\#A, ii, vv) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?\text{ivs\_fm}(i, v), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) memrel_eclose_sing :
   $a \in M \implies \exists sa \in M. \exists esa \in M. \exists mesa \in M.$ 
   $\text{upair}(\#\#M, a, a, sa) \& \text{is\_eclose}(\#\#M, sa, esa) \& \text{membership}(\#\#M, esa, mesa)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) trans_repl_HVFrom :
assumes
   $A \in M$   $i \in M$ 
shows
   $\text{transrec\_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is\_HVfrom}(\#\#M, A), i)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

sublocale M_ZF_trans  $\subseteq$  M_eclose_pow  $\#\#M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) repl_gen :
assumes
  f_abs:  $\bigwedge x y. [\![x \in M; y \in M]\!] \implies \text{is\_F}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$ 
  and
  f_sats:  $\bigwedge x y. [\![x \in M; y \in M]\!] \implies$ 
     $\text{sats}(M, f\_fm, \text{Cons}(x, \text{Cons}(y, \text{env}))) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_F}(\#\#M, x, y)$ 
  and
  f_form:  $f\_fm \in \text{formula}$ 
  and
  f_arity:  $\text{arity}(f\_fm) = 2$ 
  and
  env_in_list:  $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows

```

```

strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda x. y = f(x)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) sep_in_M :
assumes
   $\varphi \in formula$  env $\in list(M)$ 
  arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq 1$  #+ length(env) A $\in M$  and
  satsQ:  $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies sats(M, \varphi, [x]@env) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$ 
shows
   $\{y \in A . Q(y)\} \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

11 Transitive set models of ZF

This theory defines the locale *M_ZF_trans* for transitive models of ZF, and the associated *forcing_data* that adds a forcing notion

```

theory Forcing_Data
imports
  Forcing_Notions
  Interface

begin

lemma Transset_M :
  Transset(M)  $\implies y \in x \implies x \in M \implies y \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale M_ctm = M_ZF_trans +
fixes enum
assumes M_countable: enum $\in bij(nat, M)$ 
begin

lemma tuples_in_M: A $\in M \implies B \in M \implies \langle A, B \rangle \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

11.1 Collects in M

```

lemma Collect_in_M_0p :
assumes
  Qfm : Q_fm  $\in formula$  and
  Qarty : arity(Q_fm) = 1 and
  Qsats :  $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies sats(M, Q\_fm, [x]) \longleftrightarrow is\_Q(\#M, x)$  and
  Qabs :  $\bigwedge x. x \in M \implies is\_Q(\#M, x) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$  and

```

```

A ∈ M
shows
Collect(A, Q) ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma Collect_in_M_2p :
assumes
Qfm : Q_fm ∈ formula and
Qarty : arity(Q_fm) = 3 and
params_M : y ∈ M z ∈ M and
Qsats : ∀x. x ∈ M ⇒ sats(M, Q_fm, [x, y, z]) ↔ is_Q(##M, x, y, z) and
Qabs : ∀x. x ∈ M ⇒ is_Q(##M, x, y, z) ↔ Q(x, y, z) and
A ∈ M
shows
Collect(A, λx. Q(x, y, z)) ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma Collect_in_M_4p :
assumes
Qfm : Q_fm ∈ formula and
Qarty : arity(Q_fm) = 5 and
params_M : a1 ∈ M a2 ∈ M a3 ∈ M a4 ∈ M and
Qsats : ∀x. x ∈ M ⇒ sats(M, Q_fm, [x, a1, a2, a3, a4]) ↔ is_Q(##M, x, a1, a2, a3, a4)
and
Qabs : ∀x. x ∈ M ⇒ is_Q(##M, x, a1, a2, a3, a4) ↔ Q(x, a1, a2, a3, a4) and
A ∈ M
shows
Collect(A, λx. Q(x, a1, a2, a3, a4)) ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma Repl_in_M :
assumes
f_fm : f_fm ∈ formula and
f_ar : arity(f_fm) ≤ 2 #+ length(env) and
fsats : ∀x y. x ∈ M ⇒ y ∈ M ⇒ sats(M, f_fm, [x, y] @ env) ↔ is_f(x, y) and
fabs : ∀x y. x ∈ M ⇒ y ∈ M ⇒ is_f(x, y) ↔ y = f(x) and
fclosed : ∀x. x ∈ A ⇒ f(x) ∈ M and
A ∈ M env ∈ list(M)
shows {f(x). x ∈ A} ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

end

```

11.2 A forcing locale and generic filters

```

locale forcing_data = forcing_notion + M_ctm +
assumes P_in_M : P ∈ M
and leq_in_M : leq ∈ M

```

```

begin

lemma transD : Transset(M)  $\Rightarrow$   $y \in M \Rightarrow y \subseteq M$ 
<proof>

lemmas P_sub_M = transD[OF trans_M P_in_M]

definition
M_generic :: i $\Rightarrow$ o where
M_generic(G)  $\equiv$  filter(G)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall D \in M$ .  $D \subseteq P \wedge \text{dense}(D) \rightarrow D \cap G \neq \emptyset$ )

lemma M_genericD [dest] : M_generic(G)  $\Rightarrow$   $x \in G \Rightarrow x \in P$ 
<proof>

lemma M_generic_leqD [dest] : M_generic(G)  $\Rightarrow$   $p \in G \Rightarrow q \in P \Rightarrow p \leq q \Rightarrow$ 
 $q \in G$ 
<proof>

lemma M_generic_compatD [dest] : M_generic(G)  $\Rightarrow$   $p \in G \Rightarrow r \in G \Rightarrow \exists q \in G.$ 
 $q \leq p \wedge q \leq r$ 
<proof>

lemma M_generic_denseD [dest] : M_generic(G)  $\Rightarrow$  dense(D)  $\Rightarrow$   $D \subseteq P \Rightarrow D \in M$ 
 $\Rightarrow \exists q \in G. q \in D$ 
<proof>

lemma G_nonempty : M_generic(G)  $\Rightarrow G \neq \emptyset$ 
<proof>

lemma one_in_G :
  assumes M_generic(G)
  shows one  $\in G$ 
<proof>

lemma G_subset_M : M_generic(G)  $\Rightarrow G \subseteq M$ 
<proof>

declare iff_trans [trans]

lemma generic_filter_existence:
   $p \in P \Rightarrow \exists G. p \in G \wedge M_{\text{generic}}(G)$ 
<proof>

end

lemma (in M_trivial) compat_in_abs :
  assumes

```

```

 $M(A) M(r) M(p) M(q)$ 
shows
 $\text{is\_compat\_in}(M, A, r, p, q) \longleftrightarrow \text{compat\_in}(A, r, p, q)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context forcing_data begin

definition
 $\text{compat\_in\_fm} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{compat\_in\_fm}(A, r, p, q) \equiv$ 
 $\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(A)), \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(1, p\# + 2, 0),$ 
 $\text{And}(\text{Member}(0, r\# + 2),$ 
 $\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(2, q\# + 3, 0), \text{Member}(0, r\# + 3)))))))$ 

lemma compat_in_fm_type[TC] :
 $\llbracket A \in \text{nat}; r \in \text{nat}; p \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{compat\_in\_fm}(A, r, p, q) \in \text{formula}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_compat_in_fm:
assumes
 $A \in \text{nat} \ r \in \text{nat} \ p \in \text{nat} \ q \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{compat\_in\_fm}(A, r, p, q), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{is\_compat\_in}(\#\# M, \text{nth}(A, \text{env}), \text{nth}(r, \text{env}), \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

end

```

12 The ZFC axioms, internalized

```

theory Internal_ZFC_Axioms
imports
Forcing_Data

begin

schematic_goal ZF_union_auto:
 $\text{Union\_ax}(\#\# A) \longleftrightarrow (A, [] \models ?zfunion)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

schematic_goal ZF_power_auto:
 $\text{power\_ax}(\#\# A) \longleftrightarrow (A, [] \models ?zfpow)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

```

```

schematic_goal ZF_pairing_auto:
  upair_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  ?zfpair)
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

schematic_goal ZF.foundation_auto:
  foundation_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  ?zfpow)
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

schematic_goal ZF.extensionality_auto:
  extensionality(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  ?zfpow)
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

schematic_goal ZF.infinity_auto:
  infinity_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  (?φ(i,j,h)))
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

schematic_goal ZF.choice_auto:
  choice_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  (?φ(i,j,h)))
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

syntax
  _choice :: i (AC)
translations
  AC  $\rightarrow$  CONST ZF_choice_fm

lemmas ZFC_fm_defs = ZF_extensionality_fm_def ZF.foundation_fm_def ZF_pairing_fm_def
  ZF_union_fm_def ZF_infinity_fm_def ZF_power_fm_def ZF_choice_fm_def

lemmas ZFC_fm_sats = ZF_extensionality_auto ZF.foundation_auto ZF_pairing_auto
  ZF_union_auto ZF_infinity_auto ZF_power_auto ZF_choice_auto

definition
  ZF_fin :: i where
  ZF_fin ≡ { ZF_extensionality_fm, ZF.foundation_fm, ZF_pairing_fm,
  ZF_union_fm, ZF_infinity_fm, ZF_power_fm }

definition
  ZFC_fin :: i where

```

$ZFC_fin \equiv ZF_fin \cup \{ZF_choice_fm\}$

lemma $ZFC_fin_type : ZFC_fin \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.1 The Axiom of Separation, internalized

lemma $iterates_Forall_type [TC]$:
 $\llbracket n \in nat; p \in formula \rrbracket \implies Forall^n(p) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $last_init_eq$:
assumes $l \in list(A)$ $length(l) = succ(n)$
shows $\exists a \in A. \exists l' \in list(A). l = l' @ [a]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $take_drop_eq$:
assumes $l \in list(M)$
shows $\bigwedge n . n < succ(length(l)) \implies l = take(n, l) @ drop(n, l)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $list_split$:
assumes $n \leq succ(length(rest))$ $rest \in list(M)$
shows $\exists re \in list(M). \exists st \in list(M). rest = re @ st \wedge length(re) = pred(n)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_nForall$:
assumes
 $\varphi \in formula$
shows
 $n \in nat \implies ms \in list(M) \implies$
 $M, ms \models (Forall^n(\varphi)) \iff$
 $(\forall rest \in list(M). length(rest) = n \longrightarrow M, rest @ ms \models \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $sep_body_fm :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $sep_body_fm(p) \equiv Forall(Exists(Forall($
 $Iff(Member(0,1), And(Member(0,2),$
 $incr_bv1^2(p))))))$

lemma $sep_body_fm_type [TC]$: $p \in formula \implies sep_body_fm(p) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_sep_body_fm$:
assumes
 $\varphi \in formula$ $ms \in list(M)$ $rest \in list(M)$
shows
 $M, rest @ ms \models sep_body_fm(\varphi) \iff$

$\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ \text{rest} @ ms \models \varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$ZF\text{-separation_fm} :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $ZF\text{-separation_fm}(p) \equiv \text{Forall}^{\wedge}(\text{pred}(\text{arity}(p)))(\text{sep_body_fm}(p))$

lemma $ZF\text{-separation_fm_type} [TC]: p \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow ZF\text{-separation_fm}(p) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $sats\text{-ZF_separation_fm_iff}:$
assumes
 $\varphi \in \text{formula}$
shows
 $(M, [] \models (ZF\text{-separation_fm}(\varphi)))$
 \longleftrightarrow
 $(\forall env \in \text{list}(M). \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 \#+ \text{length}(env) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ env \models \varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

12.2 The Axiom of Replacement, internalized

schematic_goal $sats\text{-univalent_fm_auto}:$
assumes

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q\text{-iff-sats}: & \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A \Rightarrow z \in A \Rightarrow \\
 & Q(x, z) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{Cons}(z, \text{Cons}(y, \text{Cons}(x, env)))) \models Q1\text{-fm} \\
 & \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A \Rightarrow z \in A \Rightarrow \\
 & Q(x, y) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{Cons}(z, \text{Cons}(y, \text{Cons}(x, env)))) \models Q2\text{-fm}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

asms: $nth(i, env) = B$ $i \in \text{nat}$ $env \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

$$\text{univalent}(\#\#A, B, Q) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models ?ufm(i)$$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $univalent\text{-fm_type} [TC]: q1 \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow q2 \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow i \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow$
 $univalent\text{-fm}(q2, q1, i) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $sats\text{-univalent_fm} :$

assumes

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q\text{-iff-sats}: & \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A \Rightarrow z \in A \Rightarrow \\
 & Q(x, z) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{Cons}(z, \text{Cons}(y, \text{Cons}(x, env)))) \models Q1\text{-fm} \\
 & \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \Rightarrow y \in A \Rightarrow z \in A \Rightarrow \\
 & Q(x, y) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{Cons}(z, \text{Cons}(y, \text{Cons}(x, env)))) \models Q2\text{-fm}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

asms: $nth(i, env) = B$ $i \in \text{nat}$ $env \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

$A, env \models univalent_fm(Q1_fm, Q2_fm, i) \longleftrightarrow univalent(\#\#A, B, Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$swap_vars :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$swap_vars(\varphi) \equiv$

$Exists(Exists(And(Equal(0,3), And(Equal(1,2), iterates(\lambda p. incr_bv(p) '2 , 2, \varphi))))))$

lemma $swap_vars_type[TC] :$

$\varphi \in formula \implies swap_vars(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_swap_vars :$

$[x,y] @ env \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$
 $M, [x,y] @ env \models swap_vars(\varphi) \longleftrightarrow M, [y,x] @ env \models \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$univalent_Q1 :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$univalent_Q1(\varphi) \equiv incr_bv1(swap_vars(\varphi))$

definition

$univalent_Q2 :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$univalent_Q2(\varphi) \equiv incr_bv(swap_vars(\varphi)) '0$

lemma $univalent_Qs_type [TC]:$

assumes $\varphi \in formula$
shows $univalent_Q1(\varphi) \in formula$ $univalent_Q2(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_univalent_fm_assm:$

assumes

$x \in A$ $y \in A$ $z \in A$ $env \in list(A)$ $\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(A, ([x,z] @ env) \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models (univalent_Q1(\varphi))$
 $(A, ([x,y] @ env) \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models (univalent_Q2(\varphi))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$rep_body_fm :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$rep_body_fm(p) \equiv Forall(Implies($

$univalent_fm(univalent_Q1(incr_bv(p) '2), univalent_Q2(incr_bv(p) '2), 0),$

$Exists(Forall($

$Iff(Member(0,1), Exists(And(Member(0,3), incr_bv(incr_bv(p) '2) '2))))))$

lemma $rep_body_fm_type [TC]: p \in formula \implies rep_body_fm(p) \in formula$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemmas ZF_replacement_simps = formula_add_params1[of φ 2 _ M [_,_] ]
  sats_incr_bv_iff[of _ _ M _ []] — simplifies iterates of  $\lambda x. incr\_bv(x) \cdot 0$ 
  sats_incr_bv_iff[of _ _ M _ [_,_]]— simplifies  $\lambda x. incr\_bv(x) \cdot 2$ 
  sats_incr_bv1_iff[of _ _ M] sats_swap_vars for φ M

lemma sats_rep_body_fm:
assumes
   $\varphi \in formula$  ms ∈ list(M) rest ∈ list(M)
shows
   $M, rest @ ms \models rep\_body\_fm(\varphi) \leftrightarrow$ 
    strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda x y. M, [x,y]$  @ rest @ ms  $\models \varphi$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  ZF_replacement_fm :: i ⇒ i where
  ZF_replacement_fm(p) ≡ Forall^(pred(pred(arity(p))))(rep_body_fm(p))

lemma ZF_replacement_fm_type [TC]: p ∈ formula ⇒ ZF_replacement_fm(p) ∈
formula
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_ZF_replacement_fm_iff:
assumes
   $\varphi \in formula$ 
shows
   $(M, [] \models (ZF\_replacement\_fm(\varphi))) \leftrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall env \in list(M). arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env) \rightarrow$ 
    strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda x y. M, [x,y]$  @ env  $\models \varphi$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  ZF_inf :: i where
  ZF_inf ≡ {ZF_separation_fm(p) . p ∈ formula} ∪ {ZF_replacement_fm(p) . p ∈
formula}

lemma Un_subset_formula: A ⊆ formula ∧ B ⊆ formula ⇒ A ∪ B ⊆ formula
⟨proof⟩

lemma ZF_inf_subset_formula : ZF_inf ⊆ formula
⟨proof⟩

definition
  ZFC :: i where
  ZFC ≡ ZF_inf ∪ ZFC_fin

definition
  ZF :: i where

```

$ZF \equiv ZF_inf \cup ZF_fin$

definition

$ZF_minus_P :: i$ **where**
 $ZF_minus_P \equiv ZF - \{ ZF_power_fm \}$

lemma $ZFC_subset_formula$: $ZFC \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Satisfaction of a set of sentences

definition

$satT :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o$ ($_\vdash [36,36] 60$) **where**
 $A \models \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi \in \Phi. (A, \square \models \varphi)$

lemma $satTI$ [*intro!*]:

assumes $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in \Phi \implies A, \square \models \varphi$
shows $A \models \Phi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $satTD$ [*dest*]: $A \models \Phi \implies \varphi \in \Phi \implies A, \square \models \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_ZFC_iff_sats_ZF_AC$:
 $(N \models ZFC) \longleftrightarrow (N \models ZF) \wedge (N, \square \models AC)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M_ZF_iff_M_satT$: $M_ZF(M) \longleftrightarrow (M \models ZF)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

13 Renaming of variables in internalized formulas

theory *Renaming*

imports

Nat_Miscellanea

ZF-Constructible.Formula

begin

lemma app_nm :
assumes $n \in nat$ $m \in nat$ $f \in n \rightarrow m$ $x \in nat$
shows $f'x \in nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

13.1 Renaming of free variables

definition

$union_fun :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $union_fun(f,g,m,p) \equiv \lambda j \in m \cup p . \text{if } j \in m \text{ then } f'j \text{ else } g'j$

```

lemma union_fun_type:
  assumes  $f \in m \rightarrow n$ 
             $g \in p \rightarrow q$ 
  shows  $\text{union\_fun}(f,g,m,p) \in m \cup p \rightarrow n \cup q$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma union_fun_action :
  assumes
     $env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
     $env' \in \text{list}(M)$ 
     $\text{length}(env) = m \cup p$ 
     $\forall i . i \in m \implies \text{nth}(f^i, env') = \text{nth}(i, env)$ 
     $\forall j . j \in p \implies \text{nth}(g^j, env') = \text{nth}(j, env)$ 
  shows  $\forall i . i \in m \cup p \implies$ 
          $\text{nth}(i, env) = \text{nth}(\text{union\_fun}(f,g,m,p)^i, env')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma id_fn_type :
  assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
  shows  $\text{id}(n) \in n \rightarrow n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma id_fn_action:
  assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$   $env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge j . j < n \implies \text{nth}(j, env) = \text{nth}(\text{id}(n)^j, env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
   $sum :: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $sum(f, g, m, n, p) \equiv \lambda j \in m \# + p . \text{if } j < m \text{ then } f^j \text{ else } (g^i(j \# - m)) \# + n$ 

lemma sum_inl:
  assumes  $m \in \text{nat}$   $n \in \text{nat}$ 
             $f \in m \rightarrow n$   $x \in m$ 
  shows  $\text{sum}(f, g, m, n, p)^x = f^x$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sum_inr:
  assumes  $m \in \text{nat}$   $n \in \text{nat}$   $p \in \text{nat}$ 
             $g \in p \rightarrow q$   $m \leq x$   $x < m \# + p$ 
  shows  $\text{sum}(f, g, m, n, p)^x = g^i(x \# - m) \# + n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sum_action :
  assumes  $m \in \text{nat}$   $n \in \text{nat}$   $p \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{nat}$ 

```

```

 $f \in m \rightarrow n$   $g \in p \rightarrow q$ 
 $env \in list(M)$ 
 $env' \in list(M)$ 
 $env1 \in list(M)$ 
 $env2 \in list(M)$ 
 $length(env) = m$ 
 $length(env1) = p$ 
 $length(env') = n$ 
 $\wedge i . i < m \implies nth(i, env) = nth(f^i, env')$ 
 $\wedge j . j < p \implies nth(j, env1) = nth(g^j, env2)$ 
shows  $\forall i . i < m\# + p \implies$ 
 $nth(i, env @ env1) = nth(sum(f, g, m, n, p) ^i, env' @ env2)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum_type :
assumes  $m \in nat$   $n \in nat$   $p \in nat$   $q \in nat$ 
 $f \in m \rightarrow n$   $g \in p \rightarrow q$ 
shows  $sum(f, g, m, n, p) \in (m\# + p) \rightarrow (n\# + q)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum_type_id :
assumes
 $f \in length(env) \rightarrow length(env')$ 
 $env \in list(M)$ 
 $env' \in list(M)$ 
 $env1 \in list(M)$ 
shows
 $sum(f, id(length(env1)), length(env), length(env'), length(env1)) \in$ 
 $(length(env)\# + length(env1)) \rightarrow (length(env')\# + length(env1))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum_type_id_aux2 :
assumes
 $f \in m \rightarrow n$ 
 $m \in nat$   $n \in nat$ 
 $env1 \in list(M)$ 
shows
 $sum(f, id(length(env1)), m, n, length(env1)) \in$ 
 $(m\# + length(env1)) \rightarrow (n\# + length(env1))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma sum_action_id :
assumes
 $env \in list(M)$ 
 $env' \in list(M)$ 
 $f \in length(env) \rightarrow length(env')$ 
 $env1 \in list(M)$ 
 $\wedge i . i < length(env) \implies nth(i, env) = nth(f^i, env')$ 
shows  $\wedge i . i < length(env)\# + length(env1) \implies$ 

```

$\text{nth}(i, \text{env} @ \text{env1}) = \text{nth}(\text{sum}(f, \text{id}(\text{length}(\text{env1})), \text{length}(\text{env}), \text{length}(\text{env}'), \text{length}(\text{env1})), i, \text{env}' @ \text{env1})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma sum_action_id_aux :
assumes
   $f \in m \rightarrow n$ 
   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
   $\text{env}' \in \text{list}(M)$ 
   $\text{env1} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
   $\text{length}(\text{env}) = m$ 
   $\text{length}(\text{env}') = n$ 
   $\text{length}(\text{env1}) = p$ 
   $\bigwedge i . i < m \implies \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = \text{nth}(f^i, \text{env}')$ 
shows  $\bigwedge i . i < m \# + \text{length}(\text{env1}) \implies$ 
   $\text{nth}(i, \text{env} @ \text{env1}) = \text{nth}(\text{sum}(f, \text{id}(\text{length}(\text{env1})), m, n, \text{length}(\text{env1})), i, \text{env}' @ \text{env1})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

definition

```

sum_id ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
sum_id( $m, f$ )  $\equiv \text{sum}(\lambda x \in 1 . x, f, 1, 1, m)$ 

