

Formalization of Forcing in Isabelle/ZF

Emmanuel Gunther* Miguel Pagano* Pedro Sánchez Terraf*†

September 14, 2021

Abstract

We formalize the theory of forcing in the set theory framework of Isabelle/ZF. Under the assumption of the existence of a countable transitive model of ZFC , we construct a proper generic extension and show that the latter also satisfies ZFC .

Contents

1	Introduction	6
2	Forcing notions	6
2.1	Basic concepts	6
2.2	Towards Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma (RSL)	10
3	A pointed version of DC	12
4	The general Rasiowa-Sikorski lemma	14
5	Auxiliary results on arithmetic	14
5.1	Some results in ordinal arithmetic	17
6	Various results missing from ZF.	17
7	Some enhanced theorems on recursion	20
8	Automatic synthesis of formulas	23
9	Aids to internalize formulas	23

*Universidad Nacional de Córdoba. Facultad de Matemática, Astronomía, Física y Computación.

†Centro de Investigación y Estudios de Matemática (CIEM-FaMAF), Conicet. Córdoba. Argentina. Supported by Secyt-UNC project 33620180100465CB.

10 The binder <i>Least</i>	26
10.1 Uniqueness, absoluteness and closure under <i>Least</i>	27
11 Fully relational versions of higher order construct	28
12 Automatic relativization of terms and formulas.	30
12.1 Discipline for <i>Pow</i>	36
12.2 Discipline for <i>PiP</i>	37
12.3 Discipline for <i>Pi</i>	39
12.4 Auxiliary ported results on <i>Pi_rel</i> , now unused	41
13 Arities of internalized formulas	42
13.1 Discipline for $\lambda A B. A \rightarrow B$	48
13.2 Discipline for <i>Collect</i> terms.	50
13.3 Discipline for <i>inj</i>	51
13.4 Discipline for <i>surj</i>	53
13.5 Discipline for <i>bij</i>	55
13.6 Discipline for (\approx)	56
13.7 Discipline for (\lesssim)	57
13.8 Discipline for (\prec)	58
14 Relativization of the cumulative hierarchy	59
14.1 Formula synthesis	60
14.2 Absoluteness results	61
15 Renaming of variables in internalized formulas	64
15.1 Renaming of free variables	64
15.2 Renaming of formulas	67
16 Interface between set models and Constructibility	69
16.1 Interface with <i>M_trivial</i>	71
16.2 Interface with <i>M_basic</i>	71
16.3 Interface with <i>M_trancl</i>	76
16.4 Interface with <i>M_eclose</i>	77
16.5 Interface for proving Collects and Replace in M.	82
17 Transitive set models of ZF	84
17.1 A forcing locale and generic filters	85
18 Names and generic extensions	86
18.1 The well-founded relation <i>ed</i>	87
18.2 Values and check-names	89
19 Well-founded relation on names	96

20 Replacements using Lambdas	109
20.1 Replacement instances obtained through Powerset	111
20.2 Particular instances	118
21 Relative, Choice-less Cardinal Numbers	122
21.1 The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem	123
21.2 lesspoll_rel: contributions by Krzysztof Grabczewski	126
22 Porting from ZF.Cardinal	128
23 Relative, Choice-less Cardinal Arithmetic	135
23.1 Cardinal addition	138
23.1.1 Cardinal addition is commutative	138
23.1.2 Cardinal addition is associative	138
23.1.3 0 is the identity for addition	139
23.1.4 Addition by another cardinal	139
23.1.5 Monotonicity of addition	139
23.1.6 Addition of finite cardinals is "ordinary" addition . . .	139
23.2 Cardinal multiplication	140
23.2.1 Cardinal multiplication is commutative	140
23.2.2 Cardinal multiplication is associative	140
23.2.3 Cardinal multiplication distributes over addition . .	140
23.2.4 Multiplication by 0 yields 0	141
23.2.5 1 is the identity for multiplication	141
23.3 Some inequalities for multiplication	141
23.3.1 Multiplication by a non-zero cardinal	141
23.3.2 Monotonicity of multiplication	141
23.4 Multiplication of finite cardinals is "ordinary" multiplication .	141
23.5 Infinite Cardinals are Limit Ordinals	142
23.5.1 Toward's Kunen's Corollary 10.13 (1)	149
23.6 For Every Cardinal Number There Exists A Greater One .	150
23.7 Basic Properties of Successor Cardinals	151
23.7.1 Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski, proofs by lcp .	151
24 Cohen forcing notions	155
24.1 MOVE THIS to an appropriate place	157
24.2 Combinatorial results on Cohen posets	157
25 Relativization of Finite Functions	158
25.1 The set of finite binary sequences	158
25.2 Representation of finite functions	159

26 Relative, Cardinal Arithmetic Using AC	161
26.1 Strengthened Forms of Existing Theorems on Cardinals	162
26.2 The relationship between cardinality and le-pollence	163
26.3 Other Applications of AC	164
27 Library of basic ZF results	165
28 Cardinal Arithmetic under Choice	172
28.1 More Instances of Separation	178
28.2 More Instances of Replacement	193
29 Separative notions and proper extensions	205
30 A poset of successions	206
30.1 Cohen extension is proper	209
31 The ZFC axioms, internalized	209
31.1 The Axiom of Separation, internalized	210
31.2 The Axiom of Replacement, internalized	212
32 The definition of forces	215
32.1 The relation <i>frecrel</i>	215
32.2 Definition of <i>forces</i> for equality and membership	216
32.3 The well-founded relation <i>forcerel</i>	218
32.4 <i>frc_at</i> , forcing for atomic formulas	218
32.5 Recursive expression of <i>frc_at</i>	226
32.6 Absoluteness of <i>frc_at</i>	227
32.7 Forcing for general formulas	228
32.7.1 The primitive recursion	230
32.8 Forcing for atomic formulas in context	230
32.9 The arity of <i>forces</i>	232
33 The Forcing Theorems	233
33.1 The forcing relation in context	233
33.2 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)	233
33.3 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)	233
33.4 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(b)	234
33.5 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.38	234
33.6 The relation of forcing and atomic formulas	235
33.7 The relation of forcing and connectives	235
33.8 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.29	236
33.9 Auxiliary results for Lemma IV.2.40(a)	236
33.10 Induction on names	238
33.11 Lemma IV.2.40(a), in full	238
33.12 Lemma IV.2.40(b)	239

33.13	The Strenghtening Lemma	240
33.14	The Density Lemma	240
33.15	The Truth Lemma	241
33.16	The “Definition of forcing”	242
34	Auxiliary renamings for Separation	243
35	The Axiom of Separation in $M[G]$	245
36	The Axiom of Pairing in $M[G]$	246
37	The Axiom of Unions in $M[G]$	247
38	The Powerset Axiom in $M[G]$	248
39	The Axiom of Extensionality in $M[G]$	249
40	The Axiom of Foundation in $M[G]$	249
41	The Axiom of Replacement in $M[G]$	250
42	The Axiom of Infinity in $M[G]$	254
43	The Axiom of Choice in $M[G]$	255
43.1	$M[G]$ is a transitive model of ZF	257
44	Ordinals in generic extensions	258
45	The main theorem	258
45.1	The generic extension is countable	259
45.2	The main result	259
46	Cardinal Arithmetic under Choice	259
46.1	Miscellaneous	259
46.2	Countable and uncountable sets	262
46.3	Results on Aleph_rels	265
46.4	Applications of transfinite recursive constructions	266
47	The Delta System Lemma, Relativized	267
48	Cohen forcing notions	268
49	From M to V	289
49.1	Locales of a class M hold in \mathcal{V}	290

50 Main definitions of the development	292
50.1 ZF	292
50.2 Relative concepts	294
50.3 Forcing	299

1 Introduction

We formalize the theory of forcing. We work on top of the Isabelle/ZF framework developed by Paulson and Grabczewski [4]. Our mechanization is described in more detail in our papers [1] (LSFA 2018), [2], and [3] (IJCAR 2020).

Release notes

We have improved several aspects of our development before submitting it to the AFP:

1. Our session `Forcing` depends on the new release of `ZF-Constructible`.
2. We streamlined the commands for synthesizing renames and formulas.
3. The command that synthesizes formulas produces the lemmas for them (the synthesized term is a formula and the equivalence between the satisfaction of the synthesized term and the relativized term).
4. Consistently use of structured proofs using Isar (except for one coming from a schematic goal command).

A cross-linked HTML version of the development can be found at <https://cs.famaf.unc.edu.ar/~pedro/forcing/>.

2 Forcing notions

This theory defines a locale for forcing notions, that is, preorders with a distinguished maximum element.

```
theory Forcing_Notions
  imports ZF-Constructible.Relative
begin
```

2.1 Basic concepts

We say that two elements p, q are *compatible* if they have a lower bound in P

```
definition compat_in ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
```

compat_in(A, r, p, q) $\equiv \exists d \in A . \langle d, p \rangle \in r \wedge \langle d, q \rangle \in r$

definition

is_compat_in :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_compat_in(M, A, r, p, q) \equiv \exists d[M]. d \in A \wedge (\exists dp[M]. pair(M, d, p, dp) \wedge dp \in r \wedge (\exists dq[M]. pair(M, d, q, dq) \wedge dq \in r))$

lemma *compat_inI* :

$\llbracket d \in A ; \langle d, p \rangle \in r ; \langle d, g \rangle \in r \rrbracket \implies compat_in(A, r, p, g)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *refl_compat*:

$\llbracket refl(A, r) ; \langle p, q \rangle \in r \mid p = q \mid \langle q, p \rangle \in r ; p \in A ; q \in A \rrbracket \implies compat_in(A, r, p, q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *chain_compat*:

$refl(A, r) \implies linear(A, r) \implies (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. compat_in(A, r, p, q))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset_fun_image*: $f: N \rightarrow P \implies f``N \subseteq P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *refl_monot_domain*: $refl(B, r) \implies A \subseteq B \implies refl(A, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *forcing_notion* =
fixes P *leq one*
assumes *one_in_P*: $one \in P$
and *leq_preord*: $preorder_on(P, leq)$
and *one_max*: $\forall p \in P. \langle p, one \rangle \in leq$
begin

abbreviation *Leq* :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \preceq 50)
where $x \preceq y \equiv \langle x, y \rangle \in leq$

lemma *refl_leq*:
 $r \in P \implies r \preceq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A set D is *dense* if every element $p \in P$ has a lower bound in D .

definition

dense :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $dense(D) \equiv \forall p \in P. \exists d \in D . d \preceq p$

There is also a weaker definition which asks for a lower bound in D only for the elements below some fixed element q .

definition

dense_below :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $dense_below(D, q) \equiv \forall p \in P. p \preceq q \longrightarrow (\exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \preceq p)$

lemma P_dense : $dense(P)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$increasing :: i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $increasing(F) \equiv \forall x \in F. \forall p \in P. x \leq p \rightarrow p \in F$

definition

$compat :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $compat(p,q) \equiv compat_in(P, leq, p, q)$

lemma leq_transD : $a \leq b \Rightarrow b \leq c \Rightarrow a \in P \Rightarrow b \in P \Rightarrow c \in P \Rightarrow a \leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma leq_transD' : $A \subseteq P \Rightarrow a \leq b \Rightarrow b \leq c \Rightarrow a \in A \Rightarrow b \in P \Rightarrow c \in P \Rightarrow a \leq c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $compatD[dest!]$: $compat(p,q) \Rightarrow \exists d \in P. d \leq p \wedge d \leq q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $Incompatible :: [i, i] \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \perp 50)
where $p \perp q \equiv \neg compat(p,q)$

lemma $compatI[intro!]$: $d \in P \Rightarrow d \leq p \Rightarrow d \leq q \Rightarrow compat(p,q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $denseD[dest]$: $dense(D) \Rightarrow p \in P \Rightarrow \exists d \in D. d \leq p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $denseI[intro!]$: $\llbracket \lambda p. p \in P \Rightarrow \exists d \in D. d \leq p \rrbracket \Rightarrow dense(D)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dense_belowD[dest]$:
assumes $dense_below(D,p)$ $q \in P$ $q \leq p$
shows $\exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \leq q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dense_belowI[intro!]$:
assumes $\lambda q. q \in P \Rightarrow q \leq p \Rightarrow \exists d \in D. d \in P \wedge d \leq q$
shows $dense_below(D,p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dense_below_cong$: $p \in P \Rightarrow D = D' \Rightarrow dense_below(D,p) \leftrightarrow dense_below(D',p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $dense_below_cong'$: $p \in P \Rightarrow \llbracket \lambda x. x \in P \Rightarrow Q(x) \leftrightarrow Q'(x) \rrbracket \Rightarrow$
 $dense_below(\{q \in P. Q(q)\}, p) \leftrightarrow dense_below(\{q \in P. Q'(q)\}, p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_mono*: $p \in P \implies D \subseteq D' \implies \text{dense_below}(D, p) \implies \text{dense_below}(D', p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_under*:

assumes $\text{dense_below}(D, p) \quad p \in P \quad q \in P \quad q \leq p$
shows $\text{dense_below}(D, q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ideal_dense_below*:

assumes $\bigwedge q. q \in P \implies q \leq p \implies q \in D$
shows $\text{dense_below}(D, p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dense_below_dense_below*:

assumes $\text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. \text{dense_below}(D, q)\}, p) \quad p \in P$
shows $\text{dense_below}(D, p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A filter is an increasing set G with all its elements being compatible in G .

definition

filter :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{filter}(G) \equiv G \subseteq P \wedge \text{increasing}(G) \wedge (\forall p \in G. \forall q \in G. \text{compat_in}(G, \text{leq}, p, q))$

lemma *filterD* : $\text{filter}(G) \implies x \in G \implies x \in P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_leqD* : $\text{filter}(G) \implies x \in G \implies y \in P \implies x \leq y \implies y \in G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_imp_compat*: $\text{filter}(G) \implies p \in G \implies q \in G \implies \text{compat}(p, q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *low_bound_filter*: — says the compatibility is attained inside G

assumes $\text{filter}(G)$ **and** $p \in G$ **and** $q \in G$
shows $\exists r \in G. r \leq p \wedge r \leq q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We finally introduce the upward closure of a set and prove that the closure of A is a filter if its elements are compatible in A .

definition

upclosure :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{upclosure}(A) \equiv \{p \in P. \exists a \in A. a \leq p\}$

lemma *upclosureI* [intro] : $p \in P \implies a \in A \implies a \leq p \implies p \in \text{upclosure}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upclosureE* [elim] :

$p \in \text{upclosure}(A) \implies (\bigwedge x. a. x \in P \implies a \in A \implies a \leq x \implies R) \implies R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upclosureD* [*dest*] :
 $p \in \text{upclosure}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. (a \leq p) \wedge p \in P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upclosure_increasing* :
assumes $A \subseteq P$
shows *increasing*(*upclosure*(*A*))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *upclosure_in_P*: $A \subseteq P \implies \text{upclosure}(A) \subseteq P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *A_sub_upclosure*: $A \subseteq P \implies A \subseteq \text{upclosure}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *elem_upclosure*: $A \subseteq P \implies x \in A \implies x \in \text{upclosure}(A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *closure_compat_filter*:
assumes $A \subseteq P$ ($\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A. \text{compat_in}(A, \text{leq}, p, q)$)
shows *filter*(*upclosure*(*A*))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *aux_RS1*: $f \in N \rightarrow P \implies n \in N \implies f^*n \in \text{upclosure}(f `` N)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decr_succ_decr*:
assumes $f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P$ *preorder_on*(*P*, *leq*)
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f ` \text{succ}(n), f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq}$
 $m \in \text{nat}$
shows $n \in \text{nat} \implies n \leq m \implies \langle f ` m, f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *decr_seq_linear*:
assumes *refl*(*P*, *leq*) $f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow P$
 $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f ` \text{succ}(n), f ` n \rangle \in \text{leq}$
trans[*P*](*leq*)
shows *linear*($f `` \text{nat}$, *leq*)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

2.2 Towards Rasiowa-Sikorski Lemma (RSL)

locale *countable_generic* = *forcing_notion* +
fixes \mathcal{D}

```

assumes countable_subsets_of_P:  $\mathcal{D} \in \text{nat} \rightarrow \text{Pow}(P)$ 
and seq_of_denses:  $\forall n \in \text{nat}. \text{dense}(\mathcal{D}^n)$ 

```

begin

definition

```

 $D_{\text{generic}} :: i \Rightarrow o$  where
 $D_{\text{generic}}(G) \equiv \text{filter}(G) \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. (\mathcal{D}^n) \cap G \neq \emptyset)$ 

```

The next lemma identifies a sufficient condition for obtaining RSL.

lemma RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski:

assumes

```

 $p \in P$   $f : \text{nat} \rightarrow P$   $f^0 = p$ 
 $\wedge \forall n. n \in \text{nat} \implies f^{\text{succ}(n)} \preceq f^n \wedge f^{\text{succ}(n)} \in \mathcal{D}^n$ 

```

shows

```

 $\exists G. p \in G \wedge D_{\text{generic}}(G)$ 

```

(proof)

end

— TODO: already in ZF Library

lemma Pi_rangeD:

```

assumes  $f \in \text{Pi}(A, B)$   $b \in \text{range}(f)$ 
shows  $\exists a \in A. f^a = b$ 
(proof)

```

Now, the following recursive definition will fulfill the requirements of lemma *RS_sequence_imp_rasiowa_sikorski*

consts RS_seq :: $[i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$

primrec

```

RS_seq(0, P, leq, p, enum,  $\mathcal{D}$ ) = p
RS_seq(succ(n), P, leq, p, enum,  $\mathcal{D}$ ) =
enum^ $n$  ( $\mu m. \langle \text{enum}^m, RS_{\text{seq}}(n, P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, \mathcal{D}) \rangle \in \text{leq} \wedge \text{enum}^m \in \mathcal{D}^n$ )

```

context countable_generic

begin

lemma countable_RS_sequence_aux:

fixes p enum

defines $f(n) \equiv RS_{\text{seq}}(n, P, \text{leq}, p, \text{enum}, \mathcal{D})$

and $Q(q, k, m) \equiv \text{enum}^m \preceq q \wedge \text{enum}^m \in \mathcal{D}^k$

assumes $n \in \text{nat}$ $p \in P$ $P \subseteq \text{range}(\text{enum})$ $\text{enum}: \text{nat} \rightarrow M$

$\wedge \forall x. x \in P \implies k \in \text{nat} \implies \exists q \in P. q \preceq x \wedge q \in \mathcal{D}^k$

shows

```

 $f(\text{succ}(n)) \in P \wedge f(\text{succ}(n)) \preceq f(n) \wedge f(\text{succ}(n)) \in \mathcal{D}^n$ 
(proof)

```

lemma countable_RS_sequence:

fixes p enum

```

defines  $f \equiv \lambda n \in \text{nat}. RS\_seq(n, P, leq, p, enum, \mathcal{D})$ 
      and  $Q(q, k, m) \equiv \text{enum}'m \preceq q \wedge \text{enum}'m \in \mathcal{D} \setminus k$ 
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$   $p \in P$   $P \subseteq \text{range}(\text{enum})$   $\text{enum} : \text{nat} \rightarrow M$ 
shows
 $f'0 = p$   $f'succ(n) \preceq f'n \wedge f'succ(n) \in \mathcal{D} \setminus n$   $f'succ(n) \in P$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $RS\_seq\_type$ :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$   $p \in P$   $P \subseteq \text{range}(\text{enum})$   $\text{enum} : \text{nat} \rightarrow M$ 
shows  $RS\_seq(n, P, leq, p, enum, \mathcal{D}) \in P$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma  $RS\_seq\_funtype$ :
assumes  $p \in P$   $P \subseteq \text{range}(\text{enum})$   $\text{enum} : \text{nat} \rightarrow M$ 
shows  $(\lambda n \in \text{nat}. RS\_seq(n, P, leq, p, enum, \mathcal{D})) : \text{nat} \rightarrow P$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemmas  $countable\_rasiowa\_sikorski =$ 
 $RS\_sequence\_imp\_rasiowa\_sikorski[OF\_RS\_seq\_funtype countable\_RS\_sequence(1,2)]$ 

end

end

```

3 A pointed version of DC

theory *Pointed_DC* imports *ZF.AC*

begin

This proof of DC is from Moschovakis "Notes on Set Theory"

```

consts  $dc\_witness :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ 
primrec
 $wit0 : dc\_witness(0, A, a, s, R) = a$ 
 $witrec : dc\_witness(succ(n), A, a, s, R) = s \{x \in A. \langle dc\_witness(n, A, a, s, R), x \rangle \in R\}$ 

```

```

lemma  $witness\_into\_A [TC]$ :
assumes  $a \in A$ 
 $(\forall X . X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s'X \in X)$ 
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0 \ n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $dc\_witness(n, A, a, s, R) \in A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma  $witness\_related :$ 
assumes  $a \in A$ 
 $(\forall X . X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s'X \in X)$ 
 $\forall y \in A. \{x \in A. \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0 \ n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\langle dc\_witness(n, A, a, s, R), dc\_witness(succ(n), A, a, s, R) \rangle \in R$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma witness_funtype:
  assumes a∈A
    ( $\forall X . X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s^*X \in X$ )
     $\forall y \in A . \{x \in A . \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0$ 
  shows  $(\lambda n \in \text{nat}. dc\_witness(n, A, a, s, R)) \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A$  (is ?f ∈ _ → _)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma witness_to_fun: assumes a∈A
  ( $\forall X . X \neq 0 \wedge X \subseteq A \longrightarrow s^*X \in X$ )
   $\forall y \in A . \{x \in A . \langle y, x \rangle \in R\} \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A . \forall n \in \text{nat}. f^*n = dc\_witness(n, A, a, s, R)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem pointed_DC :
  assumes  $(\forall x \in A . \exists y \in A . \langle x, y \rangle \in R)$ 
  shows  $\forall a \in A . (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A . f^*0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat}. \langle f^*n, f^*\text{succ}(n) \rangle \in R))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma aux_DC_on_AxNat2 :  $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R \implies$ 
   $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat} . \exists y \in A \times \text{nat} . \langle x, y \rangle \in \{\langle a, b \rangle \in R . \text{snd}(b) = \text{succ}(\text{snd}(a))\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma infer_snd :  $c \in A \times B \implies \text{snd}(c) = k \implies c = \langle \text{fst}(c), k \rangle$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

corollary DC_on_A_x_nat :
  assumes  $(\forall x \in A \times \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R) \quad a \in A$ 
  shows  $\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A . f^*0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat} . \langle \langle f^*n, n \rangle, \langle f^*\text{succ}(n), \text{succ}(n) \rangle \rangle \in R)$  (is
   $\exists x \in \_. ?P(x)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma aux_sequence_DC :
  assumes  $\forall x \in A . \forall n \in \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, y \rangle \in S^*n$ 
   $R = \{\langle \langle x, n \rangle, \langle y, m \rangle \rangle \in (A \times \text{nat}) \times (A \times \text{nat}) . \langle x, y \rangle \in S^*m\}$ 
  shows  $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in R$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma aux_sequence_DC2 :  $\forall x \in A . \forall n \in \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, y \rangle \in S^*n \implies$ 
   $\forall x \in A \times \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, \langle y, \text{succ}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle \in \{\langle \langle x, n \rangle, \langle y, m \rangle \rangle \in (A \times \text{nat}) \times (A \times \text{nat}) .$ 
   $\langle x, y \rangle \in S^*m\}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sequence_DC:
  assumes  $\forall x \in A . \forall n \in \text{nat} . \exists y \in A . \langle x, y \rangle \in S^*n$ 
  shows  $\forall a \in A . (\exists f \in \text{nat} \rightarrow A . f^*0 = a \wedge (\forall n \in \text{nat} . \langle f^*n, f^*\text{succ}(n) \rangle \in S^*\text{succ}(n)))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

4 The general Rasiowa-Sikorski lemma

```

theory Rasiowa_Sikorski imports Forcing_Notions Pointed_DC begin

context countable_generic
begin

lemma RS_relation:
  assumes p ∈ P n ∈ nat
  shows ∃ y ∈ P. ⟨p, y⟩ ∈ (λm ∈ nat. {⟨x, y⟩ ∈ P × P. y ≤ x ∧ y ∈ D‘(pred(m))}) ‘n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma DC_imp_RS_sequence:
  assumes p ∈ P
  shows ∃ f. f: nat → P ∧ f ‘ 0 = p ∧
    (∀ n ∈ nat. f ‘ succ(n) ≤ f ‘ n ∧ f ‘ succ(n) ∈ D ‘ n)
  ⟨proof⟩

theorem rasiowa_sikorski:
  p ∈ P ⇒ ∃ G. p ∈ G ∧ D_generic(G)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

5 Auxiliary results on arithmetic

theory Nat_Miscellanea **imports** ZF **begin**

Most of these results will get used at some point for the calculation of arities.

```

lemmas nat_succI = Ord_succ_mem_iff [THEN iffD2, OF nat_into_Ord]

lemma nat_succD : m ∈ nat ⇒ succ(n) ∈ succ(m) ⇒ n ∈ m
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas zero_in_succ = ltD [OF nat_0_le]

lemma in_n_in_nat : m ∈ nat ⇒ n ∈ m ⇒ n ∈ nat
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in_succ_in_nat : m ∈ nat ⇒ n ∈ succ(m) ⇒ n ∈ nat
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ltI_neg : x ∈ nat ⇒ j ≤ x ⇒ j ≠ x ⇒ j < x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma succ_pred_eq : m ∈ nat ⇒ m ≠ 0 ⇒ succ(pred(m)) = m
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma succ_ltI : succ(j) < n  $\implies$  j < n
<proof>

lemma succ_In : n  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  succ(j)  $\in$  n  $\implies$  j  $\in$  n
<proof>

lemmas succ_leD = succ_leE[OF leI]

lemma succpred_leI : n  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  n  $\leq$  succ(pred(n))
<proof>

lemma succpred_n0 : succ(n)  $\in$  p  $\implies$  p  $\neq$  0
<proof>

lemmas natEin = natE [OF lt_nat_in_nat]

lemma succ_in : succ(x)  $\leq$  y  $\implies$  x  $\in$  y
<proof>

lemmas Un_least_lt_ifn = Un_least_lt_iff [OF nat_into_Ord nat_into_Ord]

lemma pred_type : m  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  n  $\leq$  m  $\implies$  n  $\in$  nat
<proof>

lemma pred_le : m  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  n  $\leq$  succ(m)  $\implies$  pred(n)  $\leq$  m
<proof>

lemma pred_le2 : n  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  m  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  pred(n)  $\leq$  m  $\implies$  n  $\leq$  succ(m)
<proof>

lemma Un_leD1 : Ord(i)  $\implies$  Ord(j)  $\implies$  Ord(k)  $\implies$  i  $\cup$  j  $\leq$  k  $\implies$  i  $\leq$  k
<proof>

lemma Un_leD2 : Ord(i)  $\implies$  Ord(j)  $\implies$  Ord(k)  $\implies$  i  $\cup$  j  $\leq$  k  $\implies$  j  $\leq$  k
<proof>

lemma gt1 : n  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  i  $\in$  n  $\implies$  i  $\neq$  0  $\implies$  i  $\neq$  1  $\implies$  1 < i
<proof>

lemma pred_mono : m  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  n  $\leq$  m  $\implies$  pred(n)  $\leq$  pred(m)
<proof>

lemma succ_mono : m  $\in$  nat  $\implies$  n  $\leq$  m  $\implies$  succ(n)  $\leq$  succ(m)
<proof>

lemma union_abs1 :

$$[\![ i \leq j ]\!] \implies i \cup j = j$$


```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *union_abs2* :
 $\llbracket i \leq j \rrbracket \implies j \cup i = j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord_un_max* : $Ord(i) \implies Ord(j) \implies i \cup j = max(i,j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord_max_ty* : $Ord(i) \implies Ord(j) \implies Ord(max(i,j))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *ord_simp_union* = *ord_un_max* *ord_max_ty* *max_def*

lemma *le_succ* : $x \in nat \implies x \leq succ(x)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le_pred* : $x \in nat \implies pred(x) \leq x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un_le_compat* : $o \leq p \implies q \leq r \implies Ord(o) \implies Ord(p) \implies Ord(q) \implies Ord(r) \implies o \cup q \leq p \cup r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un_le* : $p \leq r \implies q \leq r \implies Ord(p) \implies Ord(q) \implies Ord(r) \implies p \cup q \leq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un_leI3* : $o \leq r \implies p \leq r \implies q \leq r \implies Ord(o) \implies Ord(p) \implies Ord(q) \implies Ord(r) \implies o \cup p \cup q \leq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *diff_mono* :
assumes $m \in nat$ $n \in nat$ $p \in nat$ $m < n$ $p \leq m$
shows $m \# - p < n \# - p$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pred_Un*:
 $x \in nat \implies y \in nat \implies Arith.pred(succ(x) \cup y) = x \cup Arith.pred(y)$
 $x \in nat \implies y \in nat \implies Arith.pred(x \cup succ(y)) = Arith.pred(x) \cup y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le_natI* : $j \leq n \implies n \in nat \implies j \in nat$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le_natE* : $n \in nat \implies j < n \implies j \in n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma leD : assumes n∈nat j ≤ n
  shows j < n | j = n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

5.1 Some results in ordinal arithmetic

The following results are auxiliary to the proof of wellfoundedness of the relation *frecR*

```

lemma max_cong :
  assumes x ≤ y Ord(y) Ord(z)
  shows max(x,y) ≤ max(y,z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max_commutes :
  assumes Ord(x) Ord(y)
  shows max(x,y) = max(y,x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max_cong2 :
  assumes x ≤ y Ord(y) Ord(z) Ord(x)
  shows max(x,z) ≤ max(y,z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max_D1 :
  assumes x = y w < z Ord(x) Ord(w) Ord(z) max(x,w) = max(y,z)
  shows z ≤ y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma max_D2 :
  assumes w = y ∨ w = z x < y Ord(x) Ord(w) Ord(y) Ord(z) max(x,w) =
    max(y,z)
  shows x < w
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma oadd_lt_mono2 :
  assumes Ord(n) Ord(α) Ord(β) α < β x < n y < n 0 < n
  shows n ** α ++ x < n ** β ++ y
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

6 Various results missing from ZF.