```

```

lemma sum_id0 :  $m \in \text{nat} \implies \text{sum\_id}(m, f) \cdot 0 = 0$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sum_ids :  $p \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{nat} \implies f \in p \rightarrow q \implies x \in p \implies \text{sum\_id}(p, f) \cdot (\text{succ}(x))$ 
 $= \text{succ}(f^x)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sum_id_tc_aux :
   $p \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{nat} \implies f \in p \rightarrow q \implies \text{sum\_id}(p, f) \in 1 \# + p \rightarrow 1 \# + q$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sum_id_tc :
   $n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies f \in n \rightarrow m \implies \text{sum\_id}(n, f) \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \text{succ}(m)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

13.2 Renaming of formulas

```
consts ren ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
```

```
primrec
```

```

ren(Member( $x, y$ )) =
   $(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat} . \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m . \text{Member}(f^x, f^y))$ 

```

```

ren(Equal( $x, y$ )) =
   $(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat} . \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m . \text{Equal}(f^x, f^y))$ 

```

```

ren(Nand( $p, q$ )) =
   $(\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat} . \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m . \text{Nand}(\text{ren}(p) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f, \text{ren}(q) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f))$ 

```

```

ren(Forall(p)) =
  ( $\lambda n \in \text{nat} . \lambda m \in \text{nat} . \lambda f \in n \rightarrow m . \text{Forall } (\text{ren}(p) \cdot \text{'succ}(n) \cdot \text{'succ}(m) \cdot \text{'sum\_id}(n,f)))$ 

lemma arity_meml :  $l \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Member}(x,y) \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\text{Member}(x,y)) \leq l \implies x \in l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma arity_memr :  $l \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Member}(x,y) \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\text{Member}(x,y)) \leq l \implies y \in l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma arity.eql :  $l \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Equal}(x,y) \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\text{Equal}(x,y)) \leq l \implies x \in l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma arity.eqr :  $l \in \text{nat} \implies \text{Equal}(x,y) \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\text{Equal}(x,y)) \leq l \implies y \in l$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma nand_ar1 :  $p \in \text{formula} \implies q \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(p) \leq \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma nand_ar2 :  $p \in \text{formula} \implies q \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(q) \leq \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nand_ar1D :  $p \in \text{formula} \implies q \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q)) \leq n \implies \text{arity}(p) \leq n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma nand_ar2D :  $p \in \text{formula} \implies q \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q)) \leq n \implies \text{arity}(q) \leq n$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ren_tc :  $p \in \text{formula} \implies (\bigwedge n m f . n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies f \in n \rightarrow m \implies \text{ren}(p) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f \in \text{formula})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_ren :
  fixes p
  assumes p ∈ formula
  shows  $\bigwedge n m f . n \in \text{nat} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies f \in n \rightarrow m \implies \text{arity}(p) \leq n \implies \text{arity}(\text{ren}(p) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f) \leq m$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_forallE :  $p \in \text{formula} \implies m \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{Forall}(p)) \leq m \implies \text{arity}(p) \leq \text{succ}(m)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma env_coincidence_sum_id :
  assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat
    ρ ∈ list(A) ρ' ∈ list(A)
    f ∈ n → m

```

```


$$\bigwedge i . i < n \implies nth(i, \varrho) = nth(f^i, \varrho')$$


$$a \in A \ j \in succ(n)$$

shows  $nth(j, Cons(a, \varrho)) = nth(sum\_id(n, f)^j, Cons(a, \varrho'))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_iff_sats_ren :
  fixes  $\varphi$ 
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows  $\llbracket n \in nat ; m \in nat ; \varrho \in list(M) ; \varrho' \in list(M) ; f \in n \rightarrow m ;$ 
          $arity(\varphi) \leq n ;$ 
          $\bigwedge i . i < n \implies nth(i, \varrho) = nth(f^i, \varrho') \rrbracket \implies$ 
          $sats(M, \varphi, \varrho) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, ren(\varphi)^{n'm'f}, \varrho')$ 
⟨proof⟩

end
theory Renaming_Auto
imports
  Renaming
  ZF.Finite
  ZF.List
keywords
  rename :: thy_decl % ML
and
  simple_rename :: thy_decl % ML
and
  src
and
  tgt
abbrevs
  simple_rename =
begin

lemmas app_fun = apply_iff[THEN iffD1]
lemmas nat_succI = nat_succ_iff[THEN iffD2]
⟨ML⟩
end

```

14 Automatic relativization of terms.

```

theory Relativization
imports ZF-Constructible.Formula
  ZF-Constructible.Relative
  ZF-Constructible.Datatype_absolute
keywords
  relativize :: thy_decl % ML
and
  relativize_tm :: thy_decl % ML
and

```

```

reldb_add :: thy_decl % ML

begin
⟨ML⟩
lemmas relative_abs =
  M_trans.empty_abs
  M_trans.pair_abs
  M_trivial.cartprod_abs
  M_trans.union_abs
  M_trans.inter_abs
  M_trans.setdiff_abs
  M_trans.Union_abs
  M_trivial.cons_abs

  M_trivial.successor_abs
  M_trans.Collect_abs
  M_trans.Replace_abs
  M_trivial.lambda_abs2
  M_trans.image_abs

  M_trivial.nat_case_abs

  M_trivial.omega_abs
  M_basic.sum_abs
  M_trivial.Inl_abs
  M_trivial.Inr_abs
  M_basic.converse_abs
  M_basic.vimage_abs
  M_trans.domain_abs
  M_trans.range_abs
  M_basic.field_abs
  M_basic.apply_abs

  M_basic.composition_abs
  M_trans.restriction_abs
  M_trans.Inter_abs
  M_trivial.is_funspace_abs
  M_trivial.bool_of_o_abs
  M_trivial.not_abs
  M_trivial.and_abs
  M_trivial.or_abs
  M_trivial.Nil_abs
  M_trivial.Cons_abs

  M_trivial.list_case_abs
  M_trivial.hd_abs
  M_trivial.tl_abs

lemmas datatype_abs =

```

```

M_datatypes.list_N_abs
M_datatypes.list_abs
M_datatypes.formula_N_abs
M_datatypes.formula_abs
M_eclose.is_eclose_n_abs
M_eclose.eclose_abs
M_datatypes.length_abs
M_datatypes.nth_abs
M_trivial.Member_abs
M_trivial.Equal_abs
M_trivial.Nand_abs
M_trivial.Forall_abs
M_datatypes.depth_abs
M_datatypes.formula_case_abs

```

```

declare relative_abs[absolut]
declare datatype_abs[absolut]

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
declare relative_abs[Rel]
```

```
declare datatype_abs[Rel]
```

end

15 Names and generic extensions

```

theory Names
imports
  Forcing_Data
  Interface
  Recursion_Thms
  Relativization
  Synthetic_Definition
begin

definition
  SepReplace :: [i, i  $\Rightarrow$  i, i  $\Rightarrow$  o]  $\Rightarrow$  i where
    SepReplace(A,b,Q)  $\equiv$  {y . x  $\in$  A, y = b(x)  $\wedge$  Q(x)}

syntax
  _SepReplace :: [i, pttrn, i, o]  $\Rightarrow$  i ((1{..}/ - ∈ -, -}))
translations
  {b .. x  $\in$  A, Q}  $=>$  CONST SepReplace(A, λx. b, λx. Q)

lemma Sep_and_Replace: {b(x) .. x  $\in$  A, P(x)} = {b(x) . x  $\in$  {y  $\in$  A. P(y)}}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *SepReplace_subset* : $A \subseteq A' \implies \{b \dots x \in A, Q\} \subseteq \{b \dots x \in A', Q\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SepReplace_iff [simp]*: $y \in \{b(x) \dots x \in A, P(x)\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. y = b(x) \ \& P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SepReplace_dom_implies* :
 $(\bigwedge x . x \in A \implies b(x) = b'(x)) \implies \{b(x) \dots x \in A, Q(x)\} = \{b'(x) \dots x \in A, Q(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SepReplace_pred_implies* :
 $\forall x. Q(x) \rightarrow b(x) = b'(x) \implies \{b(x) \dots x \in A, Q(x)\} = \{b'(x) \dots x \in A, Q(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

15.1 The well-founded relation *ed*

lemma *eclose_sing* : $x \in \text{eclose}(a) \implies x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ecloseE* :
assumes $x \in \text{eclose}(A)$
shows $x \in A \vee (\exists B \in A . x \in \text{eclose}(B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eclose_singE* : $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\}) \implies x = a \vee x \in \text{eclose}(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in_eclose_sing* :
assumes $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\})$ $a \in \text{eclose}(z)$
shows $x \in \text{eclose}(\{z\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *in_dom_in_eclose* :
assumes $x \in \text{domain}(z)$
shows $x \in \text{eclose}(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

termed is the well-founded relation on which *val* is defined.

definition

$ed :: [i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $ed(x,y) \equiv x \in \text{domain}(y)$

definition

$edrel :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $edrel(A) \equiv Rrel(ed, A)$

lemma *edI[intro!]*: $t \in \text{domain}(x) \implies ed(t,x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $edD[dest!]$: $ed(t,x) \implies t \in domain(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rank_ed$:
 assumes $ed(y,x)$
 shows $succ(rank(y)) \leq rank(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $edrel_dest$ [$dest$]: $x \in edrel(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a, b \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $edrelD : x \in edrel(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \in domain(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $edrelI$ [$intro!$]: $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \in domain(y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in edrel(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $edrel_trans$: $Transset(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in domain(y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in edrel(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $domain_trans$: $Transset(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in domain(y) \implies x \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $relation_edrel : relation(edrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $field_edrel : field(edrel(A)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $edrel_sub_memrel$: $edrel(A) \subseteq trancl(Memrel(eclose(A)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $wf_edrel : wf(edrel(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ed_induction$:
 assumes $\bigwedge x. [\bigwedge y. ed(y,x) \implies Q(y)] \implies Q(x)$
 shows $Q(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dom_under_edrel_eclose$: $edrel(eclose(\{x\})) - `` \{x\} = domain(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ed_eclose : \langle y, z \rangle \in edrel(A) \implies y \in eclose(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma tr_edrel_eclose : ⟨y,z⟩ ∈ edrel(eclose({x})) ^+ ⟹ y ∈ eclose(z)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma restrict_edrel_eq :
assumes z ∈ domain(x)
shows edrel(eclose({x})) ∩ eclose({z}) × eclose({z}) = edrel(eclose({z}))
⟨proof⟩

lemma tr_edrel_subset :
assumes z ∈ domain(x)
shows tr_down(edrel(eclose({x})), z) ⊆ eclose({z})
⟨proof⟩
```

definition

```
Hv :: [i,i,i]⇒i where
Hv(P,G,x,f) ≡ { f‘y .. y ∈ domain(x), ∃ p ∈ P. ⟨y,p⟩ ∈ x ∧ p ∈ G }
```

The function *val* interprets a name in *M* according to a (generic) filter *G*. Note the definition in terms of the well-founded recursor.

definition

```
val :: [i,i,i]⇒i where
val(P,G,τ) ≡ wfrec(edrel(eclose({τ})), τ , Hv(P,G))
```

definition

```
GenExt :: [i,i,i]⇒i ([-] [71,1])
where MP[G] ≡ { val(P,G,τ). τ ∈ M }
```

abbreviation (in forcing-notion)

```
GenExt_at_P :: i⇒i⇒i ([-] [71,1])
where M[G] ≡ MP[G]
```

```
context M_ctm
begin
```

```
lemma upairM : x ∈ M ⟹ y ∈ M ⟹ {x,y} ∈ M
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma singletonM : a ∈ M ⟹ {a} ∈ M
⟨proof⟩
```

end

15.2 Values and check-names

```
context forcing_data
begin
```

definition

```

 $Hcheck :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $Hcheck(z,f) \equiv \{ \langle f'y, one \rangle . y \in z \}$ 

```

definition

```

 $check :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $check(x) \equiv transrec(x, Hcheck)$ 

```

lemma $checkD$:

```

 $check(x) = wfrec(Memrel(eclose(\{x\})), x, Hcheck)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

definition

```

 $rcheck :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $rcheck(x) \equiv Memrel(eclose(\{x\}))^+$ 

```

lemma $Hcheck_tranc1:Hcheck(y, restrict(f, Memrel(eclose(\{x\}))) - ``\{y\}))$
 $= Hcheck(y, restrict(f, (Memrel(eclose(\{x\}))^+) - ``\{y\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $check_tranc1: check(x) = wfrec(rcheck(x), x, Hcheck)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rcheck_in_M :$

```

 $x \in M \implies rcheck(x) \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma $aux_def_check: x \in y \implies$
 $wfrec(Memrel(eclose(\{y\})), x, Hcheck) =$
 $wfrec(Memrel(eclose(\{x\})), x, Hcheck)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $def_check : check(y) = \{ \langle check(w), one \rangle . w \in y \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $def_checkS :$

```

fixes n
assumes n ∈ nat
shows check(succ(n)) = check(n) ∪ {⟨check(n), one⟩}
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma $field_Memrel2 :$

```

assumes x ∈ M
shows field(Memrel(eclose(\{x\}))) ⊆ M
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma $aux_def_val:$

```

assumes z ∈ domain(x)

```

shows $wfrec(edrel(eclose(\{x\})), z, Hv(P, G)) = wfrec(edrel(eclose(\{z\})), z, Hv(P, G))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The next lemma provides the usual recursive expression for the definition of term val .

lemma def_val : $val(P, G, x) = \{val(P, G, t) \dots t \in domain(x), \exists p \in P. \langle t, p \rangle \in x \wedge p \in G\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_mono : x \subseteq y \implies val(P, G, x) \subseteq val(P, G, y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Check-names are the canonical names for elements of the ground model. Here we show that this is the case.

lemma $valcheck : one \in G \implies one \in P \implies val(P, G, check(y)) = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma val_of_name :
 $val(P, G, \{x \in A \times P. Q(x)\}) = \{val(P, G, t) \dots t \in A, \exists p \in P. Q(\langle t, p \rangle) \wedge p \in G\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_of_name_alt$:
 $val(P, G, \{x \in A \times P. Q(x)\}) = \{val(P, G, t) \dots t \in A, \exists p \in P \cap G. Q(\langle t, p \rangle)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma val_only_names : $val(P, F, \tau) = val(P, F, \{x \in \tau. \exists t \in domain(\tau). \exists p \in P. x = \langle t, p \rangle\})$
 $(is _ = val(P, F, ?name))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma val_only_pairs : $val(P, F, \tau) = val(P, F, \{x \in \tau. \exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_subset_domain_times_range$: $val(P, F, \tau) \subseteq val(P, F, domain(\tau) \times range(\tau))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_subset_domain_times_P$: $val(P, F, \tau) \subseteq val(P, F, domain(\tau) \times P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma val_of_elem : $\langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \implies p \in G \implies p \in P \implies val(P, G, \vartheta) \in val(P, G, \pi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $elem_of_val$: $x \in val(P, G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta \in domain(\pi). val(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $elem_of_val_pair$: $x \in val(P, G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta. \exists p \in G. \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge val(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $elem_of_val_pair'$:

assumes $\pi \in M$ $x \in val(P, G, \pi)$
shows $\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in G. \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge val(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GenExtD*:
 $x \in M[G] \implies \exists \tau \in M. x = val(P, G, \tau)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GenExtI*:
 $x \in M \implies val(P, G, x) \in M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Transset_MG* : *Transset*($M[G]$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *transitivity_MG* = *Transset_intf*[*OF Transset_MG*]

lemma *check_n_M* :
fixes n
assumes $n \in nat$
shows $check(n) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $PHcheck :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $PHcheck(o, f, y, p) \equiv p \in M \wedge (\exists fy[\#M]. fun_apply(\#M, f, y, fy) \wedge pair(\#M, fy, o, p))$

definition
 $is_Hcheck :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_Hcheck(o, z, f, hc) \equiv is_Replace(\#M, z, PHcheck(o, f), hc)$

lemma *one_in_M*: $one \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def_PHcheck*:
assumes
 $z \in M$ $f \in M$
shows
 $Hcheck(z, f) = Replace(z, PHcheck(one, f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $PHcheck_fm :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $PHcheck_fm(o, f, y, p) \equiv Exists(And(fun_apply_fm(succ(f), succ(y), 0),$
 $, pair_fm(0, succ(o), succ(p))))$

```

declare PHcheck_fm_def [fm_definitions]

lemma PHcheck_type [TC]:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; u \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{PHcheck\_fm}(x,y,z,u) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_PHcheck_fm [simp]:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; u \in \text{nat} ; \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{sats}(M, \text{PHcheck\_fm}(x,y,z,u), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $\text{PHcheck}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}), \text{nth}(u, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
  is_Hcheck_fm ::  $[i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  is_Hcheck_fm( $o, z, f, hc$ )  $\equiv$  Replace_fm( $z, \text{PHcheck\_fm}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(o)), \text{succ}(\text{succ}(f)), 0, 1), hc$ )

declare is_Hcheck_fm_def [fm_definitions]

lemma is_Hcheck_type [TC]:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; u \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_Hcheck\_fm}(x,y,z,u) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_is_Hcheck_fm [simp]:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; u \in \text{nat} ; \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{sats}(M, \text{is\_Hcheck\_fm}(x,y,z,u), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $\text{is\_Hcheck}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}), \text{nth}(u, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wfrec_Hcheck :
  assumes
     $X \in M$ 
  shows
    wfrec_replacement( $\#\# M, \text{is\_Hcheck}(\text{one}), \text{rcheck}(X)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma repl_PHcheck :
  assumes
     $f \in M$ 
  shows
    strong_replacement( $\#\# M, \text{PHcheck}(\text{one}, f)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma univ_PHcheck :  $\llbracket z \in M ; f \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{univalent}(\#\# M, z, \text{PHcheck}(\text{one}, f))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma relation2_Hcheck :

```

```

relation2(##M,is_Hcheck(one),Hcheck)
⟨proof⟩

lemma PHcheck_closed :
  [z ∈ M ; f ∈ M ; x ∈ z; PHcheck(one,f,x,y) ]  $\implies$  (##M)(y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Hcheck_closed :
   $\forall y \in M. \forall g \in M. function(g) \longrightarrow Hcheck(y,g) \in M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_rcheck : x ∈ M  $\implies$  wf(rcheck(x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trans_rcheck : x ∈ M  $\implies$  trans(rcheck(x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma relation_rcheck : x ∈ M  $\implies$  relation(rcheck(x))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma check_in_M : x ∈ M  $\implies$  check(x) ∈ M
  ⟨proof⟩

end

definition
  is_singleton :: [i  $\Rightarrow$  o, i, i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
    is_singleton(A, x, z)  $\equiv$   $\exists c[A]. empty(A, c) \wedge is\_cons(A, x, c, z)$ 

lemma (in M_trivial) singleton_abs[simp] : [ M(x) ; M(s) ]  $\implies$  is_singleton(M, x, s)
   $\longleftrightarrow$  s = {x}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  singleton_fm :: [i, i]  $\Rightarrow$  i where
  singleton_fm(i, j)  $\equiv$  Exists(And(empty_fm(0), cons_fm(succ(i), 0, succ(j)))))

declare singleton_fm_def[fm_definitions]

lemma singleton_type[TC] : [ x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat ]  $\implies$  singleton_fm(x, y) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_singleton_iff_sats:
  [ nth(i, env) = x; nth(j, env) = y;
    i ∈ nat; j ∈ nat ; env ∈ list(A) ]
   $\implies$  is_singleton(##A, x, y)  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(A, singleton_fm(i, j), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

context forcing_data begin

definition
  is_rcheck ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
     $is\_rcheck(x,z) \equiv \exists r \in M. tran\_closure(\#\#M,r,z) \wedge (\exists ec \in M. membership(\#\#M,ec,r)$ 
     $\wedge$ 
       $(\exists s \in M. is\_singleton(\#\#M,x,s) \wedge is\_eclose(\#\#M,s,ec)))$ 

lemma rcheck_abs[Rel] :
   $\llbracket x \in M ; r \in M \rrbracket \implies is\_rcheck(x,r) \longleftrightarrow r = rcheck(x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic_goal rcheck_fm_auto:
assumes
   $i \in nat$   $j \in nat$   $env \in list(M)$ 
shows
   $is\_rcheck(nth(i,env),nth(j,env)) \longleftrightarrow sats(M,?rch(i,j),env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

definition
  is_check ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
     $is\_check(x,z) \equiv \exists rch \in M. is\_rcheck(x,rch) \wedge is\_wfrec(\#\#M,is\_Hcheck(one),rch,x,z)$ 

lemma check_abs[Rel] :
assumes
   $x \in M$   $z \in M$ 
shows
   $is\_check(x,z) \longleftrightarrow z = check(x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  check_fm ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  [fm_definitions] :
     $check\_fm(x,o,z) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(rcheck\_fm(1\#+x,0),$ 
     $is\_wfrec\_fm(is\_Hcheck\_fm(6\#+o,2,1,0),0,1\#+x,1\#+z)))$ 

lemma check_fm_type[TC] :
   $\llbracket x \in nat; o \in nat; z \in nat \rrbracket \implies check\_fm(x,o,z) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_check_fm :
assumes
   $nth(o,env) = one$   $x \in nat$   $z \in nat$   $o \in nat$   $env \in list(M)$   $x < length(env)$   $z <$ 
   $length(env)$ 

```

shows
 $sats(M, \text{check_fm}(x, o, z), env) \longleftrightarrow is_check(nth(x, env), nth(z, env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *check-replacement*:
 $\{\text{check}(x). x \in P\} \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pair-check* : $\llbracket p \in M ; y \in M \rrbracket \implies (\exists c \in M. is_check(p, c) \wedge pair(\#M, c, p, y))$
 $\longleftrightarrow y = \langle \text{check}(p), p \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *M_subset_MG* : $one \in G \implies M \subseteq M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The name for the generic filter

definition

$G_dot :: i$ **where**
 $G_dot \equiv \{\langle \text{check}(p), p \rangle . p \in P\}$

lemma *G_dot_in_M* :
 $G_dot \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val_G_dot* :
assumes $G \subseteq P$
 $one \in G$
shows $val(P, G, G_dot) = G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *G_in_Gen_Ext* :
assumes $G \subseteq P$ **and** $one \in G$
shows $G \in M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *fst_snd_closed*: $p \in M \implies fst(p) \in M \wedge snd(p) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *G_generic* = *forcing_data* +
fixes $G :: i$
assumes *generic* : $M_generic(G)$
begin

```

lemma zero_in_MG :
  0 ∈ M[G]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma G_nonempty: G ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

16 Well-founded relation on names

theory FrecR imports Names Synthetic_Definition begin

lemmas sep_rules' = nth_0 nth_ConsI FOL_iff_sats function_iff_sats
 fun_plus_iff_sats omega_iff_sats FOL_sats_iff

frecR is the well-founded relation on names that allows us to define forcing for atomic formulas.

definition

is_hcomp :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_hcomp(M, is_f, is_g, a, w) \equiv \exists z[M]. is_g(a, z) \wedge is_f(z, w)$

lemma (in M_trivial) hcomp_abs:

assumes

is_f_abs: $\bigwedge a z. M(a) \Rightarrow M(z) \Rightarrow is_f(a, z) \leftrightarrow z = f(a)$ **and**
 is_g_abs: $\bigwedge a z. M(a) \Rightarrow M(z) \Rightarrow is_g(a, z) \leftrightarrow z = g(a)$ **and**
 g_closed: $\bigwedge a. M(a) \Rightarrow M(g(a))$
 $M(a) M(w)$

shows

$is_hcomp(M, is_f, is_g, a, w) \leftrightarrow w = f(g(a))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

hcomp_fm :: $[i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $hcomp_fm(pf, pg, a, w) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(pg(\text{succ}(a), 0), pf(0, \text{succ}(w))))$

lemma sats_hcomp_fm:

assumes

f_iff_sats: $\bigwedge a b z. a \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow b \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow z \in M \Rightarrow$
 $is_f(nth(a, \text{Cons}(z, env)), nth(b, \text{Cons}(z, env))) \leftrightarrow sats(M, pf(a, b), \text{Cons}(z, env))$

and

g_iff_sats: $\bigwedge a b z. a \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow b \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow z \in M \Rightarrow$
 $is_g(nth(a, \text{Cons}(z, env)), nth(b, \text{Cons}(z, env))) \leftrightarrow sats(M, pg(a, b), \text{Cons}(z, env))$

and

$a \in \text{nat} w \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M)$

shows

$sats(M, hcomp_fm(pf, pg, a, w), env) \leftrightarrow is_hcomp(\#\# M, is_f, is_g, nth(a, env), nth(w, env))$
 ⟨proof⟩

```

definition
  ftype ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    ftype ≡ fst

definition
  name1 ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    name1(x) ≡ fst(snd(x))

definition
  name2 ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    name2(x) ≡ fst(snd(snd(x)))

definition
  cond_of ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    cond_of(x) ≡ snd(snd(snd((x)))))

lemma components_simp:
  ftype(⟨f, n1, n2, c⟩) = f
  name1(⟨f, n1, n2, c⟩) = n1
  name2(⟨f, n1, n2, c⟩) = n2
  cond_of(⟨f, n1, n2, c⟩) = c
  ⟨proof⟩

definition eclose_n ::  $[i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  eclose_n(name, x) = eclose({name(x)})

definition
  ecloseN ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    ecloseN(x) = eclose_n(name1, x) ∪ eclose_n(name2, x)

lemma components_in_eclose :
  n1 ∈ ecloseN(⟨f, n1, n2, c⟩)
  n2 ∈ ecloseN(⟨f, n1, n2, c⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas names_simp = components_simp(2) components_simp(3)

lemma ecloseNI1 :
  assumes x ∈ eclose(n1) ∨ x ∈ eclose(n2)
  shows x ∈ ecloseN(⟨f, n1, n2, c⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas ecloseNI = ecloseNI1

lemma ecloseN_mono :
  assumes u ∈ ecloseN(x) name1(x) ∈ ecloseN(y) name2(x) ∈ ecloseN(y)

```

shows $u \in \text{ecloseN}(y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_fst} :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_fst}(M, x, t) \equiv (\exists z[M]. \text{pair}(M, t, z, x)) \vee$
 $(\neg(\exists z[M]. \exists w[M]. \text{pair}(M, w, z, x)) \wedge \text{empty}(M, t))$

definition

$\text{fst_fm} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{fst_fm}(x, t) \equiv \text{Or}(\text{Exists}(\text{pair_fm}(\text{succ}(t), 0, \text{succ}(x))),$
 $\text{And}(\text{Neg}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{pair_fm}(0, 1, 2 \#+ x)))), \text{empty_fm}(t)))$

lemma $\text{sats_fst_fm} :$

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{fst_fm}(x, y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{is_fst}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_ftype} :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_ftype} \equiv \text{is_fst}$

definition

$\text{ftype_fm} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{ftype_fm} \equiv \text{fst_fm}$

lemma $\text{is_ftype_iff_sats}:$

assumes
 $\text{nth}(a, \text{env}) = aa \quad \text{nth}(b, \text{env}) = bb \quad a \in \text{nat} \quad b \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$
shows
 $\text{is_ftype}(\#\#A, aa, bb) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{ftype_fm}(a, b), \text{env})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_snd} :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_snd}(M, x, t) \equiv (\exists z[M]. \text{pair}(M, z, t, x)) \vee$
 $(\neg(\exists z[M]. \exists w[M]. \text{pair}(M, z, w, x)) \wedge \text{empty}(M, t))$

definition

$\text{snd_fm} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{snd_fm}(x, t) \equiv \text{Or}(\text{Exists}(\text{pair_fm}(0, \text{succ}(t), \text{succ}(x))),$
 $\text{And}(\text{Neg}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{pair_fm}(1, 0, 2 \#+ x)))), \text{empty_fm}(t)))$

lemma $\text{sats_snd_fm} :$

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$

$\implies sats(A, snd_fm(x,y), env) \longleftrightarrow$
 $is_snd(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_name1 :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_name1(M,x,t2) \equiv is_hcomp(M, is_fst(M), is_snd(M), x, t2)$

definition

$name1_fm :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $name1_fm(x,t) \equiv hcomp_fm(fst_fm, snd_fm, x, t)$

lemma $sats_name1_fm :$

$\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies sats(A, name1_fm(x,y), env) \longleftrightarrow$
 $is_name1(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is_name1_iff_sats:$

assumes
 $nth(a,env) = aa$ $nth(b,env) = bb$ $a \in nat$ $b \in nat$ $env \in list(A)$
shows
 $is_name1(\#\#A, aa, bb) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, name1_fm(a,b), env)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_snd_snd :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_snd_snd(M,x,t) \equiv is_hcomp(M, is_snd(M), is_snd(M), x, t)$

definition

$snd_snd_fm :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $snd_snd_fm(x,t) \equiv hcomp_fm(snd_fm, snd_fm, x, t)$

lemma $sats_snd2_fm :$

$\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies sats(A, snd_snd_fm(x,y), env) \longleftrightarrow$
 $is_snd_snd(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_name2 :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_name2(M,x,t3) \equiv is_hcomp(M, is_fst(M), is_snd_snd(M), x, t3)$

definition

$name2_fm :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $name2_fm(x,t3) \equiv hcomp_fm(fst_fm, snd_snd_fm, x, t3)$

lemma $sats_name2_fm :$

$\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket$

```

 $\implies sats(A, name2\_fm(x,y), env) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $is\_name2(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_name2_iff_sats:
assumes
 $nth(a,env) = aa \ nth(b,env) = bb \ a \in nat \ b \in nat \ env \in list(A)$ 
shows
 $is\_name2(\#\#A,aa,bb) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, name2\_fm(a,b), env)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
is_cond_of ::  $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
 $is\_cond\_of(M,x,t4) \equiv is\_hcomp(M, is\_snd(M), is\_snd\_snd(M), x, t4)$ 

definition
cond_of_fm ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
 $cond\_of\_fm(x,t4) \equiv hcomp\_fm(snd\_fm, snd\_snd\_fm, x, t4)$ 

lemma sats_cond_of_fm :
 $\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies sats(A, cond\_of\_fm(x,y), env) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $is\_cond\_of(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_cond_of_iff_sats:
assumes
 $nth(a,env) = aa \ nth(b,env) = bb \ a \in nat \ b \in nat \ env \in list(A)$ 
shows
 $is\_cond\_of(\#\#A,aa,bb) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, cond\_of\_fm(a,b), env)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma components_type[TC] :
assumes  $a \in nat \ b \in nat$ 
shows
 $f\!t\!y\!p\!e\_f\!m(a,b) \in formula$ 
 $n\!a\!m\!e\!1\_f\!m(a,b) \in formula$ 
 $n\!a\!m\!e\!2\_f\!m(a,b) \in formula$ 
 $c\!o\!n\!d\!_o\!f\!_f\!m(a,b) \in formula$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas components_iff_sats = is_fstype_iff_sats is_name1_iff_sats is_name2_iff_sats
is_cond_of_iff_sats

lemmas components_defs = fst_fm_def fstype_fm_def snd_fm_def snd_snd_fm_def hcomp_fm_def
name1_fm_def name2_fm_def cond_of_fm_def

definition
is_eclose_n ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where

```

is_eclose_n($N, \text{is_name}, en, t$) \equiv
 $\exists n1[N]. \exists s1[N]. \text{is_name}(N, t, n1) \wedge \text{is_singleton}(N, n1, s1) \wedge \text{is_eclose}(N, s1, en)$

definition

eclose_n1_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{eclose_n1_fm}(m, t) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{And}(\text{name1_fm}(t\#+2, 0), \text{singleton_fm}(0, 1)), \text{is_eclose_fm}(1, m\#+2))))$

definition

eclose_n2_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{eclose_n2_fm}(m, t) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{And}(\text{name2_fm}(t\#+2, 0), \text{singleton_fm}(0, 1)), \text{is_eclose_fm}(1, m\#+2))))$

definition

is_ecloseN :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_ecloseN}(N, en, t) \equiv \exists en1[N]. \exists en2[N].$
 $\quad \text{is_eclose_n}(N, \text{is_name1}, en1, t) \wedge \text{is_eclose_n}(N, \text{is_name2}, en2, t) \wedge$
 $\quad \text{union}(N, en1, en2, en)$

definition

ecloseN_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{ecloseN_fm}(en, t) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{eclose_n1_fm}(1, t\#+2),$
 $\quad \text{And}(\text{eclose_n2_fm}(0, t\#+2), \text{union_fm}(1, 0, en\#+2))))$

lemma *ecloseN_fm_type* [TC] :

$\llbracket en \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{ecloseN_fm}(en, t) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_ecloseN_fm* [simp]:

$\llbracket en \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} ; env \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{ecloseN_fm}(en, t), env) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_ecloseN}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(en, env), \text{nth}(t, env))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

frecR :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{frecR}(x, y) \equiv$
 $\quad (\text{ftype}(x) = 1 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 0$
 $\quad \wedge (\text{name1}(x) \in \text{domain}(\text{name1}(y)) \cup \text{domain}(\text{name2}(y)) \wedge (\text{name2}(x) =$
 $\quad \text{name1}(y) \vee \text{name2}(x) = \text{name2}(y))))$
 $\quad \vee (\text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 1 \wedge \text{name1}(x) = \text{name1}(y) \wedge \text{name2}(x) \in$
 $\quad \text{domain}(\text{name2}(y))))$

lemma *frecR_ftypeD* :

assumes *frecR*(x, y)
shows $(\text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 1) \vee (\text{ftype}(x) = 1 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frecRI1*: $s \in \text{domain}(n1) \vee s \in \text{domain}(n2) \implies \text{frecR}(\langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle, \langle 0,$

$n1, n2, q')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI1'$: $s \in domain(n1) \cup domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI2$: $s \in domain(n1) \vee s \in domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI2'$: $s \in domain(n1) \cup domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI3$: $\langle s, r \rangle \in n2 \implies frecR(\langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle, \langle 1, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI3'$: $s \in domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle, \langle 1, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecR_iff$:
 $frecR(x, y) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(ftype(x) = 1 \wedge ftype(y) = 0 \wedge (name1(x) \in domain(name1(y)) \cup domain(name2(y)) \wedge (name2(x) = name1(y) \vee name2(x) = name2(y))))$
 $\vee (ftype(x) = 0 \wedge ftype(y) = 1 \wedge name1(x) = name1(y) \wedge name2(x) \in domain(name2(y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecR_D1$:
 $frecR(x, y) \implies ftype(y) = 0 \implies ftype(x) = 1 \wedge$
 $(name1(x) \in domain(name1(y)) \cup domain(name2(y)) \wedge (name2(x) = name1(y) \vee name2(x) = name2(y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecR_D2$:
 $frecR(x, y) \implies ftype(y) = 1 \implies ftype(x) = 0 \wedge$
 $ftype(x) = 0 \wedge ftype(y) = 1 \wedge name1(x) = name1(y) \wedge name2(x) \in domain(name2(y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecR_DI$:
assumes $frecR(\langle a, b, c, d \rangle, \langle ftype(y), name1(y), name2(y), cond_of(y) \rangle)$
shows $frecR(\langle a, b, c, d \rangle, y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition
  is_frecR ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    is_frecR( $M, x, y$ )  $\equiv \exists ftx[M]. \exists n1x[M]. \exists n2x[M]. \exists fty[M]. \exists n1y[M]. \exists n2y[M].$ 
     $\exists dn1[M]. \exists dn2[M].$ 
     $is\_ftype(M, x, ftx) \wedge is\_name1(M, x, n1x) \wedge is\_name2(M, x, n2x) \wedge$ 
     $is\_ftype(M, y, fty) \wedge is\_name1(M, y, n1y) \wedge is\_name2(M, y, n2y)$ 
     $\wedge is\_domain(M, n1y, dn1) \wedge is\_domain(M, n2y, dn2) \wedge$ 
     $(number1(M, ftx) \wedge empty(M, fty) \wedge (n1x \in dn1 \vee n1x \in dn2) \wedge (n2x$ 
     $= n1y \vee n2x = n2y))$ 
     $\vee (empty(M, ftx) \wedge number1(M, fty) \wedge n1x = n1y \wedge n2x \in dn2))$ 

schematic_goal sats_frecR_fm_auto:
assumes
   $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A) \ nth(i, env) = a \ nth(j, env) = b$ 
shows
   $is\_frecR(\#\#A, a, b) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?fr\_fm(i, j), env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma eq_ftypep_not_frecrR:
assumes  $ftype(x) = ftype(y)$ 
shows  $\neg frecR(x, y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  rank_names ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $rank\_names(x) \equiv max(rank(name1(x)), rank(name2(x)))$ 

lemma rank_names_types [TC]:
shows  $Ord(rank\_names(x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  mtype_form ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $mtype\_form(x) \equiv if rank(name1(x)) < rank(name2(x)) then 0 else 2$ 

definition
  type_form ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $type\_form(x) \equiv if ftype(x) = 0 then 1 else mtype\_form(x)$ 

lemma type_form_tc [TC]:
shows  $type\_form(x) \in \beta$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma frecR_le_rnk_names :
assumes  $frecR(x, y)$ 

```

shows $\text{rank_names}(x) \leq \text{rank_names}(y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\Gamma :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $\Gamma(x) = \exists ** \text{rank_names}(x) ++ \text{type_form}(x)$

lemma $\Gamma\text{-type} [TC]$:
shows $\text{Ord}(\Gamma(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\Gamma\text{-mono} :$
assumes $\text{freqR}(x,y)$
shows $\Gamma(x) < \Gamma(y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{frecrel} :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $\text{frecrel}(A) \equiv \text{Rrel}(\text{freqR}, A)$

lemma $\text{frecrelI} :$
assumes $x \in A \ y \in A \ \text{freqR}(x,y)$
shows $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{frecrelD} :$
assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3 \times A_4)$
shows $\text{ftype}(x) \in A_1 \ \text{ftype}(x) \in A_1$
 $\text{name}_1(x) \in A_2 \ \text{name}_1(y) \in A_2 \ \text{name}_2(x) \in A_3 \ \text{name}_2(x) \in A_3$
 $\text{cond_of}(x) \in A_4 \ \text{cond_of}(y) \in A_4$
 $\text{freqR}(x,y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{wf_frecrel} :$
shows $\text{wf}(\text{frecrel}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{core_induction_aux}:$
fixes $A_1 \ A_2 :: i$
assumes
 $\text{Transset}(A_1)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. \ p \in A_2 \implies [\bigwedge q \sigma. [\ q \in A_2 ; \sigma \in \text{domain}(\vartheta)] \implies Q(0, \tau, \sigma, q)] \implies$
 $Q(1, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. \ p \in A_2 \implies [\bigwedge q \sigma. [\ q \in A_2 ; \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta)] \implies$
 $Q(1, \sigma, \tau, q) \wedge Q(1, \sigma, \vartheta, q)] \implies Q(0, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
shows $a \in 2 \times A_1 \times A_1 \times A_2 \implies Q(\text{ftype}(a), \text{name}_1(a), \text{name}_2(a), \text{cond_of}(a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma def_frecrel : frecrel(A) = {z ∈ A × A. ∃ x y. z = ⟨x, y⟩ ∧ freqR(x,y)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frecrel_fst_snd:
  frecrel(A) = {z ∈ A × A .
    ftype(fst(z)) = 1 ∧
    ftype(snd(z)) = 0 ∧ name1(fst(z)) ∈ domain(name1(snd(z))) ∪ do-
    main(name2(snd(z))) ∧
    (name2(fst(z)) = name1(snd(z)) ∨ name2(fst(z)) = name2(snd(z)))
    ∨ (ftype(fst(z)) = 0 ∧
    ftype(snd(z)) = 1 ∧ name1(fst(z)) = name1(snd(z)) ∧ name2(fst(z)) ∈
    domain(name2(snd(z))))}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

17 Arities of internalized formulas

```

theory Arities
  imports FreqR
begin

lemma arity_upair_fm : [[ t1 ∈ nat ; t2 ∈ nat ; up ∈ nat ]] ==>
  arity(upair_fm(t1,t2,up)) = ∪ {succ(t1),succ(t2),succ(up)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_pair_fm : [[ t1 ∈ nat ; t2 ∈ nat ; p ∈ nat ]] ==>
  arity(pair_fm(t1,t2,p)) = ∪ {succ(t1),succ(t2),succ(p)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_composition_fm :
  [[ r ∈ nat ; s ∈ nat ; t ∈ nat ]] ==> arity(composition_fm(r,s,t)) = ∪ {succ(r),
  succ(s), succ(t)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_domain_fm :
  [[ r ∈ nat ; z ∈ nat ]] ==> arity(domain_fm(r,z)) = succ(r) ∪ succ(z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_range_fm :
  [[ r ∈ nat ; z ∈ nat ]] ==> arity(range_fm(r,z)) = succ(r) ∪ succ(z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_union_fm :
  [[ x ∈ nat ; y ∈ nat ; z ∈ nat ]] ==> arity(union_fm(x,y,z)) = ∪ {succ(x), succ(y),
  succ(z)}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma arity_image_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{image\_fm}(x, y, z)) = \bigcup \{\text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y), \text{succ}(z)\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_pre_image_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{pre\_image\_fm}(x, y, z)) = \bigcup \{\text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y), \text{succ}(z)\}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_big_union_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{big\_union\_fm}(x, y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_fun_apply_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; f \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{fun\_apply\_fm}(f, x, y)) = \text{succ}(f) \cup \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_field_fm :
   $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{field\_fm}(r, z)) = \text{succ}(r) \cup \text{succ}(z)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_empty_fm :
   $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{empty\_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_succ_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{succ\_fm}(x, y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma number1arity_fm :
   $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{number1\_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_function_fm :
   $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{function\_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_relation_fm :
   $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{relation\_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_restriction_fm :
   $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} ; A \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{restriction\_fm}(A, z, r)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(r)$ 

```

$\cup \ succ(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_typed_function_fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; f \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{arity}(\text{typed_function_fm}(f, x, y)) = \bigcup \ \{\text{succ}(f), \text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_subset_fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{subset_fm}(x, y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_transset_fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{transset_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_ordinal_fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{ordinal_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_limit_ordinal_fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{limit_ordinal_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_finite_ordinal_fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{finite_ordinal_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_omega_fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{omega_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_cartprod_fm* :
 $\llbracket A \in \text{nat} ; B \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{cartprod_fm}(A, B, z)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(B)$
 $\cup \ succ(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity fst fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{fst_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity snd fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{snd_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity snd snd fm* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{snd_snd_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma arity_ftype_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{ftype\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma name1arity_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{name1\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma name2arity_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{name2\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_cond_of_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{cond\_of\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_singleton_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{singleton\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_Memrel_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{Memrel\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_quasinat_fm :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{quasinat\_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_is_recfun_fm :
   $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; Z \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{is\_recfun\_fm}(p, v, n, Z)) = \text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(Z) \cup \text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(i))))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_is_wfrec_fm :
   $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; Z \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{is\_wfrec\_fm}(p, v, n, Z)) = \text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(Z) \cup \text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(i))))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_is_nat_case_fm :
   $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; Z \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{is\_nat\_case\_fm}(v, p, n, Z)) = \text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(Z) \cup \text{pred}(\text{pred}(i))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_iterates_MH_fm :
  assumes  $\text{isF} \in \text{formula}$   $v \in \text{nat}$   $n \in \text{nat}$   $g \in \text{nat}$   $z \in \text{nat}$   $i \in \text{nat}$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{isF}) = i$ 
  shows  $\text{arity}(\text{iterates\_MH\_fm}(\text{isF}, v, n, g, z)) =$ 
     $\text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup \text{succ}(g) \cup \text{succ}(z) \cup \text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(i)))$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_is_iterates_fm :
  assumes p∈formula v∈nat n∈nat Z∈nat i∈nat
    arity(p) = i
  shows arity(is_iterates_fm(p,v,n,Z)) = succ(v) ∪ succ(n) ∪ succ(Z) ∪
    pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(i)))))))))))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_eclose_n_fm :
  assumes A∈nat x∈nat t∈nat
  shows arity(eclose_n_fm(A,x,t)) = succ(A) ∪ succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_mem_eclose_fm :
  assumes x∈nat t∈nat
  shows arity(mem_eclose_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_is_eclose_fm :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(is_eclose_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eclose_n1arity_fm :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(eclose_n1_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eclose_n2arity_fm :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(eclose_n2_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_ecloseN_fm :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(ecloseN_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_frecR_fm :
  [a∈nat;b∈nat] ⇒ arity(frecR_fm(a,b)) = succ(a) ∪ succ(b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_Collect_fm :
  assumes x ∈ nat y ∈ nat p∈formula
  shows arity(Collect_fm(x,p,y)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(y) ∪ pred(arity(p))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

18 The definition of forces