```

theory ZF_Miscellanea
  imports
    ZF
    Nat_Miscellanea
begin

```

definition

SepReplace :: $[i, i \Rightarrow i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{SepReplace}(A, b, Q) \equiv \{y . x \in A, y = b(x) \wedge Q(x)\}$

syntax

$_SepReplace :: [i, pttrn, i, o] \Rightarrow i ((1\{_ .. / _ \in _, _}) _)$

translations

$\{b .. x \in A, Q\} \Rightarrow CONST \ SepReplace(A, \lambda x. b, \lambda x. Q)$

lemma *Sep_and_Replace*: $\{b(x) .. x \in A, P(x)\} = \{b(x) . x \in \{y \in A. P(y)\}\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SepReplace_subset* : $A \subseteq A' \Rightarrow \{b .. x \in A, Q\} \subseteq \{b .. x \in A', Q\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SepReplace_iff [simp]*: $y \in \{b(x) .. x \in A, P(x)\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A. y = b(x) \wedge P(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SepReplace_dom_implies* :
 $(\bigwedge x . x \in A \Rightarrow b(x) = b'(x)) \Rightarrow \{b(x) .. x \in A, Q(x)\} = \{b'(x) .. x \in A, Q(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *SepReplace_pred_implies* :
 $\forall x. Q(x) \rightarrow b(x) = b'(x) \Rightarrow \{b(x) .. x \in A, Q(x)\} = \{b'(x) .. x \in A, Q(x)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *funcI* : $f \in A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow a \in A \Rightarrow b = f^a a \Rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle \in f$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vimage_fun_sing*:
assumes $f \in A \rightarrow B$ $b \in B$
shows $\{a \in A . f^a a = b\} = f^{-1}\{b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *image_fun_subset*: $S \in A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow C \subseteq A \Rightarrow \{S^a x . x \in C\} = S^{aC}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subset_Diff_Un*: $X \subseteq A \Rightarrow A = (A - X) \cup X$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff_bij*:
assumes $\forall A \in F. X \subseteq A$ **shows** $(\lambda A \in F. A - X) \in bij(F, \{A - X . A \in F\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *function_space_nonempty*:
assumes $b \in B$
shows $(\lambda x \in A. b) : A \rightarrow B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *vimage_lam*: $(\lambda x \in A. f(x))^{-1} B = \{x \in A . f(x) \in B\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *range_fun_subset_codomain*:

assumes $h:B \rightarrow C$

shows $\text{range}(h) \subseteq C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi_rangeD*:

assumes $f \in \text{Pi}(A,B)$ $b \in \text{range}(f)$

shows $\exists a \in A. f'a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi_range_eq*: $f \in \text{Pi}(A,B) \implies \text{range}(f) = \{f`x . x \in A\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi_vimage_subset* : $f \in \text{Pi}(A,B) \implies f``C \subseteq A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

minimum :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{minimum}(r,B) \equiv \text{THE } b. \text{first}(b,B,r)$

lemma *minimum_in*: $\llbracket \text{well_ord}(A,r); B \subseteq A; B \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum}(r,B) \in B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well_ord_surj_imp_inj_inverse*:

assumes $\text{well_ord}(A,r)$ $h \in \text{surj}(A,B)$

shows $(\lambda b \in B. \text{minimum}(r, \{a \in A. h`a=b\})) \in \text{inj}(B,A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well_ord_surj_imp_lepoll*:

assumes $\text{well_ord}(A,r)$ $h \in \text{surj}(A,B)$

shows $B \lesssim A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *surj_imp_well_ord*:

assumes $\text{well_ord}(A,r)$ $h \in \text{surj}(A,B)$

shows $\exists s. \text{well_ord}(B,s)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pow_sing* : $\text{Pow}(\{a\}) = \{\emptyset, \{a\}\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pow_cons*:

shows $\text{Pow}(\text{cons}(a,A)) = \text{Pow}(A) \cup \{\{a\} \cup X . X : \text{Pow}(A)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *app_nm* :

assumes $n \in \text{nat}$ $m \in \text{nat}$ $f \in n \rightarrow m$ $x \in \text{nat}$

```

shows  $f`x \in \text{nat}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Upair_eq_cons:  $\text{Upair}(a,b) = \{a,b\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma converse_apply_eq :  $\text{converse}(f) ` x = \bigcup(f - `` \{x\})$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

7 Some enhanced theorems on recursion

```

theory Recursion_Thms
  imports ZF.Epsilon ZF-Constructible.Datatype_absolute

```

```
begin
```

We prove results concerning definitions by well-founded recursion on some relation R and its transitive closure $R^{\wedge *}$

```

lemma fld_restrict_eq :  $a \in A \implies (r \cap A \times A) - `` \{a\} = (r - `` \{a\}) \cap A)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fld_restrict_mono :  $\text{relation}(r) \implies A \subseteq B \implies r \cap A \times A \subseteq r \cap B \times B$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma fld_restrict_dom :
  assumes  $\text{relation}(r)$   $\text{domain}(r) \subseteq A$   $\text{range}(r) \subseteq A$ 
  shows  $r \cap A \times A = r$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition tr_down ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ 
  where  $\text{tr\_down}(r,a) = (r^{\wedge +}) - `` \{a\}$ 

```

```

lemma tr_downD :  $x \in \text{tr\_down}(r,a) \implies \langle x,a \rangle \in r^{\wedge +}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma pred_down :  $\text{relation}(r) \implies r - `` \{a\} \subseteq \text{tr\_down}(r,a)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma tr_down_mono :  $\text{relation}(r) \implies x \in r - `` \{a\} \implies \text{tr\_down}(r,x) \subseteq \text{tr\_down}(r,a)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma rest_eq :
  assumes  $\text{relation}(r)$  and  $r - `` \{a\} \subseteq B$  and  $a \in B$ 
  shows  $r - `` \{a\} = (r \cap B \times B) - `` \{a\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma wfrec_restr_eq :  $r' = r \cap A \times A \implies \text{wfrec}[A](r,a,H) = \text{wfrec}(r',a,H)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wfrec_restr* :
 assumes *rr*: *relation(r)* **and** *wfr*: *wf(r)*
 shows *a* $\in A \implies tr_down(r,a) \subseteq A \implies wfrec(r,a,H) = wfrec[A](r,a,H)
 $\langle proof \rangle$$

lemmas *wfrec_tr_down* = *wfrec_restr[OF ___ subset_refl]*

lemma *wfrec_trans_restr* : *relation(r) $\implies wf(r) \implies trans(r) \implies r -\backslash\{a\} \subseteq A \implies a \in A \implies wfrec(r, a, H) = wfrec[A](r, a, H)$*

 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field_tranc* : *field(r^+) = field(r)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

Rrel :: $[i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Rrel(R, A) \equiv \{z \in A \times A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge R(x, y)\}$

lemma *RrelI* : *x* $\in A \implies y \in A \implies R(x, y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in Rrel(R, A)
 $\langle proof \rangle$$

lemma *Rrel_mem*: *Rrel(mem, x) = Memrel(x)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation_Rrel*: *relation(Rrel(R, d))*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field_Rrel*: *field(Rrel(R, d)) \subseteq d*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Rrel_mono* : *A \subseteq B \implies Rrel(R, A) \subseteq Rrel(R, B)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Rrel_restr_eq* : *Rrel(R, A) \cap B \times B = Rrel(R, A \cap B)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field_Memrel* : *field(Memrel(A)) \subseteq A*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict_tranc_Rrel*:
 assumes *R(w, y)*
 shows *restrict(f, Rrel(R, d) - \{y\}) 'w*
 = *restrict(f, (Rrel(R, d)^+) - \{y\}) 'w*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict_trans_eq*:

assumes $w \in y$

shows $\text{restrict}(f, \text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))) \setminus \{y\} \cdot w = \text{restrict}(f, (\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))) \setminus \{y\}) \cdot w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf_eq_tranci*:

assumes $\bigwedge f y . H(y, \text{restrict}(f, R \setminus \{y\})) = H(y, \text{restrict}(f, R \setminus \{y\}))$

shows $\text{wfrec}(R, x, H) = \text{wfrec}(R \setminus \{y\}, x, H)$ (**is** $\text{wfrec}(\text{?r}, _, _) = \text{wfrec}(\text{?r}', _, _)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *transrec_equal_on_Ord*:

assumes

$\bigwedge x f . \text{Ord}(x) \implies \text{foo}(x, f) = \text{bar}(x, f)$
 $\text{Ord}(\alpha)$

shows

$\text{transrec}(\alpha, \text{foo}) = \text{transrec}(\alpha, \text{bar})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_eclose) *transrec_equal_on_M*:

assumes

$\bigwedge x f . M(x) \implies M(f) \implies \text{foo}(x, f) = \text{bar}(x, f)$

$\bigwedge \beta . M(\beta) \implies \text{transrec_replacement}(M, \text{is_foo}, \beta)$ *relation2*($M, \text{is_foo}, \text{foo}$)

strong_replacement($M, \lambda x y . y = \langle x, \text{transrec}(x, \text{foo}) \rangle$)

$\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow M(\text{foo}(x, g))$

$M(\alpha) \text{ Ord}(\alpha)$

shows

$\text{transrec}(\alpha, \text{foo}) = \text{transrec}(\alpha, \text{bar})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordermap_restr_eq*:

assumes *well_ord*(X, r)

shows $\text{ordermap}(X, r) = \text{ordermap}(X, r \cap X \times X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Utils*

imports *ZF-Constructible.Formula*

begin

This theory encapsulates some ML utilities

$\langle ML \rangle$

end

8 Automatic synthesis of formulas

```
theory Synthetic_Definition
imports ZF-Constructible.Formula_Utils
keywords
  synthesize :: thy_decl % ML
  and
  synthesize_notc :: thy_decl % ML
  and
  generate_schematic :: thy_decl % ML
  and
  arity_theorem :: thy_decl % ML
  and
  manual_schematic :: thy_goal_stmt % ML
  and
  manual_arity :: thy_goal_stmt % ML
  and
  from_schematic
  and
  for
  and
  from_definition
  and
  assuming
  and
  intermediate

begin

named_theorems fm_definitions Definitions of synthetized formulas.

named_theorems iff_sats Theorems for synthetising formulas.

named_theorems arity Theorems for arity of formulas.

⟨ML⟩
```

The `synthetic_def` function extracts definitions from schematic goals. A new definition is added to the context.

```
end
```

9 Aids to internalize formulas

```
theory Internalizations
imports
  ZF-Constructible.DPow_absolute
  Synthetic_Definition
begin
```

```

notation Member ( $\cdot \in / \cdot$ )
notation Equal ( $\cdot = / \cdot$ )
notation Nand ( $\cdot \neg'(\cdot \wedge / \cdot')$ )
notation And ( $\cdot \wedge / \cdot$ )
notation Or ( $\cdot \vee / \cdot$ )
notation Iff ( $\cdot \leftrightarrow / \cdot$ )
notation Implies ( $\cdot \rightarrow / \cdot$ )
notation Neg ( $\cdot \neg \cdot$ )
notation Forall ( $\forall (\cdot / \cdot)$ )
notation Exists ( $\exists (\cdot / \cdot)$ )

notation subset_fm ( $\cdot \subseteq / \cdot$ )
notation succ_fm ( $\cdot \text{succ}'(\cdot)$  is  $\cdot$ )
notation empty_fm ( $\cdot$  is empty)
notation fun_apply_fm ( $\cdot \cdot$  is  $\cdot$ )
notation big_union_fm ( $\cdot \bigcup$  is  $\cdot$ )
notation upair_fm ( $\cdot \{\cdot, \cdot\}$  is  $\cdot$ )
notation ordinal_fm ( $\cdot$  is ordinal)

```

abbreviation

```

fm_surjection :: [i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  i ( $\cdot$  surjects  $\cdot$  to  $\cdot$ ) where
fm_surjection(f,A,B)  $\equiv$  surjection_fm(A,B,f)

```

abbreviation

```

fm_typedfun :: [i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  i ( $\cdot : \cdot \rightarrow \cdot$ ) where
fm_typedfun(f,A,B)  $\equiv$  typed_function_fm(A,B,f)

```

We found it useful to have slightly different versions of some results in ZF-Constructible:

```

lemma nth_closed :
  assumes env $\in$ list(A)  $0 \in A$ 
  shows nth(n,env) $\in A$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma mem_model_iff_sats [iff_sats] :
  [|  $0 \in A$ ; nth(i,env) = x; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
   $\implies (x \in A) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Exists}(\text{Equal}(0,0)), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma not_mem_model_iff_sats [iff_sats] :
  [|  $0 \in A$ ; nth(i,env) = x; env  $\in$  list(A) |]
   $\implies (\forall x . x \notin A) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Neg}(\text{Exists}(\text{Equal}(0,0))), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma top_iff_sats [iff_sats] :
  env  $\in$  list(A)  $\implies 0 \in A \implies \text{sats}(A, \text{Exists}(\text{Equal}(0,0)), \text{env})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma prefix1_iff_sats[iff_sats] :

```

assumes
 $x \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(A) \ 0 \in A \ a \in A$

shows

- $a = \text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Equal}(0, x\# + 1), \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}))$
- $\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) = a \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Equal}(x\# + 1, 0), \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}))$
- $a \in \text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Member}(0, x\# + 1), \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}))$
- $\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \in a \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Member}(x\# + 1, 0), \text{Cons}(a, \text{env}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prefix2_iff_sats*[*iff_sats*]:

assumes
 $x \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(A) \ 0 \in A \ a \in A \ b \in A$

shows

- $b = \text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Equal}(1, x\# + 2), \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{env})))$
- $\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) = b \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Equal}(x\# + 2, 1), \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{env})))$
- $b \in \text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Member}(1, x\# + 2), \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{env})))$
- $\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \in b \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Member}(x\# + 2, 1), \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{env})))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prefix3_iff_sats*[*iff_sats*]:

assumes
 $x \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(A) \ 0 \in A \ a \in A \ b \in A \ c \in A$

shows

- $c = \text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Equal}(2, x\# + 3), \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{Cons}(c, \text{env}))))$
- $\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) = c \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Equal}(x\# + 3, 2), \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{Cons}(c, \text{env}))))$
- $c \in \text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Member}(2, x\# + 3), \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{Cons}(c, \text{env}))))$
- $\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) \in c \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{Member}(x\# + 3, 2), \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{Cons}(c, \text{env}))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *FOL_sats_iff* = *sats_Nand_iff* *sats_Forall_iff* *sats_Neg_iff* *sats_And_iff*
sats_Or_iff *sats_Implies_iff* *sats_Iff_iff* *sats_Exists_iff*

lemma *nth_ConsI*: $\llbracket \text{nth}(n, l) = x; n \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, l)) = x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *nth_rules* = *nth_0 nth_ConsI nat_0I nat_succI*
lemmas *sep_rules* = *nth_0 nth_ConsI FOL_iff_sats function_iff_sats*
 $\text{fun_plus_iff_sats successor_iff_sats}$
 $\text{omega_iff_sats FOL_sats_iff Replace_iff_sats}$

Also a different compilation of lemmas (*termsep_rules*) used in formula synthesis

lemmas *fm_defs* =
 $\text{omega_fm_def limit_ordinal_fm_def empty_fm_def typed_function_fm_def}$
 $\text{pair_fm_def upair_fm_def domain_fm_def function_fm_def succ_fm_def}$
 $\text{cons_fm_def fun_apply_fm_def image_fm_def big_union_fm_def union_fm_def}$
 $\text{relation_fm_def composition_fm_def field_fm_def ordinal_fm_def range_fm_def}$
 $\text{transset_fm_def subset_fm_def Replace_fm_def}$

```

lemmas formulas_def [fm_definitions] = fm_defs
  is_iterates_fm_def iterates_MH_fm_def is_wfrec_fm_def is_recfun_fm_def
  is_transrec_fm_def
  is_nat_case_fm_def quasinat_fm_def number1_fm_def ordinal_fm_def finite_ordinal_fm_def
  cartprod_fm_def sum_fm_def Inr_fm_def Inl_fm_def
  formula_functor_fm_def
  Memrel_fm_def transset_fm_def subset_fm_def pre_image_fm_def restriction_fm_def
  list_functor_fm_def tl_fm_def quasplist_fm_def Cons_fm_def Nil_fm_def

lemmas sep_rules' [iff_sats] = nth_0 nth_ConsIFOL_iff_sats function_iff_sats
  fun_plus_iff_sats omega_iff_sats FOL_sats_iff

end

```

10 The binder Least

```

theory Least
imports
  Internalizations

```

```
begin
```

We have some basic results on the least ordinal satisfying a predicate.

```

lemma Least_Ord:  $(\mu \alpha. R(\alpha)) = (\mu \alpha. Ord(\alpha) \wedge R(\alpha))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Ord_Least_cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. Ord(y) \implies R(y) \longleftrightarrow Q(y)$ 
  shows  $(\mu \alpha. R(\alpha)) = (\mu \alpha. Q(\alpha))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
definition
```

```

least ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
least( $M, Q, i$ )  $\equiv$  ordinal( $M, i$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\text{empty}(M, i) \wedge (\forall b[M]. \text{ordinal}(M, b) \longrightarrow \neg Q(b))$ )
   $\vee (Q(i) \wedge (\forall b[M]. \text{ordinal}(M, b) \wedge b \in i \longrightarrow \neg Q(b)))$ 

```

```
definition
```

```

least_fm ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
least_fm( $q, i$ )  $\equiv$  And(ordinal_fm( $i$ ),
  Or(And(empty_fm( $i$ ), Forall(Implies(ordinal_fm( $0$ ), Neg( $q$ )))),  

    And(Exists(And(q, Equal( $0$ , succ( $i$ )))),  

      Forall(Implies(And(ordinal_fm( $0$ ), Member( $0$ , succ( $i$ ))), Neg( $q$ )))))))

```

```

lemma least_fm_type[TC]:  $i \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{formula} \implies \text{least\_fm}(q, i) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
lemmas basic_fm_simps = sats_subset_fm' sats_transset_fm' sats_ordinal_fm'
```

```

lemma sats_least_fm :
  assumes p_iff_sats:
     $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies P(a) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, p, \text{Cons}(a, env))$ 
  shows
     $\llbracket y \in \text{nat}; env \in \text{list}(A) ; 0 \in A \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{least\_fm}(p, y), env) \longleftrightarrow$ 
       $\text{least}(\#\#A, P, \text{nth}(y, env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma least_iff_sats [iff_sats]:
  assumes is_Q_iff_sats:
     $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies \text{is\_Q}(a) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, q, \text{Cons}(a, env))$ 
  shows
     $\llbracket \text{nth}(j, env) = y; j \in \text{nat}; env \in \text{list}(A); 0 \in A \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \text{least}(\#\#A, \text{is\_Q}, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{least\_fm}(q, j), env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma least_conj:  $a \in M \implies \text{least}(\#\#M, \lambda x. x \in M \wedge Q(x), a) \longleftrightarrow \text{least}(\#\#M, Q, a)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

context M_trivial
begin

```

10.1 Uniqueness, absoluteness and closure under Least

```

lemma unique_least:
  assumes M(a) M(b) least(M, Q, a) least(M, Q, b)
  shows a=b
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma least_abs:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies \text{Ord}(x) \implies \exists y[M]. Q(y) \wedge \text{Ord}(y)$ 
  shows least(M, Q, a)  $\longleftrightarrow a = (\mu x. Q(x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Least_closed:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies \text{Ord}(x) \implies \exists y[M]. Q(y) \wedge \text{Ord}(y)$ 
  shows M( $\mu x. Q(x)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Older, easier to apply versions (with a simpler assumption on Q).

```

lemma least_abs':
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies M(x)$ 
  shows least(M, Q, a)  $\longleftrightarrow a = (\mu x. Q(x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Least_closed':

```

```

assumes  $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies M(x)$ 
shows  $M(\mu x. Q(x))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

11 Fully relational versions of higher order construct

```

theory Higher_Order_Constructs
imports

```

```
ZF-Constructible.Relative
```

```
ZF-Constructible.Datatype_absolute
```

```
Recursion_Thms
```

```
Least
```

```
begin
```

```
syntax
```

```
_sats :: [i, i, i]  $\Rightarrow$  o ((_, _  $\models$  _) [36,36,36] 25)
```

```
translations
```

```
 $(M, env \models \varphi) \Leftarrow CONST\ sats(M, \varphi, env)$ 
```

```
definition
```

```
is_If :: [i  $\Rightarrow$  o, o, i, i, i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
```

```
is_If( $M, b, t, f, r$ )  $\equiv$  ( $b \rightarrow r = t$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\neg b \rightarrow r = f$ )
```

```
lemma (in  $M\_trans$ ) If_abs:
```

```
is_If( $M, b, t, f, r$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$   $r = If(b, t, f)$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
definition
```

```
is_If_fm :: [i, i, i, i]  $\Rightarrow$  i where
```

```
is_If_fm( $\varphi, t, f, r$ )  $\equiv$  Or(And( $\varphi, Equal(t, r)$ ), And( $Neg(\varphi), Equal(f, r)$ ))
```

```
lemma is_If_fm_type [TC]:  $\varphi \in formula \implies t \in nat \implies f \in nat \implies r \in nat$ 
```

```
 $\implies$ 
```

```
is_If_fm( $\varphi, t, f, r$ )  $\in formula$ 
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sats_is_If_fm:
```

```
assumes Qsats:  $Q \longleftrightarrow A, env \models \varphi$   $env \in list(A)$ 
```

```
shows is_If(# $\#A$ ,  $Q$ , nth( $t$ , env), nth( $f$ , env), nth( $r$ , env))  $\longleftrightarrow$   $A, env \models$ 
```

```
is_If_fm( $\varphi, t, f, r$ )
```

```
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma is_If_fm_iff_sats [iff_sats]:
```

```
assumes Qsats:  $Q \longleftrightarrow A, env \models \varphi$  and
```

$nth(t, env) = ta$ $nth(f, env) = fa$ $nth(r, env) = ra$
 $t \in nat$ $f \in nat$ $r \in nat$ $env \in list(A)$
shows $is_If(\#\#A, Q, ta, fa, ra) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models is_If_fm(\varphi, t, f, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $arity_is_If_fm$ [arity]:
 $\varphi \in formula \implies t \in nat \implies f \in nat \implies r \in nat \implies$
 $arity(is_If_fm(\varphi, t, f, r)) = arity(\varphi) \cup succ(t) \cup succ(r) \cup succ(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_The :: [i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_The(M, Q, i) \equiv (Q(i) \wedge (\exists x[M]. Q(x) \wedge (\forall y[M]. Q(y) \rightarrow y = x))) \vee$
 $(\neg(\exists x[M]. Q(x) \wedge (\forall y[M]. Q(y) \rightarrow y = x))) \wedge empty(M, i)$

lemma (in M_trans) The_abs :
assumes $\bigwedge x. Q(x) \implies M(x)$ $M(a)$
shows $is_The(M, Q, a) \longleftrightarrow a = (THE x. Q(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_recursor :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_recursor(M, a, is_b, k, r) \equiv is_transrec(M, \lambda n f ntc. is_nat_case(M, a,$
 $\lambda m bmf.$
 $\exists fm[M]. fun_apply(M, f, m, fm) \wedge is_b(m, fm, bmf), n, ntc), k, r)$

lemma (in M_eclose) $recursor_abs$:
assumes $Ord(k)$ **and**
types: $M(a)$ $M(k)$ $M(r)$ **and**
 $b_iff: \bigwedge m f bmf. M(m) \implies M(f) \implies M(bmf) \implies is_b(m, f, bmf) \longleftrightarrow bmf$
 $= b(m, f)$ **and**
 $b_closed: \bigwedge m f bmf. M(m) \implies M(f) \implies M(b(m, f))$ **and**
 $repl: transrec_replacement(M, \lambda n f ntc. is_nat_case(M, a,$
 $\lambda m bmf. \exists fm[M]. fun_apply(M, f, m, fm) \wedge is_b(m, fm, bmf), n, ntc),$
 $k)$
shows
 $is_recursor(M, a, is_b, k, r) \longleftrightarrow r = recursor(a, b, k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_wfrec_on :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_wfrec_on(M, MH, A, r, a, z) == is_wfrec(M, MH, r, a, z)$

lemma (in M_trancl) $trans_wfrec_on_abs$:
 $[| wf(r); trans(r); relation(r); M(r); M(a); M(z);$

```

wfreq_replacement(M,MH,r); relation2(M,MH,H);
 $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(H(x,g));$ 
 $r\text{-}`\{a\} \subseteq A; a \in A`]$ 
 $\implies is\_wfreq\_on(M,MH,A,r,a,z) \longleftrightarrow z=wfreq[A](r,a,H)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

12 Automatic relativization of terms and formulas.

Relativization of terms and formulas. Relativization of formulas shares relativized terms as far as possible; assuming that the witnesses for the relativized terms are always unique.

```

theory Relativization
imports ZF-Constructible.Formula
ZF-Constructible.Relative
ZF-Constructible.Datatype_absolute
Higher_Order_Constructs
keywords
relativize :: thy_decl % ML
and
relativize_tm :: thy_decl % ML
and
reldb_add :: thy_decl % ML
and
reldb_rem :: thy_decl % ML
and
relationalize :: thy_decl % ML
and
rel_closed :: thy_goal_stmt % ML
and
is_iff_rel :: thy_goal_stmt % ML
and
univalent :: thy_goal_stmt % ML
and
absolute
and
functional
and
relational
and
external
and
for

begin
⟨ML⟩

```

```

lemmas relative_abs =
  M_trans.empty_abs
  M_trans.pair_abs
  M_trivial.cartprod_abs
  M_trans.union_abs
  M_trans.inter_abs
  M_trans.setdiff_abs
  M_trans.Union_abs
  M_trivial.cons_abs

  M_trivial.successor_abs
  M_trans.Collect_abs
  M_trans.Replace_abs
  M_trivial.lambda_abs2
  M_trans.image_abs

  M_trivial.nat_case_abs

  M_trivial.omega_abs
  M_basic.sum_abs
  M_trivial.Inl_abs
  M_trivial.Inr_abs
  M_basic.converse_abs
  M_basic.vimage_abs
  M_trans.domain_abs
  M_trans.range_abs
  M_basic.field_abs

  M_basic.composition_abs
  M_trans.restriction_abs
  M_trans.Inter_abs
  M_trivial.bool_of_o_abs
  M_trivial.not_abs
  M_trivial.and_abs
  M_trivial.or_abs
  M_trivial.Nil_abs
  M_trivial.Cons_abs

  M_trivial.list_case_abs
  M_trivial.hd_abs
  M_trivial.tl_abs
  M_trivial.least_abs'
  M_eclose.transrec_abs
  M_trans.If_abs
  M_trans.The_abs
  M_eclose.recursor_abs
  M_trancl.trans_wfrec_abs

```

```

M_trancl.trans_wfrec_on_abs

lemmas datatype_abs =
  M_datatypes.list_N_abs
  M_datatypes.list_abs
  M_datatypes.formula_N_abs
  M_datatypes.formula_abs
  M_eclose.is_eclose_n_abs
  M_eclose.eclose_abs
  M_datatypes.length_abs
  M_datatypes.nth_abs
  M_trivial.Member_abs
  M_trivial.Equal_abs
  M_trivial.Nand_abs
  M_trivial.Forall_abs
  M_datatypes.depth_abs
  M_datatypes.formula_case_abs

declare relative_abs[absolut]
declare datatype_abs[absolut]

⟨ML⟩

declare relative_abs[Rel]

declare datatype_abs[Rel]

⟨ML⟩

end
theory Discipline_Base
imports
  ZF-Constructible.Rank
  ZF_Miscellanea
  Relativization

begin

declare [[syntax_ambiguity_warning = false]]

Discipline of Relativization of basic concepts.

definition
  is_singleton :: [i⇒o,i,i] ⇒ o where
    is_singleton(A,x,z) ≡ ∃ c[A]. empty(A,c) ∧ is_cons(A,x,c,z)

```

lemma (in $M_trivial$) singleton_abs[simp] :
 $\llbracket M(x) ; M(s) \rrbracket \implies is_singleton(M, x, s) \longleftrightarrow s = \{x\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in $M_trivial$) singleton_closed [simp]:
 $M(x) \implies M(\{x\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_trivial$) Upair_closed[simp]: $M(a) \implies M(b) \implies M(Upair(a, b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_trivial$) upair_closed[simp] : $M(x) \implies M(y) \implies M(\{x, y\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following named theorems gather instances of transitivity that arise from closure theorems

named_theorems trans_closed

definition

$is_hcomp :: [i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_hcomp(M, is_f, is_g, a, w) \equiv \exists z[M]. is_g(a, z) \wedge is_f(z, w)$

lemma (in $M_trivial$) is_hcomp_abs:

assumes

$is_f_abs : \bigwedge a z. M(a) \implies M(z) \implies is_f(a, z) \longleftrightarrow z = f(a)$ **and**
 $is_g_abs : \bigwedge a z. M(a) \implies M(z) \implies is_g(a, z) \longleftrightarrow z = g(a)$ **and**
 $g_closed : \bigwedge a. M(a) \implies M(g(a))$
 $M(a) M(w)$

shows

$is_hcomp(M, is_f, is_g, a, w) \longleftrightarrow w = f(g(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$hcomp_fm :: [i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i, i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $hcomp_fm(pf, pg, a, w) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(pg(\text{succ}(a), 0), pf(0, \text{succ}(w))))$

lemma sats_hcomp_fm:

assumes

$f_iff_sats : \bigwedge a b z. a \in \text{nat} \implies b \in \text{nat} \implies z \in M \implies$
 $is_f(nth(a, \text{Cons}(z, env)), nth(b, \text{Cons}(z, env))) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, pf(a, b), \text{Cons}(z, env))$
and
 $g_iff_sats : \bigwedge a b z. a \in \text{nat} \implies b \in \text{nat} \implies z \in M \implies$
 $is_g(nth(a, \text{Cons}(z, env)), nth(b, \text{Cons}(z, env))) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, pg(a, b), \text{Cons}(z, env))$
and

$a \in \text{nat}$ $w \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$
shows
 $sats(M, hcomp_fm(pf, pg, a, w), env) \longleftrightarrow is_hcomp(\#\#M, is_f, is_g, nth(a, env), nth(w, env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$hcomp_r :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $hcomp_r(M, is_f, is_g, a, w) \equiv \exists z[M]. is_g(M, a, z) \wedge is_f(M, z, w)$

definition

$is_hcomp2_2 :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_hcomp2_2(M, is_f, is_g1, is_g2, a, b, w) \equiv \exists g1ab[M]. \exists g2ab[M].$
 $is_g1(M, a, b, g1ab) \wedge is_g2(M, a, b, g2ab) \wedge is_f(M, g1ab, g2ab, w)$

lemma (in M_trivial) hcomp_abs:

assumes

$is_f_abs: \bigwedge a z. M(a) \implies M(z) \implies is_f(M, a, z) \longleftrightarrow z = f(a)$ **and**
 $is_g_abs: \bigwedge a z. M(a) \implies M(z) \implies is_g(M, a, z) \longleftrightarrow z = g(a)$ **and**
 $g_closed: \bigwedge a. M(a) \implies M(g(a))$
 $M(a) M(w)$

shows

$hcomp_r(M, is_f, is_g, a, w) \longleftrightarrow w = f(g(a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hcomp_uniqueness:

assumes

$uniq_is_f:$

$\bigwedge r d d'. M(r) \implies M(d) \implies M(d') \implies is_f(M, r, d) \implies is_f(M, r, d') \implies$
 $d = d'$

and

$uniq_is_g:$

$\bigwedge r d d'. M(r) \implies M(d) \implies M(d') \implies is_g(M, r, d) \implies is_g(M, r, d') \implies$
 $d = d'$

and

$M(a) M(w) M(w')$

$hcomp_r(M, is_f, is_g, a, w)$

$hcomp_r(M, is_f, is_g, a, w')$

shows

$w = w'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma hcomp_witness:

assumes

$wit_is_f: \bigwedge r. M(r) \implies \exists d[M]. is_f(M, r, d)$ **and**

$wit_is_g: \bigwedge r. M(r) \implies \exists d[M]. is_g(M, r, d)$ **and**

$M(a)$

shows

$\exists w[M]. hcomp_r(M, is_f, is_g, a, w)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_trivial$) $hcomp2_2_abs$:
assumes
 $is_f_abs: \bigwedge r1\ r2\ z. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies M(z) \implies is_f(M, r1, r2, z) \longleftrightarrow z = f(r1, r2)$ **and**
 $is_g1_abs: \bigwedge r1\ r2\ z. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies M(z) \implies is_g1(M, r1, r2, z) \longleftrightarrow z = g1(r1, r2)$ **and**
 $is_g2_abs: \bigwedge r1\ r2\ z. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies M(z) \implies is_g2(M, r1, r2, z) \longleftrightarrow z = g2(r1, r2)$ **and**
 $types: M(a)\ M(b)\ M(w)\ M(g1(a, b))\ M(g2(a, b))$
shows
 $is_hcomp2_2(M, is_f, is_g1, is_g2, a, b, w) \longleftrightarrow w = f(g1(a, b), g2(a, b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hcomp2_2_uniqueness$:
assumes
 $uniq_is_f:$
 $\bigwedge r1\ r2\ d\ d'. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies M(d) \implies M(d') \implies is_f(M, r1, r2, d) \implies is_f(M, r1, r2, d') \implies d = d'$
and
 $uniq_is_g1:$
 $\bigwedge r1\ r2\ d\ d'. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies M(d) \implies M(d') \implies is_g1(M, r1, r2, d) \implies is_g1(M, r1, r2, d') \implies d = d'$
and
 $uniq_is_g2:$
 $\bigwedge r1\ r2\ d\ d'. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies M(d) \implies M(d') \implies is_g2(M, r1, r2, d) \implies is_g2(M, r1, r2, d') \implies d = d'$
and
 $M(a)\ M(b)\ M(w)\ M(w')$
 $is_hcomp2_2(M, is_f, is_g1, is_g2, a, b, w)$
 $is_hcomp2_2(M, is_f, is_g1, is_g2, a, b, w')$
shows
 $w=w'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hcomp2_2_witness$:
assumes
 $wit_is_f: \bigwedge r1\ r2. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies \exists d[M]. is_f(M, r1, r2, d)$ **and**
 $wit_is_g1: \bigwedge r1\ r2. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies \exists d[M]. is_g1(M, r1, r2, d)$ **and**
 $wit_is_g2: \bigwedge r1\ r2. M(r1) \implies M(r2) \implies \exists d[M]. is_g2(M, r1, r2, d)$ **and**
 $M(a)\ M(b)$
shows
 $\exists w[M]. is_hcomp2_2(M, is_f, is_g1, is_g2, a, b, w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_trivial$) $extensionality_trans$:

assumes
 $M(d) \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in d \longleftrightarrow P(x))$
 $M(d') \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in d' \longleftrightarrow P(x))$
shows
 $d = d'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$lt_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lt_rel(M, a, b) \equiv a \in b \wedge ordinal(M, b)$

lemma (in M_trans) $lt_abs[absolut]$: $M(a) \implies M(b) \implies lt_rel(M, a, b) \longleftrightarrow a < b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$le_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $le_rel(M, a, b) \equiv \exists sb[M]. successor(M, b, sb) \wedge lt_rel(M, a, sb)$

lemma (in $M_trivial$) $le_abs[absolut]$: $M(a) \implies M(b) \implies le_rel(M, a, b) \longleftrightarrow a \leq b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.1 Discipline for Pow

definition

$is_Pow :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_Pow(M, A, z) \equiv M(z) \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow subset(M, x, A))$

definition

$Pow_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i (\langle Pow-'(_) \rangle)$ **where**
 $Pow_rel(M, r) \equiv THE d. is_Pow(M, r, d)$

abbreviation

$Pow_r_set :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i (\langle Pow-'(_) \rangle)$ **where**
 $Pow_r_set(M) \equiv Pow_rel(\#M)$

context M_basic
begin

lemma $is_Pow_uniqueness$:

assumes
 $M(r)$
 $is_Pow(M, r, d) \wedge is_Pow(M, r, d')$
shows
 $d = d'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $is_Pow_witness$: $M(r) \implies \exists d[M]. is_Pow(M, r, d)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_Pow_closed* : $\llbracket M(r); is_Pow(M,r,d) \rrbracket \implies M(d)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pow_rel_closed[intro,simp]*: $M(r) \implies M(Pow_rel(M,r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *trans_Pow_rel_closed[trans_closed]* = *transM[OF Pow_rel_closed]*

The proof of *f_rel_iff* lemma is schematic and it can be reused by copy-paste replacing appropriately.

lemma *Pow_rel_iff*:
 assumes $M(r) \quad M(d)$
 shows $is_Pow(M,r,d) \longleftrightarrow d = Pow_rel(M,r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The next "def_" result really corresponds to $?A \in Pow(?B) \longleftrightarrow ?A \subseteq ?B$

lemma *def_Pow_rel*: $M(A) \implies M(r) \implies A \in Pow_rel(M,r) \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pow_rel_char*: $M(r) \implies Pow_rel(M,r) = \{A \in Pow(r). M(A)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mem_Pow_rel_abs*: $M(a) \implies M(r) \implies a \in Pow_rel(M,r) \longleftrightarrow a \in Pow(r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

12.2 Discipline for *PiP*

definition

PiP_rel:: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $PiP_rel(M, A, f) \equiv \exists df[M]. is_domain(M, f, df) \wedge subset(M, A, df) \wedge is_function(M, f)$

context *M_basic*
begin

lemma *def_PiP_rel*:
 assumes
 $M(A) \quad M(f)$
 shows
 $PiP_rel(M, A, f) \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq domain(f) \wedge function(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

definition — FIX THIS: not completely relational. Can it be?

$$\text{Sigfun} :: [i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$$

$$\text{Sigfun}(x, B) \equiv \bigcup_{y \in B(x)} \{ \langle x, y \rangle \}$$

lemma *Sigma_Sigfun*: $\text{Sigma}(A, B) = \bigcup_{x \in A} \{ \text{Sigfun}(x, B) \}$

proof

definition — FIX THIS: not completely relational. Can it be?

$$\text{is_Sigfun} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{is_Sigfun}(M, x, B, Sd) \equiv M(Sd) \wedge (\exists RB[M]. \text{is_Replace}(M, B(x), \lambda y z. z = \{\langle x, y \rangle\}, RB) \wedge \text{big_union}(M, RB, Sd))$$

context *M_trivial*

begin

lemma *is_Sigfun_abs*:

assumes

$$\text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda y z. z = \{\langle x, y \rangle\})$$

$$M(x) M(B(x)) M(Sd)$$

shows

$$\text{is_Sigfun}(M, x, B, Sd) \longleftrightarrow Sd = \text{Sigfun}(x, B)$$

proof

lemma *Sigfun_closed*:

assumes

$$\text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda y z. y \in B(x) \wedge z = \{\langle x, y \rangle\})$$

$$M(x) M(B(x))$$

shows

$$M(\text{Sigfun}(x, B))$$

proof

lemmas *trans_Sigfun_closed*[*trans_closed*] = *transM*[*OF_Sigfun_closed*]

end

definition

$$\text{is_Sigma} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$$

$$\text{is_Sigma}(M, A, B, S) \equiv M(S) \wedge (\exists RSf[M]. \text{is_Replace}(M, A, \lambda x z. z = \text{Sigfun}(x, B), RSf) \wedge \text{big_union}(M, RSf, S))$$

locale *M_Pi* = *M_basic* +

assumes

$$Pi_separation: M(A) \implies \text{separation}(M, \text{PiP_rel}(M, A))$$

and
Pi_replacement:
 $M(x) \Rightarrow M(y) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda ya z. ya \in y \wedge z = \{\langle x, ya \rangle\})$
 $M(y) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x z. z = (\bigcup_{xa \in y} \{\langle x, xa \rangle\}))$

```

locale M_Pi_assumptions = M_Pi +
  fixes A B
  assumes
    Pi_assumptions:
    M(A)
     $\bigwedge x. x \in A \Rightarrow M(B(x))$ 
     $\forall x \in A. \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda y z. y \in B(x) \wedge z = \{\langle x, y \rangle\})$ 
     $\text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x z. z = \text{Sigfun}(x, B))$ 
begin

lemma Sigma_abs[simp]:
  assumes
    M(S)
  shows
    is_Sigma(M, A, B, S)  $\longleftrightarrow$  S = Sigma(A, B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Sigma_closed[intro,simp]: M(Sigma(A, B))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas trans_Sigma_closed[trans_closed] = transM[OF _ Sigma_closed]
end

```

12.3 Discipline for Pi

definition

$is_Pi :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_Pi(M, A, B, I) \equiv M(I) \wedge (\exists S[M]. \exists PS[M]. is_Sigma(M, A, B, S) \wedge$
 $is_Pow(M, S, PS) \wedge$
 $is_Collect(M, PS, PiP_rel(M, A), I))$

definition

$Pi_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ ($\langle Pi -'(_, _) \rangle$) **where**
 $Pi_rel(M, A, B) \equiv \text{THE } d. is_Pi(M, A, B, d)$

abbreviation

$Pi_r_set :: [i, i, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow i$ ($\langle Pi -'(_, _) \rangle$) **where**
 $Pi_r_set(M, A, B) \equiv Pi_rel(\#M, A, B)$

context M_Pi_assumptions

```

begin

lemma is_Pi_uniqueness:
assumes
  is_Pi(M,A,B,d) is_Pi(M,A,B,d')
shows
  d=d'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_Pi_witness: ∃ d[M]. is_Pi(M,A,B,d)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_Pi_closed : is_Pi(M,A,B,d) ==> M(d)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Pi_rel_closed[intro,simp]: M(Pi_rel(M,A,B))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas trans_Pi_rel_closed[trans_closed] = transM[OF _ Pi_rel_closed]

lemma Pi_rel_iff:
assumes M(d)
shows is_Pi(M,A,B,d) <=> d = Pi_rel(M,A,B)
⟨proof⟩

lemma def_Pi_rel:
  Pi_rel(M,A,B) = {f ∈ Pow_rel(M,Sigma(A,B)). A ⊆ domain(f) ∧ function(f)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Pi_rel_char: Pi_rel(M,A,B) = {f ∈ Pi(A,B). M(f)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem_Pi_rel_abs:
assumes M(f)
shows f ∈ Pi_rel(M,A,B) <=> f ∈ Pi(A,B)
⟨proof⟩

end

The next locale (and similar ones below) are used to show the relationship
between versions of simple (i.e.  $\Sigma_1^{ZF}$ ,  $\Pi_1^{ZF}$ ) concepts in two different transitive
models.

locale M_N_Pi_assumptions = M:M_Pi_assumptions + N:M_Pi_assumptions
N for N +
assumes
  M_imp_N:M(x) ==> N(x)
begin

```

```
lemma Pi_rel_transfer:  $Pi^M(A,B) \subseteq Pi^N(A,B)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
locale M_Pi_assumptions_0 = M_Pi_assumptions _ 0
begin
```

This is used in the proof of AC_Pi_rel

```
lemma Pi_rel_emptyI[simp]:  $Pi^M(\emptyset, B) = \{\emptyset\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
context M_Pi_assumptions
begin
```

12.4 Auxiliary ported results on Pi_{rel} , now unused

```
lemma Pi_rel_iff':
  assumes types:  $M(f)$ 
  shows
     $f \in Pi_{rel}(M, A, B) \longleftrightarrow function(f) \wedge f \subseteq Sigma(A, B) \wedge A \subseteq domain(f)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma lam_type_M:
  assumes M(A)  $\wedge \forall x. x \in A \implies M(B(x))$ 
     $\wedge \forall x. x \in A \implies b(x) \in B(x)$  strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x. y. y = \langle x, b(x) \rangle$ )
  shows  $(\lambda x \in A. b(x)) \in Pi_{rel}(M, A, B)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

```
locale M_Pi_assumptions2 = M_Pi_assumptions +
  PiC: M_Pi_assumptions _ _ C for C
begin
```

```
lemma Pi_rel_type:
  assumes f ∈  $Pi^M(A, C)$   $\wedge \forall x. x \in A \implies f \cdot x \in B(x)$ 
  and types:  $M(f)$ 
  shows f ∈  $Pi^M(A, B)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Pi_rel_weaken_type:
  assumes f ∈  $Pi^M(A, B)$   $\wedge \forall x. x \in A \implies B(x) \subseteq C(x)$ 
```

and types: $M(f)$
shows $f \in Pi^M(A, C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

13 Arities of internalized formulas

```

theory Arities
imports
  Nat_Miscellanea
  Internalizations
  Discipline_Base
begin

```

declare arity_And arity_Or arity_Implies arity_Iff arity_Exists [arity]

declare pred_Un_distrib [arity]

lemma arity_upair_fm [arity] : $\llbracket t1 \in nat ; t2 \in nat ; up \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(upair_fm(t1, t2, up)) = \bigcup \{succ(t1), succ(t2), succ(up)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma arity_pair_fm [arity] : $\llbracket t1 \in nat ; t2 \in nat ; p \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(pair_fm(t1, t2, p)) = \bigcup \{succ(t1), succ(t2), succ(p)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma arity_composition_fm [arity] :
 $\llbracket r \in nat ; s \in nat ; t \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(composition_fm(r, s, t)) = \bigcup \{succ(r), succ(s), succ(t)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma arity_domain_fm [arity] :
 $\llbracket r \in nat ; z \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(domain_fm(r, z)) = succ(r) \cup succ(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma arity_range_fm [arity] :
 $\llbracket r \in nat ; z \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(range_fm(r, z)) = succ(r) \cup succ(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma arity_union_fm [arity] :
 $\llbracket x \in nat ; y \in nat ; z \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(union_fm(x, y, z)) = \bigcup \{succ(x), succ(y), succ(z)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_image_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{image_fm}(x, y, z)) = \bigcup \{\text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y), \text{succ}(z)\}$
<proof>

lemma *arity_pre_image_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{pre_image_fm}(x, y, z)) = \bigcup \{\text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y), \text{succ}(z)\}$
<proof>

lemma *arity_big_union_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{big_union_fm}(x, y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_fun_apply_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; f \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{fun_apply_fm}(f, x, y)) = \text{succ}(f) \cup \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_field_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{field_fm}(r, z)) = \text{succ}(r) \cup \text{succ}(z)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_empty_fm [arity]*:
 $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{empty_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_cons_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{cons_fm}(x, y, z)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y) \cup \text{succ}(z)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_succ_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{succ_fm}(x, y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_number1_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{number1_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_function_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{function_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_relation_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket r \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{relation_fm}(r)) = \text{succ}(r)$
<proof>

lemma *arity_restriction_fm [arity]* :

$\llbracket r \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} ; A \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{restriction_fm}(A, z, r)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(r)$
 $\cup \text{succ}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_typed_function_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} ; f \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{arity}(\text{typed_function_fm}(f, x, y)) = \bigcup \{ \text{succ}(f), \text{succ}(x), \text{succ}(y) \}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_subset_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; y \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{subset_fm}(x, y)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_transset_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{transset_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_ordinal_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{ordinal_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_limit_ordinal_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{limit_ordinal_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_finite_ordinal_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{finite_ordinal_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_omega_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{omega_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_cartprod_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket A \in \text{nat} ; B \in \text{nat} ; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{cartprod_fm}(A, B, z)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(B)$
 $\cup \text{succ}(z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_singleton_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{singleton_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_Memrel_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{Memrel_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_quasinat_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{quasinat_fm}(x)) = \text{succ}(x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_is_recfun_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket p \in formula ; v \in nat ; n \in nat ; Z \in nat ; i \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(p) = i \implies$
 $arity(is_recfun_fm(p, v, n, Z)) = succ(v) \cup succ(n) \cup succ(Z) \cup pred(pred(pred(pred(i))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_is_wfrec_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket p \in formula ; v \in nat ; n \in nat ; Z \in nat ; i \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(p) = i \implies$
 $arity(is_wfrec_fm(p, v, n, Z)) = succ(v) \cup succ(n) \cup succ(Z) \cup pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(i)))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_is_nat_case_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket p \in formula ; v \in nat ; n \in nat ; Z \in nat ; i \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(p) = i \implies$
 $arity(is_nat_case_fm(v, p, n, Z)) = succ(v) \cup succ(n) \cup succ(Z) \cup pred(pred(i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_iterates_MH_fm [arity]* :
 assumes $isF \in formula$ $v \in nat$ $n \in nat$ $g \in nat$ $z \in nat$ $i \in nat$
 $arity(isF) = i$
 shows $arity(iterates_MH_fm(isF, v, n, g, z)) =$
 $succ(v) \cup succ(n) \cup succ(g) \cup succ(z) \cup pred(pred(pred(pred(i))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_is_iterates_fm [arity]* :
 assumes $p \in formula$ $v \in nat$ $n \in nat$ $Z \in nat$ $i \in nat$
 $arity(p) = i$
 shows $arity(is_iterates_fm(p, v, n, Z)) = succ(v) \cup succ(n) \cup succ(Z) \cup$
 $pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(pred(i))))))))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_eclose_n_fm [arity]* :
 assumes $A \in nat$ $x \in nat$ $t \in nat$
 shows $arity(eclose_n_fm(A, x, t)) = succ(A) \cup succ(x) \cup succ(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_mem_eclose_fm [arity]* :
 assumes $x \in nat$ $t \in nat$
 shows $arity(mem_eclose_fm(x, t)) = succ(x) \cup succ(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_is_eclose_fm [arity]* :
 $\llbracket x \in nat ; t \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(is_eclose_fm(x, t)) = succ(x) \cup succ(t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_Collect_fm [arity]* :
 assumes $x \in nat$ $y \in nat$ $p \in formula$
 shows $arity(Collect_fm(x, p, y)) = succ(x) \cup succ(y) \cup pred(arity(p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

schematic_goal arity_least_fm':
assumes
   $i \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{formula}$ 
shows
   $\text{arity}(\text{least\_fm}(q,i)) \equiv ?ar$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_least_fm [arity] :
assumes
   $i \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{formula}$ 
shows
   $\text{arity}(\text{least\_fm}(q,i)) = \text{succ}(i) \cup \text{pred}(\text{arity}(q))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_Replace_fm [arity] :
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{Replace\_fm}(v,p,n)) = \text{succ}(n) \cup (\text{succ}(v) \cup \text{Arith.pred}(\text{Arith.pred}(\text{Arith.pred}(i))))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_lambda_fm [arity] :
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{lambda\_fm}(p,v,n)) = \text{succ}(n) \cup (\text{succ}(v) \cup \text{Arith.pred}(\text{Arith.pred}(\text{Arith.pred}(i))))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_transrec_fm [arity] :
 $\llbracket p \in \text{formula} ; v \in \text{nat} ; n \in \text{nat} ; i \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(p) = i \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{is\_transrec\_fm}(p,v,n)) = \text{succ}(v) \cup \text{succ}(n) \cup (\text{pred}^{\wedge 8}(i))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end
theory Discipline_Function
imports
  ZF_Miscellanea
  ZF_Constructible.Rank
  Relativization
  Internalizations
  Discipline_Base
  Synthetic_Definition
  Arities
begin

Discipline for fst  $\langle \text{ML} \rangle$ 
definition
  is_fst ::  $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
    is_fst( $M, x, t$ )  $\equiv$   $(\exists z[M]. \text{pair}(M, t, z, x)) \vee$ 
       $(\neg(\exists z[M]. \exists w[M]. \text{pair}(M, w, z, x)) \wedge \text{empty}(M, t))$ 
 $\langle \text{ML} \rangle$ 
notation fst_fm ( $\cdot, \text{fst}'(\_)$ )  $\text{is } \_\cdot$ )

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition $fst_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $fst_rel(M, p) \equiv \text{THE } d. M(d) \wedge is_fst(M, p, d)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition

$is_snd :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_snd(M, x, t) \equiv (\exists z[M]. pair(M, z, t, x)) \vee$
 $(\neg(\exists z[M]. \exists w[M]. pair(M, z, w, x)) \wedge empty(M, t))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation $snd_fm (\cdot \cdot snd'(_) is _\cdot)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition $snd_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $snd_rel(M, p) \equiv \text{THE } d. M(d) \wedge is_snd(M, p, d)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

context M_trans

begin

lemma $fst_snd_closed:$

assumes $M(p)$
shows $M(fst(p)) \wedge M(snd(p))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fst_closed[intro,simp]: M(x) \implies M(fst(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $snd_closed[intro,simp]: M(x) \implies M(snd(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fst_abs [absolut]:$

$\llbracket M(p); M(x) \rrbracket \implies is_fst(M, p, x) \longleftrightarrow x = fst(p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $snd_abs [absolut]:$

$\llbracket M(p); M(y) \rrbracket \implies is_snd(M, p, y) \longleftrightarrow y = snd(p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $empty_rel_abs : M(x) \implies M(0) \implies x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = (\text{THE } d. M(d) \wedge empty(M, d))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fst_rel_abs:$

```

 $\llbracket M(p) \rrbracket \implies fst(p) = fst\_rel(M,p)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma snd_rel_abs:
 $\llbracket M(p) \rrbracket \implies snd(p) = snd\_rel(M,p)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 
context M_trans
begin

lemma minimum_closed[simp,intro]:
assumes M(A)
shows M(minimum(r,A))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma first_abs :
assumes M(B)
shows first(z,B,r) \longleftrightarrow first_rel(M,z,B,r)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma minimum_abs:
assumes M(B)
shows minimum(r,B) = minimum_rel(M,r,B)
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

13.1 Discipline for $\lambda A\ B.\ A \rightarrow B$

definition

```

is_function_space ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
is_function_space(M,A,B,fs)  $\equiv M(fs) \wedge is\_funspace(M,A,B,fs)$ 

```

definition

```

function_space_rel ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
function_space_rel(M,A,B)  $\equiv THE\ d.\ is\_function\_space(M,A,B,d)$ 

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

abbreviation

```

function_space_r ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$  ( $\_ \rightarrow \_ \rightarrow \_$  [61,1,61] 60) where
A \rightarrow^M B  $\equiv function\_space\_rel(M,A,B)$ 

```

abbreviation

```

function_space_r_set ::  $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  ( $\_ \rightarrow \_ \rightarrow \_$  [61,1,61] 60) where
function_space_r_set(A,M)  $\equiv function\_space\_rel(\#\# M, A)$ 

```

```

context M_Pi
begin

lemma is_function_space_uniqueness:
  assumes
    M(r) M(B)
    is_function_space(M,r,B,d) is_function_space(M,r,B,d')
  shows
    d=d'
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_function_space_witness:
  assumes M(A) M(B)
  shows ∃ d[M]. is_function_space(M,A,B,d)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_function_space_closed :
  is_function_space(M,A,B,d) ⟹ M(d)
  ⟨proof⟩
lemma function_space_rel_closed[intro,simp]:
  assumes M(x) M(y)
  shows M(function_space_rel(M,x,y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas trans_function_space_rel_closed[trans_closed] = transM[OF _ function_space_rel_closed]

lemma function_space_rel_iff:
  assumes M(x) M(y) M(d)
  shows is_function_space(M,x,y,d) ⟺ d = function_space_rel(M,x,y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma def_function_space_rel:
  assumes M(A) M(y)
  shows function_space_rel(M,A,y) = Pi_rel(M,A,λ_. y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma function_space_rel_char:
  assumes M(A) M(y)
  shows function_space_rel(M,A,y) = {f ∈ A → y. M(f)}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma mem_function_space_rel_abs:
  assumes M(A) M(y) M(f)
  shows f ∈ function_space_rel(M,A,y) ⟺ f ∈ A → y
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

locale M_N_Pi = M:M_Pi + N:M_Pi N for N +
assumes
  M_imp_N:M(x) ==> N(x)
begin

lemma function_space_rel_transfer: M(A) ==> M(B) ==>
  function_space_rel(M,A,B) ⊆ function_space_rel(N,A,B)
  {proof}

end

```

abbreviation

is_apply ≡ *fun_apply*

— It is not necessary to perform the Discipline for *is_apply* since it is absolute in this context

13.2 Discipline for *Collect terms*.

We have to isolate the predicate involved and apply the Discipline to it.

definition

injP_rel:: [$i \Rightarrow o, i, i \Rightarrow o$] **where**
 $\text{injP_rel}(M, A, f) \equiv \forall w[M]. \forall x[M]. \forall fw[M]. \forall fx[M]. w \in A \wedge x \in A \wedge$
 $\text{is_apply}(M, f, w, fw) \wedge \text{is_apply}(M, f, x, fx) \wedge fw = fx \rightarrow w = x$

$\langle ML \rangle$

context M_basic
begin

— I'm undecided on keeping the relative quantifiers here. Same with *surjP* below.
It might relieve from changing $?P(?x) \Rightarrow \exists x. ?P(x)$
 $(\wedge x. ?P(x)) \Rightarrow \forall x. ?P(x)$ to $\llbracket ?P(?x); ?M(?x) \rrbracket \Rightarrow \exists x[?M]. ?P(x)$
 $(\wedge x. ?M(x)) \Rightarrow ?P(x) \Rightarrow \forall x[?M]. ?P(x)$ in some proofs. I wonder if this escalates well. Assuming that all terms appearing in the "def_" theorem are in *M* and using $\llbracket ?y \in ?x; M(?x) \rrbracket \Rightarrow M(?y)$, it might do.

lemma def_injP_rel:
assumes
 $M(A) M(f)$
shows
 $\text{injP_rel}(M, A, f) \leftrightarrow (\forall w[M]. \forall x[M]. w \in A \wedge x \in A \wedge f^{\cdot}w = f^{\cdot}x \rightarrow w = x)$
{proof}
end

13.3 Discipline for *inj*

term *function_space_rel*

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition

is_inj :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_inj(M, A, B, I) \equiv M(I) \wedge (\exists F[M]. is_function_space(M, A, B, F) \wedge$
 $is_Collect(M, F, injP_rel(M, A), I))$

declare *typed_function_iff_sats Collect_iff_sats [iff_sats]*

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation *is_function_space_fm* ($\cdot \rightarrow \cdot$ *is* \cdot)

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation *is_inj_fm* ($\cdot inj'(\cdot, \cdot)$ *is* \cdot)

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *arity_is_inj_fm[arity]*:

$A \in nat \implies$
 $B \in nat \implies I \in nat \implies arity(is_inj_fm(A, B, I)) = succ(A) \cup succ(B) \cup$
 $succ(I)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

inj_rel :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ ($inj'(\cdot, \cdot)$) **where**
 $inj_rel(M, A, B) \equiv THE d. is_inj(M, A, B, d)$

abbreviation

inj_r_set :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ ($inj'(\cdot, \cdot)$) **where**
 $inj_r_set(M) \equiv inj_rel(\#\# M)$

locale *M_inj = M_Pi +*

assumes

injP_separation: $M(r) \implies separation(M, injP_rel(M, r))$

begin

lemma *is_inj_uniqueness*:

assumes

$M(r) M(B)$
 $is_inj(M, r, B, d) is_inj(M, r, B, d')$

shows

$d=d'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_inj_witness*: $M(r) \implies M(B) \implies \exists d[M]. is_inj(M, r, B, d)$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma is_inj_closed :
  is_inj(M,x,y,d)  $\implies$  M(d)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj_rel_closed[intro,simp] :
  assumes M(x) M(y)
  shows M(inj_rel(M,x,y))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas trans_inj_rel_closed[trans_closed] = transM[OF inj_rel_closed]

lemma inj_rel_iff:
  assumes M(x) M(y) M(d)
  shows is_inj(M,x,y,d)  $\longleftrightarrow$  d = inj_rel(M,x,y)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma def_inj_rel:
  assumes M(A) M(B)
  shows inj_rel(M,A,B) = {f ∈ function_space_rel(M,A,B).  $\forall w[M]. \forall x[M]. w \in A \wedge x \in A \wedge f^w = f^x \implies w = x$ }
    (is _ = Collect(_,?P))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma inj_rel_char:
  assumes M(A) M(B)
  shows inj_rel(M,A,B) = {f ∈ inj(A,B). M(f)}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale M_N_inj = M:M_inj + N:M_inj N for N +
  assumes
    M_imp_N:M(x)  $\implies$  N(x)
begin

lemma inj_rel_transfer: M(A)  $\implies$  M(B)  $\implies$  inj_rel(M,A,B) ⊆ inj_rel(N,A,B)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

definition
surjP_rel:: [ $i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i \Rightarrow o$ ] where
surjP_rel( $M, A, B, f$ )  $\equiv$ 
 $\forall y[M]. \exists x[M]. \exists fx[M]. y \in B \longrightarrow x \in A \wedge \text{is\_apply}(M, f, x, fx) \wedge fx = y$ 

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

context  $M_{\text{basic}}$ 
begin

```

```

lemma  $\text{def\_surjP\_rel}:$ 
assumes
 $M(A) M(B) M(f)$ 
shows
 $\text{surjP\_rel}(M, A, B, f) \longleftrightarrow (\forall y[M]. \exists x[M]. y \in B \longrightarrow x \in A \wedge f'x = y)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

13.4 Discipline for surj

definition

```

is_surj :: [ $i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i \Rightarrow o$ ] where
is_surj( $M, A, B, I$ )  $\equiv M(I) \wedge (\exists F[M]. \text{is\_function\_space}(M, A, B, F) \wedge$ 
 $\text{is\_Collect}(M, F, \text{surjP\_rel}(M, A, B), I))$ 

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation $\text{is_surj_fm} (\langle \cdot \text{surj}'(_, _) \rangle \text{ is } \langle \cdot \rangle)$

definition

```

surj_rel :: [ $i \Rightarrow o, i, i \Rightarrow i$ ]  $\Rightarrow i (\langle \text{surj}'(\_, \_) \rangle)$  where
surj_rel( $M, A, B$ )  $\equiv$  THE  $d$ .  $\text{is\_surj}(M, A, B, d)$ 

```

abbreviation

```

surj_r_set :: [ $i, i, i \Rightarrow i$ ]  $\Rightarrow i (\langle \text{surj}'(\_, \_) \rangle)$  where
surj_r_set( $M$ )  $\equiv \text{surj\_rel}(\#\# M)$ 

```

locale $M_{\text{surj}} = M_{\text{Pi}} +$

assumes

$\text{surjP_separation}: M(A) \Rightarrow M(B) \Rightarrow \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \text{surjP_rel}(M, A, B, x))$

begin

lemma $\text{is_surj_uniqueness}:$

assumes

```

 $M(r) M(B)$ 
is_surj( $M, r, B, d$ )  $\text{is\_surj}(M, r, B, d')$ 

```

shows

$d = d'$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma is_surj_witness:  $M(r) \implies M(B) \implies \exists d[M]. \text{is\_surj}(M,r,B,d)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_surj_closed :
   $\text{is\_surj}(M,x,y,d) \implies M(d)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma surj_rel_closed[intro,simp]:
  assumes  $M(x) \ M(y)$ 
  shows  $M(\text{surj\_rel}(M,x,y))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas trans_surj_rel_closed[trans_closed] = transM[OF _ surj_rel_closed]

lemma surj_rel_iff:
  assumes  $M(x) \ M(y) \ M(d)$ 
  shows  $\text{is\_surj}(M,x,y,d) \longleftrightarrow d = \text{surj\_rel}(M,x,y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma def_surj_rel:
  assumes  $M(A) \ M(B)$ 
  shows  $\text{surj\_rel}(M,A,B) =$ 
     $\{f \in \text{function\_space\_rel}(M,A,B). \forall y[M]. \exists x[M]. y \in B \longrightarrow x \in A \wedge f \cdot x = y\}$ 
    (is  $\_ = \text{Collect}(\_, ?P)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma surj_rel_char:
  assumes  $M(A) \ M(B)$ 
  shows  $\text{surj\_rel}(M,A,B) = \{f \in \text{surj}(A,B). M(f)\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale M_N_surj = M:M_surj + N:M_surj N for N +
  assumes
     $M\_imp\_N: M(x) \implies N(x)$ 
begin

lemma surj_rel_transfer:  $M(A) \implies M(B) \implies \text{surj\_rel}(M,A,B) \subseteq \text{surj\_rel}(N,A,B)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

definition

```

is_Int :: [i⇒o,i,i,i]⇒o  where
is_Int(M,A,B,I) ≡ M(I) ∧ (∀x[M]. x ∈ I ↔ x ∈ A ∧ x ∈ B)

```

```

⟨ML⟩
notation is_Int_fm (⟨_ ∩ _ is_⟩)

```

```

context M_basic
begin

```

```

lemma is_Int_closed :
is_Int(M,A,B,I) ⇒ M(I)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is_Int_abs:
assumes
M(A) M(B) M(I)
shows
is_Int(M,A,B,I) ↔ I = A ∩ B
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is_Int_uniqueness:
assumes
M(r) M(B)
is_Int(M,r,B,d) is_Int(M,r,B,d')
shows
d=d'
⟨proof⟩

```

Note: $\llbracket M(?A); M(?B) \rrbracket \Rightarrow M(?A \cap ?B)$ already in *ZF-Constructible.Relative*.

```
end
```

13.5 Discipline for bij

```

⟨ML⟩
notation is_bij_fm (⟨·bij'(⟨_,_⟩) is_⟩)

```

abbreviation

```

bij_r_class :: [i⇒o,i,i] ⇒ i (⟨bij-'(⟨_,_⟩)⟩) where
bij_r_class ≡ bij_rel

```

abbreviation

```

bij_r_set :: [i,i,i] ⇒ i (⟨bij-'(⟨_,_⟩)⟩) where
bij_r_set(M) ≡ bij_rel(##M)

```

```

locale M_Perm = M_Pi + M_inj + M_surj
begin

```

```

lemma is_bij_closed : is_bij(M,f,y,d)  $\implies$  M(d)
  {proof}

lemma bij_rel_closed[intro,simp]:
  assumes M(x) M(y)
  shows M(bij_rel(M,x,y))
  {proof}

lemmas trans_bij_rel_closed[trans_closed] = transM[OF _ bij_rel_closed]

lemma bij_rel_iff:
  assumes M(x) M(y) M(d)
  shows is_bij(M,x,y,d)  $\longleftrightarrow$  d = bij_rel(M,x,y)
  {proof}

lemma def_bij_rel:
  assumes M(A) M(B)
  shows bij_rel(M,A,B) = inj_rel(M,A,B)  $\cap$  surj_rel(M,A,B)
  {proof}

lemma bij_rel_char:
  assumes M(A) M(B)
  shows bij_rel(M,A,B) = {f  $\in$  bij(A,B). M(f)}
  {proof}

end

locale M_N_Perm = M_N_Pi + M_N_inj + M_N_surj + M:M_Perm +
N:M_Perm N

begin

lemma bij_rel_transfer: M(A)  $\implies$  M(B)  $\implies$  bij_rel(M,A,B)  $\subseteq$  bij_rel(N,A,B)
{proof}

end

### 13.6 Discipline for ( $\approx$ )



{ML}

notation is_eqpoll_fm ( $\cdot \approx \cdot$ )
context M_Perm begin

{ML}


```

```

⟨proof⟩

end

abbreviation
  eqpoll_r :: [i,i⇒o,i] => o (⟨_ ≈_ _⟩ [51,1,51] 50) where
    A ≈M B ≡ eqpoll_rel(M,A,B)

abbreviation
  eqpoll_r_set :: [i,i,i] ⇒ o (⟨_ ≈_ _⟩ [51,1,51] 50) where
    eqpoll_r_set(A,M) ≡ eqpoll_rel(##M,A)

context M_Perms
begin

lemma def_eqpoll_rel:
  assumes
    M(A) M(B)
  shows
    eqpoll_rel(M,A,B) ↔ (∃f[M]. f ∈ bij_rel(M,A,B))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context M_N_Perms
begin

```

```

lemma eqpoll_rel_transfer: assumes A ≈M B M(A) M(B)
  shows A ≈N B
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

13.7 Discipline for (\lesssim)

```

⟨ML⟩
notation is_lepoll_fm (⟨·_ _·⟩)
⟨ML⟩

```

```
context M_inj begin
```

```

⟨ML⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```
abbreviation
```

```
  lepoll_r :: [i,i⇒o,i] => o (⟨_ ≈_ _⟩ [51,1,51] 50) where
```

```

 $A \lesssim^M B \equiv \text{lepoll\_rel}(M, A, B)$ 

abbreviation
   $\text{lepoll\_r\_set} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\_ \lesssim \_) [51, 1, 51] 50)$  where
     $\text{lepoll\_r\_set}(A, M) \equiv \text{lepoll\_rel}(\#M, A)$ 

context  $M\_Perm$ 
begin

lemma  $\text{def\_lepoll\_rel}$ :
assumes
   $M(A) M(B)$ 
shows
   $\text{lepoll\_rel}(M, A, B) \longleftrightarrow (\exists f[M]. f \in \text{inj\_rel}(M, A, B))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context  $M\_N\_Perm$ 
begin

```

```

lemma  $\text{lepoll\_rel\_transfer}$ : assumes  $A \lesssim^M B M(A) M(B)$ 
shows  $A \lesssim^N B$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

13.8 Discipline for (\prec)

$\langle ML \rangle$
notation $\text{is_lesspoll_fm} (\cdot \prec \cdot)$
 $\langle ML \rangle$

context M_Perm **begin**

$\langle ML \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

abbreviation
 $\text{lesspoll_r} :: [i, i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o (_ \prec _) [51, 1, 51] 50)$ **where**
 $A \prec^M B \equiv \text{lesspoll_rel}(M, A, B)$

abbreviation
 $\text{lesspoll_r_set} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (_ \prec _) [51, 1, 51] 50)$ **where**
 $\text{lesspoll_r_set}(A, M) \equiv \text{lesspoll_rel}(\#M, A)$

Since lesspoll_rel is defined as a propositional combination of older terms,

there is no need for a separate “def” theorem for it.