```

theory Forces_Definition imports Arities FrecR Synthetic_Definition begin

```

This is the core of our development.

18.1 The relation *frecrel*

definition

frecrelP :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $frecrelP(M, xy) \equiv (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, xy) \wedge is_frecR(M, x, y))$

definition

frecrelP_fm :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frecrelP_fm(a) \equiv Exists(Exists(And(pair_fm(1, 0, a\# + 2), freqR_fm(1, 0))))$

lemma *arity_frecrelP_fm* :

$a \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(frecrelP_fm(a)) = \text{succ}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frecrelP_fm_type[TC]* :

$a \in \text{nat} \implies frecrelP_fm(a) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_frecrelP_fm* :

assumes $a \in \text{nat}$ $env \in \text{list}(A)$
shows $sats(A, frecrelP_fm(a), env) \longleftrightarrow frecrelP(\#\# A, nth(a, env))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frecrelP_iff_sats*:

assumes
 $nth(a, env) = aa$ $a \in \text{nat}$ $env \in \text{list}(A)$
shows
 $frecrelP(\#\# A, aa) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, frecrelP_fm(a), env)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

is_frecrel :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_frecrel(M, A, r) \equiv \exists A2[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, A, A, A2) \wedge is_Collect(M, A2, frecrelP(M), r)$

definition

frecrel_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frecrel_fm(a, r) \equiv Exists(And(\text{cartprod_fm}(a\# + 1, a\# + 1, 0), \text{Collect_fm}(0, frecrelP_fm(0), r\# + 1)))$

lemma *frecrel_fm_type[TC]* :

$\llbracket a \in \text{nat}; b \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies frecrel_fm(a, b) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_frecrel_fm* :

assumes $a \in \text{nat}$ $b \in \text{nat}$
shows $\text{arity}(frecrel_fm(a, b)) = \text{succ}(a) \cup \text{succ}(b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma sats_frecrel_fm :
assumes
  a ∈ nat  r ∈ nat  env ∈ list(A)
shows
  sats(A,frecrel_fm(a,r),env)
  ⇔ is_frecrel(##A,nth(a, env),nth(r, env))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_frecrel_iff_sats:
assumes
  nth(a,env) = aa  nth(r,env) = rr  a ∈ nat  r ∈ nat  env ∈ list(A)
shows
  is_frecrel(##A, aa,rr) ⇔ sats(A, frecrel_fm(a,r), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  names_below :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i where
  names_below(P,x) ≡ 2 × ecloseN(x) × ecloseN(x) × P

lemma names_bellowD:
assumes x ∈ names_below(P,z)
obtains f n1 n2 p where
  x = ⟨f,n1,n2,p⟩ f ∈ 2 n1 ∈ ecloseN(z) n2 ∈ ecloseN(z) p ∈ P
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  is_names_below :: [i ⇒ o, i, i] ⇒ o where
  is_names_below(M,P,x,nb) ≡ ∃ p1[M]. ∃ p0[M]. ∃ t[M]. ∃ ec[M].
    is_ecloseN(M,ec,x) ∧ number2(M,t) ∧ cartprod(M,ec,P,p0) ∧ cartprod(M,ec,p0,p1)
    ∧ cartprod(M,t,p1,nb)

definition
  number2_fm :: i ⇒ i where
  number2_fm(a) ≡ Exists(And(number1_fm(0), succ_fm(0,succ(a)))))

lemma number2_fm_type[TC]:
  a ∈ nat ⇒ number2_fm(a) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma number2arity_fm :
  a ∈ nat ⇒ arity(number2_fm(a)) = succ(a)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_number2_fm [simp]:
  [x ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)]
  ⇒ sats(A, number2_fm(x), env) ⇔ number2(##A, nth(x,env))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_names_below_fm :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $is_names_below_fm(P,x,nb) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(And(ecloseN_fm(0,x \#+ 4), And(number2_fm(1),
And(cartprod_fm(0,P \#+ 4,2), And(cartprod_fm(0,2,3), cartprod_fm(1,3,nb \#+ 4))))))))$

lemma $arity_is_names_below_fm :$

$\llbracket P \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; nb \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies arity(is_names_below_fm(P,x,nb)) = \text{succ}(P) \cup \text{succ}(x)$
 $\cup \text{succ}(nb)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is_names_below_fm_type[TC] :$

$\llbracket P \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; nb \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies is_names_below_fm(P,x,nb) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_is_names_below_fm :$

assumes

$P \in \text{nat} \ x \in \text{nat} \ nb \in \text{nat} \ env \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

$sats(A, is_names_below_fm(P,x,nb), env)$
 $\longleftrightarrow is_names_below(\#\# A, nth(P, env), nth(x, env), nth(nb, env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_tuple :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_tuple(M, z, t1, t2, p, t) \equiv \exists t1t2p[M]. \exists t2p[M]. \text{pair}(M, t2, p, t2p) \wedge \text{pair}(M, t1, t2p, t1t2p)$
 \wedge
 $\text{pair}(M, z, t1t2p, t)$

definition

$is_tuple_fm :: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $is_tuple_fm(z, t1, t2, p, tup) = \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(And(pair_fm(t2 \#+ 2, p \#+ 2, 0),
And(pair_fm(t1 \#+ 2, 0, 1), pair_fm(z \#+ 2, 1, tup \#+ 2))))))$

lemma $arity_is_tuple_fm : \llbracket z \in \text{nat} ; t1 \in \text{nat} ; t2 \in \text{nat} ; p \in \text{nat} ; tup \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies$
 $arity(is_tuple_fm(z, t1, t2, p, tup)) = \bigcup \{\text{succ}(z), \text{succ}(t1), \text{succ}(t2), \text{succ}(p), \text{succ}(tup)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is_tuple_fm_type[TC] :$

$z \in \text{nat} \implies t1 \in \text{nat} \implies t2 \in \text{nat} \implies p \in \text{nat} \implies tup \in \text{nat} \implies is_tuple_fm(z, t1, t2, p, tup) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_is_tuple_fm :$

assumes

```

 $z \in \text{nat} \quad t1 \in \text{nat} \quad t2 \in \text{nat} \quad p \in \text{nat} \quad \text{tup} \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
   $\text{sats}(A, \text{is\_tuple\_fm}(z, t1, t2, p, \text{tup}), \text{env})$ 
   $\longleftrightarrow \text{is\_tuple}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(z, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}), \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(\text{tup}, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma is_tuple_iff_sats:
assumes
   $\text{nth}(a, \text{env}) = aa \quad \text{nth}(b, \text{env}) = bb \quad \text{nth}(c, \text{env}) = cc \quad \text{nth}(d, \text{env}) = dd \quad \text{nth}(e, \text{env}) = ee$ 
   $a \in \text{nat} \quad b \in \text{nat} \quad c \in \text{nat} \quad d \in \text{nat} \quad e \in \text{nat} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ 
shows
   $\text{is\_tuple}(\#\# A, aa, bb, cc, dd, ee) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{is\_tuple\_fm}(a, b, c, d, e), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

18.2 Definition of *forces* for equality and membership

definition

```

 $\text{eq\_case} :: [i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{eq\_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv \forall s. \ s \in \text{domain}(t1) \cup \text{domain}(t2) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall q. \ q \in P \wedge \langle q, p \rangle \in \text{leq} \longrightarrow (f^c \langle 1, s, t1, q \rangle = 1 \longleftrightarrow f^c \langle 1, s, t2, q \rangle = 1))$ 

```

definition

```

 $\text{is\_eq\_case} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{is\_eq\_case}(M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv$ 
 $\forall s[M]. (\exists d[M]. \text{is\_domain}(M, t1, d) \wedge s \in d) \vee (\exists d[M]. \text{is\_domain}(M, t2, d) \wedge$ 
 $s \in d)$ 
 $\longrightarrow (\forall q[M]. \ q \in P \wedge (\exists qp[M]. \text{pair}(M, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in \text{leq}) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists ost1q[M]. \exists ost2q[M]. \exists o[M]. \exists vf1[M]. \exists vf2[M].$ 
 $\text{is\_tuple}(M, o, s, t1, q, ost1q) \wedge$ 
 $\text{is\_tuple}(M, o, s, t2, q, ost2q) \wedge \text{number1}(M, o) \wedge$ 
 $\text{fun\_apply}(M, f, ost1q, vf1) \wedge \text{fun\_apply}(M, f, ost2q, vf2) \wedge$ 
 $(vf1 = o \longleftrightarrow vf2 = o)))$ 

```

definition

```

 $\text{mem\_case} :: [i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{mem\_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv \forall v \in P. \langle v, p \rangle \in \text{leq} \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. \ r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge \langle q, v \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge \langle q, r \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge f^c \langle 0, t1, s, q \rangle = 1)$ 

```

definition

```

 $\text{is\_mem\_case} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{is\_mem\_case}(M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \equiv \forall v[M]. \forall vp[M]. \ v \in P \wedge \text{pair}(M, v, p, vp) \wedge$ 
 $vp \in \text{leq} \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists q[M]. \exists s[M]. \exists r[M]. \exists qv[M]. \exists sr[M]. \exists qr[M]. \exists zt1sq[M]. \exists o[M].$ 
 $r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge \text{pair}(M, q, v, qv) \wedge \text{pair}(M, s, r, sr) \wedge \text{pair}(M, q, r, qr) \wedge$ 

```

$\text{empty}(M, z) \wedge \text{is_tuple}(M, z, t1, s, q, zt1sq) \wedge$
 $\text{number1}(M, o) \wedge qv \in \text{leq} \wedge sr \in t2 \wedge qr \in \text{leq} \wedge \text{fun_apply}(M, f, zt1sq, o))$

schematic_goal *sats_is_mem_case_fm_auto*:

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

$\text{is_mem_case}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(n1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(n2, \text{env}), \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(P, \text{env}), \text{nth}(\text{leq}, \text{env}), \text{nth}(f, \text{env}))$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?imc_fm(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f), \text{env})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *arity_mem_case_fm* :

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$

shows

$\text{arity}(\text{mem_case_fm}(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)) =$
 $\text{succ}(n1) \cup \text{succ}(n2) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup \text{succ}(P) \cup \text{succ}(\text{leq}) \cup \text{succ}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

schematic_goal *sats_is_eq_case_fm_auto*:

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

$\text{is_eq_case}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(n1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(n2, \text{env}), \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(P, \text{env}), \text{nth}(\text{leq}, \text{env}), \text{nth}(f, \text{env}))$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?iec_fm(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f), \text{env})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *arity_eq_case_fm* :

assumes

$n1 \in \text{nat}$ $n2 \in \text{nat}$ $p \in \text{nat}$ $P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$

shows

$\text{arity}(\text{eq_case_fm}(n1, n2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)) =$
 $\text{succ}(n1) \cup \text{succ}(n2) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup \text{succ}(P) \cup \text{succ}(\text{leq}) \cup \text{succ}(f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$Hfrc :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $Hfrc(P, \text{leq}, \text{fnnc}, f) \equiv \exists ft. \exists n1. \exists n2. \exists c. c \in P \wedge \text{fnnc} = \langle ft, n1, n2, c \rangle \wedge$
 $(ft = 0 \wedge \text{eq_case}(n1, n2, c, P, \text{leq}, f))$
 $\vee ft = 1 \wedge \text{mem_case}(n1, n2, c, P, \text{leq}, f))$

definition

$$is_Hfrc :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$is_Hfrc(M, P, leq, fnnc, f) \equiv$$

$$\exists ft[M]. \exists n1[M]. \exists n2[M]. \exists co[M].$$

$$co \in P \wedge is_tuple(M, ft, n1, n2, co, fnnc) \wedge$$

$$(\emptyset(M, ft) \wedge is_eq_case(M, n1, n2, co, P, leq, f))$$

$$\vee (number1(M, ft) \wedge is_mem_case(M, n1, n2, co, P, leq, f)))$$

definition

$$Hfrc_fm :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$$

$$Hfrc_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f) \equiv$$

$$Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists($$

$$And(Member(0, P \# + 4), And(is_tuple_fm(3, 2, 1, 0, fnnc \# + 4),$$

$$Or(And(empty_fm(3), eq_case_fm(2, 1, 0, P \# + 4, leq \# + 4, f \# + 4)),$$

$$And(number1_fm(3), mem_case_fm(2, 1, 0, P \# + 4, leq \# + 4, f \# + 4)))))))$$

declare *Hfrc_fm_def*[*fm_definitions*]

lemma *Hfrc_fm_type*[*TC*] :

$$[P \in nat; leq \in nat; fnnc \in nat; f \in nat] \implies Hfrc_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f) \in formula$$

<proof>

lemma *arity_Hfrc_fm* :

assumes

$$P \in nat \quad leq \in nat \quad fnnc \in nat \quad f \in nat$$

shows

$$arity(Hfrc_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f)) = succ(P) \cup succ(leq) \cup succ(fnnc) \cup succ(f)$$

<proof>

lemma *sats_Hfrc_fm*:

assumes

$$P \in nat \quad leq \in nat \quad fnnc \in nat \quad f \in nat \quad env \in list(A)$$

shows

$$sats(A, Hfrc_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f), env) \longleftrightarrow is_Hfrc(\#\#A, nth(P, env), nth(leq, env), nth(fnnc, env), nth(f, env))$$

<proof>

lemma *Hfrc_iff_sats*:

assumes

$$P \in nat \quad leq \in nat \quad fnnc \in nat \quad f \in nat \quad env \in list(A)$$

$$nth(P, env) = PP \quad nth(leq, env) = lleq \quad nth(fnnc, env) = fnnc \quad nth(f, env) = ff$$

shows

$$is_Hfrc(\#\#A, PP, lleq, fnnc, ff) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, Hfrc_fm(P, leq, fnnc, f), env)$$

<proof>

definition

$$is_Hfrc_at :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$is_Hfrc_at(M, P, leq, fnnc, f, z) \equiv$$

```

( empty(M,z) ∧ ¬ is_Hfrc(M,P,leq,fnnnc,f))
∨ (number1(M,z) ∧ is_Hfrc(M,P,leq,fnnnc,f))

```

definition

```

Hfrc_at_fm :: [i,i,i,i,i] ⇒ i where
Hfrc_at_fm(P,leq,fnnnc,f,z) ≡ Or(And(empty_fm(z),Neg(Hfrc_fm(P,leq,fnnnc,f))),  

And(number1_fm(z),Hfrc_fm(P,leq,fnnnc,f)))
declare Hfrc_at_fm_def[fm_definitions]

```

lemma arity_Hfrc_at_fm :

assumes

$P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $\text{fnnnc} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$ $z \in \text{nat}$

shows

```

arity(Hfrc_at_fm(P,leq,fnnnc,f,z)) = succ(P) ∪ succ(leq) ∪ succ(fnnnc) ∪ succ(f)
∪ succ(z)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma Hfrc_at_fm_type[TC] :

```

[P ∈ nat; leq ∈ nat; fnnnc ∈ nat; f ∈ nat; z ∈ nat] ⇒ Hfrc_at_fm(P,leq,fnnnc,f,z) ∈ formula
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma sats_Hfrc_at_fm:

assumes

$P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $\text{fnnnc} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$ $z \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

```

sats(A,Hfrc_at_fm(P,leq,fnnnc,f,z),env)
↔ is_Hfrc_at(##A,nth(P, env), nth(leq, env), nth(fnnnc, env),nth(f, env),nth(z, env))
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma is_Hfrc_at_iff_sats:

assumes

$P \in \text{nat}$ $\text{leq} \in \text{nat}$ $\text{fnnnc} \in \text{nat}$ $f \in \text{nat}$ $z \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

$\text{nth}(P, \text{env}) = PP$ $\text{nth}(\text{leq}, \text{env}) = lleq$ $\text{nth}(\text{fnnnc}, \text{env}) = ffnnnc$

$\text{nth}(f, \text{env}) = ff$ $\text{nth}(z, \text{env}) = zz$

shows

```

is_Hfrc_at(##A, PP, lleq,ffnnnc,ff,zz)
↔ sats(A,Hfrc_at_fm(P,leq,fnnnc,f,z),env)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma arity_tran_closure_fm :

```

[x ∈ nat; f ∈ nat] ⇒ arity(trans_closure_fm(x,f)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(f)
⟨proof⟩

```

18.3 The well-founded relation forcerel

definition

forcerel :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

forcerel(P, x) \equiv *frecrel*(*names_below*(P, x)) \wedge

definition

is_forcerel :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forcerel(M, P, x, z) \equiv \exists r[M]. \exists nb[M]. tran_closure(M, r, z) \wedge$
 $(is_names_below(M, P, x, nb) \wedge is_frecrel(M, nb, r))$

definition

forcerel_fm :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $forcerel_fm(p, x, z) \equiv Exists(Exists(And(trans_closure_fm(1, z\# + 2),$
 $And(is_names_below_fm(p\# + 2, x\# + 2, 0), frecrel_fm(0, 1))))))$

lemma *arity_forcerel_fm*:

$\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(forcerel_fm(p, x, z)) = \text{succ}(p) \cup \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcerel_fm_type*[TC]:

$\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies forcerel_fm(p, x, z) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_forcerel_fm*:

assumes
 $p \in \text{nat} \quad x \in \text{nat} \quad z \in \text{nat} \quad env \in \text{list}(A)$
shows
 $sats(A, forcerel_fm(p, x, z), env) \longleftrightarrow is_forcerel(\#\# A, nth(p, env), nth(x, env), nth(z, env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

18.4 *frc_at*, forcing for atomic formulas

definition

frc_at :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frc_at(P, leq, fnnc) \equiv wfrec(frecrel(names_below(P, fnnc)), fnnc,$
 $\lambda x f. \text{bool_of_o}(Hfrc(P, leq, x, f)))$

definition

is_frc_at :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_frc_at(M, P, leq, x, z) \equiv \exists r[M]. is_forcerel(M, P, x, r) \wedge$
 $is_wfrec(M, is_Hfrc_at(M, P, leq), r, x, z)$

definition

frc_at_fm :: $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $frc_at_fm(p, l, x, z) \equiv Exists(And(forcerel_fm(succ(p), succ(x), 0),$
 $is_wfrec_fm(Hfrc_at_fm(6\# + p, 6\# + l, 2, 1, 0), 0, succ(x), succ(z))))$

lemma *frc_at_fm_type*[TC]:

$\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies frc_at_fm(p, l, x, z) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma arity_frc_at_fm :
  assumes p∈nat l∈nat x∈nat z∈nat
  shows arity(frc_at_fm(p,l,x,z)) = succ(p) ∪ succ(l) ∪ succ(x) ∪ succ(z)
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_frc_at_fm :
  assumes
    p∈nat l∈nat i∈nat j∈nat env∈list(A) i < length(env) j < length(env)
  shows
    sats(A,frc_at_fm(p,l,i,j),env)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
      is_frc_at(##A,nth(p,env),nth(l,env),nth(i,env),nth(j,env))
⟨proof⟩

definition
  forces_eq' :: [i,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  forces_eq'(P,l,p,t1,t2)  $\equiv$  frc_at(P,l,⟨0,t1,t2,p⟩) = 1

definition
  forces_mem' :: [i,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  forces_mem'(P,l,p,t1,t2)  $\equiv$  frc_at(P,l,⟨1,t1,t2,p⟩) = 1

definition
  forces_neq' :: [i,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  forces_neq'(P,l,p,t1,t2)  $\equiv$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  q∈P. ⟨q,p⟩ ∈ l  $\wedge$  forces_eq'(P,l,q,t1,t2))

definition
  forces_nmem' :: [i,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  forces_nmem'(P,l,p,t1,t2)  $\equiv$   $\neg$  ( $\exists$  q∈P. ⟨q,p⟩ ∈ l  $\wedge$  forces_mem'(P,l,q,t1,t2))

definition
  is_forces_eq' :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  is_forces_eq'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2)  $\equiv$   $\exists$  o[M].  $\exists$  z[M].  $\exists$  t[M]. number1(M,o)  $\wedge$  empty(M,z)
 $\wedge$ 
  is_tuple(M,z,t1,t2,p,t)  $\wedge$  is_frc_at(M,P,l,t,o)

definition
  is_forces_mem' :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  is_forces_mem'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2)  $\equiv$   $\exists$  o[M].  $\exists$  t[M]. number1(M,o)  $\wedge$ 
  is_tuple(M,o,t1,t2,p,t)  $\wedge$  is_frc_at(M,P,l,t,o)

definition
  is_forces_neq' :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  is_forces_neq'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2)  $\equiv$ 
     $\neg$  ( $\exists$  q[M]. q ∈ P  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  qp[M]. pair(M,q,p,qp)  $\wedge$  qp ∈ l  $\wedge$  is_forces_eq'(M,P,l,q,t1,t2)))

definition
  is_forces_nmem' :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  is_forces_nmem'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2)  $\equiv$ 

```

$\neg (\exists q[M]. \exists qp[M]. q \in P \wedge \text{pair}(M, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in l \wedge \text{is_forces_mem}'(M, P, l, q, t1, t2))$

definition

```
forces_eq_fm :: [i,i,i,i,i] => i where
  forces_eq_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2) ≡
    Exists(Exists(Exists(And(number1_fm(2), And(empty_fm(1),
      And(is_tuple_fm(1,t1#+3,t2#+3,q#+3,0), frc_at_fm(p#+3,l#+3,0,2)
    ))))))
```

definition