Note that *lesspoll_rel* is neither Σ_1^{ZF} nor Π_1^{ZF} , so there is no “transfer” theorem for it.

end

14 Relativization of the cumulative hierarchy

```
theory Relative_Univ
imports
  ZF-Constructible.Rank
  Internalizations
  Recursion_Thms
```

begin

declare (in *M_trivial*) *powerset_abs*[simp]

lemma *Collect_inter_Transset*:

assumes

Transset(M) $b \in M$

shows

$\{x \in b . P(x)\} = \{x \in b . P(x)\} \cap M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *M_trivial*) *family_union_closed*: $\llbracket \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = f(x); M(A); \forall x \in A. M(f(x))) \rrbracket$
 $\implies M(\bigcup x \in A. f(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *M_trivial*) *family_union_closed'*: $\llbracket \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. x \in A \wedge y = f(x); M(A); \forall x \in A. M(f(x))) \rrbracket$
 $\implies M(\bigcup x \in A. f(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

HVfrom :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$HVfrom(M, A, x, f) \equiv A \cup (\bigcup y \in x. \{a \in Pow(f^*y). M(a)\})$

definition

is_powapply :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

$is_powapply(M, f, y, z) \equiv M(z) \wedge (\exists fy[M]. fun_apply(M, f, y, fy) \wedge powerset(M, fy, z))$

lemma *is_powapply_closed*: $is_powapply(M, f, y, z) \implies M(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

```
is_HVfrom :: [i=>o,i,i,i,i] => o where
is_HVfrom(M,A,x,f,h) ≡ ∃ U[M]. ∃ R[M]. union(M,A,U,h)
  ∧ big_union(M,R,U) ∧ is_Replace(M,x,is_powapply(M,f),R)
```

definition

```
is_Vfrom :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where
is_Vfrom(M,A,i,V) ≡ is_transrec(M,is_HVfrom(M,A),i,V)
```

definition

```
is_Vset :: [i=>o,i,i] => o where
is_Vset(M,i,V) ≡ ∃ z[M]. empty(M,z) ∧ is_Vfrom(M,z,i,V)
```

14.1 Formula synthesis

schematic_goal *sats_is_powapply_fm_auto:*

assumes

$f \in \text{nat}$ $y \in \text{nat}$ $z \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ $0 \in A$

shows

```
is_powapply(##A,nth(f, env),nth(y, env),nth(z, env))
  ↔ sats(A, ?ipa_fm(f,y,z),env)
⟨proof⟩
```

schematic_goal *is_powapply_iff_sats:*

assumes

$\text{nth}(f, \text{env}) = ff$ $\text{nth}(y, \text{env}) = yy$ $\text{nth}(z, \text{env}) = zz$ $0 \in A$

$f \in \text{nat}$ $y \in \text{nat}$ $z \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$

shows

```
is_powapply(##A,ff,yy,zz) ↔ sats(A, ?is_one_fm(a,r), env)
⟨proof⟩
```

definition

```
Hrank :: [i,i] => i where
Hrank(x,f) = (U y ∈ x. succ(f'y))
```

definition

```
PHrank :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where
PHrank(M,f,y,z) ≡ M(z) ∧ (∃ fy[M]. fun_apply(M,f,y,fy) ∧ successor(M,fy,z))
```

definition

```
is_Hrank :: [i=>o,i,i,i] => o where
is_Hrank(M,x,f,fc) ≡ (∃ R[M]. big_union(M,R,fc) ∧ is_Replace(M,x,PHrank(M,f),R))
```

definition

```

rrank :: i ⇒ i where
rrank(a) ≡ Memrel(eclose({a})) ^+  

lemma (in M_eclose) wf_rrank : M(x) ⇒ wf(rrank(x))
⟨proof⟩  

lemma (in M_eclose) trans_rrank : M(x) ⇒ trans(rrank(x))
⟨proof⟩  

lemma (in M_eclose) relation_rrank : M(x) ⇒ relation(rrank(x))
⟨proof⟩  

lemma (in M_eclose) rrank_in_M : M(x) ⇒ M(rrank(x))
⟨proof⟩

```

14.2 Absoluteness results

```

locale M_eclose_pow = M_eclose +
assumes
  power_ax : power_ax(M) and
  powapply_replacement : M(f) ⇒ strong_replacement(M, is_powapply(M,f))
and
  HVfrom_replacement : [M(i) ; M(A)] ⇒
    transrec_replacement(M, is_HVfrom(M,A), i) and
  PHrank_replacement : M(f) ⇒ strong_replacement(M, PHrank(M,f)) and
  is_Hrank_replacement : M(x) ⇒ wfrec_replacement(M, is_Hrank(M), rrank(x))  

begin  

lemma is_powapply_abs : [M(f); M(y)] ⇒ is_powapply(M,f,y,z) ↔ M(z) ∧
z = {x ∈ Pow(f`y). M(x)}
⟨proof⟩  

lemma [M(A); M(x); M(f); M(h)] ⇒
  is_HVfrom(M, A, x, f, h) ↔
  (∃ R[M]. h = A ∪ ∪ R ∧ is_Replace(M, x, λx y. y = {x ∈ Pow(f ` x) . M(x)}),
R))
⟨proof⟩  

lemma Replace_is_powapply:
assumes
  M(R) M(A) M(f)
shows
  is_Replace(M, A, is_powapply(M, f), R) ↔ R = Replace(A, is_powapply(M, f))
⟨proof⟩  

lemma powapply_closed:
  [M(y) ; M(f)] ⇒ M({x ∈ Pow(f ` y) . M(x)})  

⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma RepFun_is_powapply:
  assumes
     $M(R) M(A) M(f)$ 
  shows
     $Replace(A, is\_powapply(M,f)) = RepFun(A, \lambda y. \{x \in Pow(f^y). M(x)\})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma RepFun_powapply_closed:
  assumes
     $M(f) M(A)$ 
  shows
     $M(Replace(A, is\_powapply(M,f)))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Union_powapply_closed:
  assumes
     $M(x) M(f)$ 
  shows
     $M(\bigcup y \in x. \{a \in Pow(f^y). M(a)\})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma relation2_HVfrom:  $M(A) \implies relation2(M, is\_HVfrom(M,A), HVfrom(M,A))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma HVfrom_closed :
   $M(A) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(HVfrom(M,A,x,g))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma transrec_HVfrom:
  assumes  $M(A)$ 
  shows  $Ord(i) \implies \{x \in Vfrom(A,i). M(x)\} = transrec(i, HVfrom(M,A))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Vfrom_abs:  $\llbracket M(A); M(i); M(V); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies is\_Vfrom(M,A,i,V) \longleftrightarrow V = \{x \in Vfrom(A,i). M(x)\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Vfrom_closed:  $\llbracket M(A); M(i); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies M(\{x \in Vfrom(A,i). M(x)\})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Vset_abs:  $\llbracket M(i); M(V); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies is\_Vset(M,i,V) \longleftrightarrow V = \{x \in Vset(i). M(x)\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Vset_closed:  $\llbracket M(i); Ord(i) \rrbracket \implies M(\{x \in Vset(i). M(x)\})$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Hrank_tranci:  $Hrank(y, restrict(f, Memrel(eclose(\{x\})) - ``\{y\}))$ 

```

$= Hrank(y, \text{restrict}(f, (\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})))^+)-``\{y\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rank_tranci*: $\text{rank}(x) = \text{wfreq}(\text{rrank}(x), x, \text{Hrank})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *univ_PHrank* : $\llbracket M(z) ; M(f) \rrbracket \implies \text{univalent}(M, z, \text{PHrank}(M, f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PHrank_abs* :

$$\llbracket M(f) ; M(y) \rrbracket \implies \text{PHrank}(M, f, y, z) \longleftrightarrow M(z) \wedge z = \text{succ}(f \cdot y)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PHrank_closed* : $\text{PHrank}(M, f, y, z) \implies M(z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Replace_PHrank_abs*:

assumes
 $M(z) M(f) M(hr)$

shows
 $\text{is_Replace}(M, z, \text{PHrank}(M, f), hr) \longleftrightarrow hr = \text{Replace}(z, \text{PHrank}(M, f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun_PHrank*:

assumes
 $M(R) M(A) M(f)$

shows
 $\text{Replace}(A, \text{PHrank}(M, f)) = \text{RepFun}(A, \lambda y. \text{succ}(f \cdot y))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *RepFun_PHrank_closed* :

assumes
 $M(f) M(A)$

shows
 $M(\text{Replace}(A, \text{PHrank}(M, f)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation2_Hrank* :

relation2($M, \text{is_Hrank}(M), \text{Hrank}$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Union_PHrank_closed*:

assumes
 $M(x) M(f)$

shows
 $M(\bigcup y \in x. \text{succ}(f \cdot y))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma is_Hrank_closed :
  M(A)  $\implies$   $\forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow M(\text{Hrank}(x,g))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma rank_closed: M(a)  $\implies$  M(rank(a))
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma M_into_Vset:
  assumes M(a)
  shows  $\exists i[M]. \exists V[M]. \text{ordinal}(M,i) \wedge \text{is\_Vfrom}(M,0,i,V) \wedge a \in V$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end
end

```

15 Renaming of variables in internalized formulas

theory Renaming

imports

Nat_Miscellanea

ZF_Miscellanea

ZF-Constructible.Formula

begin

15.1 Renaming of free variables

definition

union_fun :: $[i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{union_fun}(f,g,m,p) \equiv \lambda j \in m \cup p . \text{if } j \in m \text{ then } f^j \text{ else } g^j$

lemma union_fun_type:

assumes $f \in m \rightarrow n$

$g \in p \rightarrow q$

shows $\text{union_fun}(f,g,m,p) \in m \cup p \rightarrow n \cup q$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma union_fun_action :

assumes

$\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$

$\text{env}' \in \text{list}(M)$

$\text{length}(\text{env}) = m \cup p$

$\forall i . i \in m \longrightarrow \text{nth}(f^i, \text{env}') = \text{nth}(i, \text{env})$

$\forall j . j \in p \longrightarrow \text{nth}(g^j, \text{env}') = \text{nth}(j, \text{env})$

shows $\forall i . i \in m \cup p \longrightarrow$

$\text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = \text{nth}(\text{union_fun}(f,g,m,p)^i, \text{env}')$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma id_fn_type :
  assumes n ∈ nat
  shows id(n) ∈ n → n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma id_fn_action:
  assumes n ∈ nat env ∈ list(M)
  shows ⋀ j . j < n ⟹ nth(j, env) = nth(id(n) ` j, env)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
rsum :: [i, i, i, i, i] ⇒ i where
rsum(f, g, m, n, p) ≡ λj ∈ m#+p . if j < m then f`j else (g`((j#-m))#+n

lemma sum_inl:
  assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat
  f ∈ m → n x ∈ m
  shows rsum(f, g, m, n, p)`x = f`x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_inr:
  assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat p ∈ nat
  g ∈ p → q m ≤ x x < m#+p
  shows rsum(f, g, m, n, p)`x = g`((x#-m))#+n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_action :
  assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat p ∈ nat q ∈ nat
  f ∈ m → n g ∈ p → q
  env ∈ list(M)
  env' ∈ list(M)
  env1 ∈ list(M)
  env2 ∈ list(M)
  length(env) = m
  length(env1) = p
  length(env') = n
  ⋀ i . i < m ⟹ nth(i, env) = nth(f`i, env')
  ⋀ j . j < p ⟹ nth(j, env1) = nth(g`j, env2)
  shows ⋀ i . i < m#+p →
    nth(i, env@env1) = nth(rsum(f, g, m, n, p)`i, env'@env2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_type :
  assumes m ∈ nat n ∈ nat p ∈ nat q ∈ nat
  f ∈ m → n g ∈ p → q
  shows rsum(f, g, m, n, p) ∈ (m#+p) → (n#+q)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum_type_id* :

assumes

$f \in length(env) \rightarrow length(env')$
 $env \in list(M)$
 $env' \in list(M)$
 $env1 \in list(M)$

shows

$rsum(f, id(length(env1)), length(env), length(env'), length(env1)) \in$
 $(length(env)\# + length(env1)) \rightarrow (length(env')\# + length(env1))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum_type_id_aux2* :

assumes

$f \in m \rightarrow n$
 $m \in nat$ $n \in nat$
 $env1 \in list(M)$

shows

$rsum(f, id(length(env1)), m, n, length(env1)) \in$
 $(m\# + length(env1)) \rightarrow (n\# + length(env1))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum_action_id* :

assumes

$env \in list(M)$
 $env' \in list(M)$
 $f \in length(env) \rightarrow length(env')$
 $env1 \in list(M)$

$\wedge i . i < length(env) \implies nth(i, env) = nth(f^i, env')$

shows $\wedge i . i < length(env)\# + length(env1) \implies$

$nth(i, env @ env1) = nth(rsum(f, id(length(env1)), length(env), length(env'), length(env1)) ^ i, env' @ env1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum_action_id_aux* :

assumes

$f \in m \rightarrow n$
 $env \in list(M)$
 $env' \in list(M)$
 $env1 \in list(M)$
 $length(env) = m$
 $length(env') = n$
 $length(env1) = p$

$\wedge i . i < m \implies nth(i, env) = nth(f^i, env')$

shows $\wedge i . i < m\# + length(env1) \implies$

$nth(i, env @ env1) = nth(rsum(f, id(length(env1)), m, n, length(env1)) ^ i, env' @ env1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

```
sum_id :: [i,i] ⇒ i where
sum_id(m,f) ≡ rsum(λx∈1.x,f,1,1,m)
```

lemma $\text{sum_id}0 : m \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{sum_id}(m,f) \cdot 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sum_id}S : p \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow q \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow f \in p \rightarrow q \Rightarrow x \in p \Rightarrow \text{sum_id}(p,f) \cdot (\text{succ}(x)) = \text{succ}(f \cdot x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sum_id_tc_aux} :$
 $p \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow q \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow f \in p \rightarrow q \Rightarrow \text{sum_id}(p,f) \in 1\# + p \rightarrow 1\# + q$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{sum_id_tc} :$
 $n \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow m \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow f \in n \rightarrow m \Rightarrow \text{sum_id}(n,f) \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow \text{succ}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

15.2 Renaming of formulas

consts $\text{ren} :: i \Rightarrow i$

primrec

```
ren(Member(x,y)) =
(λ n ∈ nat . λ m ∈ nat. λf ∈ n → m. Member (f · x, f · y))
```

```
ren(Equal(x,y)) =
(λ n ∈ nat . λ m ∈ nat. λf ∈ n → m. Equal (f · x, f · y))
```

```
ren(Nand(p,q)) =
(λ n ∈ nat . λ m ∈ nat. λf ∈ n → m. Nand (ren(p) · n · m · f, ren(q) · n · m · f))
```

```
ren(Forall(p)) =
(λ n ∈ nat . λ m ∈ nat. λf ∈ n → m. Forall (ren(p) · succ(n) · succ(m) · sum_id(n,f)))
```

lemma $\text{arity_meml} : l \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Member}(x,y) \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(\text{Member}(x,y)) \leq l \Rightarrow x \in l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_memr} : l \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Member}(x,y) \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(\text{Member}(x,y)) \leq l \Rightarrow y \in l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_eql} : l \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Equal}(x,y) \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(\text{Equal}(x,y)) \leq l \Rightarrow x \in l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_eqr} : l \in \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{Equal}(x,y) \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(\text{Equal}(x,y)) \leq l \Rightarrow y \in l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nand_ar1} : p \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow q \in \text{formula} \Rightarrow \text{arity}(p) \leq \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma nand_ar2 :  $p \in formula \implies q \in formula \implies \text{arity}(q) \leq \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma nand_ar1D :  $p \in formula \implies q \in formula \implies \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q)) \leq n \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(p) \leq n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma nand_ar2D :  $p \in formula \implies q \in formula \implies \text{arity}(\text{Nand}(p,q)) \leq n \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(q) \leq n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ren_tc :  $p \in formula \implies$ 
   $(\bigwedge n m f . n \in nat \implies m \in nat \implies f \in n \rightarrow m \implies \text{ren}(p) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f \in formula)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma arity_ren :
  fixes  $p$ 
  assumes  $p \in formula$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge n m f . n \in nat \implies m \in nat \implies f \in n \rightarrow m \implies \text{arity}(p) \leq n \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{ren}(p) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f) \leq m$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma arity_forallE :  $p \in formula \implies m \in nat \implies \text{arity}(\text{Forall}(p)) \leq m \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(p) \leq \text{succ}(m)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma env_coincidence_sum_id :
  assumes  $m \in nat$   $n \in nat$ 
   $\varrho \in list(A)$   $\varrho' \in list(A)$ 
   $f \in n \rightarrow m$ 
   $\bigwedge i . i < n \implies \text{nth}(i, \varrho) = \text{nth}(f \cdot i, \varrho')$ 
   $a \in A$   $j \in \text{succ}(n)$ 
  shows  $\text{nth}(j, \text{Cons}(a, \varrho)) = \text{nth}(\text{sum\_id}(n, f) \cdot j, \text{Cons}(a, \varrho'))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_iff_sats_ren :
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows  $\llbracket n \in nat ; m \in nat ; \varrho \in list(M) ; \varrho' \in list(M) ; f \in n \rightarrow m ;$ 
     $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq n ;$ 
     $\bigwedge i . i < n \implies \text{nth}(i, \varrho) = \text{nth}(f \cdot i, \varrho') \rrbracket \implies$ 
     $\text{sats}(M, \varphi, \varrho) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{ren}(\varphi) \cdot n \cdot m \cdot f, \varrho')$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
theory Renaming_Auto
imports
  Renaming
  ZF.Finite

```

```

ZF.List
  Utils
keywords
  rename :: thy_decl % ML
and
  simple_rename :: thy_decl % ML
and
  src
and
  tgt
abbrevs
  simple_rename =
begin

lemmas app_fun = apply_iff[THEN iffD1]
lemmas nat_succI = nat_succ_iff[THEN iffD2]
⟨ML⟩
end

```

16 Interface between set models and Constructibility

This theory provides an interface between Paulson's relativization results and set models of ZFC. In particular, it is used to prove that the locale *forcing_data* is a sublocale of all relevant locales in ZF-Constructibility (*M_trivial*, *M_basic*, *M_eclose*, etc).

```

theory Interface
imports
  Nat_Miscellanea
  Relative_Univ
  Synthetic_Definition
  Arities
  Renaming_Auto
  Discipline_Function
begin

abbreviation
  dec10 :: i (10) where 10 ≡ succ(9)

abbreviation
  dec11 :: i (11) where 11 ≡ succ(10)

abbreviation
  dec12 :: i (12) where 12 ≡ succ(11)

abbreviation
  dec13 :: i (13) where 13 ≡ succ(12)

```

```

abbreviation
 $dec14 :: i \ (14)$  where  $14 \equiv succ(13)$ 

definition
 $infinity\_ax :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$  where
 $infinity\_ax(M) \equiv$ 
 $(\exists I[M]. (\exists z[M]. empty(M,z) \wedge z \in I) \wedge (\forall y[M]. y \in I \longrightarrow (\exists sy[M]. successor(M,y,sy) \wedge sy \in I)))$ 

definition
 $choice\_ax :: (i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow o$  where
 $choice\_ax(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists a[M]. \exists f[M]. ordinal(M,a) \wedge surjection(M,a,x,f)$ 

context  $M\_basic$  begin

lemma  $choice\_ax\_abs :$ 
 $choice\_ax(M) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x[M]. \exists a[M]. \exists f[M]. Ord(a) \wedge f \in surj(a,x))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

definition
 $wellfounded\_tranci :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
 $wellfounded\_tranci(M, Z, r, p) \equiv$ 
 $\exists w[M]. \exists wx[M]. \exists rp[M].$ 
 $w \in Z \wedge pair(M, w, p, wx) \wedge tran\_closure(M, r, rp) \wedge wx \in rp$ 

lemma  $empty\_intf :$ 
 $infinity\_ax(M) \Longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists z[M]. empty(M,z))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $Transset\_intf :$ 
 $Transset(M) \Longrightarrow y \in x \Longrightarrow x \in M \Longrightarrow y \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

locale  $M\_ZF =$ 
fixes  $M$ 
assumes
 $upair\_ax: upair\_ax(\#M) \text{ and}$ 
 $Union\_ax: Union\_ax(\#M) \text{ and}$ 
 $power\_ax: power\_ax(\#M) \text{ and}$ 
 $extensionality: extensionality(\#M) \text{ and}$ 
 $foundation\_ax: foundation\_ax(\#M) \text{ and}$ 
 $infinity\_ax: infinity\_ax(\#M) \text{ and}$ 
 $separation\_ax: \varphi \in formula \Longrightarrow env \in list(M) \Longrightarrow$ 
 $arity(\varphi) \leq 1 \# + length(env) \Longrightarrow$ 
 $separation(\#M, \lambda x. sats(M, \varphi, [x] @ env)) \text{ and}$ 

```

```

replacement_ax: $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies$ 
 $arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env) \implies$ 
 $strong\_replacement(\#\#M, \lambda x y. sats(M, \varphi, [x, y] @ env))$ 

```

```

locale M_ZF_trans = M_ZF +
assumes
  trans_M: Transset(M)
begin

lemmas transitivity = Transset_intf[OF trans_M]

```

16.1 Interface with M_trivial

```

lemma zero_in_M:  $0 \in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale M_ZFC = M_ZF +
assumes
  choice_ax:choice_ax( $\#\#M$ )

```

locale M_ZFC_trans = M_ZF_trans + M_ZFC

sublocale M_ZF_trans \subseteq M_trans $\#\#M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale M_ZF_trans \subseteq M_trivial $\#\#M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

16.2 Interface with M_basic

```

definition Intersection where
  Intersection(N, B, x)  $\equiv$   $(\forall y[N]. y \in B \longrightarrow x \in y)$ 

```

```

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
   $\langle ML \rangle$ 

```

```

context M_ZF_trans
begin

lemma inter_sep_intf :
assumes
  A ∈ M
shows
  separation( $\#\#M, \lambda x . \forall y \in M . y \in A \longrightarrow x \in y$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

schematic_goal diff_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = x nth(j,env) = B
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  x ≠ B ←→ sats(A,?dfm(i,j),env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma diff_sep_intf :
assumes
  B ∈ M
shows
  separation(##M, λx . x ≠ B)
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal cprod_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = z nth(j,env) = B nth(h,env) = C
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (∃x ∈ A. x ∈ B ∧ (∃y ∈ A. y ∈ C ∧ pair(##A,x,y,z))) ←→ sats(A,?cpfm(i,j,h),env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cartprod_sep_intf :
assumes
  A ∈ M
  and
  B ∈ M
shows
  separation(##M, λz. ∃x ∈ M. x ∈ A ∧ (∃y ∈ M. y ∈ B ∧ pair(##M,x,y,z)))
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal im_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i,env) = y nth(j,env) = r nth(h,env) = B
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (∃p ∈ A. p ∈ r & (∃x ∈ A. x ∈ B & pair(##A,x,y,p))) ←→ sats(A,?imfm(i,j,h),env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma image_sep_intf :
assumes
  A ∈ M
  and
  r ∈ M
shows
  separation(##M, λy. ∃p ∈ M. p ∈ r & (∃x ∈ M. x ∈ A & pair(##M,x,y,p)))

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *con_fm_auto*:
assumes
 $nth(i, env) = z \ nth(j, env) = R$
 $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A)$
shows
 $(\exists p \in A. \ p \in R \ \& \ (\exists x \in A. \ \exists y \in A. \ pair(\#A, x, y, p) \ \& \ pair(\#A, y, x, z)))$
 $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?cfm(i, j), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse_sep_intf* :
assumes
 $R \in M$
shows
 $separation(\#M, \lambda z. \ \exists p \in M. \ p \in R \ \& \ (\exists x \in M. \ \exists y \in M. \ pair(\#M, x, y, p) \ \& \ pair(\#M, y, x, z)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *rest_fm_auto*:
assumes
 $nth(i, env) = z \ nth(j, env) = C$
 $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A)$
shows
 $(\exists x \in A. \ x \in C \ \& \ (\exists y \in A. \ pair(\#A, x, y, z)))$
 $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?rfm(i, j), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict_sep_intf* :
assumes
 $A \in M$
shows
 $separation(\#M, \lambda z. \ \exists x \in M. \ x \in A \ \& \ (\exists y \in M. \ pair(\#M, x, y, z)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal *comp_fm_auto*:
assumes
 $nth(i, env) = xz \ nth(j, env) = S \ nth(h, env) = R$
 $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ h \in nat \ env \in list(A)$
shows
 $(\exists x \in A. \ \exists y \in A. \ \exists z \in A. \ \exists xy \in A. \ \exists yz \in A.$
 $\quad pair(\#A, x, z, xz) \ \& \ pair(\#A, x, y, xy) \ \& \ pair(\#A, y, z, yz) \ \& \ xy \in S \ \&$
 $\quad yz \in R)$
 $\longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?cfm(i, j, h), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma comp_sep_intf :
assumes
  R ∈ M
  and
  S ∈ M
shows
  separation(##M, λxz. ∃ x ∈ M. ∃ y ∈ M. ∃ z ∈ M. ∃ xy ∈ M. ∃ yz ∈ M.
    pair(##M, x, z, xz) & pair(##M, x, y, xy) & pair(##M, y, z, yz) & xy ∈ S
  & yz ∈ R)
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal pred_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i, env) = y nth(j, env) = R nth(h, env) = X
  i ∈ nat j ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (∃ p ∈ A. p ∈ R & pair(##A, y, X, p)) ←→ sats(A, ?pfm(i, j, h), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma pred_sep_intf:
assumes
  R ∈ M
  and
  X ∈ M
shows
  separation(##M, λy. ∃ p ∈ M. p ∈ R & pair(##M, y, X, p))
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal mem_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i, env) = z i ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  (∃ x ∈ A. ∃ y ∈ A. pair(##A, x, y, z) & x ∈ y) ←→ sats(A, ?mfm(i), env)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma memrel_sep_intf:
  separation(##M, λz. ∃ x ∈ M. ∃ y ∈ M. pair(##M, x, y, z) & x ∈ y)
  ⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal recfun_fm_auto:
assumes
  nth(i1, env) = x nth(i2, env) = r nth(i3, env) = f nth(i4, env) = g nth(i5, env) =
  a
  nth(i6, env) = b i1 ∈ nat i2 ∈ nat i3 ∈ nat i4 ∈ nat i5 ∈ nat i6 ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists xa \in A. \exists xb \in A. pair(\#\#A, x, a, xa) \& xa \in r \& pair(\#\#A, x, b, xb) \& xb \in r \& \\
& \quad (\exists fx \in A. \exists gx \in A. fun_apply(\#\#A, f, x, fx) \& fun_apply(\#\#A, g, x, gx) \\
& \quad \& fx \neq gx)) \\
& \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?rffm(i1, i2, i3, i4, i5, i6), env)
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma is_recfun_sep_intf :
assumes
   $r \in M$   $f \in M$   $g \in M$   $a \in M$   $b \in M$ 
shows
  separation(\#\#M,  $\lambda x.$   $\exists xa \in M.$   $\exists xb \in M.$ 
     $pair(\#\#M, x, a, xa)$   $\&$   $xa \in r$   $\&$   $pair(\#\#M, x, b, xb)$   $\&$   $xb \in r$   $\&$ 
     $(\exists fx \in M. \exists gx \in M. fun\_apply(\#\#M, f, x, fx) \& fun\_apply(\#\#M, g, x, gx)$ 
     $\&$ 
     $fx \neq gx))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

schematic_goal funsp_fm_auto:
assumes
   $nth(i, env) = p$   $nth(j, env) = z$   $nth(h, env) = n$ 
   $i \in nat$   $j \in nat$   $h \in nat$   $env \in list(A)$ 
shows
   $(\exists f \in A. \exists b \in A. \exists nb \in A. \exists cnbf \in A. pair(\#\#A, f, b, p) \& pair(\#\#A, n, b, nb) \&$ 
   $is\_cons(\#\#A, nb, f, cnbf) \&$ 
   $upair(\#\#A, cnbf, cnbf, z)) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?fsfm(i, j, h), env)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma funspace_succ_rep_intf :
assumes
   $n \in M$ 
shows
  strong_replacement(\#\#M,
     $\lambda p z. \exists f \in M. \exists b \in M. \exists nb \in M. \exists cnbf \in M.$ 
     $pair(\#\#M, f, b, p) \& pair(\#\#M, n, b, nb) \& is\_cons(\#\#M, nb, f, cnbf) \&$ 
     $upair(\#\#M, cnbf, cnbf, z))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas M_basic_sep_instances =
  inter_sep_intf diff_sep_intf cartprod_sep_intf
  image_sep_intf converse_sep_intf restrict_sep_intf
  pred_sep_intf memrel_sep_intf comp_sep_intf is_recfun_sep_intf

```

end

sublocale $M_ZF_trans \subseteq M_basic \#\# M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

16.3 Interface with M_tranc

schematic_goal $rtran_closure_mem_auto:$
assumes
 $nth(i,env) = p \ nth(j,env) = r \ nth(k,env) = B$
 $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ k \in nat \ env \in list(A)$
shows
 $rtran_closure_mem(\#\# A, B, r, p) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?rcfm(i,j,k), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $rtranc_separation_intf:$
assumes
 $r \in M$
and
 $A \in M$
shows
 $separation(\#\# M, rtran_closure_mem(\#\# M, A, r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal $rtran_closure_fm_auto:$
assumes
 $nth(i,env) = r \ nth(j,env) = rp$
 $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A)$
shows
 $rtran_closure(\#\# A, r, rp) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?rtc(i,j), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal $trans_closure_fm_auto:$
assumes
 $i \in nat \ j \in nat \ env \in list(A)$
shows
 $trans_closure(\#\# A, nth(i,env), nth(j,env)) \longleftrightarrow sats(A, ?tc(i,j), env)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $arity_tran_closure_fm :$
 $\llbracket x \in nat; f \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(trans_closure_fm(x,f)) = succ(x) \cup succ(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal $wellfounded_tranc_fm_auto:$
assumes

```

nth(i,env) = p nth(j,env) = r  nth(k,env) = B
i ∈ nat j ∈ nat k ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  wellfounded_trancl(##A,B,r,p) ←→ sats(A,?wtf(i,j,k),env)
  ⟨proof⟩

context M_ZF_trans
begin

lemma wftrancl_separation_intf:
assumes
  r ∈ M and Z ∈ M
shows
  separation(##M, wellfounded_trancl(##M,Z,r))
  ⟨proof⟩

Proof that nat ∈ M

lemma finite_sep_intf: separation(##M, λx. x ∈ nat)
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_subset_I':
  [I ∈ M ; 0 ∈ I ; ∀x. x ∈ I ⇒ succ(x) ∈ I] ⇒ nat ⊆ I
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_subset_I: ∃I ∈ M. nat ⊆ I
⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_in_M: nat ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_trancl ##M
⟨proof⟩

```

16.4 Interface with M_eclose

```

lemma repl_sats:
assumes
  sat: ∀x z. x ∈ M ⇒ z ∈ M ⇒ sats(M, φ, Cons(x, Cons(z, env))) ←→ P(x, z)
shows
  strong_replacement(##M, λx z. sats(M, φ, Cons(x, Cons(z, env)))) ←→
  strong_replacement(##M, P)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) list_repl1_intf:
assumes
  A ∈ M
shows

```

$\text{iterates_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_list_functor}(\#\#M, A), 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{iterates_repl_intf}$:
assumes
 $v \in M$ **and**
 $\text{isfm} : \text{is_F_fm} \in \text{formula}$ **and**
 $\text{arty} : \text{arity}(\text{is_F_fm}) = 2$ **and**
 $\text{satsf} : \bigwedge a b \text{ env}' . \llbracket a \in M ; b \in M ; \text{env}' \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{is_F}(a, b) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{is_F_fm}, [b, a] @ \text{env}')$
shows
 $\text{iterates_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_F}, v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{formula_repl1_intf}$:
 $\text{iterates_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_formula_functor}(\#\#M), 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) nth_repl_intf :
assumes
 $l \in M$
shows
 $\text{iterates_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda l' t. \text{is_tl}(\#\#M, l', t), l)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) eclose_repl1_intf :
assumes
 $A \in M$
shows
 $\text{iterates_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{big_union}(\#\#M), A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) list_repl2_intf :
assumes
 $A \in M$
shows
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda n y. n \in \text{nat} \ \& \ \text{is_iterates}(\#\#M, \text{is_list_functor}(\#\#M, A), 0, n, y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{formula_repl2_intf}$:
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda n y. n \in \text{nat} \ \& \ \text{is_iterates}(\#\#M, \text{is_formula_functor}(\#\#M), 0, n, y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) eclose_repl2_intf:
  assumes
    A ∈ M
  shows
    strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ nat & is_iterates(##M, big_union(##M),
A, n, y))
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_datatypes ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_eclose ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  powerset_fm :: [i,i] ⇒ i where
  powerset_fm(A,z) ≡ Forall(Iff(Member(0,succ(z)),subset_fm(0,succ(A)))))

lemma powerset_type [TC]:
  [x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat] ⇒ powerset_fm(x,y) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

definition
  is_powapply_fm :: [i,i,i] ⇒ i where
  is_powapply_fm(f,y,z) ≡
    Exists(And(fun_apply_fm(succ(f), succ(y), 0),
      Forall(Iff(Member(0, succ(succ(z))),,
      Forall(Implies(Member(0, 1), Member(0, 2)))))))

lemma is_powapply_type [TC] :
  [f ∈ nat ; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat] ⇒ is_powapply_fm(f,y,z) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

declare is_powapply_fm_def[fm_definitions add]

lemma sats_is_powapply_fm :
  assumes
    f ∈ nat y ∈ nat z ∈ nat env ∈ list(A) 0 ∈ A
  shows
    is_powapply(##A,nth(f, env),nth(y, env),nth(z, env))
    ↔ sats(A,is_powapply_fm(f,y,z),env)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) powapply_repl :
  assumes
     $f \in M$ 
  shows
    strong_replacement(\#\#M, is_powapply(\#\#M, f))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

PHrank_fm :: [i, i, i] ⇒ i where
PHrank_fm(f, y, z) ≡ Exists(And(fun_apply_fm(succ(f), succ(y), 0),
                                     succ_fm(0, succ(z))))

```

```

lemma PHrank_type [TC]:
  [x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat] ⇒ PHrank_fm(x, y, z) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) sats_PHrank_fm:
  [x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(M)] ⇒
  sats(M, PHrank_fm(x, y, z), env) ↔
  PHrank(\#\#M, nth(x, env), nth(y, env), nth(z, env))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) phrank_repl :
  assumes
     $f \in M$ 
  shows
    strong_replacement(\#\#M, PHrank(\#\#M, f))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

is_Hrank_fm :: [i, i, i] ⇒ i where
is_Hrank_fm(x, f, hc) ≡ Exists(And(big_union_fm(0, succ(hc)),
                                       Replace_fm(succ(x), PHrank_fm(succ(succ(succ(f)))), 0, 1), 0)))

```

```

lemma is_Hrank_type [TC]:
  [x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat] ⇒ is_Hrank_fm(x, y, z) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) sats_is_Hrank_fm:
  [x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(M)] ⇒
  sats(M, is_Hrank_fm(x, y, z), env) ↔

```

```

is_Hrank(##M,nth(x,env),nth(y,env),nth(z,env))
⟨proof⟩

declare is_Hrank_fm_def[fm_definitions add]
declare PHrank_fm_def[fm_definitions add]

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) wfrec_rank :
assumes
  X ∈ M
shows
  wfrec_replacement(##M,is_Hrank(##M),rrank(X))
⟨proof⟩

definition
  is_HVfrom_fm :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ i where
    is_HVfrom_fm(A,x,f,h) ≡ Exists(Exists(And(union_fm(A #+ 2,1,h #+ 2),
      And(big_union_fm(0,1),
        Replace_fm(x #+ 2,is_powapply_fm(f #+ 4,0,1),0))))))
declare is_HVfrom_fm_def[fm_definitions add]

lemma is_HVfrom_type [TC]:
  [ A ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; f ∈ nat; h ∈ nat ] ⇒ is_HVfrom_fm(A,x,f,h) ∈ formula
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_is_HVfrom_fm :
  [ a ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; f ∈ nat; h ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A); 0 ∈ A ]
  ⇒ sats(A,is_HVfrom_fm(a,x,f,h),env) ↔
    is_HVfrom(##A,nth(a,env),nth(x,env),nth(f,env),nth(h,env))
⟨proof⟩

lemma is_HVfrom_iff_sats:
assumes
  nth(a,env) = aa nth(x,env) = xx nth(f,env) = ff nth(h,env) = hh
  a ∈ nat x ∈ nat f ∈ nat h ∈ nat env ∈ list(A) 0 ∈ A
shows
  is_HVfrom(##A,aa,xx,ff,hh) ↔ sats(A, is_HVfrom_fm(a,x,f,h), env)
⟨proof⟩

schematic_goal sats_is_Vset_fm_auto:
assumes
  i ∈ nat v ∈ nat env ∈ list(A) 0 ∈ A
  i < length(env) v < length(env)
shows
  is_Vset(##A,nth(i, env),nth(v, env))
  ↔ sats(A,?ivs_fm(i,v),env)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

schematic_goal is_Vset_iff_sats:
  assumes
     $nth(i, env) = ii \quad nth(v, env) = vv$ 
     $i \in \text{nat} \quad v \in \text{nat} \quad env \in \text{list}(A) \quad 0 \in A$ 
     $i < \text{length}(env) \quad v < \text{length}(env)$ 
  shows
     $\text{is\_Vset}(\#\#A, ii, vv) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, ?ivs\_fm(i, v), env)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) memrel_eclose_sing :
   $A \in M \implies \exists sa \in M. \exists esa \in M. \exists mesa \in M.$ 
   $\text{upair}(\#\#M, a, a, sa) \& \text{is\_eclose}(\#\#M, sa, esa) \& \text{membership}(\#\#M, esa, mesa)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) trans_repl_HVFrom :
  assumes
     $A \in M \quad i \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{transrec\_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is\_HVfrom}(\#\#M, A), i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale M_ZF_trans  $\subseteq$  M_eclose_pow  $\#\#M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

16.5 Interface for proving Collects and Replace in M.

```

context M_ZF_trans
begin

lemma Collect_in_M :
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
     $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 \#+ \text{length}(env) \quad A \in M \text{ and}$ 
     $\text{satsQ}: \bigwedge x. x \in M \implies \text{sats}(M, \varphi, [x]@env) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$ 
  shows
     $\{y \in A . Q(y)\} \in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
lemma separation_in_M :
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
     $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 \#+ \text{length}(env) \quad A \in M \text{ and}$ 
     $\text{satsQ}: \bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{sats}(M, \varphi, [x]@env) \longleftrightarrow Q(x)$ 
  shows
     $\{y \in A . Q(y)\} \in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Replace_in_M :
  assumes

```

```

f_fm:  $\varphi \in formula$  and
f_ar:  $arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env)$  and
fsats:  $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies (M, [x,y] @ env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$  and
fclosed:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in M$  and
A ∈ M env ∈ list(M)
shows {f(x) . x ∈ A} ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

lemma Replace_relativized_in_M :
assumes
f_fm:  $\varphi \in formula$  and
f_ar:  $arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env)$  and
fsats:  $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies (M, [x,y] @ env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow is\_f(x,y)$  and
fabs:  $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies is\_f(x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$  and
fclosed:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in M$  and
A ∈ M env ∈ list(M)
shows {f(x) . x ∈ A} ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

definition ρ_repl :: i ⇒ i where
ρ_repl(l) ≡ rsum({⟨0, 1⟩, ⟨1, 0⟩}, id(l), 2, 3, l)

lemma f_type : {⟨0, 1⟩, ⟨1, 0⟩} ∈ 2 → 3
⟨proof⟩

lemma ren_type :
assumes l ∈ nat
shows ρ_repl(l) : 2# + l → 3# + l
⟨proof⟩

lemma ren_action :
assumes
env ∈ list(M) x ∈ M y ∈ M z ∈ M
shows ∀ i . i < 2# + length(env) →
nth(i, [x, z] @ env) = nth(ρ_repl(length(env)), i, [z, x, y] @ env)
⟨proof⟩

lemma Lambda_in_M :
assumes
f_fm:  $\varphi \in formula$  and
f_ar:  $arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env)$  and
fsats:  $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies (M, [x,y] @ env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow is\_f(x,y)$  and
fabs:  $\bigwedge x y. x \in A \implies y \in M \implies is\_f(x,y) \longleftrightarrow y = f(x)$  and
fclosed:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f(x) \in M$  and
A ∈ M env ∈ list(M)
shows (λx ∈ A . f(x)) ∈ M
⟨proof⟩

definition ρ_pair_repl :: i ⇒ i where

```

```

 $\varrho\_pair\_repl(l) \equiv rsum(\{\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle\}, id(l), 3, 4, l)$ 

lemma  $f\_type'$ :  $\{\langle 0, 0 \rangle, \langle 1, 1 \rangle, \langle 2, 3 \rangle\} \in 3 \rightarrow 4$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $ren\_type'$ :
  assumes  $l \in nat$ 
  shows  $\varrho\_pair\_repl(l) : 3\# + l \rightarrow 4\# + l$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $ren\_action'$ :
  assumes
     $env \in list(M)$   $x \in M$   $y \in M$   $z \in M$   $u \in M$ 
  shows  $\forall i . i < 3\# + length(env) \longrightarrow$ 
     $nth(i, [x, z, u] @ env) = nth(\varrho\_pair\_repl(length(env)), i, [x, z, y, u] @ env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $LambdaPair\_in\_M$  :
  assumes
     $f\_fm$ :  $\varphi \in formula$  and
     $f\_ar$ :  $arity(\varphi) \leq 3 \# + length(env)$  and
     $fsats$ :  $\bigwedge x z r . x \in M \implies z \in M \implies r \in M \implies (M, [x, z, r] @ env \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow is\_f(x, z, r)$ 
  and
     $fabs$ :  $\bigwedge x z r . x \in M \implies z \in M \implies r \in M \implies is\_f(x, z, r) \longleftrightarrow r = f(x, z)$  and
     $fclosed$ :  $\bigwedge x z . x \in M \implies z \in M \implies f(x, z) \in M$  and
     $A \in M$   $env \in list(M)$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x \in A . f(fst(x), snd(x))) \in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

17 Transitive set models of ZF

This theory defines the locale M_ZF_trans for transitive models of ZF, and the associated *forcing_data* that adds a forcing notion

```

theory Forcing_Data
  imports
    Forcing_Notions
    Interface
  begin

  locale  $M\_ctm = M\_ZF\_trans +$ 
    fixes enum
    assumes  $M\_countable$ :  $enum \in bij(nat, M)$ 
  begin

```

end

locale $M_ctm_AC = M_ctm + M_ZFC_trans$

17.1 A forcing locale and generic filters

locale $forcing_data = forcing_notion + M_ctm +$
assumes $P_in_M: \quad P \in M$
and $leq_in_M: \quad leq \in M$

begin

lemma $P_sub_M : P \subseteq M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$M_generic :: i \Rightarrow o$ where
 $M_generic(G) \equiv filter(G) \wedge (\forall D \in M. D \subseteq P \wedge dense(D) \rightarrow D \cap G \neq \emptyset)$

lemma $M_genericD [dest]: M_generic(G) \Rightarrow x \in G \Rightarrow x \in P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M_generic_leqD [dest]: M_generic(G) \Rightarrow p \in G \Rightarrow q \in P \Rightarrow p \leq q \Rightarrow$
 $q \in G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M_generic_compatD [dest]: M_generic(G) \Rightarrow p \in G \Rightarrow r \in G \Rightarrow \exists q \in G.$
 $q \leq p \wedge q \leq r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $M_generic_denseD [dest]: M_generic(G) \Rightarrow dense(D) \Rightarrow D \subseteq P \Rightarrow$
 $D \in M \Rightarrow \exists q \in G. q \in D$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $G_nonempty: M_generic(G) \Rightarrow G \neq \emptyset$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $one_in_G :$
assumes $M_generic(G)$
shows $one \in G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $G_subset_M: M_generic(G) \Rightarrow G \subseteq M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

declare iff_trans [trans]

```

lemma generic_filter_existence:
   $p \in P \implies \exists G. p \in G \wedge M\_generic(G)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma one_in_M: one  $\in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemma (in  $M\_trivial$ ) compat_in_abs :
assumes
   $M(A) M(r) M(p) M(q)$ 
shows
   $is\_compat\_in(M, A, r, p, q) \longleftrightarrow compat\_in(A, r, p, q)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context forcing_data begin

definition
  compat_in_fm ::  $[i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  compat_in_fm( $A, r, p, q$ )  $\equiv$ 
     $Exists(And(Member(0, succ(A)), Exists(And(pair\_fm(1, p\# + 2, 0),$ 
       $And(Member(0, r\# + 2),$ 
       $Exists(And(pair\_fm(2, q\# + 3, 0), Member(0, r\# + 3)))))))$ 

lemma compat_in_fm_type[TC] :
   $\llbracket A \in nat; r \in nat; p \in nat; q \in nat \rrbracket \implies compat\_in\_fm(A, r, p, q) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_compat_in_fm:
assumes
   $A \in nat \ r \in nat \ p \in nat \ q \in nat \ env \in list(M)$ 
shows
   $sats(M, compat\_in\_fm(A, r, p, q), env) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $is\_compat\_in(\#\# M, nth(A, env), nth(r, env), nth(p, env), nth(q, env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

18 Names and generic extensions

```

theory Names
imports
  Forcing_Data
  Interface
  Recursion_Thms

```

Relativization
Discipline_Base
Synthetic_Definition
ZF_Miscellanea
begin

18.1 The well-founded relation *ed*

```

lemma eclose_sing :  $x \in \text{eclose}(a) \implies x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ecloseE :
  assumes  $x \in \text{eclose}(A)$ 
  shows  $x \in A \vee (\exists B \in A . x \in \text{eclose}(B))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma eclose_singE :  $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\}) \implies x = a \vee x \in \text{eclose}(a)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in_eclose_sing :
  assumes  $x \in \text{eclose}(\{a\}) a \in \text{eclose}(z)$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{eclose}(\{z\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma in_dom_in_eclose :
  assumes  $x \in \text{domain}(z)$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{eclose}(z)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

termed is the well-founded relation on which val is defined.

definition
  ed ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
     $\text{ed}(x,y) \equiv x \in \text{domain}(y)$ 

definition
  edrel ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
     $\text{edrel}(A) \equiv \text{Rrel}(\text{ed},A)$ 

lemma edI[intro!]:  $t \in \text{domain}(x) \implies \text{ed}(t,x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma edD[dest!]:  $\text{ed}(t,x) \implies t \in \text{domain}(x)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rank_ed:
  assumes  $\text{ed}(y,x)$ 
  shows  $\text{succ}(\text{rank}(y)) \leq \text{rank}(x)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *edrel_dest* [*dest*]: $x \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a, b \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *edrelD* : $x \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies \exists a \in A. \exists b \in A. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \in \text{domain}(b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *edrelI* [*intro!*]: $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *edrel_trans*: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies \langle x, y \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain_trans*: $\text{Transset}(A) \implies y \in A \implies x \in \text{domain}(y) \implies x \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation_edrel* : $\text{relation}(\text{edrel}(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field_edrel* : $\text{field}(\text{edrel}(A)) \subseteq A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *edrel_sub_memrel*: $\text{edrel}(A) \subseteq \text{tranc}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(A)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *wf_edrel* : $\text{wf}(\text{edrel}(A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ed_induction*:
 assumes $\bigwedge x. [\bigwedge y. \text{ed}(y, x) \implies Q(y)] \implies Q(x)$
 shows $Q(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom_under_edrel_eclose*: $\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})) - ``\{x\} = \text{domain}(x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ed_eclose* : $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{edrel}(A) \implies y \in \text{eclose}(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tr_edrel_eclose* : $\langle y, z \rangle \in \text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})) \wedge+ \implies y \in \text{eclose}(z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict_edrel_eq* :
 assumes $z \in \text{domain}(x)$
 shows $\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})) \cap \text{eclose}(\{z\}) \times \text{eclose}(\{z\}) = \text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{z\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tr_edrel_subset* :
assumes $z \in \text{domain}(x)$
shows $\text{tr_down}(\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})), z) \subseteq \text{eclose}(\{z\})$
(proof)

definition

$Hv :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Hv(P,G,x,f) \equiv \{ f'y .. y \in \text{domain}(x), \exists p \in P. \langle y,p \rangle \in x \wedge p \in G \}$

The function *val* interprets a name in M according to a (generic) filter G . Note the definition in terms of the well-founded recursor.

definition

$val :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $val(P,G,\tau) \equiv \text{wfrec}(\text{edrel}(\text{eclose}(\{\tau\})), \tau, Hv(P,G))$

definition

$GenExt :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$ ($__[-] \ [71,1]$)
where $M^P[G] \equiv \{ val(P,G,\tau) . \tau \in M \}$

abbreviation (in forcing_notion)

$GenExt_at_P :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ ($__[-] \ [71,1]$)
where $M[G] \equiv M^P[G]$

18.2 Values and check-names

context *forcing_data*
begin

definition

$Hcheck :: [i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $Hcheck(z,f) \equiv \{ \langle f'y, \text{one} \rangle . y \in z \}$

definition

$check :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $check(x) \equiv \text{transrec}(x, Hcheck)$

lemma *checkD*:

$check(x) = \text{wfrec}(\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})), x, Hcheck)$
(proof)

definition

$rcheck :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $rcheck(x) \equiv \text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))^\wedge +$

lemma *Hcheck_trancL*: $Hcheck(y, \text{restrict}(f, \text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\}))) - ``\{y\})$
 $= Hcheck(y, \text{restrict}(f, (\text{Memrel}(\text{eclose}(\{x\})))^\wedge + - ``\{y\}))$
(proof)

lemma *check_trancL*: $check(x) = \text{wfrec}(rcheck(x), x, Hcheck)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rcheck_in_M* :
 $x \in M \implies rcheck(x) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aux_def_check*: $x \in y \implies$
 $wfrec(Memrel(eclose(\{y\})), x, Hcheck) =$
 $wfrec(Memrel(eclose(\{x\})), x, Hcheck)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def_check* : $check(y) = \{ \langle check(w), one \rangle . w \in y \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *def_checkS* :
fixes n
assumes $n \in nat$
shows $check(succ(n)) = check(n) \cup \{ \langle check(n), one \rangle \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *field_Memrel2* :
assumes $x \in M$
shows $field(Memrel(eclose(\{x\}))) \subseteq M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *aux_def_val*:
assumes $z \in domain(x)$
shows $wfrec(edrel(eclose(\{x\})), z, Hv(P, G)) = wfrec(edrel(eclose(\{z\})), z, Hv(P, G))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The next lemma provides the usual recursive expression for the definition of term *val*.

lemma *def_val*: $val(P, G, x) = \{ val(P, G, t) .. t \in domain(x) , \exists p \in P . \langle t, p \rangle \in x \wedge p \in G \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val_mono* : $x \subseteq y \implies val(P, G, x) \subseteq val(P, G, y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Check-names are the canonical names for elements of the ground model.
Here we show that this is the case.

lemma *valcheck* : $one \in G \implies one \in P \implies val(P, G, check(y)) = y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val_of_name* :
 $val(P, G, \{x \in A \times P . Q(x)\}) = \{ val(P, G, t) .. t \in A , \exists p \in P . Q(\langle t, p \rangle) \wedge p \in G \}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *val_of_name_alt* :
 $\text{val}(P, G, \{x \in A \times P. Q(x)\}) = \{\text{val}(P, G, t) \dots t \in A, \exists p \in P \cap G. Q(\langle t, p \rangle)\}$
(proof)

lemma *val_only_names*: $\text{val}(P, F, \tau) = \text{val}(P, F, \{x \in \tau. \exists t \in \text{domain}(\tau). \exists p \in P. x = \langle t, p \rangle\})$
(is $_ = \text{val}(P, F, ?name)$)
(proof)

lemma *val_only_pairs*: $\text{val}(P, F, \tau) = \text{val}(P, F, \{x \in \tau. \exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle\})$
(proof)

lemma *val_subset_domain_times_range*: $\text{val}(P, F, \tau) \subseteq \text{val}(P, F, \text{domain}(\tau) \times \text{range}(\tau))$
(proof)

lemma *val_subset_domain_times_P*: $\text{val}(P, F, \tau) \subseteq \text{val}(P, F, \text{domain}(\tau) \times P)$
(proof)

lemma *val_of_elem*: $\langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \implies p \in G \implies p \in P \implies \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi)$
(proof)

lemma *elem_of_val*: $x \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta \in \text{domain}(\pi). \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
(proof)

lemma *elem_of_val_pair*: $x \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi) \implies \exists \vartheta. \exists p \in G. \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
 $= x$
(proof)

lemma *elem_of_val_pair'*:
assumes $\pi \in M$ $x \in \text{val}(P, G, \pi)$
shows $\exists \vartheta \in M. \exists p \in G. \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) = x$
(proof)

lemma *GenExtD*:
 $x \in M[G] \implies \exists \tau \in M. x = \text{val}(P, G, \tau)$
(proof)

lemma *GenExtI*:
 $x \in M \implies \text{val}(P, G, x) \in M[G]$
(proof)

lemma *Transset_MG* : $\text{Transset}(M[G])$
(proof)

lemmas *transitivity_MG* = *Transset_intf[OF Transset_MG]*

lemma *check_n_M* :
fixes *n*

```

assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{check}(n) \in M$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{PHcheck} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{PHcheck}(o,f,y,p) \equiv p \in M \wedge (\exists fy[\#\# M]. \text{fun\_apply}(\#\# M, f, y, fy) \wedge \text{pair}(\#\# M, fy, o, p))$ 

definition
 $\text{is\_Hcheck} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{is\_Hcheck}(o,z,f,hc) \equiv \text{is\_Replace}(\#\# M, z, \text{PHcheck}(o,f), hc)$ 

lemma  $\text{def\_PHcheck}:$ 
assumes
 $z \in M \quad f \in M$ 
shows
 $\text{Hcheck}(z,f) = \text{Replace}(z, \text{PHcheck}(\text{one},f))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{PHcheck\_fm} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{PHcheck\_fm}(o,f,y,p) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{fun\_apply\_fm}(\text{succ}(f), \text{succ}(y), 0),$ 
 $\text{pair\_fm}(0, \text{succ}(o), \text{succ}(p))))$ 

declare  $\text{PHcheck\_fm\_def}[\text{fm\_definitions}]$ 

lemma  $\text{PHcheck\_type} [\text{TC}]:$ 
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; u \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{PHcheck\_fm}(x,y,z,u) \in \text{formula}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{sats\_PHcheck\_fm} [\text{simp}]:$ 
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; u \in \text{nat} ; \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies \text{sats}(M, \text{PHcheck\_fm}(x,y,z,u), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{PHcheck}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}), \text{nth}(u, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{is\_Hcheck\_fm} :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\text{is\_Hcheck\_fm}(o,z,f,hc) \equiv \text{Replace\_fm}(z, \text{PHcheck\_fm}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(o)), \text{succ}(\text{succ}(f)), 0, 1), hc)$ 

declare  $\text{is\_Hcheck\_fm\_def} [\text{fm\_definitions}]$ 

lemma  $\text{is\_Hcheck\_type} [\text{TC}]:$ 
 $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; u \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_Hcheck\_fm}(x,y,z,u) \in \text{formula}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sats_is_Hcheck_fm [simp]:
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat}; u \in \text{nat} ; \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \text{sats}(M, \text{is\_Hcheck\_fm}(x, y, z, u), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $\text{is\_Hcheck}(\text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}), \text{nth}(u, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wfrec_Hcheck :
  assumes
     $X \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{wfrec\_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is\_Hcheck}(\text{one}), \text{rcheck}(X))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma repl_PHcheck :
  assumes
     $f \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{strong\_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{PHcheck}(\text{one}, f))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma univ_PHcheck :  $\llbracket z \in M ; f \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{univalent}(\#\#M, z, \text{PHcheck}(\text{one}, f))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma relation2_Hcheck :
   $\text{relation2}(\#\#M, \text{is\_Hcheck}(\text{one}), \text{Hcheck})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma PHcheck_closed :
   $\llbracket z \in M ; f \in M ; x \in z; \text{PHcheck}(\text{one}, f, x, y) \rrbracket \implies (\#\#M)(y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Hcheck_closed :
   $\forall y \in M. \forall g \in M. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow \text{Hcheck}(y, g) \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wf_rcheck :  $x \in M \implies \text{wf}(\text{rcheck}(x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma trans_rcheck :  $x \in M \implies \text{trans}(\text{rcheck}(x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma relation_rcheck :  $x \in M \implies \text{relation}(\text{rcheck}(x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma check_in_M :  $x \in M \implies \text{check}(x) \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

end

context forcing_data begin

definition
  is_rcheck :: [i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
    is_rcheck(x,z)  $\equiv$   $\exists r \in M. \text{tran\_closure}(\#\#M,r,z) \wedge (\exists ec \in M. \text{membership}(\#\#M,ec,r)$ 
     $\wedge$ 
       $(\exists s \in M. \text{is\_singleton}(\#\#M,x,s) \wedge \text{is\_eclose}(\#\#M,s,ec)))$ 

lemma rcheck_abs[Rel] :
   $\llbracket x \in M ; r \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_rcheck}(x,r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{rcheck}(x)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

schematic_goal rcheck_fm_auto:
assumes
  i  $\in$  nat j  $\in$  nat env  $\in$  list(M)
shows
  is_rcheck(nth(i,env),nth(j,env))  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats(M,?rch(i,j),env)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

definition
  is_check :: [i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
    is_check(x,z)  $\equiv$   $\exists rch \in M. \text{is\_rcheck}(x,rch) \wedge \text{is\_wfrec}(\#\#M,\text{is\_Hcheck}(one),rch,x,z)$ 

lemma check_abs[Rel] :
assumes
  x  $\in$  M z  $\in$  M
shows
  is_check(x,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = check(x)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  check_fm :: [i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  i where
  [fm_definitions] :
    check_fm(x,o,z)  $\equiv$  Exists(And(rcheck_fm(1#+x,0),
    is_wfrec_fm(is_Hcheck_fm(6#+o,2,1,0),0,1#+x,1#+z)))

notation check_fm ( $\langle \cdot \rangle^v \text{ is } \cdot \rangle$ )

lemma check_fm_type[TC] :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; o \in \text{nat}; z \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{check\_fm}(x,o,z) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
lemma arity_is_Hcheck_fm :  
  assumes m∈nat n∈nat p∈nat o∈nat  
  shows arity(is_Hcheck_fm(m,n,p,o)) = succ(o) ∪ succ(n) ∪ succ(p) ∪ succ(m)  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma arity_check_fm :  
  assumes m∈nat n∈nat o∈nat  
  shows arity(check_fm(m,n,o)) = succ(o) ∪ succ(n) ∪ succ(m)  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sats_check_fm :  
  assumes  
    nth(o,env) = one x∈nat z∈nat o∈nat env∈list(M) x < length(env) z <  
    length(env)  
  shows  
    sats(M, check_fm(x,o,z), env) ↔ is_check(nth(x,env),nth(z,env))  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma check_replacement:  
  {check(x). x∈P} ∈ M  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma pair_check : [p∈M ; y∈M] ⇒ (exists c∈M. is_check(p,c) ∧ pair(#M,c,p,y))  
  ↔ y = ⟨check(p),p⟩  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma M_subset_MG : one ∈ G ⇒ M ⊆ M[G]  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