```
forces_mem_fm :: [i,i,i,i,i] => i where
  forces_mem_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2) ≡ Exists(Exists(And(number1_fm(1),
    And(is_tuple_fm(1,t1#+2,t2#+2,q#+2,0), frc_at_fm(p#+2,l#+2,0,1)))))
```

definition

```
forces_neq_fm :: [i,i,i,i,i] => i where
  forces_neq_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2) ≡ Neg(Exists(Exists(And(Member(1,p#+2),
    And(pair_fm(1,q#+2,0), And(Member(0,l#+2), forces_eq_fm(p#+2,l#+2,1,t1#+2,t2#+2)))))))
```

definition

```
forces_nmem_fm :: [i,i,i,i,i] => i where
  forces_nmem_fm(p,l,q,t1,t2) ≡ Neg(Exists(Exists(And(Member(1,p#+2),
    And(pair_fm(1,q#+2,0), And(Member(0,l#+2), forces_mem_fm(p#+2,l#+2,1,t1#+2,t2#+2)))))))
```

lemma *forces_eq_fm_type* [*TC*]:

$\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{forces_eq_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forces_mem_fm_type* [*TC*]:

$\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{forces_mem_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forces_neq_fm_type* [*TC*]:

$\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{forces_neq_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forces_nmem_fm_type* [*TC*]:

$\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{forces_nmem_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_forces_eq_fm* :

$p \in \text{nat} \implies l \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{nat} \implies t1 \in \text{nat} \implies t2 \in \text{nat} \implies$
 $\text{arity}(\text{forces_eq_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2)) = \text{succ}(t1) \cup \text{succ}(t2) \cup \text{succ}(q) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup$
 $\text{succ}(l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_forces_mem_fm* :

$p \in \text{nat} \implies l \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{nat} \implies t1 \in \text{nat} \implies t2 \in \text{nat} \implies$

```


$$\text{arity}(\text{forces\_mem\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2)) = \text{succ}(t1) \cup \text{succ}(t2) \cup \text{succ}(q) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup$$


$$\text{succ}(l)$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma sats_forces_eq'_fm:
assumes  $p \in \text{nat}$   $l \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{nat}$   $t1 \in \text{nat}$   $t2 \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_eq\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{is\_forces\_eq}'(\#\#M, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_mem'_fm:
assumes  $p \in \text{nat}$   $l \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{nat}$   $t1 \in \text{nat}$   $t2 \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_mem\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{is\_forces\_mem}'(\#\#M, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_neq'_fm:
assumes  $p \in \text{nat}$   $l \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{nat}$   $t1 \in \text{nat}$   $t2 \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_neq\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{is\_forces\_neq}'(\#\#M, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_nmem'_fm:
assumes  $p \in \text{nat}$   $l \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{nat}$   $t1 \in \text{nat}$   $t2 \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_nmem\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{is\_forces\_nmem}'(\#\#M, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context forcing_data
begin

lemma fst_abs [simp]:

$$[\![x \in M; y \in M]\!] \implies \text{is\_fst}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{fst}(x)$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma snd_abs [simp]:

$$[\![x \in M; y \in M]\!] \implies \text{is\_snd}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{snd}(x)$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma ftype_abs:

$$[\![x \in M; y \in M]\!] \implies \text{is\_ftype}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{ftype}(x)$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma name1_abs:

$$[\![x \in M; y \in M]\!] \implies \text{is\_name1}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{name1}(x)$$


$$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$$


lemma snd_snd_abs:

$$[\![x \in M; y \in M]\!] \implies \text{is\_snd\_snd}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{snd}(\text{snd}(x))$$


```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma name2_abs:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_name2}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{name2}(x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma cond_of_abs:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_cond\_of}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{cond\_of}(x)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma tuple_abs:
 $\llbracket z \in M; t1 \in M; t2 \in M; p \in M; t \in M \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{is\_tuple}(\#\#M, z, t1, t2, p, t) \longleftrightarrow t = \langle z, t1, t2, p \rangle$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas components_abs = ftype_abs name1_abs name2_abs cond_of_abs
tuple_abs

lemma oneN_in_M[simp]:  $1 \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma twoN_in_M :  $2 \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma comp_in_M:
 $p \preceq q \implies p \in M$ 
 $p \preceq q \implies q \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma eq_case_abs [simp]:
assumes
 $t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad p \in M \quad f \in M$ 
shows
 $\text{is\_eq\_case}(\#\#M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{eq\_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma mem_case_abs [simp]:
assumes
 $t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad p \in M \quad f \in M$ 
shows
 $\text{is\_mem\_case}(\#\#M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem\_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Hfrc_abs:
 $\llbracket fnnc \in M; f \in M \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{is\_Hfrc}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, fnnc, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, fnnc, f)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_at_abs*:

$\llbracket fnnc \in M; f \in M ; z \in M \rrbracket \implies$

$is_Hfrc_at(\#\#M, P, leq, fnnc, f, z) \longleftrightarrow z = bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, fnnc, f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *components_closed* :

$x \in M \implies ftype(x) \in M$

$x \in M \implies name1(x) \in M$

$x \in M \implies name2(x) \in M$

$x \in M \implies cond_of(x) \in M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ecloseN_closed*:

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(ecloseN(A))$

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(eclose_n(name1, A))$

$(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(eclose_n(name2, A))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eclose_n_abs* :

assumes $x \in M$ $ec \in M$

shows $is_eclose_n(\#\#M, is_name1, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = eclose_n(name1, x)$

$is_eclose_n(\#\#M, is_name2, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = eclose_n(name2, x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_ecloseN_abs* :

$\llbracket x \in M; ec \in M \rrbracket \implies is_ecloseN(\#\#M, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = ecloseN(x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *freqR_abs* :

$x \in M \implies y \in M \implies freqR(x, y) \longleftrightarrow is_freqR(\#\#M, x, y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrelP_abs* :

$z \in M \implies frecrelP(\#\#M, z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge freqR(x, y))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrel_abs*:

assumes

$A \in M$ $r \in M$

shows

$is_frecrel(\#\#M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = frecrel(A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrel_closed*:

assumes

$x \in M$

```

shows

$$frecrel(x) \in M$$

<proof>

lemma field_frecrel : field(frecrel(names_below(P,x)))  $\subseteq$  names_below(P,x)
<proof>

lemma forcerelD : uv  $\in$  forcerel(P,x)  $\implies$  uv  $\in$  names_below(P,x)  $\times$  names_below(P,x)
<proof>

lemma wf_forcerel :

$$\text{wf}(\text{forcerel}(P,x))$$

<proof>

lemma restrict_trancl_forcerel :
assumes freqR(w,y)
shows restrict(f,frecrel(names_below(P,x))-“{y}) ‘w
 $=$  restrict(f,forcerel(P,x)-“{y}) ‘w
<proof>

lemma names_belowI :
assumes freqR( $\langle ft, n1, n2, p \rangle, \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ )  $p \in P$ 
shows  $\langle ft, n1, n2, p \rangle \in \text{names\_below}(P, \langle a, b, c, d \rangle)$  (is ?x  $\in$  names_below(?,?y))
<proof>

lemma names_below_tr :
assumes x  $\in$  names_below(P,y)
 $y \in \text{names\_below}(P,z)$ 
shows x  $\in$  names_below(P,z)
<proof>

lemma arg_into_names_below2 :
assumes  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
shows x  $\in$  names_below(P,y)
<proof>

lemma arg_into_names_below :
assumes  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
shows x  $\in$  names_below(P,x)
<proof>

lemma forcerel_arg_into_names_below :
assumes  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,z)$ 
shows x  $\in$  names_below(P,x)
<proof>

lemma names_below_mono :
assumes  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
shows names_below(P,x)  $\subseteq$  names_below(P,y)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *frecrel_mono* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in frecrel(names_below(P,z))$
shows $frecrel(names_below(P,x)) \subseteq frecrel(names_below(P,y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcerel_mono2* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in frecrel(names_below(P,z))$
shows $forcerel(P,x) \subseteq forcerel(P,y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcerel_mono_aux* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in frecrel(names_below(P,w)) ^+$
shows $forcerel(P,x) \subseteq forcerel(P,y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcerel_mono* :

assumes $\langle x,y \rangle \in forcerel(P,z)$
shows $forcerel(P,x) \subseteq forcerel(P,y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aux*: $x \in names_below(P,w) \implies \langle x,y \rangle \in forcerel(P,z) \implies$

$(y \in names_below(P,w) \longrightarrow \langle x,y \rangle \in forcerel(P,w))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcerel_eq* :

assumes $\langle z,x \rangle \in forcerel(P,x)$
shows $forcerel(P,z) = forcerel(P,x) \cap names_below(P,z) \times names_below(P,z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcerel_below_aux* :

assumes $\langle z,x \rangle \in forcerel(P,x)$ $\langle u,z \rangle \in forcerel(P,x)$
shows $u \in names_below(P,z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forcerel_below* :

assumes $\langle z,x \rangle \in forcerel(P,x)$
shows $forcerel(P,x) - ``\{z\} \subseteq names_below(P,z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation_forcerel* :

shows $relation(forcerel(P,z)) \ trans(forcerel(P,z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_restrict_tranc*: $bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, y, restrict(f, frecrel(names_below(P,x))-``\{y\})))$
 $= bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, y, restrict(f, (frecrel(names_below(P,x)) ^+)-``\{y\})))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma frc_at_tranc: frc_at(P,leq,z) = wfrec(forcerel(P,z),z, $\lambda x f. \text{bool\_of\_o}(Hfrc(P,leq,x,f)))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma forcerelI1 :
  assumes n1 ∈ domain(b) ∨ n1 ∈ domain(c) p ∈ P d ∈ P
  shows ⟨⟨1, n1, b, p⟩, ⟨0,b,c,d⟩⟩ ∈ forcerel(P,⟨0,b,c,d⟩)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma forcerelI2 :
  assumes n1 ∈ domain(b) ∨ n1 ∈ domain(c) p ∈ P d ∈ P
  shows ⟨⟨1, n1, c, p⟩, ⟨0,b,c,d⟩⟩ ∈ forcerel(P,⟨0,b,c,d⟩)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma forcerelI3 :
  assumes ⟨n2, r⟩ ∈ c p ∈ P d ∈ P r ∈ P
  shows ⟨⟨0, b, n2, p⟩, ⟨1, b, c, d⟩⟩ ∈ forcerel(P,⟨1,b,c,d⟩)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemmas forcerelI = forcerelI1[THEN vimage_singleton_iff[THEN iffD2]]
  forcerelI2[THEN vimage_singleton_iff[THEN iffD2]]
  forcerelI3[THEN vimage_singleton_iff[THEN iffD2]]
```

```
lemma aux_def_frc_at:
  assumes z ∈ forcerel(P,x) -“ {x}
  shows wfrec(forcerel(P,x), z, H) = wfrec(forcerel(P,z), z, H)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

18.5 Recursive expression of frc_at

```
lemma def_frc_at :
  assumes p ∈ P
  shows
    frc_at(P,leq,⟨ft,n1,n2,p⟩) =
    bool_of_o( p ∈ P ∧
    ( ft = 0 ∧ ( ∀ s. s ∈ domain(n1) ∪ domain(n2) →
      ( ∀ q. q ∈ P ∧ q ≤ p → (frc_at(P,leq,⟨1,s,n1,q⟩)) = 1 ↔ frc_at(P,leq,⟨1,s,n2,q⟩) = 1) )
      ∨ ft = 1 ∧ ( ∀ v ∈ P. v ≤ p →
        ( ∃ q. ∃ s. ∃ r. r ∈ P ∧ q ∈ P ∧ q ≤ v ∧ ⟨s,r⟩ ∈ n2 ∧ q ≤ r ∧ frc_at(P,leq,⟨0,n1,s,q⟩) = 1) ) )
    )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

18.6 Absoluteness of frc_at

```
lemma trans_forcerel_t : trans(forcerel(P,x))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```

lemma relation_forcerel_t : relation(forcerel(P,x))
  <proof>

lemma forcerel_in_M :
  assumes
     $x \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{forcerel}(P,x) \in M$ 
  <proof>

lemma relation2_Hfrc_at_abs:
   $\text{relation2}(\#\#M, \text{is\_Hfrc\_at}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}), \lambda x f. \text{bool\_of\_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, x, f)))$ 
  <proof>

lemma Hfrc_at_closed :
   $\forall x \in M. \forall g \in M. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow \text{bool\_of\_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, x, g)) \in M$ 
  <proof>

lemma wfrec_Hfrc_at :
  assumes
     $X \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{wfrec\_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is\_Hfrc\_at}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}), \text{forcerel}(P, X))$ 
  <proof>

lemma names_below_abs :
   $[\![Q \in M; x \in M; nb \in M]\!] \implies \text{is\_names\_below}(\#\#M, Q, x, nb) \longleftrightarrow nb = \text{names\_below}(Q, x)$ 
  <proof>

lemma names_below_closed:
   $[\![Q \in M; x \in M]\!] \implies \text{names\_below}(Q, x) \in M$ 
  <proof>

lemma names_below_productE :
  assumes  $Q \in M$   $x \in M$ 
   $\bigwedge A1 A2 A3 A4. A1 \in M \implies A2 \in M \implies A3 \in M \implies A4 \in M \implies R(A1 \times A2 \times A3 \times A4)$ 
  shows  $R(\text{names\_below}(Q, x))$ 
  <proof>

lemma forcerel_abs :
   $[\![x \in M; z \in M]\!] \implies \text{is\_forcerel}(\#\#M, P, x, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{forcerel}(P, x)$ 
  <proof>

lemma frc_at_abs:
  assumes  $fnn \in M$   $z \in M$ 
  shows  $\text{is\_frc\_at}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, fnnc, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{frc\_at}(P, \text{leq}, fnnc)$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma forces_eq'_abs :
   $\llbracket p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_forces\_eq}'(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_eq}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forces_mem'_abs :
   $\llbracket p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_forces\_mem}'(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_mem}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forces_neq'_abs :
  assumes
     $p \in M \quad t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{is\_forces\_neq}'(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_neq}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forces_nmem'_abs :
  assumes
     $p \in M \quad t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{is\_forces\_nmem}'(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_nmem}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
  end

```

18.7 Forcing for general formulas

definition

```

ren_forces_nand ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{ren\_forces\_nand}(\varphi) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Equal}(0, 1), \text{iterates}(\lambda p. \text{incr\_bv}(p)^{1, 2}, \varphi)))$ 

```

```

lemma ren_forces_nand_type[TC] :
   $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{ren\_forces\_nand}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma arity_ren_forces_nand :
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ 
  shows  $\text{arity}(\text{ren\_forces\_nand}(\varphi)) \leq \text{succ}(\text{arity}(\varphi))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sats_ren_forces_nand:
   $[q, P, \text{leq}, o, p] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$ 
   $\text{sats}(M, \text{ren\_forces\_nand}(\varphi), [q, p, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \varphi, [q, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

definition

```

ren_forces_forall ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
ren_forces_forall( $\varphi$ )  $\equiv$ 
   $\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}($ 
     $\text{And}(\text{Equal}(0,6), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(1,7), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(2,8), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(3,9),$ 
     $\text{And}(\text{Equal}(4,5), \text{iterates}(\lambda p. \text{incr\_bv}(p) '5 , 5, \varphi)))))))$ 

lemma arity_ren_forces_all :
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ 
  shows  $\text{arity}(\text{ren\_forces\_forall}(\varphi)) = 5 \cup \text{arity}(\varphi)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma ren_forces_forall_type[TC] :
   $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{ren\_forces\_forall}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_ren_forces_forall :
   $[x, P, \text{leq}, o, p] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$ 
   $\text{sats}(M, \text{ren\_forces\_forall}(\varphi), [x, p, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \varphi, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, x]$ 
   $@ \text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
is_leq ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
is_leq( $A, l, q, p$ )  $\equiv \exists qp[A]. (\text{pair}(A, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in l)$ 

lemma (in forcing_data) leq_abs:
 $\llbracket l \in M ; q \in M ; p \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_leq}(\#\# M, l, q, p) \longleftrightarrow \langle q, p \rangle \in l$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
leq_fm ::  $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
leq_fm( $leq, q, p$ )  $\equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(q \#+ 1, p \#+ 1, 0), \text{Member}(0, leq \#+ 1)))$ 

lemma arity_leq_fm :
 $\llbracket leq \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; p \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{leq\_fm}(leq, q, p)) = \text{succ}(q) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup \text{succ}(leq)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma leq_fm_type[TC] :
 $\llbracket leq \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; p \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{leq\_fm}(leq, q, p) \in \text{formula}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_leq_fm :
 $\llbracket leq \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; p \in \text{nat}; env \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{sats}(A, \text{leq\_fm}(leq, q, p), env) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_leq}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(leq, env), \text{nth}(q, env), \text{nth}(p, env))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

18.7.1 The primitive recursion

```

consts forces' ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
primrec
  forces'(Member(x,y)) = forces_mem_fm(1,2,0,x#+4,y#+4)
  forces'(Equal(x,y)) = forces_eq_fm(1,2,0,x#+4,y#+4)
  forces'(Nand(p,q)) =
    Neg(Exists(And(Member(0,2), And(leq_fm(3,0,1), And(ren_forces_nand(forces'(p)),
      ren_forces_nand(forces'(q)))))))
  forces'(Forall(p)) = Forall(ren_forces_forall(forces'(p)))

```

definition

```

forces ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  forces( $\varphi$ )  $\equiv$  And(Member(0,1), forces'( $\varphi$ ))

```

lemma forces'_type [TC]: $\varphi \in formula \implies forces'(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma forces_type[TC] : $\varphi \in formula \implies forces(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context forcing_data
begin

18.8 Forcing for atomic formulas in context

definition

```

forces_eq ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  forces_eq  $\equiv$  forces_eq'(P, leq)

```

definition

```

forces_mem ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  forces_mem  $\equiv$  forces_mem'(P, leq)

```

definition

```

is_forces_eq ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_forces_eq  $\equiv$  is_forces_eq'(##M, P, leq)

```

definition

```

is_forces_mem ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_forces_mem  $\equiv$  is_forces_mem'(##M, P, leq)

```

lemma def_forces_eq: $p \in P \implies forces_eq(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall s \in domain(t1) \cup domain(t2). \forall q. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \longrightarrow$
 $(forces_mem(q, s, t1) \longleftrightarrow forces_mem(q, s, t2)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def_forces_mem*: $p \in P \implies \text{forces_mem}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow (\forall v \in P. v \preceq p \longrightarrow (\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge q \preceq v \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge \text{forces_eq}(q, t1, s)))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *forces_eq_abs* :
 $\llbracket p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_forces_eq}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_eq}(p, t1, t2)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *forces_mem_abs* :
 $\llbracket p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_forces_mem}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_mem}(p, t1, t2)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *sats_forces_eq_fm*:
assumes $p \in \text{nat} l \in \text{nat} q \in \text{nat} t1 \in \text{nat} t2 \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M)$
 $\text{nth}(p, \text{env}) = P \text{ nth}(l, \text{env}) = \text{leq}$
shows $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces_eq_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_forces_eq}(\text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *sats_forces_mem_fm*:
assumes $p \in \text{nat} l \in \text{nat} q \in \text{nat} t1 \in \text{nat} t2 \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M)$
 $\text{nth}(p, \text{env}) = P \text{ nth}(l, \text{env}) = \text{leq}$
shows $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces_mem_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_forces_mem}(\text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$
⟨proof⟩

definition

forces_neq :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{forces_neq}(p, t1, t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge \text{forces_eq}(q, t1, t2))$

definition

forces_nmemb :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{forces_nmemb}(p, t1, t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge \text{forces_mem}(q, t1, t2))$

lemma *forces_neq* :
 $\text{forces_neq}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_neq}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *forces_nmemb* :
 $\text{forces_nmemb}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces_nmemb}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *sats_forces_Member* :
assumes $x \in \text{nat} y \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M)$

```

nth(x,env)=xx nth(y,env)=yy q∈M
shows sats(M,forces(Member(x,y)),[q,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
(q∈P ∧ is-forces-mem(q,xx,yy))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats-forces-Equal :
assumes x∈nat y∈nat env∈list(M)
nth(x,env)=xx nth(y,env)=yy q∈M
shows sats(M,forces(Equal(x,y)),[q,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
(q∈P ∧ is-forces-eq(q,xx,yy))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats-forces-Nand :
assumes φ∈formula ψ∈formula env∈list(M) p∈M
shows sats(M,forces(Nand(φ,ψ)),[p,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
(p∈P ∧ ¬(∃ q∈M. q∈P ∧ is-leq(##M,leq,q,p) ∧
(sats(M,forces'(φ),[q,P,leq,one]@env) ∧ sats(M,forces'ψ),[q,P,leq,one]@env))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats-forces-Neg :
assumes φ∈formula env∈list(M) p∈M
shows sats(M,forces(Neg(φ)),[p,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
(p∈P ∧ ¬(∃ q∈M. q∈P ∧ is-leq(##M,leq,q,p) ∧
(sats(M,forces'(φ),[q,P,leq,one]@env))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats-forces-Forall :
assumes φ∈formula env∈list(M) p∈M
shows sats(M,forces(Forall(φ)),[p,P,leq,one]@env) ←→
p∈P ∧ (∀ x∈M. sats(M,forces'(φ),[p,P,leq,one,x]@env))
⟨proof⟩

end

```

18.9 The arity of forces

```

lemma arity-forces-at:
assumes x ∈ nat y ∈ nat
shows arity(forces(Member(x, y))) = (succ(x) ∪ succ(y)) #+ 4
arity(forces(Equal(x, y))) = (succ(x) ∪ succ(y)) #+ 4
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity-forces':
assumes φ∈formula
shows arity(forces'(φ)) ≤ arity(φ) #+ 4
⟨proof⟩

lemma arity-forces :
assumes φ∈formula

```

```

shows arity(forces( $\varphi$ ))  $\leq 4\# + \text{arity}(\varphi)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma arity_forces_le :
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $n \in \text{nat}$   $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq n$ 
shows arity(forces( $\varphi$ ))  $\leq 4\# + n$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

19 The Forcing Theorems

```

theory Forcing_Theorems
imports
  Forces_Definition

```

```
begin
```

```

context forcing_data
begin

```

19.1 The forcing relation in context

```

abbreviation Forces ::  $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  ( $\_ \Vdash \_ \_ [36, 36, 36] \_ 60$ ) where
 $p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env} \equiv M, ([p, P, \text{leg}, \text{one}] @ \text{env}) \models \text{forces}(\varphi)$ 