The name for the generic filter

definition

```
G_dot :: i  
G_dot ≡ {⟨check(p),p⟩ . p∈P}
```

```
lemma G_dot_in_M :  
  G_dot ∈ M  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma val_G_dot :  
  assumes G ⊆ P  
    one ∈ G  
  shows val(P,G,G_dot) = G  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma G_in_Gen_Ext :
  assumes G ⊆ P and one ∈ G
  shows G ∈ M[G]
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale G_generic = forcing_data +
  fixes G :: i
  assumes generic : M_generic(G)
begin

lemma zero_in_MG :
  0 ∈ M[G]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma G_nonempty: G ≠ 0
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale G_generic_AC = G_generic + M_ctm_AC
end

```

19 Well-founded relation on names

```

theory FrecR
imports
  Names
  Synthetic_Definition
  Internalizations
  Discipline_Function
begin

```

frecR is the well-founded relation on names that allows us to define forcing for atomic formulas.

```

definition
  ftype :: i ⇒ i where
    ftype ≡ fst

definition
  name1 :: i ⇒ i where
    name1(x) ≡ fst(snd(x))

definition
  name2 :: i ⇒ i where
    name2(x) ≡ fst(snd(snd(x)))

```

```

definition
  cond_of ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    cond_of( $x$ )  $\equiv$  snd(snd(snd(( $x$ ))))
```

lemma components_simp:

```

  ftype( $\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle$ ) =  $f$ 
  name1( $\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle$ ) =  $n1$ 
  name2( $\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle$ ) =  $n2$ 
  cond_of( $\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle$ ) =  $c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

definition eclose_n :: $[i \Rightarrow i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

```

  eclose_n( $name, x$ ) = eclose({ $name(x)$ })
```

definition

```

  ecloseN ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  ecloseN( $x$ ) = eclose_n(name1, $x$ )  $\cup$  eclose_n(name2, $x$ )
```

lemma components_in_eclose :

```

   $n1 \in ecloseN(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle)$ 
   $n2 \in ecloseN(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas names_simp = components_simp(2) components_simp(3)

lemma ecloseNI1 :

```

  assumes  $x \in eclose(n1) \vee x \in eclose(n2)$ 
  shows  $x \in ecloseN(\langle f, n1, n2, c \rangle)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

lemmas ecloseNI = ecloseNI1

lemma ecloseN_mono :

```

  assumes  $u \in ecloseN(x)$   $name1(x) \in ecloseN(y)$   $name2(x) \in ecloseN(y)$ 
  shows  $u \in ecloseN(y)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩
```

definition

```

  is_ftype ::  $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_ftype  $\equiv$  is_fst
```

definition

```

  ftype_fm ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  ftype_fm  $\equiv$  fst_fm
```

lemma is_ftype_iff_sats [iff_sats]:

```

  assumes
    nth( $a, env$ ) = aa nth( $b, env$ ) = bb  $a \in nat$   $b \in nat$   $env \in list(A)$ 
```

shows

is_ftype(##A,aa,bb) \longleftrightarrow *sats*(A,*ftype_fm*(a,b), env)
{proof}

definition

is_name1 :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_name1(M,x,t2) \equiv *is_hcomp*(M,*is_fst*(M),*is_snd*(M),x,t2)

definition

name1_fm :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
name1_fm(x,t) \equiv *hcomp_fm*(*fst_fm*,*snd_fm*,x,t)

lemma *sats_name1_fm* [*simp*]:

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{name1}_\text{fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
is_name1(##A, *nth*(x,env), *nth*(y,env))
{proof}

lemma *is_name1_iff_sats* [*iff_sats*]:

assumes
nth(a,env) = aa *nth*(b,env) = bb a \in nat b \in nat env \in list(A)
shows
is_name1(##A,aa,bb) \longleftrightarrow *sats*(A,*name1_fm*(a,b), env)
{proof}

definition

is_snd_snd :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_snd_snd(M,x,t) \equiv *is_hcomp*(M,*is_snd*(M),*is_snd*(M),x,t)

definition

snd_snd_fm :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
snd_snd_fm(x,t) \equiv *hcomp_fm*(*snd_fm*,*snd_fm*,x,t)

lemma *sats_snd2_fm* [*simp*]:

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{snd}_\text{snd}_\text{fm}(x,y), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$
is_snd_snd(##A, *nth*(x,env), *nth*(y,env))
{proof}

definition

is_name2 :: $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
is_name2(M,x,t3) \equiv *is_hcomp*(M,*is_fst*(M),*is_snd_snd*(M),x,t3)

definition

name2_fm :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
name2_fm(x,t3) \equiv *hcomp_fm*(*fst_fm*,*snd_snd_fm*,x,t3)

lemma *sats_name2_fm* :

$\llbracket x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$

```

 $\implies sats(A, name2\_fm(x,y), env) \leftrightarrow$ 
 $is\_name2(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_name2_iff_sats:
assumes
 $nth(a,env) = aa \ nth(b,env) = bb \ a \in nat \ b \in nat \ env \in list(A)$ 
shows
 $is\_name2(\#\#A,aa,bb) \leftrightarrow sats(A, name2\_fm(a,b), env)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
is_cond_of ::  $(i \Rightarrow o) \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
 $is\_cond\_of(M,x,t4) \equiv is\_hcomp(M, is\_snd(M), is\_snd\_snd(M), x, t4)$ 

definition
cond_of_fm ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
 $cond\_of\_fm(x,t4) \equiv hcomp\_fm(snd\_fm, snd\_snd\_fm, x, t4)$ 

lemma sats_cond_of_fm :
 $\llbracket x \in nat; y \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies sats(A, cond\_of\_fm(x,y), env) \leftrightarrow$ 
 $is\_cond\_of(\#\#A, nth(x,env), nth(y,env))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma is_cond_of_iff_sats:
assumes
 $nth(a,env) = aa \ nth(b,env) = bb \ a \in nat \ b \in nat \ env \in list(A)$ 
shows
 $is\_cond\_of(\#\#A,aa,bb) \leftrightarrow sats(A, cond\_of\_fm(a,b), env)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma components_type[TC] :
assumes  $a \in nat \ b \in nat$ 
shows
 $f\text{type\_fm}(a,b) \in formula$ 
 $name1\_fm(a,b) \in formula$ 
 $name2\_fm(a,b) \in formula$ 
 $cond\_of\_fm(a,b) \in formula$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas components_iff_sats = is_ftype_iff_sats is_name1_iff_sats is_name2_iff_sats
is_cond_of_iff_sats

lemmas components_defs = ftype_fm_def snd_snd_fm_def hcomp_fm_def
name1_fm_def name2_fm_def cond_of_fm_def

definition
is_eclose_n ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where

```

is_eclose_n($N, \text{is_name}, en, t$) \equiv
 $\exists n1[N]. \exists s1[N]. \text{is_name}(N, t, n1) \wedge \text{is_singleton}(N, n1, s1) \wedge \text{is_eclose}(N, s1, en)$

definition

eclose_n1_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{eclose_n1_fm}(m, t) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{And}(\text{name1_fm}(t\#+2, 0), \text{singleton_fm}(0, 1)), \text{is_eclose_fm}(1, m\#+2))))$

definition

eclose_n2_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{eclose_n2_fm}(m, t) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{And}(\text{name2_fm}(t\#+2, 0), \text{singleton_fm}(0, 1)), \text{is_eclose_fm}(1, m\#+2))))$

definition

is_ecloseN :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_ecloseN}(N, t, en) \equiv \exists en1[N]. \exists en2[N].$
 $\quad \text{is_eclose_n}(N, \text{is_name1}, en1, t) \wedge \text{is_eclose_n}(N, \text{is_name2}, en2, t) \wedge$
 $\quad \text{union}(N, en1, en2, en)$

definition

ecloseN_fm :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{ecloseN_fm}(en, t) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{eclose_n1_fm}(1, t\#+2),$
 $\quad \text{And}(\text{eclose_n2_fm}(0, t\#+2), \text{union_fm}(1, 0, en\#+2))))$

lemma *ecloseN_fm_type* [TC] :

$\llbracket en \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{ecloseN_fm}(en, t) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_ecloseN_fm* [simp]:

$\llbracket en \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} ; env \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{sats}(A, \text{ecloseN_fm}(en, t), env) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_ecloseN}(\#\#A, \text{nth}(t, env), \text{nth}(en, env))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is_ecloseN_iff_sats* [iff_sats]:

$\llbracket \text{nth}(en, env) = ena; \text{nth}(t, env) = ta; en \in \text{nat}; t \in \text{nat} ; env \in \text{list}(A) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{is_ecloseN}(\#\#A, ta, ena) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(A, \text{ecloseN_fm}(en, t), env)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

frecR :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{frecR}(x, y) \equiv$
 $\quad (\text{ftype}(x) = 1 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 0$
 $\quad \wedge (\text{name1}(x) \in \text{domain}(\text{name1}(y)) \cup \text{domain}(\text{name2}(y)) \wedge (\text{name2}(x) =$
 $\quad \text{name1}(y) \vee \text{name2}(x) = \text{name2}(y)))$
 $\quad \vee (\text{ftype}(x) = 0 \wedge \text{ftype}(y) = 1 \wedge \text{name1}(x) = \text{name1}(y) \wedge \text{name2}(x) \in$
 $\quad \text{domain}(\text{name2}(y)))$

lemma *frecR_ftypeD* :

assumes $frecR(x,y)$
shows $(ftype(x) = 0 \wedge ftype(y) = 1) \vee (ftype(x) = 1 \wedge ftype(y) = 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI1: s \in domain(n1) \vee s \in domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI1': s \in domain(n1) \cup domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 1, s, n1, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI2: s \in domain(n1) \vee s \in domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI2': s \in domain(n1) \cup domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 1, s, n2, q \rangle, \langle 0, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI3: \langle s, r \rangle \in n2 \implies frecR(\langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle, \langle 1, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecRI3': s \in domain(n2) \implies frecR(\langle 0, n1, s, q \rangle, \langle 1, n1, n2, q' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecR_iff :$
 $frecR(x,y) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(ftype(x) = 1 \wedge ftype(y) = 0 \wedge (name1(x) \in domain(name1(y)) \cup domain(name2(y)) \wedge (name2(x) = name1(y) \vee name2(x) = name2(y)))) \vee (ftype(x) = 0 \wedge ftype(y) = 1 \wedge name1(x) = name1(y) \wedge name2(x) \in domain(name2(y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecR_D1 :$
 $frecR(x,y) \implies ftype(y) = 0 \implies ftype(x) = 1 \wedge$
 $(name1(x) \in domain(name1(y)) \cup domain(name2(y)) \wedge (name2(x) = name1(y) \vee name2(x) = name2(y)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecR_D2 :$
 $frecR(x,y) \implies ftype(y) = 1 \implies ftype(x) = 0 \wedge$
 $ftype(x) = 0 \wedge ftype(y) = 1 \wedge name1(x) = name1(y) \wedge name2(x) \in domain(name2(y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $frecR_DI :$

```

assumes frecR(⟨a,b,c,d⟩,⟨fotype(y),name1(y),name2(y),cond_of(y)⟩)
shows frecR(⟨a,b,c,d⟩,y)
⟨proof⟩

```

⟨ML⟩

```

schematic_goal sats_frecR_fm_auto:
assumes
i ∈ nat j ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
is_frecR(##A,nth(i,env),nth(j,env)) ←→ sats(A,?fr_fm(i,j),env)
⟨proof⟩

```

⟨ML⟩

```

lemma eq_ftypep_not_frecrR:
assumes fotype(x) = ftype(y)
shows  $\neg \text{frecR}(x,y)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

rank_names :: i ⇒ i where
rank_names(x) ≡ max(rank(name1(x)),rank(name2(x)))

```

```

lemma rank_names_types [TC]:
shows Ord(rank_names(x))
⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

mtype_form :: i ⇒ i where
mtype_form(x) ≡ if rank(name1(x)) < rank(name2(x)) then 0 else 2

```

definition

```

type_form :: i ⇒ i where
type_form(x) ≡ if ftype(x) = 0 then 1 else mtype_form(x)

```

```

lemma type_form_tc [TC]:
shows type_form(x) ∈ 3
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma frecR_le_rnk_names :
assumes frecR(x,y)
shows rank_names(x) ≤ rank_names(y)
⟨proof⟩

```

definition

```

 $\Gamma :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
 $\Gamma(x) = 3 ** \text{rank\_names}(x) ++ \text{type\_form}(x)$ 

lemma  $\Gamma\_type$  [ $TC$ ]:
  shows  $\text{Ord}(\Gamma(x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\Gamma\_mono$  :
  assumes  $\text{freqR}(x,y)$ 
  shows  $\Gamma(x) < \Gamma(y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
   $\text{frecrel} :: i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$ 
   $\text{frecrel}(A) \equiv \text{Rrel}(\text{freqR}, A)$ 

lemma  $\text{frecrelI}$  :
  assumes  $x \in A \ y \in A \ \text{freqR}(x,y)$ 
  shows  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(A)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{frecrelD}$  :
  assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(A_1 \times A_2 \times A_3 \times A_4)$ 
  shows  $\text{ftype}(x) \in A_1 \ \text{ftype}(x) \in A_1$ 
   $\text{name1}(x) \in A_2 \ \text{name1}(y) \in A_2 \ \text{name2}(x) \in A_3 \ \text{name2}(x) \in A_3$ 
   $\text{cond\_of}(x) \in A_4 \ \text{cond\_of}(y) \in A_4$ 
   $\text{freqR}(x,y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{wf\_frecrel}$  :
  shows  $\text{wf}(\text{frecrel}(A))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{core\_induction\_aux}$ :
  fixes  $A_1 \ A_2 :: i$ 
  assumes
     $\text{Transset}(A_1)$ 
     $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. \ p \in A_2 \implies [\![ \bigwedge q \sigma. [\![ q \in A_2 ; \sigma \in \text{domain}(\vartheta) ]\!] \implies Q(0, \tau, \sigma, q) ]\!] \implies$ 
     $Q(1, \tau, \vartheta, p)$ 
     $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta \ p. \ p \in A_2 \implies [\![ \bigwedge q \sigma. [\![ q \in A_2 ; \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta) ]\!] \implies Q(1, \sigma, \tau, q) ]\!]$ 
     $\wedge Q(1, \sigma, \vartheta, p) \implies Q(0, \tau, \vartheta, p)$ 
  shows  $a \in A_2 \times A_1 \times A_1 \times A_2 \implies Q(\text{ftype}(a), \text{name1}(a), \text{name2}(a), \text{cond\_of}(a))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{def\_frecrel}$  :  $\text{frecrel}(A) = \{z \in A \times A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge \text{freqR}(x,y)\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{frecrel\_fst\_snd}$ :

```

```

frecrel(A) = {z ∈ A × A .
  ftype(fst(z)) = 1 ∧
  ftype(snd(z)) = 0 ∧ name1(fst(z)) ∈ domain(name1(snd(z))) ∪ domain(name2(snd(z)))
  ∧
  (name2(fst(z)) = name1(snd(z)) ∨ name2(fst(z)) = name2(snd(z)))
  ∨ (ftype(fst(z)) = 0 ∧
  ftype(snd(z)) = 1 ∧ name1(fst(z)) = name1(snd(z)) ∧ name2(fst(z)) ∈
  domain(name2(snd(z))))}
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory FrecR_Arities
  imports Arities FrecR
begin
lemma arity_fst_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(fst_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_snd_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(snd_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_snd_snd_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(snd_snd_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_ftype_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(ftype_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_name1_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(name1_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_name2_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(name2_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_cond_of_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(cond_of_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_eclose_n1_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(eclose_n1_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_eclose_n2_fm [arity] :
  [x∈nat ; t∈nat] ⇒ arity(eclose_n2_fm(x,t)) = succ(x) ∪ succ(t)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma arity_ecloseN_fm [arity] :
   $\llbracket x \in \text{nat} ; t \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{ecloseN\_fm}(x, t)) = \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(t)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma arity_frecR_fm [arity]:
   $\llbracket a \in \text{nat}; b \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{frecR\_fm}(a, b)) = \text{succ}(a) \cup \text{succ}(b)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

theory Discipline_Cardinal
imports
  Discipline_Base
  Discipline_Function
  Least
  FrecR
  Arities
  FrecR_Arities

begin

declare [[syntax_ambiguity_warning = false]]

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

notation is_cardinal_fm ( $\langle cardinal'(\_) \; is \; \_ \rangle$ )

abbreviation
  cardinal_r ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow i \; (\langle | \_ | \rightarrow \rangle)$  where
   $|x|^M \equiv \text{cardinal\_rel}(M, x)$ 

abbreviation
  cardinal_r_set ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i \; (\langle | \_ | \rightarrow \rangle)$  where
   $|x|^M \equiv \text{cardinal\_rel}(\# M, x)$ 

context M_trivial begin
 $\langle ML \rangle$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma arity_is_surj_fm [arity] :
   $A \in \text{nat} \implies B \in \text{nat} \implies I \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{is\_surj\_fm}(A, B, I)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(B) \cup \text{succ}(I)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma arity_is_inj_fm [arity]:
   $A \in \text{nat} \implies B \in \text{nat} \implies I \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{is\_inj\_fm}(A, B, I)) = \text{succ}(A) \cup$ 
   $\text{succ}(B) \cup \text{succ}(I)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 

context M_Perm begin

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 
notation lt_rel_fm ( $\cdot \_ < \_\cdot$ )
   $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma arity_lt_rel_fm[arity]:  $a \in \text{nat} \implies b \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{lt\_rel\_fm}(a, b)) =$ 
   $\text{succ}(a) \cup \text{succ}(b)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 
notation is_Card_fm ( $\cdot \text{Card}'(\_) \cdot$ )
   $\langle ML \rangle$ 

notation Card_rel ( $\text{Card}'(\_)$ )

lemma (in M_Perm) is_Card_iff:  $M(A) \implies \text{is\_Card}(M, A) \longleftrightarrow \text{Card}^M(A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

abbreviation
  Card_r_set ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow o \ (\langle \text{Card}'(\_) \rangle)$  where
   $\text{Card}^M(i) \equiv \text{Card\_rel}(\#\# M, i)$ 

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 
notation is_InfCard_fm ( $\cdot \text{InfCard}'(\_) \cdot$ )
   $\langle ML \rangle$ 

notation InfCard_rel ( $\text{InfCard}'(\_)$ )

abbreviation
  InfCard_r_set ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow o \ (\langle \text{InfCard}'(\_) \rangle)$  where
   $\text{InfCard}^M(i) \equiv \text{InfCard\_rel}(\#\# M, i)$ 

   $\langle ML \rangle$ 

abbreviation
  cadd_r ::  $[i,i \Rightarrow o,i] \Rightarrow i \ (\langle \_ \oplus \_ \rangle [66,1,66] 65)$  where

```

$$A \oplus^M B \equiv cadd_rel(M, A, B)$$

```
context M_basic begin
  ⟨ML⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
end
```

```
  ⟨ML⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
  ⟨ML⟩

context M_Perm begin
  ⟨ML⟩
  ⟨proof⟩
end

⟨ML⟩

abbreviation
  cmult_r :: [i, i ⇒ o, i] ⇒ i (⟨_ ⊗_ ⟩ [66, 1, 66] 65) where
    A ⊗^M B ≡ cmult_rel(M, A, B)
```

```
⟨ML⟩

declare cartprod_iff_sats [iff_sats]

⟨ML⟩

context M_Perm begin

  ⟨ML⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

  ⟨ML⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

end

definition
  Powapply :: [i, i] ⇒ i where
    Powapply(f, y) ≡ Pow(f ` y)

⟨ML⟩

lemma subset_iff_sats[iff_sats]:
  nth(i, env) = x ⇒ nth(j, env) = y ⇒ i ∈ nat ⇒ j ∈ nat ⇒
```

```

 $env \in list(A) \implies subset(\#\# A, x, y) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models subset\_fm(i, j)$ 
⟨proof⟩

declare Replace_ iff_sats[iff_sats]

⟨ML⟩

notation Powapply_rel (⟨Powapply-'(____')⟩)

context M_basic
begin

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

definition
HVfrom :: [i,i,i] ⇒ i where
HVfrom(A,x,f) ≡ A ∪ (⋃ y∈x. Powapply(f,y))

⟨ML⟩

notation HVfrom_rel (⟨HVfrom-'(____,____)⟩)

locale M_HVfrom = M_eclose +
assumes
Powapply_replacement:
M(K) ⇒ strong_replacement(M, λy z. z = PowapplyM(f,y))
begin

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

definition
   $Vfrom\_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow i (\langle Vfrom-'( \_, \_ ) \rangle)$  where
     $Vfrom^M(A, i) = transrec(i, HVfrom\_rel(M, A))$ 

definition
   $is\_Vfrom :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
     $is\_Vfrom(M, A, i, z) \equiv is\_transrec(M, is\_HVfrom(M, A), i, z)$ 

locale  $M\_Vfrom = M\_HVfrom +$ 
  assumes
     $trepl\_HVfrom : \llbracket M(A); M(i) \rrbracket \implies transrec\_replacement(M, is\_HVfrom(M, A), i)$ 

begin

lemma  $Vfrom\_rel\_iff :$ 
  assumes  $M(A) M(i) M(z) Ord(i)$ 
  shows  $is\_Vfrom(M, A, i, z) \longleftrightarrow z = Vfrom^M(A, i)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

20 Replacements using Lambdas

```

theory Lambda_Replacement
  imports
    ZF_Constructible.Relative
    ZF_Miscellanea—for SepReplace
    Discipline_Function
  begin

```

In this theory we prove several instances of separation and replacement in M_{basic} . Moreover by assuming a seven instances of separation and ten instances of "lambda" replacements we prove a bunch of other instances.

```

definition
   $lam\_replacement :: [i \Rightarrow o, i \Rightarrow i] \Rightarrow o$  where
     $lam\_replacement(M, b) \equiv strong\_replacement(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, b(x) \rangle)$ 

lemma separation_univ :
  shows  $separation(M, M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context  $M_{basic}$ 

```

```

begin

lemma separation_in :
  assumes M(a)
  shows separation(M,λx . x∈a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma separation_equal :
  shows separation(M,λx . x=a)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) separation_in_rev:
  assumes (M)(a)
  shows separation(M,λx . a∈x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_iff_lam_closed:
  assumes ∀x[M]. M(b(x))
  shows lam_replacement(M, b) ↔ (∀A[M]. M(λx∈A. b(x)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_cong:
  assumes lam_replacement(M,f) ∀x[M]. f(x) = g(x) ∀x[M]. M(f(x))
  shows lam_replacement(M,g)
⟨proof⟩

lemma converse_subset : converse(r) ⊆ {⟨snd(x),fst(x)⟩ . x∈r}
⟨proof⟩

lemma converse_eq_aux :
  assumes <0,0>∈r
  shows converse(r) = {⟨snd(x),fst(x)⟩ . x∈r}
⟨proof⟩

lemma converse_eq_aux' :
  assumes <0,0>∉r
  shows converse(r) = {⟨snd(x),fst(x)⟩ . x∈r} - {<0,0>}
⟨proof⟩

lemma diff_un : b⊆a ⇒ (a-b) ∪ b = a
⟨proof⟩

lemma converse_eq: converse(r) = ({⟨snd(x),fst(x)⟩ . x∈r} - {<0,0>}) ∪ (r∩{<0,0>})
⟨proof⟩

lemma range_subset : range(r) ⊆ {snd(x). x∈r}
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lam_replacement_imp_strong_replacement_aux:
  assumes lam_replacement(M, b)  $\forall x[M]. M(b(x))$ 
  shows strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x. y. y = b(x)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_imp_RepFun_Lam:
  assumes lam_replacement(M, f) M(A)
  shows M({y . x ∈ A , M(y) ∧ y =⟨x,f(x)⟩})
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_closed_imp_closed:
  assumes  $\forall A[M]. M(\lambda x \in A. f(x))$ 
  shows  $\forall x[M]. M(f(x))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_if:
  assumes lam_replacement(M,f) lam_replacement(M,g) separation(M,b)
     $\forall x[M]. M(f(x)) \forall x[M]. M(g(x))$ 
  shows lam_replacement(M,  $\lambda x. \text{if } b(x) \text{ then } f(x) \text{ else } g(x)$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_constant: M(b)  $\Rightarrow$  lam_replacement(M,  $\lambda_. b$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

20.1 Replacement instances obtained through Powerset

The next few lemmas provide bounds for certain constructions.

```

lemma not_functional_Replace_0:
  assumes  $\neg(\forall y y'. P(y) \wedge P(y') \rightarrow y = y')$ 
  shows {y . x ∈ A, P(y)} = 0
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Replace_in_Pow_rel:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. b. x \in A \Rightarrow P(x,b) \Rightarrow b \in U \forall x \in A. \forall y y'. P(x,y) \wedge P(x,y') \rightarrow y = y'$ 
    separation(M,  $\lambda y. \exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge P(x, y)$ )
    M(U) M(A)
  shows {y . x ∈ A, P(x, y)} ∈ PowM(U)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Replace_sing_0_in_Pow_rel:
  assumes  $\bigwedge b. P(b) \Rightarrow b \in U$ 
    separation(M,  $\lambda y. P(y)$ ) M(U)
  shows {y . x ∈ {0}, P(y)} ∈ PowM(U)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma The_in_Pow_rel_Union:
  assumes  $\bigwedge b. P(b) \Rightarrow b \in U$  separation(M,  $\lambda y. P(y)$ ) M(U)
  shows (THE i. P(i)) ∈ PowM( $\bigcup U$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *separation_least*: *separation*(M , λy . *Ord*(y) \wedge $P(y)$ \wedge ($\forall j$. $j < y \longrightarrow \neg P(j)$))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Least_in_Pow_rel_Union*:
assumes $\bigwedge b$. $P(b) \implies b \in U$
 $M(U)$
shows $(\mu i. P(i)) \in Pow^M(\bigcup U)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bounded_lam_replacement*:
fixes U
assumes $\forall X[M]$. $\forall x \in X$. $f(x) \in U(X)$
and *separation_f*: $\forall A[M]$. *separation*($M, \lambda y$. $\exists x[A]. x \in A \wedge y = \langle x, f(x) \rangle$)
and *U_closed* [*intro,simp*]: $\bigwedge X$. $M(X) \implies M(U(X))$
shows *lam_replacement*(M, f)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam_replacement_domain'*:
assumes $\forall A[M]$. *separation*($M, \lambda y$. $\exists x \in A$. $y = \langle x, domain(x) \rangle$)
shows *lam_replacement*($M, domain$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *lam_replacement_fst'*:
assumes $\forall A[M]$. *separation*($M, \lambda y$. $\exists x \in A$. $y = \langle x, fst(x) \rangle$)
shows *lam_replacement*(M, fst)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam_replacement_restrict*:
assumes $\forall A[M]$. *separation*($M, \lambda y$. $\exists x \in A$. $y = \langle x, restrict(x, B) \rangle$) $M(B)$
shows *lam_replacement*($M, \lambda r$. *restrict*(r, B))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $M_replacement = M_basic +$
assumes
and *lam_replacement_domain*: *lam_replacement*($M, domain$)
and *lam_replacement_vimage*: *lam_replacement*($M, \lambda p$. *fst*(p) - `` *snd*(p))
and *lam_replacement_fst*: *lam_replacement*(M, fst)
and *lam_replacement_snd*: *lam_replacement*(M, snd)
and *lam_replacement_Union*: *lam_replacement*($M, Union$)
and *id_separation*: *separation*($M, \lambda z$. $\exists x[M]. z = \langle x, x \rangle$)

and
middle_separation: $\text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \text{snd}(\text{fst}(x)) = \text{fst}(\text{snd}(x)))$
and
middle_del_replacement: $\text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle \text{fst}(\text{fst}(x)), \text{snd}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle)$
and
product_separation: $\text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \text{fst}(\text{fst}(x)) = \text{fst}(\text{snd}(x)))$
and
product_replacement:
strong_replacement($M, \lambda x y. y = \langle \text{fst}(\text{fst}(x)), \langle \text{snd}(\text{fst}(x)), \text{snd}(\text{snd}(x)) \rangle \rangle$)
and
lam_replacement_Upair: $\text{lam_replacement_Upair}(M, \lambda p. \text{Upair}(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p)))$
and
lam_replacement_Diff: $\text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda p. \text{fst}(p) - \text{snd}(p))$
and
lam_replacement_Image: $\text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda p. \text{fst}(p) `` \text{snd}(p))$
and
separation_fst_equal : $M(a) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x . \text{fst}(x) = a)$
and
separation_equal_fst2 : $M(a) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x . \text{fst}(\text{fst}(x)) = a)$
and
separation_equal_apply: $M(f) \implies M(a) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. f^x = a)$
and
separation_restrict: $M(B) \implies \forall A[M]. \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \text{restrict}(x, B) \rangle)$
begin

lemmas $\text{lam_replacement_restrict}' = \text{lam_replacement_restrict}$ [OF *separation_restrict*]

lemma *lam_replacement_imp_strong_replacement*:
assumes *lam_replacement*(M, f)
shows *strong_replacement*($M, \lambda x y. y = f(x)$)
{proof}

lemma *Collect_middle*: $\{p \in (\lambda x \in A. f(x)) \times (\lambda x \in \{f(x) . x \in A\}. g(x)) . \text{snd}(\text{fst}(p)) = \text{fst}(\text{snd}(p))\}$
 $= \{ \langle \langle x, f(x) \rangle, \langle f(x), g(f(x)) \rangle \rangle . x \in A \}$
{proof}

lemma *RepFun_middle_del*: $\{ \langle \text{fst}(\text{fst}(p)), \text{snd}(\text{snd}(p)) \rangle . p \in \{ \langle \langle x, f(x) \rangle, \langle f(x), g(f(x)) \rangle \rangle . x \in A \} \}$
 $= \{ \langle x, g(f(x)) \rangle . x \in A \}$
{proof}

lemma *lam_replacement_imp_RepFun*:
assumes *lam_replacement*(M, f) $M(A)$
shows $M(\{y . x \in A, M(y) \wedge y = f(x)\})$
{proof}

lemma *lam_replacement_product*:
assumes *lam_replacement*(M, f) *lam_replacement*(M, g)

```

shows lam_replacement( $M, \lambda x. \langle f(x), g(x) \rangle$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_hcomp:
assumes lam_replacement( $M, f$ ) lam_replacement( $M, g$ )  $\forall x[M]. M(f(x))$ 
shows lam_replacement( $M, \lambda x. g(f(x))$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_Collect :
assumes  $M(A) \forall x[M]. \text{separation}(M, F(x))$ 
 $\text{separation}(M, \lambda p . \forall x \in A. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow F(\text{fst}(p), x))$ 
shows lam_replacement( $M, \lambda x. \{y \in A . F(x, y)\}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_hcomp2:
assumes lam_replacement( $M, f$ ) lam_replacement( $M, g$ )
 $\forall x[M]. M(f(x)) \forall x[M]. M(g(x))$ 
lam_replacement( $M, \lambda p. h(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))$ )
 $\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. M(h(x, y))$ 
shows lam_replacement( $M, \lambda x. h(f(x), g(x))$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma strong_replacement_separation_aux :
assumes strong_replacement( $M, \lambda x y . y = f(x)$ ) separation( $M, P$ )
shows strong_replacement( $M, \lambda x y . P(x) \wedge y = f(x)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_separation :
assumes lam_replacement( $M, f$ ) separation( $M, P$ )
shows strong_replacement( $M, \lambda x y . P(x) \wedge y = \langle x, f(x) \rangle$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemmas strong_replacement_separation =
strong_replacement_separation_aux[OF lam_replacement_imp_strong_replacement]

lemma lam_replacement_identity: lam_replacement( $M, \lambda x. x$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_Un: lam_replacement( $M, \lambda p. \text{fst}(p) \cup \text{snd}(p)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_cons: lam_replacement( $M, \lambda p. \text{cons}(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_sing: lam_replacement( $M, \lambda x. \{x\}$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemmas tag_replacement = lam_replacement_constant[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