```

```

lemma Collect_forces :
assumes
  fty:  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$  and
  far:  $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$  and
  envy:  $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows
   $\{p \in P . p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}\} \in M$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma forces_mem_iff_dense_below:  $p \in P \implies \text{forces\_mem}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{dense\_below}($ 
 $\{q \in P . \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge \text{forces\_eq}(q, t1, s)\}$ 
 $, p)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

19.2 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)

```

lemma strengthening_eq:
assumes  $p \in P$   $r \in P$   $r \preceq p$   $\text{forces\_eq}(p, t1, t2)$ 
shows  $\text{forces\_eq}(r, t1, t2)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

19.3 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)

```
lemma strengthening_mem:
  assumes  $p \in P$   $r \in P$   $r \preceq p$  forces_mem( $p, t_1, t_2$ )
  shows forces_mem( $r, t_1, t_2$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

19.4 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(b)

```
lemma density_mem:
  assumes  $p \in P$ 
  shows forces_mem( $p, t_1, t_2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  dense_below({ $q \in P$ . forces_mem( $q, t_1, t_2$ )},  $p$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma aux_density_eq:
  assumes
    dense_below(
      { $q' \in P$ .  $\forall q. q \in P \wedge q \preceq q' \longrightarrow$  forces_mem( $q, s, t_1$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  forces_mem( $q, s, t_2$ )}
      ,  $p$ )
    forces_mem( $q, s, t_1$ )  $q \in P$   $p \in P$   $q \preceq p$ 
  shows
    dense_below({ $r \in P$ . forces_mem( $r, s, t_2$ )},  $q$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma density_eq:
  assumes  $p \in P$ 
  shows forces_eq( $p, t_1, t_2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  dense_below({ $q \in P$ . forces_eq( $q, t_1, t_2$ )},  $p$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

19.5 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.38

```
lemma not_forces_neq:
  assumes  $p \in P$ 
  shows forces_eq( $p, t_1, t_2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge$  forces_neq( $q, t_1, t_2$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma not_forces_nmem:
  assumes  $p \in P$ 
  shows forces_mem( $p, t_1, t_2$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge$  forces_nmem( $q, t_1, t_2$ ))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sats_forces_Nand':
  assumes
     $p \in P$   $\varphi \in formula$   $\psi \in formula$   $env \in list(M)$ 
```

shows

$$\begin{aligned} M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\text{Nand}(\varphi, \psi)) &\longleftrightarrow \\ \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge \text{is_leq}(\#M, \text{leq}, q, p) \wedge \\ M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\varphi) \wedge \\ M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\psi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_forces_Neg'*:

assumes

$$p \in P \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M) \varphi \in \text{formula}$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\text{Neg}(\varphi)) &\longleftrightarrow \\ \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge \text{is_leq}(\#M, \text{leq}, q, p) \wedge \\ M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\varphi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_forces_Forall'*:

assumes

$$p \in P \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M) \varphi \in \text{formula}$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\text{Forall}(\varphi)) &\longleftrightarrow \\ (\forall x \in M. M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, x] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}(\varphi)) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.6 The relation of forcing and atomic formulas

lemma *Forces_Equal*:

assumes

$$p \in P \ t1 \in M \ t2 \in M \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{nth}(n, \text{env}) = t1 \ \text{nth}(m, \text{env}) = t2 \ n \in \text{nat} \ m \in \text{nat}$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} (p \Vdash \text{Equal}(n, m) \text{ env}) &\longleftrightarrow \text{forces_eq}(p, t1, t2) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *Forces_Member*:

assumes

$$p \in P \ t1 \in M \ t2 \in M \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{nth}(n, \text{env}) = t1 \ \text{nth}(m, \text{env}) = t2 \ n \in \text{nat} \ m \in \text{nat}$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} (p \Vdash \text{Member}(n, m) \text{ env}) &\longleftrightarrow \text{forces_mem}(p, t1, t2) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *Forces_Neg*:

assumes

$$p \in P \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \varphi \in \text{formula}$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} (p \Vdash \text{Neg}(\varphi) \text{ env}) &\longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \wedge (q \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

19.7 The relation of forcing and connectives

lemma *Forces_Nand*:

assumes

$p \in P$ $env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$ $\psi \in formula$

shows

$(p \Vdash Nand(\varphi, \psi) env) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge q \leq p \wedge (q \Vdash \varphi env) \wedge (q \Vdash \psi env))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_And_aux*:

assumes

$p \in P$ $env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$ $\psi \in formula$

shows

$p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) env \longleftrightarrow$

$(\forall q \in M. q \in P \wedge q \leq p \longrightarrow (\exists r \in M. r \in P \wedge r \leq q \wedge (r \Vdash \varphi env) \wedge (r \Vdash \psi env)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_And_iff_dense_below*:

assumes

$p \in P$ $env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$ $\psi \in formula$

shows

$(p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) env) \longleftrightarrow dense_below(\{r \in P. (r \Vdash \varphi env) \wedge (r \Vdash \psi env)\}, p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_Forall*:

assumes

$p \in P$ $env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(p \Vdash Forall(\varphi) env) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in M. (p \Vdash \varphi ([x] @ env)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

bundle *some_rules* = *elem_of_val_pair* [dest] *SepReplace_if* [simp del] *SepReplace_if*[iff]

context

includes *some_rules*

begin

lemma *elem_of_valI*: $\exists \vartheta. \exists p \in P. p \in G \wedge \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge val(P, G, \vartheta) = x \implies x \in val(P, G, \pi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GenExtD*: $x \in M[G] \longleftrightarrow (\exists \tau \in M. x = val(P, G, \tau))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left_in_M* : $tau \in M \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in tau \implies a \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.8 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.29

lemma *generic_inter-dense_below*:
assumes $D \in M$ $M_{\text{generic}}(G)$ $\text{dense_below}(D, p)$ $p \in G$
shows $D \cap G \neq \emptyset$
(proof)

19.9 Auxiliary results for Lemma IV.2.40(a)

lemma *IV240a_mem_Collect*:
assumes
 $\pi \in M$ $\tau \in M$
shows
 $\{q \in P. \exists \sigma. \exists r. r \in P \wedge \langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau \wedge q \leq r \wedge \text{forces_eq}(q, \pi, \sigma)\} \in M$
(proof)

lemma *IV240a_mem*:

assumes
 $M_{\text{generic}}(G)$ $p \in G$ $\pi \in M$ $\tau \in M$ $\text{forces_mem}(p, \pi, \tau)$
 $\wedge q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \implies \text{forces_eq}(q, \pi, \sigma) \implies$
 $\text{val}(P, G, \pi) = \text{val}(P, G, \sigma)$
shows
 $\text{val}(P, G, \pi) \in \text{val}(P, G, \tau)$
(proof)

lemma *refl_forces_eq*: $p \in P \implies \text{forces_eq}(p, x, x)$
(proof)

lemma *forces_memI*: $\langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau \implies p \in P \implies r \in P \implies p \leq r \implies \text{forces_mem}(p, \sigma, \tau)$
(proof)

lemma *IV240a_eq_1st_incl*:

assumes
 $M_{\text{generic}}(G)$ $p \in G$ $\text{forces_eq}(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
and
 $IH: \bigwedge q. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(\text{forces_mem}(q, \sigma, \tau) \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \in \text{val}(P, G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(\text{forces_mem}(q, \sigma, \vartheta) \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \in \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta))$

shows
 $\text{val}(P, G, \tau) \subseteq \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta)$
(proof)

lemma *IV240a_eq_2nd_incl*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \ p \in G \ forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \tau) \implies val(P, G, \sigma) \in val(P, G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \vartheta) \implies val(P, G, \sigma) \in val(P, G, \vartheta))$

shows

$val(P, G, \vartheta) \subseteq val(P, G, \tau)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IV240a_eq*:

assumes

$M_generic(G) \ p \in G \ forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \tau) \implies val(P, G, \sigma) \in val(P, G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(forces_mem(q, \sigma, \vartheta) \implies val(P, G, \sigma) \in val(P, G, \vartheta))$

shows

$val(P, G, \tau) = val(P, G, \vartheta)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

19.10 Induction on names

lemma *core_induction*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta \ p. \ p \in P \implies [\bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(0, \tau, \sigma, q)] \implies$
 $Q(1, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta \ p. \ p \in P \implies [\bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(1, \sigma, \tau, q)] \implies Q(1, \sigma, \tau, p)$
 $\wedge Q(1, \sigma, \vartheta, q)] \implies Q(0, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
 $ft \in \mathcal{P} \ p \in P$

shows

$Q(ft, \tau, \vartheta, p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_induction_with_cons*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta \ p. \ p \in P \implies [\bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(q, \tau, \sigma)] \implies R(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta \ p. \ p \in P \implies [\bigwedge q \ \sigma. \ [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta)] \implies R(q, \sigma, \tau)] \implies R(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $\wedge R(q, \sigma, \vartheta)] \implies Q(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $p \in P$

shows

$Q(p, \tau, \vartheta) \wedge R(p, \tau, \vartheta)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_induction*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta. \ [\bigwedge \sigma. \ \sigma \in domain(\vartheta) \implies Q(\tau, \sigma)] \implies R(\tau, \vartheta)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \ \vartheta. \ [\bigwedge \sigma. \ \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies R(\sigma, \tau) \wedge R(\sigma, \vartheta)] \implies Q(\tau, \vartheta)$

shows

$Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge R(\tau, \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.11 Lemma IV.2.40(a), in full

lemma *IV240a*:

assumes

$M\text{-generic}(G)$

shows

$(\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow (\forall p \in G. forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(P, G, \tau) = val(P, G, \vartheta)))$

\wedge

$(\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow (\forall p \in G. forces_mem(p, \tau, \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(P, G, \tau) \in val(P, G, \vartheta)))$

(is $?Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge ?R(\tau, \vartheta)$ **)**

$\langle proof \rangle$

19.12 Lemma IV.2.40(b)

lemma *IV240b_mem*:

assumes

$M\text{-generic}(G) \quad val(P, G, \pi) \in val(P, G, \tau) \quad \pi \in M \quad \tau \in M$

and

$IH: \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in domain(\tau) \implies val(P, G, \pi) = val(P, G, \sigma) \implies$

$\exists p \in G. forces_eq(p, \pi, \sigma)$

shows

$\exists p \in G. forces_mem(p, \pi, \tau)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *Collect_forces_eq_in_M*:

assumes $\tau \in M \quad \vartheta \in M$

shows $\{p \in P. forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta)\} \in M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IV240b_eq_Collects*:

assumes $\tau \in M \quad \vartheta \in M$

shows $\{p \in P. \exists \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta). forces_mem(p, \sigma, \tau) \wedge forces_nmem(p, \sigma, \vartheta)\} \in M$

and

$\{p \in P. \exists \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta). forces_nmem(p, \sigma, \tau) \wedge forces_mem(p, \sigma, \vartheta)\} \in M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IV240b_eq*:

assumes

$M\text{-generic}(G) \quad val(P, G, \tau) = val(P, G, \vartheta) \quad \tau \in M \quad \vartheta \in M$

and

$IH: \bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$

$(val(P, G, \sigma) \in val(P, G, \tau) \longrightarrow (\exists q \in G. forces_mem(q, \sigma, \tau))) \wedge$

$(val(P, G, \sigma) \in val(P, G, \vartheta) \longrightarrow (\exists q \in G. forces_mem(q, \sigma, \vartheta)))$

shows
 $\exists p \in G. forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *IV240b*:
assumes
 $M_generic(G)$
shows
 $(\tau \in M \rightarrow \vartheta \in M \rightarrow val(P, G, \tau) = val(P, G, \vartheta) \rightarrow (\exists p \in G. forces_eq(p, \tau, \vartheta))) \wedge$
 $(\tau \in M \rightarrow \vartheta \in M \rightarrow val(P, G, \tau) \in val(P, G, \vartheta) \rightarrow (\exists p \in G. forces_mem(p, \tau, \vartheta)))$

(is $?Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge ?R(\tau, \vartheta))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *map_val_in_MG*:
assumes
 $env \in list(M)$
shows
 $map(val(P, G), env) \in list(M[G])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_mem*:
assumes
 $env \in list(M) M_generic(G)$
 $n \in nat m \in nat n < length(env) m < length(env)$
shows
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash Member(n, m) env) \longleftrightarrow M[G], map(val(P, G), env) \models Member(n, m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_eq*:
assumes
 $env \in list(M) M_generic(G)$
 $n \in nat m \in nat n < length(env) m < length(env)$
shows
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash Equal(n, m) env) \longleftrightarrow M[G], map(val(P, G), env) \models Equal(n, m)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arities_at_aux*:
assumes
 $n \in nat m \in nat env \in list(M) succ(n) \cup succ(m) \leq length(env)$
shows
 $n < length(env) m < length(env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

19.13 The Strenghtening Lemma

lemma *strengthening_lemma*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad r \in P \quad r \preceq p$
shows
 $\wedge_{\text{env}} \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env} \implies r \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.14 The Density Lemma

lemma *arity_Nand_le*:
assumes $\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \psi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(\varphi, \psi)) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$
shows $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_imp_forces*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \varphi \in \text{formula}$
shows
 $\wedge_{\text{env}} \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies$
 $\text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. (q \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})\}, p) \implies (p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *density_lemma*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env} \iff \text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. (q \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})\}, p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

19.15 The Truth Lemma

lemma *Forces_And*:
assumes
 $p \in P \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \psi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $p \Vdash \text{And}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env} \iff (p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \wedge (p \Vdash \psi \text{ env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Forces_Nand_alt*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \psi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $(p \Vdash \text{Nand}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env}) \iff (p \Vdash \text{Neg}(\text{And}(\varphi, \psi)) \text{ env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_Neg*:

assumes
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad M \text{-generic}(G) \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{and}$
 $IH: (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi$

shows

$(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash Neg(\varphi) \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow M[G], map(val(P, G), env) \models Neg(\varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_And*:

assumes

$env \in list(M)$ $\varphi \in formula$ $\psi \in formula$
 $arity(\varphi) \leq length(env)$ $arity(\psi) \leq length(env)$ $M_generic(G)$

and

$IH: (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow M[G], map(val(P, G), env) \models \varphi$
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \psi \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow M[G], map(val(P, G), env) \models \psi$

shows

$(\exists p \in G. (p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env})) \longleftrightarrow M[G], map(val(P, G), env) \models And(\varphi, \psi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

ren_truth_lemma :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$ren_truth_lemma(\varphi) \equiv$
 $Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists($
 $And(Equal(0, 5), And(Equal(1, 8), And(Equal(2, 9), And(Equal(3, 10), And(Equal(4, 6),$
 $iterates(\lambda p. incr_bv(p) '5 , 6, \varphi))))))))))$

lemma *ren_truth_lemma_type[TC]* :

$\varphi \in formula \implies ren_truth_lemma(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_ren_truth* :

assumes $\varphi \in formula$

shows $arity(ren_truth_lemma(\varphi)) \leq 6 \cup succ(arity(\varphi))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_ren_truth_lemma*:

$[q, b, d, a1, a2, a3] @ env \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$
 $(M, [q, b, d, a1, a2, a3] @ env \models ren_truth_lemma(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(M, [q, a1, a2, a3, b] @ env \models \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma'* :

assumes

$\varphi \in formula$ $env \in list(M)$ $arity(\varphi) \leq succ(length(env))$

shows

$separation(\#\# M, \lambda d. \exists b \in M. \forall q \in P. q \preceq d \longrightarrow \neg(q \Vdash \varphi ([b] @ env)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma*:

assumes

$\varphi \in formula$ $M_generic(G)$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge \text{env. } \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) &\implies \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies \\ (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) &\iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

19.16 The “Definition of forcing”

```
lemma definition_of_forcing:
assumes
   $p \in P \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
shows
   $(p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \iff (\forall G. M\text{-generic}(G) \wedge p \in G \implies M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemmas definability = forces_type
end
```

```
end
```

20 Auxiliary renamings for Separation

```
theory Separation_Rename
  imports Interface Renaming
begin
```

```
lemmas apply_fun = apply_iff[THEN iffD1]
```

```
lemma nth_concat :  $[p, t] \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{nth}(1 \# + \text{length}(\text{env}), [p] @ \text{env} @ [t]) = t$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma nth_concat2 :  $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies \text{nth}(\text{length}(\text{env}), \text{env} @ [p, t]) = p$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma nth_concat3 :  $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies u = \text{nth}(\text{succ}(\text{length}(\text{env})), \text{env} @ [p, t])$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
```

definition

```
sep_var ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{sep\_var}(n) \equiv \{\langle 0, 1 \rangle, \langle 1, 3 \rangle, \langle 2, 4 \rangle, \langle 3, 5 \rangle, \langle 4, 0 \rangle, \langle 5 \# + n, 6 \rangle, \langle 6 \# + n, 2 \rangle\}$ 
```

definition

```
sep_env ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{sep\_env}(n) \equiv \lambda i \in (5 \# + n) - 5 . i \# + 2$ 
```

```
definition weak ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{weak}(n, m) \equiv \{i \# + m . i \in n\}$ 
```

```
lemma weakD :
```

```

assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$   $k \in \text{nat}$   $x \in \text{weak}(n,k)$ 
shows  $\exists i \in n . x = i\# + k$ 
(proof)

lemma weak_equal :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$   $m \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{weak}(n,m) = (m\# + n) - m$ 
(proof)

lemma weak_zero:
shows  $\text{weak}(0,n) = 0$ 
(proof)

lemma weakening_diff :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{weak}(n,7) - \text{weak}(n,5) \subseteq \{5\# + n, 6\# + n\}$ 
(proof)

lemma in_add_del :
assumes  $x \in j\# + n$   $n \in \text{nat}$   $j \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $x < j \vee x \in \text{weak}(n,j)$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_env_action:
assumes
 $[t,p,u,P,\text{leq},o,pi] \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\forall i . i \in \text{weak}(\text{length}(\text{env}), 5) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{nth}(\text{sep\_env}(\text{length}(\text{env})), i, [t,p,u,P,\text{leq},o,pi]) @ \text{env} = \text{nth}(i, [p,P,\text{leq},o,t] @ \text{env}$ 
 $@ [pi,u])$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_env_type :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{sep\_env}(n) : (5\# + n) - 5 \rightarrow (7\# + n) - 7$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_var_fin_type :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{sep\_var}(n) : 7\# + n - || > 7\# + n$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_var_domain :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{domain}(\text{sep\_var}(n)) = 7\# + n - \text{weak}(n, 5)$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_var_type :

```

```

assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{sep\_var}(n) : (\# + n)\text{-weak}(n, 5) \rightarrow \# + n$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{sep\_var\_action} :$ 
assumes
 $[t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\forall i . i \in (\# + \text{length}(\text{env}))\text{-weak}(\text{length}(\text{env}), 5) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{nth}(\text{sep\_var}(\text{length}(\text{env})), i, [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env}) = \text{nth}(i, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ \text{env}$ 
 $@ [pi, u])$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
rensep ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
 $\text{rensep}(n) \equiv \text{union\_fun}(\text{sep\_var}(n), \text{sep\_env}(n), \# + n\text{-weak}(n, 5), \text{weak}(n, 5))$ 

lemma  $\text{rensep\_aux} :$ 
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $(\# + n\text{-weak}(n, 5)) \cup \text{weak}(n, 5) = \# + n \# + n \cup (\# + n - \#) =$ 
 $\# + n$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{rensep\_type} :$ 
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{rensep}(n) \in \# + n \rightarrow \# + n$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{rensep\_action} :$ 
assumes  $[t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\forall i . i < \# + \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow \text{nth}(\text{rensep}(\text{length}(\text{env})), i, [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env})$ 
 $= \text{nth}(i, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ \text{env} @ [pi, u])$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{sep\_ren} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
 $\text{sep\_ren}(n, \varphi) \equiv \text{ren}(\varphi) ' (\# + n) ' (\# + n) ' \text{rensep}(n)$ 

lemma  $\text{arity\_rensep}:$  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \# + \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
shows  $\text{arity}(\text{sep\_ren}(\text{length}(\text{env}), \varphi)) \leq \# + \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{type\_rensep} [\text{TC}]:$ 
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\text{sep\_ren}(\text{length}(\text{env}), \varphi) \in \text{formula}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{sepren\_action}:$ 
assumes  $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \# + \text{length}(\text{env})$ 

```

```

[ $t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi] \in list(M)$ 
 $env \in list(M)$ 
 $\varphi \in formula$ 
shows  $sats(M, sep\_ren(length(env), \varphi), [t,p,u,P,leq,o,pi] @ env) \longleftrightarrow sats(M,$ 
 $\varphi[p,P,leq,o,t] @ env @ [pi,u])$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

21 The Axiom of Separation in $M[G]$

```

theory Separation_Axiom
imports Forcing_Theorems Separation_Rename
begin

context G-generic
begin

lemma map_val :
assumes  $env \in list(M[G])$ 
shows  $\exists nenv \in list(M). env = map(val(P,G), nenv)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Collect_sats_in_MG :
assumes
 $c \in M[G]$ 
 $\varphi \in formula$ 
 $env \in list(M[G])$ 
 $arity(\varphi) \leq 1 \# + length(env)$ 
shows
 $\{x \in c. (M[G], [x] @ env \models \varphi)\} \in M[G]$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem separation_in_MG:
assumes
 $\varphi \in formula$ 
and  $arity(\varphi) \leq 1 \# + length(env)$ 
and  $env \in list(M[G])$ 
shows
 $separation(\#\# M[G], \lambda x. (M[G], [x] @ env \models \varphi))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

22 The Axiom of Pairing in $M[G]$

```

theory Pairing_Axiom imports Names begin

context forcing_data

```

```

begin

lemma val_Upair :
  one ∈ G ==> val(P,G,{⟨τ,one⟩,⟨ϱ,one⟩}) = {val(P,G,τ),val(P,G,ϱ)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pairing_in_MG :
  assumes M-generic(G)
  shows upair_ax(##M[G])
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

23 The Axiom of Unions in $M[G]$