```

```

lemma lam_replacement_id2: lam_replacement( $M, \lambda x. \langle x, x \rangle$ )
  <proof>

lemmas id_replacement = lam_replacement_id2[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

lemma lam_replacement_apply2: lam_replacement( $M, \lambda p. fst(p) \cdot snd(p)$ )
  <proof>

definition map_snd where
  map_snd( $X$ ) = { $snd(z) . z \in X$ }

lemma map_sndE:  $y \in map\_snd(X) \implies \exists p \in X. y = snd(p)$ 
  <proof>

lemma map_sndI :  $\exists p \in X. y = snd(p) \implies y \in map\_snd(X)$ 
  <proof>

lemma map_snd_closed:  $M(x) \implies M(map\_snd(x))$ 
  <proof>

lemma lam_replacement_imp_lam_replacement_RepFun:
  assumes lam_replacement( $M, f$ )  $\forall x[M]. M(f(x))$ 
  separation( $M, \lambda x. ((\forall y \in snd(x). fst(y) \in fst(x)) \wedge (\forall y \in fst(x). \exists u \in snd(x). y = fst(u)))$ )
  and
  lam_replacement_RepFun_snd: lam_replacement( $M, map\_snd$ )
  shows lam_replacement( $M, \lambda x. \{f(y) . y \in x\}$ )
  <proof>

lemma lam_replacement_apply:  $M(S) \implies lam\_replacement(M, \lambda x. S \cdot x)$ 
  <proof>

lemma apply_replacement:  $M(S) \implies strong\_replacement(M, \lambda x y. y = S \cdot x)$ 
  <proof>

lemma lam_replacement_id_const:  $M(b) \implies lam\_replacement(M, \lambda x. \langle x, b \rangle)$ 
  <proof>

lemmas pospend_replacement = lam_replacement_id_const[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

lemma lam_replacement_const_id:  $M(b) \implies lam\_replacement(M, \lambda z. \langle b, z \rangle)$ 
  <proof>

lemmas prepend_replacement = lam_replacement_const_id[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

lemma lam_replacement_apply_const_id:  $M(f) \implies M(z) \implies lam\_replacement(M, \lambda x. f \cdot \langle z, x \rangle)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemmas apply_replacement2 = lam_replacement_apply_const_id[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

lemma lam_replacement_Inl: lam_replacement(M, Inl)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_Inr: lam_replacement(M, Inr)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas Inl_replacement1 = lam_replacement_Inl[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

lemma lam_replacement_Diff': M(X) ==> lam_replacement(M, λx. x - X)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas Pair_diff_replacement = lam_replacement_Diff'[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

lemma diff_Pair_replacement: M(p) ==> strong_replacement(M, λx y . y = ⟨x, x-{p}⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_swap: lam_replacement(M, λx. ⟨snd(x), fst(x)⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma swap_replacement: strong_replacement(M, λx y . y = ⟨x, (λ⟨x,y⟩. ⟨y, x⟩)(x)⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_Un_const: M(b) ==> lam_replacement(M, λx. x ∪ b)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas tag_union_replacement = lam_replacement_Un_const[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

lemma lam_replacement_csquare: lam_replacement(M, λp. ⟨fst(p) ∪ snd(p), fst(p),
  snd(p)⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma csquare_lam_replacement: strong_replacement(M, λx y . y = ⟨x, (λ⟨x,y⟩.
  ⟨x ∪ y, x, y⟩)(x)⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_assoc: lam_replacement(M, λx. ⟨fst(fst(x)), snd(fst(x)),
  snd(x)⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma assoc_replacement: strong_replacement(M, λx y . y = ⟨x, (λ⟨⟨x,y⟩, z⟩. ⟨x,
  y, z⟩)(x)⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lam_replacement_prod_fun: M(f) ==> M(g) ==> lam_replacement(M, λx.
  {f ` fst(x), g ` snd(x)})
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prod_fun_replacement*: $M(f) \implies M(g) \implies$
 $strong_replacement(M, \lambda x. y. y = \langle x, (\lambda \langle w,y\rangle. \langle f ` w, g ` y \rangle)(x) \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam_replacement_vimage_sing*: $lam_replacement(M, \lambda p. fst(p) - ``\{snd(p)\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam_replacement_vimage_sing_fun*: $M(f) \implies lam_replacement(M, \lambda x. f - ``\{x\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *converse_apply_projs*: $\forall x[M]. \bigcup (\fst(x) - ``\{snd(x)\}) = converse(\fst(x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam_replacement_converse_app*: $lam_replacement(M, \lambda p. converse(\fst(p)))$
 $\langle snd(p) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *cardinal_lib_assms4* = *lam_replacement_vimage_sing_fun*[unfolded *lam_replacement_def*]

lemma *lam_replacement_sing_const_id*:
 $M(x) \implies lam_replacement(M, \lambda y. \{\langle x, y \rangle\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tag_singleton_closed*: $M(x) \implies M(z) \implies M(\{\{\langle z, y \rangle\} . y \in x\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *case_closed* :
assumes $\forall x[M]. M(f(x)) \forall x[M]. M(g(x))$
shows $\forall x[M]. M(case(f,g,x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam_replacement_case* :
assumes $lam_replacement(M,f) \ lam_replacement(M,g)$
 $\forall x[M]. M(f(x)) \forall x[M]. M(g(x))$
shows $lam_replacement(M, \lambda x. case(f,g,x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi_replacement1*: $M(x) \implies M(y) \implies strong_replacement(M, \lambda ya. z. ya \in y \wedge z = \{\langle x, ya \rangle\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *surj_imp_inj_replacement1*:
 $M(f) \implies M(x) \implies strong_replacement(M, \lambda y. z. y \in f - ``\{x\} \wedge z = \{\langle x, y \rangle\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemmas domain_replacement = lam_replacement_domain[unfolded lam_replacement_def]

lemma domain_replacement_simp: strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda x y. y = \text{domain}(x)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma un_Pair_replacement:  $M(p) \implies \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x y . y = x \cup \{p\})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma restrict_strong_replacement:  $M(A) \implies \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \text{restrict}(x, A))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma diff_replacement:  $M(X) \implies \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = x - X)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lam_replacement_succ:
  lam_replacement(M,  $\lambda z . \text{succ}(z)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lam_replacement_hcomp_Least:
  assumes lam_replacement(M, g) lam_replacement(M,  $\lambda x. \mu i. x \in F(i, x)$ )
   $\forall x[M]. M(g(x)) \wedge x i. M(x) \implies i \in F(i, x) \implies M(i)$ 
  shows lam_replacement(M,  $\lambda x. \mu i. g(x) \in F(i, g(x))$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale M_replacement_extra = M_replacement +
  assumes
    lam_replacement_minimum: lam_replacement(M,  $\lambda p. \text{minimum}(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p))$ )
  and
    lam_replacement_RepFun_cons: lam_replacement(M,  $\lambda p. \text{RepFun}(\text{fst}(p), \lambda x. \{\text{snd}(p), x\})$ )
    — This one is too particular: It is for Sigfun. I would like greater modularity here.

begin
lemma lam_replacement_Sigfun:
  assumes lam_replacement(M, f)  $\forall y[M]. M(f(y))$ 
  shows lam_replacement(M,  $\lambda x. \text{Sigfun}(x, f)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

20.2 Particular instances

```

lemma surj_imp_inj_replacement2:
   $M(f) \implies \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x z. z = \text{Sigfun}(x, \lambda y. f - `` \{y\}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lam_replacement_minimum_vimage:
   $M(f) \implies M(r) \implies \text{lam\_replacement}(M, \lambda x. \text{minimum}(r, f - `` \{x\}))$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *surj_imp_inj_replacement4 = lam_replacement_minimum_vimage[unfolded lam_replacement_def]*

lemma *lam_replacement_Pi*: $M(y) \implies \text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda x. \bigcup_{xa \in y} \{\langle x, xa \rangle\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Pi_replacement2*: $M(y) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x z. z = (\bigcup_{xa \in y} \{\langle x, xa \rangle\}))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if_then_Inj_replacement*:
shows $M(A) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, \text{if } x \in A \text{ then } \text{Inl}(x) \text{ else } \text{Inr}(x) \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lam_if_then_replacement*:
 $M(b) \implies M(a) \implies M(f) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda y ya. ya = \langle y, \text{if } y = a \text{ then } b \text{ else } f ' y \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if_then_replacement*:
 $M(A) \implies M(f) \implies M(g) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, \text{if } x \in A \text{ then } f ' x \text{ else } g ' x \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ifx_replacement*:
 $M(f) \implies M(b) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, \text{if } x \in \text{range}(f) \text{ then } \text{converse}(f) ' x \text{ else } b \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if_then_range_replacement2*:
 $M(A) \implies M(C) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, \text{if } x = \text{Inl}(A) \text{ then } C \text{ else } x \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *if_then_range_replacement*:
 $M(u) \implies M(f) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda z y. y = \langle z, \text{if } z = u \text{ then } f ' 0 \text{ else if } z \in \text{range}(f) \text{ then } f ' \text{succ}(\text{converse}(f) ' z) \text{ else } z \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma Inl_replacement2:
   $M(A) \implies$ 
   $\text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x. y = \langle x, \text{ifst}(x) = A \text{ then } \text{Inl}(\text{snd}(x)) \text{ else } \text{Inr}(x) \rangle)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma case_replacement1:
   $\text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda z. y = \langle z, \text{case}(\text{Inr}, \text{Inl}, z) \rangle)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma case_replacement2:
   $\text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda z. y = \langle z, \text{case}(\text{case}(\text{Inl}, \lambda y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inl}(y))), \lambda y. \text{Inr}(\text{Inr}(y)), z) \rangle)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma case_replacement4:
   $M(f) \implies M(g) \implies \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda z. y = \langle z, \text{case}(\lambda w. \text{Inl}(f^w), \lambda y. \text{Inr}(g^y), z) \rangle)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma case_replacement5:
   $\text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x. y = \langle x, (\lambda \langle x, z \rangle. \text{case}(\lambda y. \text{Inl}(\langle y, z \rangle), \lambda y. \text{Inr}(\langle y, z \rangle), x))(x) \rangle)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

— To be used in the relativized treatment of Cohen posets

definition

- "domain collect F"
- $dC_F :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
- $dC_F(A, d) \equiv \{ p \in A. \text{domain}(p) = d \}$

definition

- "domain restrict SepReplace Y"
- $drSR_Y :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i \text{ where}$
- $drSR_Y(B, D, A, x) \equiv \{ \text{domain}(r) \subseteq r \in A, \text{restrict}(r, B) = x \wedge \text{domain}(r) \in D \}$

lemma drSR_Y_equality: $drSR_Y(B, D, A, x) = \{ dr \in D . (\exists r \in A . \text{restrict}(r, B) = x \wedge \text{domain}(r) \in D) \}$

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context M_replacement_extra
begin

lemma lam_replacement_drSR_Y:

assumes

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge A B. M(A) \implies M(B) \implies \forall x[M]. \text{separation}(M, \lambda dr. \exists r \in A . \text{restrict}(r, B) \\ &= x \wedge dr = \text{domain}(r)) \\ & \bigwedge A B D. M(A) \implies M(B) \implies M(D) \implies \end{aligned}$$

$\text{separation}(M, \lambda p. \forall x \in D. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow (\exists r \in A. \text{restrict}(r, B) = \text{fst}(p) \wedge x = \text{domain}(r)))$
 $M(B) M(D) M(A)$
shows $\text{lam_replacement}(M, \text{drSR_} Y(B, D, A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lam_if_then_apply_replacement}: M(f) \implies M(v) \implies M(u) \implies$
 $\text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda x. \text{if } f^x x = v \text{ then } f^x u \text{ else } f^x x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lam_if_then_apply_replacement2}: M(f) \implies M(m) \implies M(y) \implies$
 $\text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda z. \text{if } f^z z = m \text{ then } y \text{ else } f^z z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lam_if_then_replacement2}: M(A) \implies M(f) \implies$
 $\text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda x. \text{if } x \in A \text{ then } f^x x \text{ else } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lam_if_then_replacement_apply}: M(G) \implies \text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda x. \text{if } M(x) \text{ then } G^x x \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lam_replacement_dC_F}:$
assumes $M(A)$
 $\wedge d. M(d) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \text{domain}(x) = d)$
 $\wedge A. M(A) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda p. \forall x \in A. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow \text{domain}(x) = \text{fst}(p))$
shows $\text{lam_replacement}(M, \text{dC_F}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lam_replacement_min}: M(f) \implies M(r) \implies \text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda x. \text{minimum}(r, f - \{x\}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lam_replacement_Collect_ball_Pair}:$
assumes $\text{separation}(M, \lambda p. \forall x \in G. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall s \in \text{fst}(p). \langle s, x \rangle \in Q))$
 $\wedge x. M(x) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \forall s \in x. \langle s, y \rangle \in Q) M(G)$
shows $\text{lam_replacement}(M, \lambda x. \{a \in G. \forall s \in x. \langle s, a \rangle \in Q\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{surj_imp_inj_replacement3}:$
 $(\wedge x. M(x) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \forall s \in x. \langle s, y \rangle \in Q)) \implies M(G) \implies M(Q) \implies$
 $M(x) \implies$
 $\text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda y z. y \in \{a \in G. \forall s \in x. \langle s, a \rangle \in Q\} \wedge z = \{\langle x, y \rangle\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{replacements} = \text{Pair_diff_replacement} \ \text{id_replacement} \ \text{tag_replacement}$
 $\text{pospend_replacement} \ \text{prepend_replacement}$
 $\text{Inl_replacement1} \ \text{diff_Pair_replacement}$
 $\text{swap_replacement} \ \text{tag_union_replacement} \ \text{csquare_lam_replacement}$

```

assoc_replacement prod_fun_replacement
cardinal_lib_assms4 domain_replacement
apply_replacement
un_Pair_replacement restrict_strong_replacement diff_replacement
if_then_Inj_replacement lam_if_then_replacement if_then_replacement
ifx_replacement if_then_range_replacement2 if_then_range_replacement
Inl_replacement2
case_replacement1 case_replacement2 case_replacement4 case_replacements5

end

end

```

21 Relative, Choice-less Cardinal Numbers

```

theory Cardinal_Relative
imports
ZF_Miscellanea
Discipline_Cardinal
Lambda_Replacement
begin

hide_const (open) L

definition
Finite_rel ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
Finite_rel( $M, A$ )  $\equiv \exists om[M]. \exists n[M]. \text{omega}(M, om) \wedge n \in om \wedge \text{eqpoll\_rel}(M, A, n)$ 

definition
banach_functor ::  $[i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
banach_functor( $X, Y, f, g, W$ )  $\equiv X - g``(Y - f``W)$ 

definition
is_banach_functor ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
is_banach_functor( $M, X, Y, f, g, W, b$ )  $\equiv$ 
 $\exists fW[M]. \exists YfW[M]. \exists gYfW[M]. \text{image}(M, f, W, fW) \wedge \text{setdiff}(M, Y, fW, YfW)$ 
 $\wedge$ 
 $\text{image}(M, g, YfW, gYfW) \wedge \text{setdiff}(M, X, gYfW, b)$ 

lemma (in M_basic) banach_functor_abs :
assumes  $M(X) M(Y) M(f) M(g)$ 
shows relation1( $M, \text{is\_banach\_functor}(M, X, Y, f, g), \text{banach\_functor}(X, Y, f, g)$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) banach_functor_closed:
assumes  $M(X) M(Y) M(f) M(g)$ 
shows  $\forall W[M]. M(\text{banach\_functor}(X, Y, f, g, W))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale M_cardinals = M_ordertype + M_trancl + M_Perm + M_replacement_extra
+
assumes
rvimage_separation:  $M(f) \Rightarrow M(r) \Rightarrow$ 
separation( $M, \lambda z. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge \langle f^x, f^y \rangle \in r$ )
and
radd_separation:  $M(R) \Rightarrow M(S) \Rightarrow$ 
separation( $M, \lambda z.$ 
 $(\exists x y. z = \langle Inl(x), Inr(y) \rangle) \vee$ 
 $(\exists x' y. z = \langle Inl(x'), Inl(y) \rangle \wedge \langle x', y \rangle \in R) \vee$ 
 $(\exists y' y. z = \langle Inr(y'), Inr(y) \rangle \wedge \langle y', y \rangle \in S)$ )
and
rmult_separation:  $M(b) \Rightarrow M(d) \Rightarrow$  separation( $M,$ 
 $\lambda z. \exists x' y' x y. z = \langle \langle x', y' \rangle, \langle x, y \rangle \rangle \wedge (\langle x', y' \rangle \in b \vee x' = x \wedge \langle y', y \rangle \in d)$ )
and
banach_repl_iter:  $M(X) \Rightarrow M(Y) \Rightarrow M(f) \Rightarrow M(g) \Rightarrow$ 
strong_replacement( $M, \lambda x y. x \in nat \wedge y = banach_functor(X, Y, f,$ 
 $g) \hat{x} (0)$ )
begin

lemma radd_closed[intro,simp]:  $M(a) \Rightarrow M(b) \Rightarrow M(c) \Rightarrow M(d) \Rightarrow M(radd(a,b,c,d))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma rmult_closed[intro,simp]:  $M(a) \Rightarrow M(b) \Rightarrow M(c) \Rightarrow M(d) \Rightarrow M(rmult(a,b,c,d))$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in M_cardinals) is_cardinal_iff_Least:
assumes  $M(A) M(\kappa)$ 
shows  $is\_cardinal(M, A, \kappa) \longleftrightarrow \kappa = (\mu i. M(i) \wedge i \approx^M A)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

21.1 The Schroeder-Bernstein Theorem

See Davey and Priestly, page 106

context M_cardinals
begin

```

lemma bnd_mono_banach_functor:  $bnd\_mono(X, banach\_functor(X, Y, f, g))$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma inj_Inter:
assumes  $g \in inj(Y, X) A \neq 0 \forall a \in A. a \subseteq Y$ 
shows  $g``(\bigcap A) = (\bigcap a \in A. g``a)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma contin_banach_functor:
  assumes  $g \in inj(Y, X)$ 
  shows  $contin(banach\_functor(X, Y, f, g))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lfp_banach_functor:
  assumes  $g \in inj(Y, X)$ 
  shows  $lfp(X, banach\_functor(X, Y, f, g)) =$ 
     $(\bigcup_{n \in nat} banach\_functor(X, Y, f, g) \hat{\wedge}^n (0))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lfp_banach_functor_closed:
  assumes  $M(g) M(X) M(Y) M(f) g \in inj(Y, X)$ 
  shows  $M(lfp(X, banach\_functor(X, Y, f, g)))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma banach_decomposition_rel:
   $[\![ M(f); M(g); M(X); M(Y); f \in X \rightarrow Y; g \in inj(Y, X) ]\!] ==>$ 
   $\exists XA[M]. \exists XB[M]. \exists YA[M]. \exists YB[M].$ 
   $(XA \cap XB = 0) \& (XA \cup XB = X) \&$ 
   $(YA \cap YB = 0) \& (YA \cup YB = Y) \&$ 
   $f``XA = YA \& g``YB = XB$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma schroeder_bernstein_closed:
   $[\![ M(f); M(g); M(X); M(Y); f \in inj(X, Y); g \in inj(Y, X) ]\!] ==> \exists h[M]. h \in$ 
   $bij(X, Y)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mem_Pow_rel:  $M(r) \implies a \in Pow\_rel(M, r) \implies a \in Pow(r) \wedge M(a)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mem_bij_abs[simp]:  $\llbracket M(f); M(A); M(B) \rrbracket \implies f \in bij^M(A, B) \longleftrightarrow f \in bij(A, B)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mem_inj_abs[simp]:  $\llbracket M(f); M(A); M(B) \rrbracket \implies f \in inj^M(A, B) \longleftrightarrow f \in inj(A, B)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma mem_surj_abs:  $\llbracket M(f); M(A); M(B) \rrbracket \implies f \in surj^M(A, B) \longleftrightarrow f \in surj(A, B)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bij_imp_eqpoll_rel:
  assumes  $f \in bij(A, B) M(f) M(A) M(B)$ 
  shows  $A \approx^M B$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma *id_closed*: $M(A) \implies M(id(A))$
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_rel_refl*: $M(A) \implies A \approx^M A$
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_rel_sym*: $X \approx^M Y \implies M(X) \implies M(Y) \implies Y \approx^M X$
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_rel_trans* [trans]:
 $\llbracket X \approx^M Y; Y \approx^M Z; M(X); M(Y); M(Z) \rrbracket ==> X \approx^M Z$
(proof)

lemma *subset_imp_lepoll_rel*: $X \subseteq Y \implies M(X) \implies M(Y) \implies X \lesssim^M Y$
(proof)

lemmas *lepoll_rel_refl* = *subset_refl* [THEN *subset_imp_lepoll_rel*, simp]

lemmas *le_imp_lepoll_rel* = *le_imp_subset* [THEN *subset_imp_lepoll_rel*]

lemma *eqpoll_rel_imp_lepoll_rel*: $X \approx^M Y ==> M(X) \implies M(Y) \implies X \lesssim^M Y$
(proof)

lemma *lepoll_rel_trans* [trans]:
assumes
 $X \lesssim^M Y \quad Y \lesssim^M Z \quad M(X) \quad M(Y) \quad M(Z)$
shows
 $X \lesssim^M Z$
(proof)

lemma *eq_lepoll_rel_trans* [trans]:
assumes
 $X \approx^M Y \quad Y \lesssim^M Z \quad M(X) \quad M(Y) \quad M(Z)$
shows
 $X \lesssim^M Z$
(proof)

lemma *lepoll_rel_eq_trans* [trans]:
assumes $X \lesssim^M Y \quad Y \approx^M Z \quad M(X) \quad M(Y) \quad M(Z)$
shows $X \lesssim^M Z$
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_relI*: $\llbracket X \lesssim^M Y; Y \lesssim^M X; M(X); M(Y) \rrbracket \implies X \approx^M Y$
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_relE*:

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll_rel_iff*: $M(X) \implies M(Y) \implies X \approx^M Y \longleftrightarrow X \lesssim^M Y \& Y \lesssim^M X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll_rel_0_is_0*: $A \lesssim^M 0 \implies M(A) \implies A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *empty_lepoll_relI* = *empty_subsetI* [*THEN subset_imp_lepoll_rel*, *OF nonempty*]

lemma *lepoll_rel_0_iff*: $M(A) \implies A \lesssim^M 0 \longleftrightarrow A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Un_lepoll_rel_Un*:
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll_rel_0_is_0*: $A \approx^M 0 \implies M(A) \implies A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll_rel_0_iff*: $M(A) \implies A \approx^M 0 \longleftrightarrow A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *eqpoll_rel_disjoint_Un*:
 $\langle proof \rangle$

21.2 lesspoll_rel: contributions by Krzysztof Grabczewski

lemma *lesspoll_rel_not_refl*: $M(i) \implies \sim (i \prec^M i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll_rel_irrefl*: $i \prec^M i \implies M(i) \implies P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll_rel_imp_lepoll_rel*: $[A \prec^M B; M(A); M(B)] \implies A \lesssim^M B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rvimage_closed* [*intro,simp*]:
assumes
 $M(A) M(f) M(r)$
shows
 $M(rvimage(A,f,r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma lepoll_rel_well_ord: [| A  $\lesssim^M$  B; well_ord(B,r); M(A); M(B); M(r) |]
==>  $\exists s[M]. \text{well\_ord}(A,s)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lepoll_rel_iff_leqpoll_rel: [| M(A); M(B) |] ==> A  $\lesssim^M$  B  $\longleftrightarrow$  A  $\prec^M$  B | A
 $\approx^M$  B
    ⟨proof⟩

end

context M_cardinals
begin

lemma inj_rel_is_fun_M: f  $\in$  injM(A,B) ==> M(f) ==> M(A) ==> M(B) ==> f
 $\in$  A  $\rightarrow^M$  B
    ⟨proof⟩
lemma inj_rel_not_surj_rel_succ:
    notes mem_inj_abs[simp del]
    assumes fi: f  $\in$  injM(A, succ(m)) and fns: f  $\notin$  surjM(A, succ(m))
        and types: M(f) M(A) M(m)
    shows  $\exists f[M]. f \in \text{inj}^M(A,m)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lesspoll_rel_trans [trans]:
    [| X  $\prec^M$  Y; Y  $\prec^M$  Z; M(X); M(Y) ; M(Z) |] ==> X  $\prec^M$  Z
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lesspoll_rel_trans1 [trans]:
    [| X  $\lesssim^M$  Y; Y  $\prec^M$  Z; M(X); M(Y) ; M(Z) |] ==> X  $\prec^M$  Z
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lesspoll_rel_trans2 [trans]:
    [| X  $\prec^M$  Y; Y  $\lesssim^M$  Z; M(X); M(Y) ; M(Z)|] ==> X  $\prec^M$  Z
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma eq_lesspoll_rel_trans [trans]:
    [| X  $\approx^M$  Y; Y  $\prec^M$  Z; M(X); M(Y) ; M(Z) |] ==> X  $\prec^M$  Z
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma lesspoll_rel_eq_trans [trans]:
    [| X  $\prec^M$  Y; Y  $\approx^M$  Z; M(X); M(Y) ; M(Z) |] ==> X  $\prec^M$  Z
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_cardinal_cong:
    assumes X  $\approx^M$  Y M(X) M(Y)
    shows  $\exists \kappa[M]. \text{is\_cardinal}(M,X,\kappa) \wedge \text{is\_cardinal}(M,Y,\kappa)$ 

```

```

⟨proof⟩
lemma cardinal_rel_cong:  $X \approx^M Y \implies M(X) \implies M(Y) \implies |X|^M = |Y|^M$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma well_ord_is_cardinal_eqpoll_rel:
  assumes well_ord( $A, r$ ) shows is_cardinal( $M, A, \kappa$ )  $\implies M(A) \implies M(\kappa) \implies$ 
 $M(r) \implies \kappa \approx^M A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas Ord_is_cardinal_eqpoll_rel = well_ord_Memrel[THEN well_ord_is_cardinal_eqpoll_rel]

22 Porting from ZF.Cardinal

The following results were ported more or less directly from ZF.Cardinal

— This result relies on various closure properties and thus cannot be translated directly

```

lemma well_ord_cardinal_rel_eqpoll_rel:
  assumes  $r: \text{well\_ord}(A, r)$  and  $M(A) M(r)$  shows  $|A|^M \approx^M A$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemmas Ord_cardinal_rel_eqpoll_rel = well_ord_Memrel[THEN well_ord_cardinal_rel_eqpoll_rel]

```

lemma Ord_cardinal_rel_idem:  $\text{Ord}(A) \implies M(A) \implies |A|^M|^M = |A|^M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma well_ord_cardinal_rel_eqE:
  assumes woX: well_ord( $X, r$ ) and woY: well_ord( $Y, s$ ) and eq:  $|X|^M = |Y|^M$ 
  and types:  $M(X) M(r) M(Y) M(s)$ 
  shows  $X \approx^M Y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma well_ord_cardinal_rel_eqpoll_rel_iff:
   $\left[ \left[ \text{well\_ord}(X, r); \text{well\_ord}(Y, s); M(X); M(r); M(Y); M(s) \right] \implies |X|^M = |Y|^M \longleftrightarrow X \approx^M Y \right]$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Ord_cardinal_rel_le:  $\text{Ord}(i) \implies M(i) \implies |i|^M \leq i$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Card_rel_cardinal_rel_eq:  $\text{Card}^M(K) \implies M(K) \implies |K|^M = K$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Card_relI:  $\left[ \left[ \text{Ord}(i); \forall j. j < i \implies M(j) \implies \sim(j \approx^M i); M(i) \right] \right] \implies$ 
 $\text{Card}^M(i)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Card_rel_is_Ord:  $\text{Card}^M(i) \implies M(i) \implies \text{Ord}(i)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card_rel_cardinal_rel_le*: $Card^M(K) ==> M(K) \implies K \leq |K|^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Ord_cardinal_rel* [simp,intro!]: $M(A) \implies Ord(|A|^M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card_rel_iff_initial*: **assumes** types: $M(K)$
shows $Card^M(K) \longleftrightarrow Ord(K) \wedge (\forall j[M]. j < K \longrightarrow \sim (j \approx^M K))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lt_Card_rel_imp_lesspoll_rel*: $[| Card^M(a); i < a; M(a); M(i) |] ==> i \prec^M a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card_rel_0*: $Card^M(0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card_rel_Un*: $[| Card^M(K); Card^M(L); M(K); M(L) |] ==> Card^M(K \cup L)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card_rel_cardinal_rel iff*: **assumes** types: $M(A)$ **shows** $Card^M(|A|^M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_eq_lemma*:
assumes $i:|i|^M \leq j$ **and** $j: j \leq i$ **and** types: $M(i) M(j)$
shows $|j|^M = |i|^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_mono*:
assumes $ij: i \leq j$ **and** types: $M(i) M(j)$ **shows** $|i|^M \leq |j|^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_lt_imp_lt*: $[| |i|^M < |j|^M; Ord(i); Ord(j); M(i); M(j) |] ==> i < j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card_rel_lt_imp_lt*: $[| |i|^M < K; Ord(i); Card^M(K); M(i); M(K) |] ==> i < K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card_rel_lt_iff*: $[| Ord(i); Card^M(K); M(i); M(K) |] ==> (|i|^M < K) \longleftrightarrow (i < K)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Card_rel_le_iff*: $[| Ord(i); Card^M(K); M(i); M(K) |] ==> (K \leq |i|^M) \longleftrightarrow (K \leq i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *well_ord_lepoll_rel_imp_cardinal_rel_le*:

assumes $wB: well_ord(B,r)$ and $AB: A \lesssim^M B$

and

types: $M(B) M(r) M(A)$

shows $|A|^M \leq |B|^M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll_rel_cardinal_rel_le*: $\| A \lesssim^M i; Ord(i); M(A); M(i) \| \implies |A|^M \leq i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll_rel_Ord_imp_eqpoll_rel*: $\| A \lesssim^M i; Ord(i); M(A); M(i) \| \implies |A|^M \approx^M A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll_rel_imp_eqpoll_rel*: $\| A \prec^M i; Ord(i); M(A); M(i) \| \implies |A|^M \approx^M A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lesspoll_cardinal_lt_rel*:

shows $\| A \prec^M i; Ord(i); M(i); M(A) \| \implies |A|^M < i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_subset_Ord*: $\| A \subseteq i; Ord(i); M(A); M(i) \| \implies |A|^M \subseteq i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons_lepoll_rel_consD*:

$\| cons(u,A) \lesssim^M cons(v,B); u \notin A; v \notin B; M(u); M(A); M(v); M(B) \| \implies A \lesssim^M B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons_eqpoll_rel_consD*: $\| cons(u,A) \approx^M cons(v,B); u \notin A; v \notin B; M(u); M(A); M(v); M(B) \| \implies A \approx^M B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *succ_lepoll_rel_succD*: $succ(m) \lesssim^M succ(n) \implies M(m) \implies M(n) \implies$

$m \lesssim^M n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat_lepoll_rel_imp_le*:

$m \in nat \implies n \in nat \implies m \lesssim^M n \implies M(m) \implies M(n) \implies m \leq n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat_eqpoll_rel_iff*: $\| m \in nat; n \in nat; M(m); M(n) \| \implies m \approx^M n$