```

theory Union_Axiom
  imports Names
begin

context forcing_data
begin

definition Union_name_body :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  Union_name_body(P',leq',τ,ϑp) ≡ (exists σ[##M].
    exists q[##M]. (q ∈ P' ∧ (⟨σ,q⟩ ∈ τ ∧
      exists r[##M].r ∈ P' ∧ ((fst(ϑp),r) ∈ σ ∧ ⟨snd(ϑp),r⟩ ∈ leq' ∧ ⟨snd(ϑp),q⟩
      ∈ leq')))))

definition Union_name_fm :: i where
  Union_name_fm ≡
    exists(
      exists(And(pair_fm(1,0,2),
      exists(
        exists(And(Member(0,7),
          exists(And(And(pair_fm(2,1,0),Member(0,6)),
          exists(And(Member(0,9),
          exists(And(And(pair_fm(6,1,0),Member(0,4)),
          exists(And(And(pair_fm(6,2,0),Member(0,10)),
          exists(And(pair_fm(7,5,0),Member(0,11)))))))))))))))))

lemma Union_name_fm_type [TC]:
  Union_name_fm ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_Union_name_fm :
  arity(Union_name_fm) = 4

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_Union_name_fm :
  [env ∈ list(M); P' ∈ M ; p ∈ M ; θ ∈ M ; τ ∈ M ; leq' ∈ M] ⇒
    sats(M, Union_name_fm, [⟨θ,p⟩, τ, leq', P']@env) ←→
      Union_name_body(P', leq', τ, ⟨θ,p⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition Union_name :: i ⇒ i where
  Union_name(τ) ≡
    {u ∈ domain(∪(domain(τ))) × P . Union_name_body(P, leq, τ, u)}

lemma Union_name_M : assumes τ ∈ M
  shows Union_name(τ) ∈ M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Union_MG_Eq :
  assumes a ∈ M[G] and a = val(P, G, τ) and filter(G) and τ ∈ M
  shows ∪ a = val(P, G, Union_name(τ))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma union_in_MG : assumes filter(G)
  shows Union_ax(##M[G])
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem Union_MG : M-generic(G) ⇒ Union_ax(##M[G])
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

24 The Powerset Axiom in $M[G]$

```

theory Powerset_Axiom
  imports Renaming_Auto Separation_Axiom Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom
  begin

  ⟨ML⟩

lemma Collect_inter_Transset:
  assumes
    Transset(M) b ∈ M
  shows
    {x ∈ b . P(x)} = {x ∈ b . P(x)} ∩ M
  ⟨proof⟩

context G-generic begin

lemma name_components_in_M:

```

```

assumes  $\langle\sigma,p\rangle \in \vartheta \quad \vartheta \in M$ 
shows  $\sigma \in M \quad p \in M$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

lemma *sats_fst_snd_in_M*:

assumes

$$A \in M \quad B \in M \quad \varphi \in formula \quad p \in M \quad l \in M \quad o \in M \quad \chi \in M$$

$$arity(\varphi) \leq 6$$

shows

$$\{\langle s,q \rangle \in A \times B \ . \ sats(M, \varphi, [q, p, l, o, s, \chi])\} \in M$$

$$(is \ ?\vartheta \in M)$$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *Pow_inter_MG*:

assumes

$$a \in M[G]$$

shows

$$Pow(a) \cap M[G] \in M[G]$$
⟨proof⟩

end

context *G-generic* **begin**

interpretation *mgtriv*: *M_trivial* $\#\# M[G]$

⟨proof⟩

theorem *power_in_MG* : *power_ax*($\#\#(M[G])$)

⟨proof⟩

end

end

25 The Axiom of Extensionality in $M[G]$

theory *Extensionality_Axiom*

imports

Names

begin

context *forcing_data*

begin

lemma *extensionality_in_MG* : *extensionality*($\#\#(M[G])$)

⟨proof⟩

end

end

26 The Axiom of Foundation in $M[G]$

```
theory Foundation_Axiom
imports
  Names
begin

context forcing_data
begin

lemma foundation_in_MG : foundation_ax(##(M[G]))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma foundation_ax(##(M[G]))
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end
```

27 The binder *Least*

```
theory Least
imports
  Forcing_Data — only for a result to be moved below
  Internalizations
```

```
begin
```

We have some basic results on the least ordinal satisfying a predicate.

```
lemma Least_Ord: ( $\mu \alpha. R(\alpha)$ ) = ( $\mu \alpha. Ord(\alpha) \wedge R(\alpha)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Ord_Least.cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. Ord(y) \implies R(y) \leftrightarrow Q(y)$ 
  shows ( $\mu \alpha. R(\alpha)$ ) = ( $\mu \alpha. Q(\alpha)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition
```

```
least ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
least( $M, Q, i$ )  $\equiv$  ordinal( $M, i$ )  $\wedge$  (
  ( $empty(M, i)$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall b[M]. ordinal(M, b) \implies \neg Q(b))$ )
   $\vee$  ( $Q(i)$   $\wedge$  ( $\forall b[M]. ordinal(M, b) \wedge b \in i \implies \neg Q(b))$ ))
```

```
definition
```

```
least_fm ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
least_fm( $q, i$ )  $\equiv$  And(ordinal_fm( $i$ ),
  Or(And(empty_fm( $i$ ), Forall(Implies(ordinal_fm( $0$ ), Neg( $q$ )))),
```

$\text{And}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(q, \text{Equal}(0, \text{succ}(i)))),$
 $\text{Forall}(\text{Implies}(\text{And}(\text{ordinal_fm}(0), \text{Member}(0, \text{succ}(i))), \text{Neg}(q))))$

lemma *least_fm_type*[TC] : $i \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{formula} \implies \text{least_fm}(q, i) \in \text{formula}$
{proof}

lemmas *basic_fm_simps* = *sats_subset_fm'* *sats_transset_fm'* *sats_ordinal_fm'*

lemma *sats_least_fm* :
assumes *p_iff_sats*:
 $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies P(a) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, p, \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}))$
shows
 $\llbracket y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A); 0 \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{least_fm}(p, y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{least}(\#\#A, P, \text{nth}(y, \text{env}))$
{proof}

lemma *least_iff_sats*:
assumes *is_Q_iff_sats*:
 $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies \text{is_Q}(a) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, q, \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}))$
shows
 $\llbracket \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; j \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A); 0 \in A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{least}(\#\#A, \text{is_Q}, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{least_fm}(q, j), \text{env})$
{proof}

lemma *least_conj*: $a \in M \implies \text{least}(\#\#M, \lambda x. x \in M \wedge Q(x), a) \longleftrightarrow \text{least}(\#\#M, Q, a)$
{proof}

lemma (in *M_ctm*) *unique_least*: $a \in M \implies b \in M \implies \text{least}(\#\#M, Q, a) \implies \text{least}(\#\#M, Q, b)$
 $\implies a = b$
{proof}

context *M_trivial*
begin

27.1 Absoluteness and closure under Least

lemma *least_abs*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies \text{Ord}(x) \implies \exists y[M]. Q(y) \wedge \text{Ord}(y) M(a)$
shows $\text{least}(M, Q, a) \longleftrightarrow a = (\mu x. Q(x))$
{proof}

lemma *Least_closed*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies \text{Ord}(x) \implies \exists y[M]. Q(y) \wedge \text{Ord}(y)$
shows $M(\mu x. Q(x))$
{proof}

Older, easier to apply versions (with a simpler assumption on *Q*).

lemma *least_abs'*:

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies M(x)$   $M(a)$ 
shows  $\text{least}(M, Q, a) \longleftrightarrow a = (\mu x. Q(x))$ 
{proof}

```

```

lemma Least_closed':
assumes  $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies M(x)$ 
shows  $M(\mu x. Q(x))$ 
{proof}

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

28 The Axiom of Replacement in $M[G]$

```

theory Replacement_Axiom
imports
  Least_Relative_Univ Separation_Axiom Renaming_Auto
begin

{ML}

definition renrep_fn ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{renrep\_fn}(\text{env}) \equiv \text{sum}(\text{renrep1\_fn}, \text{id}(\text{length}(\text{env})), 6, 8, \text{length}(\text{env}))$ 

definition
   $\text{renrep} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{renrep}(\varphi, \text{env}) = \text{ren}(\varphi) \cdot (6\# + \text{length}(\text{env})) \cdot (8\# + \text{length}(\text{env})) \cdot \text{renrep\_fn}(\text{env})$ 

lemma renrep_type [TC]:
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\text{renrep}(\varphi, \text{env}) \in \text{formula}$ 
{proof}

lemma arity_renrep:
assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 6\# + \text{length}(\text{env})$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\text{arity}(\text{renrep}(\varphi, \text{env})) \leq 8\# + \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
{proof}

lemma renrep_sats :
assumes  $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 6\# + \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
   $[P, \text{leq}, o, p, \varrho, \tau] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
   $V \in M$   $\alpha \in M$ 
   $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ 
shows  $\text{sats}(M, \varphi, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, \varrho, \tau] @ \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{renrep}(\varphi, \text{env}), [V, \tau, \varrho, p, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env})$ 
{proof}

{ML}

```

definition $renpbdy_fn :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $renpbdy_fn(env) \equiv sum(renpbdy1_fn, id(length(env)), 6, 7, length(env))$

definition
 $renpbdy :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $renpbdy(\varphi, env) = ren(\varphi) \cdot (6 \# + length(env)) \cdot (7 \# + length(env)) \cdot renpbdy_fn(env)$

lemma
 $renpbdy_type [TC]: \varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies renpbdy(\varphi, env) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_renpbdy: \varphi \in formula \implies arity(\varphi) \leq 6 \# + length(env) \implies env \in list(M)$
 $\implies arity(renpbdy(\varphi, env)) \leq 7 \# + length(env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
 $sats_renpbdy: arity(\varphi) \leq 6 \# + length(nenv) \implies [\varrho, p, x, \alpha, P, leq, o, \pi] @ nenv \in$
 $list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$
 $sats(M, \varphi, [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, leq, o] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, renpbdy(\varphi, nenv), [\varrho, p, x, \alpha, P, leq, o]$
 $@ nenv)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition $renbody_fn :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $renbody_fn(env) \equiv sum(renbody1_fn, id(length(env)), 5, 6, length(env))$

definition
 $renbody :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $renbody(\varphi, env) = ren(\varphi) \cdot (5 \# + length(env)) \cdot (6 \# + length(env)) \cdot renbody_fn(env)$

lemma
 $renbody_type [TC]: \varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies renbody(\varphi, env) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_renbody: \varphi \in formula \implies arity(\varphi) \leq 5 \# + length(env) \implies env \in list(M)$
 \implies
 $arity(renbody(\varphi, env)) \leq 6 \# + length(env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
 $sats_renbody: arity(\varphi) \leq 5 \# + length(nenv) \implies [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, o] @ nenv \in$
 $list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$
 $sats(M, \varphi, [x, \alpha, P, leq, o] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, renbody(\varphi, nenv), [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, o]$
 $@ nenv)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

context G-generic
begin

lemma pow_inter_M:
  assumes
     $x \in M \ y \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{powerset}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{Pow}(x) \cap M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic_goal sats_prebody_fm_auto:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula [P, leq, one, p, \varrho, \pi] @ nenv \in list(M) \ \alpha \in M \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + length(nenv)$ 
  shows
     $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. \text{is\_Vset}(\#\#M, \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge \text{sats}(M, \text{forces}(\varphi), [p, P, leq, one, \varrho, \tau] @ nenv))$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, ?prebody\_fm, [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma prebody_fm_type [TC]:
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
   $env \in list(M)$ 
  shows prebody_fm( $\varphi, env$ )  $\in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

declare is_eclose_fm_def[fm_definitions]
  is_eclose_fm_def[fm_definitions]
  mem_eclose_fm_def[fm_definitions]
  eclose_n_fm_def[fm_definitions]

lemma sats_prebody_fm:
  assumes
     $[P, leq, one, p, \varrho] @ nenv \in list(M) \ \varphi \in formula \ \alpha \in M \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + length(nenv)$ 
  shows
     $\text{sats}(M, \text{prebody\_fm}(\varphi, nenv), [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. \text{is\_Vset}(\#\#M, \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge \text{sats}(M, \text{forces}(\varphi), [p, P, leq, one, \varrho, \tau] @ nenv))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma arity_prebody_fm:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula \ \alpha \in M \ env \in list(M) \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + length(env)$ 
  shows

```

arity(prebody_fm(φ , env)) ≤ 6 #+ length(env)
(proof)

definition

body_fm' :: [i,i]⇒i where
body_fm'(φ , env) ≡ Exists(Exists(And(pair_fm(0,1,2), renpbdy(prebody_fm(φ , env), env))))

lemma *body_fm'_type[TC]: $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies body_fm'(\varphi, env) \in formula$*
(proof)

lemma *arity_body_fm':*

assumes
 $\varphi \in formula \alpha \in M env \in list(M) arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ length(env)$

shows

arity(body_fm'(φ , env)) ≤ 5 #+ length(env)
(proof)

lemma *sats_body_fm':*

assumes

$\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle x \in M [\alpha, P, leq, one, p, \varrho] @ nenv \in list(M) \varphi \in formula arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ length(nenv)$

shows

sats(M, body_fm'(φ , nenv), [x, α, P, leq, one] @ nenv) ←→
sats(M, renpbdy(prebody_fm(φ , nenv), nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), x, α, P, leq, one] @ nenv)
(proof)

definition

body_fm :: [i,i]⇒i where
body_fm(φ , env) ≡ renbody(body_fm'(φ , env), env)

lemma *body_fm_type[TC]: env ∈ list(M) ⇒ φ ∈ formula ⇒ body_fm(φ, env) ∈ formula*
(proof)

lemma *sats_body_fm:*

assumes

$\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv \in list(M)$
 $\varphi \in formula arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ length(nenv)$

shows

sats(M, body_fm(φ , nenv), [$\alpha, x, m, P, leq, one$] @ nenv) ←→
sats(M, renpbdy(prebody_fm(φ , nenv), nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), x, α, P, leq, one] @ nenv)
(proof)

lemma *sats_renpbdy_prebody_fm:*

assumes

$\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle x \in M [\alpha, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv \in list(M)$
 $\varphi \in formula arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ length(nenv)$

shows

sats(M, renpbdy(prebody_fm(φ , nenv), nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), x, α, P, leq, one] @ nenv)

```

 $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $sats(M, prebody\_fm(\varphi, nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv) @ nenv$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma body_lemma:
assumes
   $\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \ x \in M \ [x, \alpha, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv \in list(M)$ 
   $\varphi \in formula \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ # + length(nenv)$ 
shows
   $sats(M, body\_fm(\varphi, nenv), [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. is\_Vset(\lambda a. (\#\# M)(a), \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge (snd(x) \Vdash \varphi ([fst(x), \tau] @ nenv)))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Replace_sats_in_MG:
assumes
   $c \in M[G] \ env \in list(M[G])$ 
   $\varphi \in formula \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ # + length(env)$ 
   $univalent(\#\# M[G], c, \lambda x v. (M[G], [x, v] @ env \models \varphi))$ 
shows
   $\{v. x \in c, v \in M[G] \wedge (M[G], [x, v] @ env \models \varphi)\} \in M[G]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

theorem strong_replacement_in_MG:
assumes
   $\varphi \in formula \ and \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ # + length(env) \ env \in list(M[G])$ 
shows
   $strong\_replacement(\#\# M[G], \lambda x v. sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, v] @ env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

end

29 The Axiom of Infinity in $M[G]$

```

theory Infinity_Axiom
  imports Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom Separation_Axiom
begin

context G_generic begin

interpretation mg_triv: M_trivial##M[G]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma infinity_in_MG : infinity_ax(\#\# M[G])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

30 The Axiom of Choice in $M[G]$

```

theory Choice_Axiom
  imports Powerset_Axiom Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom Extensionality_Axiom
    Foundation_Axiom Powerset_Axiom Separation_Axiom
    Replacement_Axiom Interface Infinity_Axiom Relativization
  begin

  definition
    induced_surj ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
    induced_surj( $f, a, e$ )  $\equiv f^{-1}(\text{range}(f) - a) \times \{e\} \cup \text{restrict}(f, f^{-1}a)$ 

  lemma domain_induced_surj:  $\text{domain}(\text{induced\_surj}(f, a, e)) = \text{domain}(f)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma range_restrict_vimage:
    assumes function( $f$ )
    shows  $\text{range}(\text{restrict}(f, f^{-1}a)) \subseteq a$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma induced_surj_type:
    assumes
      function( $f$ )
    shows
      induced_surj( $f, a, e$ ):  $\text{domain}(f) \rightarrow \{e\} \cup a$ 
      and
       $x \in f^{-1}a \implies \text{induced\_surj}(f, a, e)x = fx$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  lemma induced_surj_is_surj :
    assumes
       $e \in a$  function( $f$ )  $\text{domain}(f) = \alpha \wedge y \in a \implies \exists x \in \alpha. fx = y$ 
    shows
      induced_surj( $f, a, e$ )  $\in \text{surj}(\alpha, a)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

  context G-generic
  begin

  definition
    upair_name ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
    upair_name( $\tau, \varrho$ )  $\equiv \text{Upair}(\langle \tau, \text{one} \rangle, \langle \varrho, \text{one} \rangle)$ 

  lemma Upair_simp :  $\text{Upair}(a, b) = \{a, b\}$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 

  lemma upair_name_abs :

```

```

assumes  $x \in M$   $y \in M$   $z \in M$ 
shows  $\text{is\_upair\_name}(\#\#M, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{upair\_name}(x, y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{opair\_name} :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{opair\_name}(\tau, \varrho) \equiv \text{upair\_name}(\text{upair\_name}(\tau, \tau), \text{upair\_name}(\tau, \varrho))$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{upair\_name\_closed} :$ 
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{upair\_name}(x, y) \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{upair\_name\_fm} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x, y, o, z) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(x\#+2, o\#+2, 1),$ 
 $\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(y\#+2, o\#+2, 0), \text{upair\_fm}(1, 0, z\#+2))))$ 

lemma  $\text{upair\_name\_fm\_type}[TC] :$ 
 $\llbracket s \in nat; x \in nat; y \in nat; o \in nat \rrbracket \implies \text{upair\_name\_fm}(s, x, y, o) \in formula$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{sats\_upair\_name\_fm} :$ 
assumes  $x \in nat$   $y \in nat$   $z \in nat$   $o \in nat$   $env \in list(M)$   $\text{nth}(o, env) = one$ 
shows
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{upair\_name\_fm}(x, y, o, z), env) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_upair\_name}(\#\#M, \text{nth}(x, env), \text{nth}(y, env), \text{nth}(z, env))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{opair\_name\_abs} :$ 
assumes  $x \in M$   $y \in M$   $z \in M$ 
shows  $\text{is\_opair\_name}(\#\#M, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{opair\_name}(x, y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{opair\_name\_closed} :$ 
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{opair\_name}(x, y) \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{opair\_name\_fm} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{opair\_name\_fm}(x, y, o, z) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x\#+2, x\#+2, o\#+2, 1),$ 
 $\text{And}(\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x\#+2, y\#+2, o\#+2, 0), \text{upair\_name\_fm}(1, 0, o\#+2, z\#+2))))$ 

lemma  $\text{opair\_name\_fm\_type}[TC] :$ 
 $\llbracket s \in nat; x \in nat; y \in nat; o \in nat \rrbracket \implies \text{opair\_name\_fm}(s, x, y, o) \in formula$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{sats\_opair\_name\_fm} :$ 
assumes  $x \in nat$   $y \in nat$   $z \in nat$   $o \in nat$   $env \in list(M)$   $\text{nth}(o, env) = one$ 

```

shows
 $sats(M, opair_name_fm(x,y,o,z), env) \longleftrightarrow is_opair_name(\#\#M, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))$

lemma $val_upair_name : val(P,G,upair_name(\tau,\varrho)) = \{val(P,G,\tau), val(P,G,\varrho)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_opair_name : val(P,G,opair_name(\tau,\varrho)) = \langle val(P,G,\tau), val(P,G,\varrho) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_RepFun_one : val(P,G,\{f(x), one\} . x \in a) = \{val(P,G,f(x)) . x \in a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

30.1 $M[G]$ is a transitive model of ZF

interpretation $mgzf : M_ZF_trans M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_opname_check :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$
 $is_opname_check(s,x,y) \equiv \exists chx \in M. \exists sx \in M. is_check(x,chx) \wedge fun_apply(\#\#M, s, x, sx)$
 \wedge
 $is_opair_name(\#\#M, chx, sx, y)$

definition

$opname_check_fm :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
 $opname_check_fm(s,x,y,o) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{check_fm}(2\#+x, 2\#+o, 1),$
 $\text{And}(\text{fun_apply_fm}(2\#+s, 2\#+x, 0), \text{opair_name_fm}(1, 0, 2\#+o, 2\#+y))))$

lemma $opname_check_fm_type[TC] :$
 $\llbracket s \in nat; x \in nat; y \in nat; o \in nat \rrbracket \implies opname_check_fm(s, x, y, o) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_opname_check_fm :$

assumes $x \in nat$ $y \in nat$ $z \in nat$ $o \in nat$ $env \in list(M)$ $nth(o, env) = one$
 $y < length(env)$

shows

$sats(M, opname_check_fm(x,y,z,o), env) \longleftrightarrow is_opname_check(nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $opname_check_abs :$

assumes $s \in M$ $x \in M$ $y \in M$

shows $is_opname_check(s,x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = opair_name(check(x), s'x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $repl_opname_check :$

```

assumes
   $A \in M, f \in M$ 
shows
   $\{ \text{opair\_name}(\text{check}(x), f'x) . x \in A \} \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem choice_in_MG:
assumes choice_ax( $\#\# M$ )
shows choice_ax( $\#\# M[G]$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

31 Ordinals in generic extensions

```

theory Ordinals_In_MG
imports
  Forcing_Theorems Relative_Univ
begin

context G-generic
begin

lemma rank_val:  $\text{rank}(\text{val}(P, G, x)) \leq \text{rank}(x)$  (is ?Q(x))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Ord_MG_iff:
assumes Ord( $\alpha$ )
shows  $\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in M[G]$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

32 Separative notions and proper extensions

```

theory Proper_Extension
imports
  Names
begin

```

The key ingredient to obtain a proper extension is to have a *separative preorder*:

```
locale separative_notion = forcing_notion +
```

```

assumes separative:  $p \in P \implies \exists q \in P. \exists r \in P. q \preceq p \wedge r \preceq p \wedge q \perp r$ 
begin

```

For separative preorders, the complement of every filter is dense. Hence an M -generic filter can't belong to the ground model.

```

lemma filter_complement_dense:
  assumes filter(G) shows dense(P - G)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale ctm_separative = forcing_data + separative_notion
begin

lemma generic_not_in_M: assumes M_generic(G) shows G ∉ M
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem proper_extension: assumes M_generic(G) shows M ≠ M[G]
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

33 A poset of successions

```

theory Succession_Poset
imports
  Arities
  Proper_Extension
  Synthetic_Definition
  Names
begin

```

33.1 The set of finite binary sequences

notation $\text{nat}(\omega)$ — MOVE THIS to an appropriate place

We implement the poset for adding one Cohen real, the set $2^{<\omega}$ of finite binary sequences.

definition

```

seqspace :: [i,i] ⇒ i (⟨_≤_⟩ [100,1]100) where
   $B^{<\alpha} \equiv \bigcup_{n \in \alpha} (n \rightarrow B)$ 

```

```

lemma seqspaceI[intro]:  $n \in \alpha \implies f : n \rightarrow B \implies f \in B^{<\alpha}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma seqspaceD[dest]:  $f \in B^{<\alpha} \implies \exists n \in \alpha. f : n \rightarrow B$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩
schematic_goal seqspace_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = n  nth(j,env) = z  nth(h,env) = B
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (Ǝ om ∈ A. omega(##A,om) ∧ n ∈ om ∧ is_funspace(##A, n, B, z)) ↔ (A,
  env ⊨ (?sqsprp(i,j,h)))
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

locale M_seqsphere = M_tranc + 
assumes
  seqspace_replacement: M(B) ==> strong_replacement(M, λn z. n ∈ nat ∧ is_funspace(M, n, B, z))
begin

lemma seqspace_closed:
  M(B) ==> M(B^<ω)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale M_ctm ⊆ M_seqsphere ## M
⟨proof⟩

definition seq_upd :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i where
  seq_upd(f,a) ≡ λ j ∈ succ(domain(f)) . if j < domain(f) then f‘j else a

lemma seq_upd_succ_type :
assumes n ∈ nat f ∈ n → A a ∈ A
shows seq_upd(f,a) ∈ succ(n) → A
⟨proof⟩

lemma seq_upd_type :
assumes f ∈ A^<ω a ∈ A
shows seq_upd(f,a) ∈ A^<ω
⟨proof⟩

lemma seq_upd_apply_domain [simp]:
assumes f : n → A n ∈ nat
shows seq_upd(f,a) ‘n = a
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_in_seqsphere :
shows 0 ∈ A^<ω
⟨proof⟩

```

definition

seqleR :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{seqleR}(f,g) \equiv g \subseteq f$

definition

seqlerel :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{seqlerel}(A) \equiv Rrel(\lambda x y. y \subseteq x, A^{<\omega})$

definition

seqle :: i **where**
 $\text{seqle} \equiv \text{seqlerel}(2)$

lemma *seqleI[intro!]*:

$\langle f,g \rangle \in 2^{<\omega} \times 2^{<\omega} \implies g \subseteq f \implies \langle f,g \rangle \in \text{seqle}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *seqleD[dest!]*:

$z \in \text{seqle} \implies \exists x y. \langle x,y \rangle \in 2^{<\omega} \times 2^{<\omega} \wedge y \subseteq x \wedge z = \langle x,y \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upd_leI* :

assumes $f \in 2^{<\omega}$ $a \in 2$
shows $\langle \text{seq_upd}(f,a), f \rangle \in \text{seqle}$ (**is** $\langle ?f, - \rangle \in_-$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *preorder_on_seqle*: *preorder_on*($2^{<\omega}$, *seqle*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zero_seqle_max*: $x \in 2^{<\omega} \implies \langle x, 0 \rangle \in \text{seqle}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *sp:forcing_notion* $2^{<\omega}$ *seqle* 0
 $\langle proof \rangle$

notation *sp.Leq* (**infixl** \preceq_s 50)

notation *sp.Incompatible* (**infixl** \perp_s 50)

lemma *seqspace_separative*:

assumes $f \in 2^{<\omega}$
shows $\text{seq_upd}(f,0) \perp_s \text{seq_upd}(f,1)$ (**is** $?f \perp_s ?g$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *is_seqleR* :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_seqleR}(Q,f,g) \equiv g \subseteq f$

definition *seqleR_fm* :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{seqleR_fm}(fg) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair_fm}(0,1,fg\#+2), \text{subset_fm}(1,0))))$

lemma *type_seqleR_fm* :

$fg \in \text{nat} \implies \text{seqleR_fm}(fg) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_seqleR_fm* :
 $fg \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{seqleR_fm}(fg)) = \text{succ}(fg)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in M_basic*) *seqleR_abs* :
assumes $M(f) M(g)$
shows $\text{seqleR}(f,g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_seqleR}(M,f,g)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{relP} :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{relP}(M, r, xy) \equiv (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, xy) \wedge r(M, x, y))$

lemma (*in M_ctm*) *seqleR_fm_sats* :
assumes $fg \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(M)$
shows $\text{sats}(M, \text{seqleR_fm}(fg), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{relP}(\#\#M, \text{is_seqleR}, \text{nth}(fg, \text{env}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in M_basic*) *is_related_abs* :
assumes $\bigwedge f g . M(f) \implies M(g) \implies \text{rel}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_rel}(M, f, g)$
shows $\bigwedge z . M(z) \implies \text{relP}(M, \text{is_rel}, z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge \text{rel}(x, y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{is_RRel} :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_RRel}(M, \text{is_r}, A, r) \equiv \exists A2[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, A, A, A2) \wedge \text{is_Collect}(M, A2, \text{relP}(M, \text{is_r}), r)$

lemma (*in M_basic*) *is_Rrel_abs* :
assumes $M(A) M(r)$
 $\bigwedge f g . M(f) \implies M(g) \implies \text{rel}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_rel}(M, f, g)$
shows $\text{is_RRel}(M, \text{is_rel}, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{Rrel}(\text{rel}, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition
 $\text{is_seqlerel} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_seqlerel}(M, A, r) \equiv \text{is_RRel}(M, \text{is_seqleR}, A, r)$

lemma (*in M_basic*) *seqlerel_abs* :
assumes $M(A) M(r)$
shows $\text{is_seqlerel}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{Rrel}(\text{seqleR}, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $R\text{relP} :: [i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $R\text{relP}(R, A) \equiv \{z \in A \times A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge R(x, y)\}$

```

lemma Rrel_eq : RrelP(R,A) = Rrel(R,A)
  ⟨proof⟩

context M_ctm
begin

lemma Rrel_closed:
  assumes A ∈ M
   $\wedge a. a \in \text{nat} \implies \text{rel\_fm}(a) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\wedge f g . (\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(g) \implies \text{rel}(f,g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_rel}(\#\#M,f,g)$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{rel\_fm}(0)) = 1$ 
   $\wedge a . a \in M \implies \text{sats}(M,\text{rel\_fm}(0),[a]) \longleftrightarrow \text{relP}(\#\#M,\text{is\_rel},a)$ 
  shows (\#\#M)(Rrel(rel,A))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma seqle_in_M: seqle ∈ M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

33.2 Cohen extension is proper

interpretation ctm_separative $2^{<\omega}$ seqle 0
 ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma cohen_extension_is_proper:  $\exists G. M_{\text{generic}}(G) \wedge M \neq M^{2^{<\omega}}[G]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

end

34 The main theorem

```

theory Forcing_Main
imports
  Internal_ZFC_Axioms
  Choice_Axiom
  Ordinals_In_MG
  Succession_Poset

```

begin

34.1 The generic extension is countable

definition

minimum :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{minimum}(r,B) \equiv \text{THE } b. \text{first}(b,B,r)$

```

lemma minimum_in:  $\llbracket \text{well\_ord}(A,r); B \subseteq A; B \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum}(r,B) \in B$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma well_ord_surj_imp_lepoll:
  assumes well_ord(A,r)  $h \in \text{surj}(A,B)$ 
  shows  $B \lesssim A$ 
  {proof}

lemma (in forcing_data) surj_nat_MG :
   $\exists f. f \in \text{surj}(\omega, M[G])$ 
  {proof}

lemma (in G-generic) MG_eqpoll_nat:  $M[G] \approx \omega$ 
  {proof}

```

34.2 The main result

```

theorem extensions_of_ctms:
  assumes
     $M \approx \omega$  Transset(M)  $M \models \text{ZF}$ 
  shows
     $\exists N.$ 
     $M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models \text{ZF} \wedge M \neq N \wedge$ 
     $(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N)) \wedge$ 
     $(M, \models AC \longrightarrow N \models \text{ZFC})$ 
  {proof}

end

```

35 Main definitions of the development

```

theory Definitions_Main
  imports Forcing_Main

```

```

begin

```

This theory gathers the main definitions of the Forcing session.

It might be considered as the bare minimum reading requisite to trust that our development indeed formalizes the theory of forcing. This should be mathematically clear since this is the only known method for obtaining proper extensions of ctms while preserving the ordinals.

The main theorem of this session and all of its relevant definitions appear in Section 35.3. The reader trusting all the libraries in which our development is based, might jump directly there. But in case one wants to dive deeper, the following sections treat some basic concepts in the ZF logic (Section 35.1) and in the ZF-Constructible library (Section 35.2) on which our definitions are built.

```

declare [[show_question_marks=false]]

```

35.1 ZF

For the basic logic ZF we restrict ourselves to just a few concepts.

thm *bij_def*[unfolded *inj_def* *surj_def*]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bij}(A, B) \equiv \\ \{f \in A \rightarrow B . \forall w \in A. \forall x \in A. f ` w = f ` x \rightarrow w = x\} \cap \\ \{f \in A \rightarrow B . \forall y \in B. \exists x \in A. f ` x = y\} \end{aligned}$$

thm *eqpoll_def*

$$A \approx B \equiv \exists f. f \in \text{bij}(A, B)$$

thm *Transset_def*

$$\text{Transset}(i) \equiv \forall x \in i. x \subseteq i$$

thm *Ord_def*

$$\text{Ord}(i) \equiv \text{Transset}(i) \wedge (\forall x \in i. \text{Transset}(x))$$

thm *lt_def*

$$i < j \equiv i \in j \wedge \text{Ord}(j)$$

The set of natural numbers ω is defined as a fixpoint, but here we just write its characterization as the first limit ordinal.

thm *Limit_nat*[unfolded *Limit_def*] *nat_le_Limit*[unfolded *Limit_def*]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ord}(\omega) \wedge 0 < \omega \wedge (\forall y. y < \omega \rightarrow \text{succ}(y) < \omega) \\ \text{Ord}(i) \wedge 0 < i \wedge (\forall y. y < i \rightarrow \text{succ}(y) < i) \implies \omega \leq i \end{aligned}$$

hide_const (open) Order.pred
thm *add_0_right* *add_succ_right* *pred_0* *pred_succ_eq*

$$\begin{aligned} m \#+ \text{succ}(n) &= \text{succ}(m \#+ n) \\ m \in \omega \implies m \#+ 0 &= m \\ \text{pred}(0) &= 0 \\ \text{pred}(\text{succ}(y)) &= y \end{aligned}$$

Lists

thm *Nil Cons list.induct*

$$\begin{aligned} [] &\in \text{list}(A) \\ [[a \in A; l \in \text{list}(A)] \implies \text{Cons}(a, l) \in \text{list}(A)] \\ [[x \in \text{list}(A); P([]); \bigwedge a l. [a \in A; l \in \text{list}(A); P(l)] \implies P(\text{Cons}(a, l))] \\ &\implies P(x)] \end{aligned}$$

thm *length.simps app.simps nth_0 nth_Cons*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}([]) &= 0 \\ \text{length}(\text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{succ}(\text{length}(l)) \\ [] @ ys &= ys \\ \text{Cons}(a, l) @ ys &= \text{Cons}(a, l @ ys) \\ \text{nth}(0, \text{Cons}(a, l)) &= a \\ n \in \omega \implies \text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{nth}(n, l) \end{aligned}$$

Relative quantifications

lemma $\forall x[M]. P(x) \equiv \forall x. M(x) \longrightarrow P(x)$
 $\exists x[M]. P(x) \equiv \exists x. M(x) \wedge P(x)$
{proof}

thm *setclass_iff*

$$(\#\#A)(x) \longleftrightarrow x \in A$$

35.2 ZF-Constructible

thm *big_union_def*

$$\text{big_union}(M, A, z) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in A \wedge x \in y)$$

thm *Union_ax_def*

$$\text{Union_ax}(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. \text{big_union}(M, x, z)$$

thm *power_ax_def[unfolded powerset_def subset_def]*

$$\text{power_ax}(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. \forall xa[M]. xa \in z \longleftrightarrow (\forall xb[M]. xb \in xa \longrightarrow xb \in x)$$

thm *upair_def*

$$\text{upair}(M, a, b, z) \equiv a \in z \wedge b \in z \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in z \longrightarrow x = a \vee x = b)$$

thm *pair_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pair}(M, a, b, z) \equiv \\ \exists x[M]. \text{upair}(M, a, a, x) \wedge (\exists y[M]. \text{upair}(M, a, b, y) \wedge \text{upair}(M, x, y, z)) \end{aligned}$$

thm *successor_def*[*unfolded is_cons_def union_def*]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{successor}(M, a, z) \equiv \\ \exists x[M]. \text{upair}(M, a, a, x) \wedge (\forall xa[M]. xa \in z \longleftrightarrow xa \in x \vee xa \in a) \end{aligned}$$

thm *upair_ax_def*

$$\text{upair_ax}(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \exists z[M]. \text{upair}(M, x, y, z)$$

thm *foundation_ax_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{foundation_ax}(M) \equiv \\ \forall x[M]. (\exists y[M]. y \in x) \longrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in x \wedge \neg (\exists z[M]. z \in x \wedge z \in y)) \end{aligned}$$

thm *extensionality_def*

$$\text{extensionality}(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. (\forall z[M]. z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y) \longrightarrow x = y$$

thm *separation_def*

$$\text{separation}(M, P) \equiv \forall z[M]. \exists y[M]. \forall x[M]. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge P(x)$$

thm *univalent_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{univalent}(M, A, P) \equiv \\ \forall x[M]. x \in A \longrightarrow (\forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. P(x, y) \wedge P(x, z) \longrightarrow y = z) \end{aligned}$$

thm *strong_replacement_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{strong_replacement}(M, P) \equiv \\ \forall A[M]. \text{univalent}(M, A, P) \longrightarrow (\exists Y[M]. \forall b[M]. b \in Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge P(x, b))) \end{aligned}$$

thm *empty_def*

$$\text{empty}(M, z) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \notin z$$

thm *transitive_set_def*[*unfolded subset_def*]

$$\text{transitive_set}(M, a) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow (\forall xa[M]. xa \in x \longrightarrow xa \in a)$$

thm *ordinal_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ordinal}(M, a) \equiv \\ \text{transitive_set}(M, a) \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow \text{transitive_set}(M, x)) \end{aligned}$$

thm *image_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{image}(M, r, A, z) \equiv \\ \forall y[M]. y \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \wedge (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge \text{pair}(M, x, y, w))) \end{aligned}$$

thm *fun_apply_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fun_apply}(M, f, x, y) \equiv \\ \exists xs[M]. \\ \exists fxs[M]. \text{upair}(M, x, x, xs) \wedge \text{image}(M, f, xs, fxs) \wedge \text{big_union}(M, fxs, y) \end{aligned}$$

thm *is_function_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{is_function}(M, r) \equiv \\ \forall x[M]. \\ \forall y[M]. \\ \forall y'[M]. \\ \forall p[M]. \\ \forall p'[M]. \\ \text{pair}(M, x, y, p) \longrightarrow \\ \text{pair}(M, x, y', p') \longrightarrow p \in r \longrightarrow p' \in r \longrightarrow y = y' \end{aligned}$$

thm *is_relation_def*

$$\text{is_relation}(M, r) \equiv \forall z[M]. z \in r \longrightarrow (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, z))$$

thm *is_domain_def*

is_domain(M, r, z) \equiv
 $\forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \wedge (\exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, w)))$

thm *typed_function_def*

typed_function(M, A, B, r) \equiv
 $\text{is_function}(M, r) \wedge$
 $\text{is_relation}(M, r) \wedge$
 $\text{is_domain}(M, r, A) \wedge$
 $(\forall u[M]. u \in r \longrightarrow (\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, u) \longrightarrow y \in B))$

thm *surjection_def*

surjection(M, A, B, f) \equiv
 $\text{typed_function}(M, A, B, f) \wedge$
 $(\forall y[M]. y \in B \longrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge \text{fun_apply}(M, f, x, y)))$

Internalized formulas

thm *Member Equal Nand Forall formula.induct*

$\llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \implies \text{Member}(x, y) \in \text{formula}$
 $\llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \implies \text{Equal}(x, y) \in \text{formula}$
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula}; q \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \text{Nand}(p, q) \in \text{formula}$
 $p \in \text{formula} \implies \text{Forall}(p) \in \text{formula}$
 $\llbracket x \in \text{formula}; \bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \implies P(\text{Member}(x, y));$
 $\bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \implies P(\text{Equal}(x, y));$
 $\bigwedge p q. \llbracket p \in \text{formula}; P(p); q \in \text{formula}; P(q) \rrbracket \implies P(\text{Nand}(p, q));$
 $\bigwedge p. \llbracket p \in \text{formula}; P(p) \rrbracket \implies P(\text{Forall}(p)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies P(x)$

thm *arity.simps*

arity($\text{Member}(x, y)$) = $\text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
arity($\text{Equal}(x, y)$) = $\text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
arity($\text{Nand}(p, q)$) = *arity*(p) \cup *arity*(q)
arity($\text{Forall}(p)$) = *pred*(*arity*(p))

thm *mem_iff_sats equal_iff_sats sats_Nand_iff sats_Forall_iff*

$\llbracket \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies x \in y \longleftrightarrow A, \text{env} \models \text{Member}(i, j)$
 $\llbracket \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies x = y \longleftrightarrow A, \text{env} \models \text{Equal}(i, j)$
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies A, \text{env} \models \text{Nand}(p, q) \longleftrightarrow \neg(A, \text{env} \models p \wedge A, \text{env} \models q)$
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies A, \text{env} \models \text{Forall}(p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. A, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env}) \models p)$

35.3 Forcing

thm *infinity_ax_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{infinity_ax}(M) \equiv \\ \exists I[M]. \\ (\exists z[M]. \text{empty}(M, z) \wedge z \in I) \wedge \\ (\forall y[M]. y \in I \longrightarrow (\exists sy[M]. \text{successor}(M, y, sy) \wedge sy \in I)) \end{aligned}$$

thm *choice_ax_def*

$$\text{choice_ax}(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists a[M]. \exists f[M]. \text{ordinal}(M, a) \wedge \text{surjection}(M, a, x, f)$$

thm *ZF_union_fm_iff_sats ZF_power_fm_iff_sats ZF_pairing_fm_iff_sats*
ZF.foundation_fm_iff_sats ZF_extensionality_fm_iff_sats
ZF_infinity_fm_iff_sats sats_ZF_separation_fm_iff
sats_ZF_replacement_fm_iff ZF_choice_fm_iff_sats

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Union_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \text{ZF_union_fm} \\ \text{power_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \text{ZF_power_fm} \\ \text{upair_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \text{ZF_pairing_fm} \\ \text{foundation_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \text{ZF_foundation_fm} \\ \text{extensionality}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \text{ZF_extensionality_fm} \\ \text{infinity_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \text{ZF_infinity_fm} \\ \varphi \in \text{formula} &\implies \\ M, [] \models \text{ZF_separation_fm}(\varphi) &\longleftrightarrow \\ (\forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(M). \\ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 \#+ \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ \text{env} \models \varphi)) \\ \varphi \in \text{formula} &\implies \\ M, [] \models \text{ZF_replacement_fm}(\varphi) &\longleftrightarrow \\ (\forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(M). \\ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \#+ \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow \\ \text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. M, [x, y] @ \text{env} \models \varphi)) \\ \text{choice_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \text{ZF_choice_fm} \end{aligned}$$

thm *ZF_fin_def ZF_inf_def ZF_def ZFC_fin_def ZFC_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ZF_fin} &\equiv \\ \{\text{ZF_extensionality_fm}, \text{ZF_foundation_fm}, \text{ZF_pairing_fm}, \text{ZF_union_fm}, \\ \text{ZF_infinity_fm}, \text{ZF_power_fm}\} \\ \text{ZF_inf} &\equiv \\ \{\text{ZF_separation_fm}(p) . p \in \text{formula}\} \cup \{\text{ZF_replacement_fm}(p) . p \in \text{formula}\} \\ \text{ZF} &\equiv \text{ZF_inf} \cup \text{ZF_fin} \\ \text{ZFC_fin} &\equiv \text{ZF_fin} \cup \{\text{ZF_choice_fm}\} \\ \text{ZFC} &\equiv \text{ZF_inf} \cup \text{ZFC_fin} \end{aligned}$$

thm *satT_def*

$$A \models \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi \in \Phi. A, [] \models \varphi$$

thm *extensions_of_ctms*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket M \approx \omega; \text{Transset}(M); M \models ZF \rrbracket \\ \implies & \exists N. M \subseteq N \wedge \\ & N \approx \omega \wedge \\ & \text{Transset}(N) \wedge \\ & N \models ZF \wedge \\ & M \neq N \wedge \\ & (\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N) \wedge (M, [] \models \text{ZF_choice_fm} \longrightarrow N \\ \models & ZFC) \end{aligned}$$

end

References

- [1] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, First steps towards a formalization of forcing, in: Proceedings of the 13th Workshop on Logical and Semantic Frameworks with Applications, LSFA 2018, Fortaleza, Brazil, September 26-28, 2018, pp. 119–136 (2018).
- [2] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, Mechanization of Separation in Generic Extensions, *arXiv e-prints* **1901.03313** (2019).
- [3] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, Formalization of Forcing in Isabelle/ZF, *arXiv e-prints* **2001.09715** (2020).
- [4] L.C. PAULSON, K. GRABCZEWSKI, Mechanizing set theory, *J. Autom. Reasoning* **17**: 291–323 (1996).