$\longleftrightarrow m = n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nat_into_Card_rel*:

assumes $n: n \in \text{nat}$ **and** **types:** $M(n)$ **shows** $\text{Card}^M(n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas $\text{cardinal_rel_0} = \text{nat_0I}$ [*THEN nat_into_Card_rel, THEN Card_rel_cardinal_rel_eq, simplified, iff*]
lemmas $\text{cardinal_rel_1} = \text{nat_1I}$ [*THEN nat_into_Card_rel, THEN Card_rel_cardinal_rel_eq, simplified, iff*]

lemma $\text{succ_lepoll_rel_natE}: [| \text{succ}(n) \lesssim^M n; n \in \text{nat} |] ==> P$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{nat_lepoll_rel_imp_ex_eqpoll_rel_n}:$
 $[| n \in \text{nat}; \text{nat} \lesssim^M X; M(n); M(X) |] ==> \exists Y[M]. Y \subseteq X \ \& \ n \approx^M Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lepoll_rel_succ}: M(i) ==> i \lesssim^M \text{succ}(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lepoll_rel_imp_lesspoll_rel_succ}:$
assumes $A: A \lesssim^M m$ **and** $m: m \in \text{nat}$
and types: $M(A) M(m)$
shows $A \prec^M \text{succ}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lesspoll_rel_succ_imp_lepoll_rel}:$
 $[| A \prec^M \text{succ}(m); m \in \text{nat}; M(A); M(m) |] ==> A \lesssim^M m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lesspoll_rel_succ_iff}: m \in \text{nat} ==> M(A) ==> A \prec^M \text{succ}(m) \longleftrightarrow A \lesssim^M m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lepoll_rel_succ_disj}: [| A \lesssim^M \text{succ}(m); m \in \text{nat}; M(A); M(m) |] ==>$
 $A \lesssim^M m \mid A \approx^M \text{succ}(m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lesspoll_rel_cardinal_rel_lt}:$
 $[| A \prec^M i; \text{Ord}(i); M(A); M(i) |] ==> |A|^M < i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lt_not_lepoll_rel}:$
assumes $n: n < i$ $n \in \text{nat}$
and types: $M(n) M(i)$ **shows** $\sim i \lesssim^M n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A slightly weaker version of $\text{nat_eqpoll_rel_iff}$

lemma $\text{Ord_nat_eqpoll_rel_iff}:$
assumes $i: \text{Ord}(i)$ **and** $n: n \in \text{nat}$

and types: $M(i) M(n)$
shows $i \approx^M n \longleftrightarrow i = n$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Card_rel_nat: Card^M(\text{nat})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nat_le_cardinal_rel: nat \leq i \implies M(i) ==> nat \leq |i|^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $n_lesspoll_rel_nat: n \in \text{nat} ==> n \prec^M \text{nat}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cons_lepoll_rel_cong:$
 $\llbracket A \lesssim^M B; b \notin B; M(A); M(B); M(b); M(a) \rrbracket ==> cons(a, A) \lesssim^M cons(b, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cons_eqpoll_rel_cong:$
 $\llbracket A \approx^M B; a \notin A; b \notin B; M(A); M(B); M(a); M(b) \rrbracket ==> cons(a, A) \approx^M cons(b, B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cons_lepoll_rel_cons_iff:$
 $\llbracket a \notin A; b \notin B; M(a); M(A); M(b); M(B) \rrbracket ==> cons(a, A) \lesssim^M cons(b, B)$
 $\longleftrightarrow A \lesssim^M B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cons_eqpoll_rel_cons_iff:$
 $\llbracket a \notin A; b \notin B; M(a); M(A); M(b); M(B) \rrbracket ==> cons(a, A) \approx^M cons(b, B)$
 $\longleftrightarrow A \approx^M B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $singleton_eqpoll_rel_1: M(a) \implies \{a\} \approx^M 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cardinal_rel_singleton: M(a) \implies |\{a\}|^M = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $not_0_is_lepoll_rel_1: A \neq 0 ==> M(A) \implies 1 \lesssim^M A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $succ_eqpoll_rel_cong: A \approx^M B \implies M(A) \implies M(B) ==> succ(A) \approx^M succ(B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The next result was not straightforward to port, and even a different statement was needed.

lemma $sum_bij_rel:$

$\langle \lambda f \in \text{bij}^M(A, C); g \in \text{bij}^M(B, D); M(f); M(A); M(C); M(g); M(B); M(D) \rangle$
 $\Rightarrow (\lambda z \in A+B. \text{case}(\%x. \text{Inl}(f \cdot x), \%y. \text{Inr}(g \cdot y), z)) \in \text{bij}^M(A+B, C+D)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum_bij_rel'*:
assumes $f \in \text{bij}^M(A, C)$ $g \in \text{bij}^M(B, D)$ $M(f)$
 $M(A)$ $M(C)$ $M(g)$ $M(B)$ $M(D)$
shows
 $(\lambda z \in A+B. \text{case}(\lambda x. \text{Inl}(f \cdot x), \lambda y. \text{Inr}(g \cdot y), z)) \in \text{bij}(A+B, C+D)$
 $M(\lambda z \in A+B. \text{case}(\lambda x. \text{Inl}(f \cdot x), \lambda y. \text{Inr}(g \cdot y), z))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum_eqpoll_rel_cong*:
assumes $A \approx^M C$ $B \approx^M D$ $M(A)$ $M(C)$ $M(B)$ $M(D)$
shows $A+B \approx^M C+D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod_bij_rel'*:
assumes $f \in \text{bij}^M(A, C)$ $g \in \text{bij}^M(B, D)$ $M(f)$
 $M(A)$ $M(C)$ $M(g)$ $M(B)$ $M(D)$
shows
 $(\lambda \langle x, y \rangle \in A*B. \langle f \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle) \in \text{bij}(A*B, C*D)$
 $M(\lambda \langle x, y \rangle \in A*B. \langle f \cdot x, g \cdot y \rangle)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *prod_eqpoll_rel_cong*:
assumes $A \approx^M C$ $B \approx^M D$ $M(A)$ $M(C)$ $M(B)$ $M(D)$
shows $A \times B \approx^M C \times D$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *inj_rel_disjoint_eqpoll_rel*:
 $\langle \lambda f \in \text{inj}^M(A, B); A \cap B = \emptyset; M(f); M(A); M(B) \rangle \Rightarrow A \cup (B - \text{range}(f)) \approx^M B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff_sing_lepoll_rel*:
 $\langle \lambda a \in A; A \lesssim^{\bar{M}} \text{succ}(n); M(a); M(A); M(n) \rangle \Rightarrow A - \{a\} \lesssim^M n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll_rel_Diff_sing*:
assumes $A: \text{succ}(n) \lesssim^M A$
and types: $M(n)$ $M(A)$ $M(a)$
shows $n \lesssim^M A - \{a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Diff_sing_eqpoll_rel*:
 $\langle \lambda a \in A; A \approx^M \text{succ}(n); M(a); M(A); M(n) \rangle \Rightarrow A - \{a\} \approx^M n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lepoll_rel_1_is_sing*: $\lambda A. \lambda a. \lambda M. \lambda A. \lambda M(a). \lambda M(A). [A \lesssim^M 1; a \in A; M(a); M(A)] \implies A = \{a\}$
(proof)

lemma *Un_lepoll_rel_sum*: $M(A) \implies M(B) \implies A \cup B \lesssim^M A + B$
(proof)

lemma *well_ord_Un_M*:
assumes *well_ord(X,R)* *well_ord(Y,S)*
and types: $M(X) M(R) M(Y) M(S)$
shows $\exists T[M]. \text{well_ord}(X \cup Y, T)$
(proof)

lemma *disj_Un_eqpoll_rel_sum*: $M(A) \implies M(B) \implies A \cap B = 0 \implies A \cup B \approx^M A + B$
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_rel_imp_Finite_rel_iff*: $A \approx^M B \iff M(A) \implies M(B) \implies \text{Finite_rel}(M, A) \longleftrightarrow \text{Finite_rel}(M, B)$
(proof)

lemma *Finite_abs[simp]*: **assumes** $M(A)$ **shows** $\text{Finite_rel}(M, A) \longleftrightarrow \text{Finite}(A)$
(proof)

lemma *lepoll_rel_nat_imp_Finite_rel*:
assumes $A: A \lesssim^M n$ **and** $n: n \in \text{nat}$
and types: $M(A) M(n)$
shows $\text{Finite_rel}(M, A)$
(proof)

lemma *lesspoll_rel_nat_is_Finite_rel*:
 $A \prec^M \text{nat} \implies M(A) \implies \text{Finite_rel}(M, A)$
(proof)

lemma *lepoll_rel_Finite_rel*:
assumes $Y: Y \lesssim^M X$ **and** $X: \text{Finite_rel}(M, X)$
and types: $M(Y) M(X)$
shows $\text{Finite_rel}(M, Y)$
(proof)

lemma *succ_lepoll_rel_imp_not_empty*: $\text{succ}(x) \lesssim^M y \implies M(x) \implies M(y)$
 $\implies y \neq 0$
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_rel_succ_imp_not_empty*: $x \approx^M \text{succ}(n) \implies M(x) \implies M(n)$
 $\implies x \neq 0$
(proof)

```

lemma Finite_subset_closed:
  assumes Finite(B) B⊆A M(A)
  shows M(B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Finite_Pow_abs:
  assumes Finite(A) M(A)
  shows Pow(A) = Pow_rel(M,A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Finite_Pow_rel:
  assumes Finite(A) M(A)
  shows Finite(Pow_rel(M,A))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Pow_rel_0 [simp]: Pow_rel(M,0) = {0}
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

23 Relative, Choice-less Cardinal Arithmetic

```

theory CardinalArith_Relative
  imports
    Cardinal_Relative

```

```
begin
```

⟨ML⟩

```

definition
  csquare_lam ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  csquare_lam( $K$ )  $\equiv \lambda \langle x,y \rangle \in K \times K. \langle x \cup y, x, y \rangle$ 

```

— Can't do the next thing because split is a missing HOC

⟨ML⟩

```

definition
  is_csquare_lam ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_csquare_lam( $M, K, l$ )  $\equiv \exists K2[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, K, K, K2) \wedge$ 
    is_lambda( $M, K2, \text{is\_csquare\_lam\_body}(M), l$ )

```

```
definition jump_cardinal_body ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
```

```

jump_cardinal_body(M,X) ≡
{z . r ∈ PowM(X × X), M(z) ∧ M(r) ∧ well_ord(X, r) ∧ z = ordertype(X,
r) }

lemma (in M_cardinals) csquare_lam_closed[intro,simp]: M(K) ==> M(csquare_lam(K))
⟨proof⟩

locale M_pre_cardinal_arith = M_cardinals +
assumes
ord_iso_separation: M(A) ==> M(r) ==> M(s) ==>
separation(M, λf. ∀x∈A. ∀y∈A. ⟨x, y⟩ ∈ r ↔ ⟨f ` x, f ` y⟩ ∈ s)
and
wfrec_pred_replacement: M(A) ==> M(r) ==>
wfrec_replacement(M, λx f z. z = f `` Order.pred(A, x, r), r)

locale M_cardinal_arith = M_pre_cardinal_arith +
assumes
ordertype_replacement :
M(X) ==> strong_replacement(M, λx z. M(z) ∧ M(x) ∧ x ∈ Pow_rel(M, X × X))
∧ well_ord(X, x) ∧ z = ordertype(X, x)
and
strong_replacement_jc_body :
strong_replacement(M, λx z. M(z) ∧ M(x) ∧ z = jump_cardinal_body(M, x))
and
surj_imp_inj_replacement:
M(f) ==> M(x) ==> strong_replacement(M, λy z. y ∈ f - `` {x} ∧ z = {⟨x, y⟩})
M(f) ==> strong_replacement(M, λx z. z = Sifun(x, λy. f - `` {y}))
M(f) ==> strong_replacement(M, λx y. y = f - `` {x})
M(f) ==> M(r) ==> strong_replacement(M, λx y. y = ⟨x, minimum(r, f - `` {x})⟩)
}

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_trivial) rmultP_abs [absolut]: ⟦ M(r); M(s); M(z) ⟧ ==> is_rmultP(M, s, r, z)
↔
(∃x' y' x y. z = ⟨⟨x', y'⟩, x, y⟩ ∧ (⟨x', x⟩ ∈ r ∨ x' = x ∧ ⟨y', y⟩ ∈ s))
⟨proof⟩

definition
is_csquare_rel :: [i ⇒ o, i, i] ⇒ o where
is_csquare_rel(M, K, cs) ≡ ∃K2[M]. ∃la[M]. ∃memK[M].
∃rmKK[M]. ∃rmKK2[M].
cartprod(M, K, K, K2) ∧ is_csquare_lam(M, K, la) ∧
membership(M, K, memK) ∧ is_rmult(M, K, memK, K, memK, rmKK) ∧
is_rmult(M, K, memK, K2, rmKK, rmKK2) ∧ is_rvimage(M, K2, la, rmKK2, cs)

context M_basic
begin

```

```

lemma rvimage_abs[absolut]:
  assumes M(A) M(f) M(r) M(z)
  shows is_rvimage(M,A,f,r,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = rvimage(A,f,r)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma rmult_abs [absolut]:  $\llbracket M(A); M(r); M(B); M(s); M(z) \rrbracket \implies$ 
  is_rmult(M,A,r,B,s,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z=rmult(A,r,B,s)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma csquare_lam_body_abs[absolut]: M(x)  $\implies$  M(z)  $\implies$ 
  is_csquare_lam_body(M,x,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = <fst(x)  $\cup$  snd(x), fst(x), snd(x)>
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma csquare_lam_abs[absolut]: M(K)  $\implies$  M(l)  $\implies$ 
  is_csquare_lam(M,K,l)  $\longleftrightarrow$  l = ( $\lambda x \in K \times K$ . <fst(x)  $\cup$  snd(x), fst(x), snd(x)>)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma csquare_lam_eq_lam:csquare_lam(K) = ( $\lambda z \in K \times K$ . <fst(z)  $\cup$  snd(z),
  fst(z), snd(z)>)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

context M_pre_cardinal_arith
begin

lemma csquare_rel_closed[intro,simp]: M(K)  $\implies$  M(csquare_rel(K))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma csquare_rel_abs[absolut]:  $\llbracket M(K); M(cs) \rrbracket \implies$ 
  is_csquare_rel(M,K,cs)  $\longleftrightarrow$  cs = csquare_rel(K)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

abbreviation
  csucc_r ::  $[i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow i \ (\cdot'(\_+')\rightarrow)$  where
  csucc_r(x,M)  $\equiv$  csucc_rel(M,x)

abbreviation
  csucc_r_set ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i \ (\cdot'(\_+')\rightarrow)$  where
  csucc_r_set(x,M)  $\equiv$  csucc_rel(#M,x)

context M_Perm
begin

```

```

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

notation csucc_rel ((csucc-'(_')))

```

```

context M_cardinals
begin

lemma Card_rel_Union [simp,intro,TC]:
  assumes A:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{Card}^M(x)$  and
    types:M(A)
  shows  $\text{Card}^M(\bigcup(A))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma in_Card_imp_lesspoll: [|  $\text{Card}^M(K)$ ;  $b \in K$ ;  $M(K)$ ;  $M(b)$  |] ==>  $b \prec^M K$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

23.1 Cardinal addition

Note (Paulson): Could omit proving the algebraic laws for cardinal addition and multiplication. On finite cardinals these operations coincide with addition and multiplication of natural numbers; on infinite cardinals they coincide with union (maximum). Either way we get most laws for free.

23.1.1 Cardinal addition is commutative

```

lemma sum_commute_eqpoll_rel:  $M(A) \implies M(B) \implies A + B \approx^M B + A$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cadd_rel_commute:  $M(i) \implies M(j) \implies i \oplus^M j = j \oplus^M i$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

23.1.2 Cardinal addition is associative

```

lemma sum_assoc_eqpoll_rel:  $M(A) \implies M(B) \implies M(C) \implies (A + B) + C \approx^M A + (B + C)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Unconditional version requires AC

```
lemma well_ord_cadd_rel_assoc:
  assumes i: well_ord(i,ri) and j: well_ord(j,rj) and k: well_ord(k,rk)
  and
  types: M(i) M(ri) M(j) M(rj) M(k) M(rk)
  shows (i ⊕M j) ⊕M k = i ⊕M (j ⊕M k)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

23.1.3 0 is the identity for addition

```
lemma case_id_eq: x ∈ sum(A,B) ==> case(λz . z, λz. z ,x) = snd(x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lam_case_id: (λz ∈ 0 + A. case(λx. x, λy. y, z)) = (λz ∈ 0 + A . snd(z))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sum_0_eqpoll_rel: M(A) ==> 0+A ≈M A
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cadd_rel_0 [simp]: CardM(K) ==> M(K) ==> 0 ⊕M K = K
  ⟨proof⟩
```

23.1.4 Addition by another cardinal

```
lemma sum_lepoll_rel_self: M(A) ==> M(B) ==> A ≤M A+B
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cadd_rel_le_self:
  assumes K: CardM(K) and L: Ord(L) and
  types: M(K) M(L)
  shows K ≤ (K ⊕M L)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

23.1.5 Monotonicity of addition

```
lemma sum_lepoll_rel_mono:
  [| A ≤M C; B ≤M D; M(A); M(B); M(C); M(D) |] ==> A + B ≤M C + D
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cadd_rel_le_mono:
  [| K' ≤ K; L' ≤ L; M(K'); M(K); M(L'); M(L) |] ==> (K' ⊕M L') ≤ (K ⊕M L)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

23.1.6 Addition of finite cardinals is "ordinary" addition

```
lemma sum_succ_eqpoll_rel: M(A) ==> M(B) ==> succ(A)+B ≈M succ(A+B)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma cadd_succ_lemma:
  assumes Ord(m) Ord(n) and
    types: M(m) M(n)
  shows succ(m)  $\oplus^M$  n = |succ(m  $\oplus^M$  n)|M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_cadd_rel_eq_add:
  assumes m: m ∈ nat and [simp]: n ∈ nat shows m  $\oplus^M$  n = m #+ n
  ⟨proof⟩

```

23.2 Cardinal multiplication

23.2.1 Cardinal multiplication is commutative

```

lemma prod_commute_eqpoll_rel: M(A)  $\Rightarrow$  M(B)  $\Rightarrow$  A*B  $\approx^M$  B*A
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma cmult_rel_commute: M(i)  $\Rightarrow$  M(j)  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\otimes^M$  j = j  $\otimes^M$  i
  ⟨proof⟩

```

23.2.2 Cardinal multiplication is associative

```

lemma prod_assoc_eqpoll_rel: M(A)  $\Rightarrow$  M(B)  $\Rightarrow$  M(C)  $\Rightarrow$  (A*B)*C  $\approx^M$ 
  A*(B*C)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Unconditional version requires AC

```

lemma well_ord_cmult_rel_assoc:
  assumes i: well_ord(i,ri) and j: well_ord(j,rj) and k: well_ord(k,rk)
  and
    types: M(i) M(ri) M(j) M(rj) M(k) M(rk)
  shows (i  $\otimes^M$  j)  $\otimes^M$  k = i  $\otimes^M$  (j  $\otimes^M$  k)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

23.2.3 Cardinal multiplication distributes over addition

```

lemma sum_prod_distrib_eqpoll_rel: M(A)  $\Rightarrow$  M(B)  $\Rightarrow$  M(C)  $\Rightarrow$  (A+B)*C
   $\approx^M$  (A*C)+(B*C)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma well_ord_cadd_cmult_distrib:
  assumes i: well_ord(i,ri) and j: well_ord(j,rj) and k: well_ord(k,rk)
  and
    types: M(i) M(ri) M(j) M(rj) M(k) M(rk)
  shows (i  $\oplus^M$  j)  $\otimes^M$  k = (i  $\otimes^M$  k)  $\oplus^M$  (j  $\otimes^M$  k)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

23.2.4 Multiplication by 0 yields 0

lemma *prod_0_eqpoll_rel*: $M(A) \implies 0 * A \approx^M 0$
(proof)

lemma *cmult_rel_0 [simp]*: $M(i) \implies 0 \otimes^M i = 0$
(proof)

23.2.5 1 is the identity for multiplication

lemma *prod_singleton_eqpoll_rel*: $M(x) \implies M(A) \implies \{x\} * A \approx^M A$
(proof)

lemma *cmult_rel_1 [simp]*: $\text{Card}^M(K) \implies M(K) \implies 1 \otimes^M K = K$
(proof)

23.3 Some inequalities for multiplication

lemma *prod_square_lepoll_rel*: $M(A) \implies A \lesssim^M A * A$
(proof)

lemma *cmult_rel_square_le*: $\text{Card}^M(K) \implies M(K) \implies K \leq K \otimes^M K$
(proof)

23.3.1 Multiplication by a non-zero cardinal

lemma *prod_lepoll_rel_self*: $b \in B \implies M(b) \implies M(B) \implies M(A) \implies A \lesssim^M A * B$
(proof)

lemma *cmult_rel_le_self*:
 $\text{Card}^M(K); \text{Ord}(L); 0 < L; M(K); M(L) \implies K \leq (K \otimes^M L)$
(proof)

23.3.2 Monotonicity of multiplication

lemma *prod_lepoll_rel_mono*:
 $\text{Card}^M(C); \text{Card}^M(D); M(A); M(B); M(C); M(D) \implies A * B \lesssim^M C * D$
(proof)

lemma *cmult_rel_le_mono*:
 $K' \leq K; L' \leq L; M(K'); M(K); M(L'); M(L) \implies (K' \otimes^M L') \leq (K \otimes^M L)$
(proof)

23.4 Multiplication of finite cardinals is "ordinary" multiplication

lemma *prod_succ_eqpoll_rel*: $M(A) \implies M(B) \implies \text{succ}(A) * B \approx^M B + A * B$
(proof)

```

lemma cmult_rel_succ_lemma:
  [| Ord(m); Ord(n) ; M(m); M(n) |] ==> succ(m)  $\otimes^M$  n = n  $\oplus^M$  (m  $\otimes^M$  n)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_cmult_rel_eq_mult: [| m ∈ nat; n ∈ nat |] ==> m  $\otimes^M$  n = m#*n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma cmult_rel_2: CardM(n) ==> M(n) ==> 2  $\otimes^M$  n = n  $\oplus^M$  n
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_lepoll_rel_prod:
  assumes C: 2  $\lesssim^M$  C and
    types: M(C) M(B)
  shows B+B  $\lesssim^M$  C*B
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lepoll_imp_sum_lepoll_prod: [| A  $\lesssim^M$  B; 2  $\lesssim^M$  A; M(A) ;M(B) |] ==>
  A+B  $\lesssim^M$  A*B
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

23.5 Infinite Cardinals are Limit Ordinals

```

context M_pre_cardinal_arith
begin

```

```

lemma nat_cons_lepoll_rel: nat  $\lesssim^M$  A ==> M(A) ==> M(u) ==> cons(u,A)  $\lesssim^M$ 
  A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_cons_eqpoll_rel: nat  $\lesssim^M$  A ==> M(A) ==> M(u) ==> cons(u,A)  $\approx^M$ 
  A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma nat_succ_eqpoll_rel: nat ⊆ A ==> M(A) ==> succ(A)  $\approx^M$  A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma InfCard_rel_nat: InfCardM(nat)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma InfCard_rel_is_Card_rel: M(K) ==> InfCardM(K) ==> CardM(K)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma InfCard_rel_Un:
  [| InfCardM(K); CardM(L); M(K); M(L) |] ==> InfCardM(K ∪ L)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *InfCard_rel_is_Limit*: $\text{InfCard}^M(K) \implies M(K) \implies \text{Limit}(K)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

— FIXME: Awful proof, it essentially repeats the same argument twice

lemma (in M_ordertype) *ordertype_abs[absolut]*:
 $\llbracket \text{wellordered}(M, A, r); M(A); M(r); M(i) \rrbracket \implies \text{otype}(M, A, r, i) \longleftrightarrow i = \text{ordertype}(A, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ordertype) *ordertype_closed[intro,simp]*: $\llbracket \text{wellordered}(M, A, r); M(A); M(r) \rrbracket \implies M(\text{ordertype}(A, r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_trivial) *is_transitive_iff_transitive_rel*:
 $M(A) \implies M(r) \implies \text{transitive_rel}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_transitive}(M, A, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_trivial) *is_linear_iff_linear_rel*:
 $M(A) \implies M(r) \implies \text{is_linear}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{linear_rel}(M, A, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_trivial) *is_wellfounded_on_iff_wellfounded_on*:
 $M(A) \implies M(r) \implies \text{is_wellfounded_on}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{wellfounded_on}(M, A, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

is_well_ord :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, t] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 — linear and wellfounded on A
 $\text{is_well_ord}(M, A, r) \iff \text{is_transitive}(M, A, r) \wedge \text{is_linear}(M, A, r) \wedge \text{is_wellfounded_on}(M, A, r)$

lemma (in M_trivial) *is_well_ord_iff_wellordered*:
 $M(A) \implies M(r) \implies \text{is_well_ord}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow \text{wellordered}(M, A, r)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

context M_pre_cardinal_arith
begin

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

⟨ML⟩

lemma is_lambda_iff_sats[iff_sats]:
assumes is_F_iff_sats:
  !!a0 a1 a2.
  [| a0∈Aa; a1∈Aa; a2∈Aa|]
  ==> is_F(a1, a0) ←→ sats(Aa, is_F_fm, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, env))))
shows
  nth(A, env) = Ab ⇒
  nth(r, env) = ra ⇒
  A ∈ nat ⇒
  r ∈ nat ⇒
  env ∈ list(Aa) ⇒
  is_lambda(##Aa, Ab, is_F, ra) ←→ Aa, env ⊨ lambda_fm(is_F_fm, A, r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_is_wfrec_fm':
assumes MH_iff_sats:
  !!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4.
  [| a0∈A; a1∈A; a2∈A; a3∈A; a4∈A|]
  ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) ←→ sats(A, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3, Cons(a4, env))))))
shows
  [|x ∈ nat; y ∈ nat; z ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A); 0 ∈ A|]
  ==> sats(A, is_wfrec_fm(p, x, y, z), env) ←→
    is_wfrec(##A, MH, nth(x, env), nth(y, env), nth(z, env))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma is_wfrec_iff_sats'[iff_sats]:
assumes MH_iff_sats:
  !!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4.
  [| a0∈Aa; a1∈Aa; a2∈Aa; a3∈Aa; a4∈Aa|]
  ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) ←→ sats(Aa, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3, Cons(a4, env))))))
  x ∈ nat y ∈ nat z ∈ nat env ∈ list(Aa) 0 ∈ Aa
  nth(x, env) = xx nth(y, env) = yy nth(z, env) = zz
shows
  is_wfrec(##Aa, MH, xx, yy, zz) ←→ Aa, env ⊨ is_wfrec_fm(p, x, y, z)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma is_wfrec_on_iff_sats[iff_sats]:
  assumes MH_iff_sats:
    !!a0 a1 a2 a3 a4.
    [| a0 ∈ Aa; a1 ∈ Aa; a2 ∈ Aa; a3 ∈ Aa; a4 ∈ Aa|]
    ==> MH(a2, a1, a0) ↔ sats(Aa, p, Cons(a0, Cons(a1, Cons(a2, Cons(a3, Cons(a4, env)))))))
  shows
    nth(x, env) = xx ==>
    nth(y, env) = yy ==>
    nth(z, env) = zz ==>
    x ∈ nat ==>
    y ∈ nat ==>
    z ∈ nat ==>
    env ∈ list(Aa) ==>
    0 ∈ Aa ==> is_wfrec_on(##Aa, MH, aa, xx, yy, zz) ↔ Aa, env ⊨ is_wfrec_fm(p, x, y, z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trans_on_iff_trans: trans[A](r) ↔ trans(r ∩ A × A)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma trans_on_subset: trans[A](r) ==> B ⊆ A ==> trans[B](r)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma relation_Int: relation(r ∩ B × B)
  ⟨proof⟩

Discipline for ordermap
⟨ML⟩

context M_pre_cardinal_arith
begin

lemma wfrec_on_pred_eq:
  assumes r ∈ Pow(A × A) M(A) M(r)
  shows wfrec[A](r, x, λx f. f “ Order.pred(A, x, r)) = wfrec(r, x, λx f. f “ Order.pred(A, x, r))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wfrec_on_pred_closed:
  assumes wf[A](r) trans[A](r) r ∈ Pow(A × A) M(A) M(r) x ∈ A
  shows M(wfrec(r, x, λx f. f “ Order.pred(A, x, r)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma wfrec_on_pred_closed':
  assumes wf[A](r) trans[A](r) r ∈ Pow(A × A) M(A) M(r) x ∈ A
  shows M(wfrec[A](r, x, λx f. f “ Order.pred(A, x, r)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ordermap_rel_closed':

```

```

assumes wf[A](r) trans[A](r) r ∈ Pow(A×A) M(A) M(r)
shows M(ordermap_rel(M, A, r))
⟨proof⟩

lemma ordermap_rel_closed[intro,simp]:
assumes wf[A](r) trans[A](r) r ∈ Pow(A×A)
shows M(A) ⇒ M(r) ⇒ M(ordermap_rel(M, A, r))
⟨proof⟩

lemma is_ordermap_iff:
assumes r ∈ Pow(A×A) wf[A](r) trans[A](r)
M(A) M(r) M(res)
shows is_ordermap(M, A, r, res) ↔ res = ordermap_rel(M, A, r)
⟨proof⟩

end

⟨ML⟩

Discipline for ordertype
⟨ML⟩

context M_pre_cardinal_arith
begin

lemma is_ordertype_iff:
assumes r ∈ Pow(A×A) wf[A](r) trans[A](r)
shows M(A) ⇒ M(r) ⇒ M(res) ⇒ is_ordertype(M, A, r, res) ↔ res =
ordertype_rel(M, A, r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma is_ordertype_iff':
assumes r ∈ Pow_rel(M, A×A) well_ord(A, r)
shows M(A) ⇒ M(r) ⇒ M(res) ⇒ is_ordertype(M, A, r, res) ↔ res =
ordertype_rel(M, A, r)
⟨proof⟩

lemma is_ordertype_iff'':
assumes well_ord(A, r) r ⊆ A×A
shows M(A) ⇒ M(r) ⇒ M(res) ⇒ is_ordertype(M, A, r, res) ↔ res =
ordertype_rel(M, A, r)
⟨proof⟩

end

⟨ML⟩
definition
jump_cardinal' :: i ⇒ i where
jump_cardinal'(K) ≡

```

$\bigcup X \in \text{Pow}(K). \{z. r \in \text{Pow}(X*X), \text{well_ord}(X, r) \& z = \text{ordertype}(X, r)\}$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *jump_cardinal_body'* **where**

jump_cardinal_body'(X) $\equiv \{z . r \in \text{Pow}(X \times X), \text{well_ord}(X, r) \wedge z = \text{ordertype}(X, r)\}$

$\langle ML \rangle$

context *M_pre_cardinal_arith*
begin

lemma *ordertype_rel_closed'*:

assumes *wf[A](r) trans[A](r) r ∈ Pow(A × A) M(r) M(A)*

shows *M(ordertype_rel(M, A, r))*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordertype_rel_closed[intro,simp]*:

assumes *well_ord(A, r) r ∈ Pow_rel(M, A × A) M(A)*

shows *M(ordertype_rel(M, A, r))*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ordertype_rel_abs*:

assumes *wellordered(M, X, r) M(X) M(r)*

shows *ordertype_rel(M, X, r) = ordertype(X, r)*

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *univalent_aux1: M(X) ⇒ univalent(M, Pow_rel(M, X × X),*

$\lambda r z. M(z) \wedge M(r) \wedge r \in \text{Pow_rel}(M, X \times X) \wedge \text{is_well_ord}(M, X, r) \wedge \text{is_ordertype}(M, X, r, z))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *jump_cardinal_body_eq* :

M(X) ⇒ jump_cardinal_body(M, X) = jump_cardinal_body'_rel(M, X)

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *M_cardinal_arith*

begin

lemma *jump_cardinal_closed_aux1*:

assumes *M(X)*

shows

M(jump_cardinal_body(M, X))

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *univalent_jc_body: M(X) ⇒ univalent(M, X, λ x z . M(z) ∧ M(x) ∧ z*

$= \text{jump_cardinal_body}(M, x))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma jump_cardinal_body_closed:
  assumes M(K)
  shows M({a . X ∈ PowM(K), M(a) ∧ M(X) ∧ a = jump_cardinal_body(M,X)})  

  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
⟨proof⟩

end

locale M_jump_cardinal = M_ordertype

context M_cardinal_arith
begin

lemma (in M_ordertype) ordermap_closed[intro,simp]:
  assumes wellordered(M,A,r) and types:M(A) M(r)
  shows M(ordermap(A,r))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma ordermap_eqpoll_pred:
  [| well_ord(A,r); x ∈ A ; M(A);M(r);M(x)|] ==> ordermap(A,r)`x ≈M
Order.pred(A,x,r)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Kunen: "each $\langle x, y \rangle \in K \times K$ has no more than $z \times z$ predecessors..." (page 29)

```

lemma ordermap_csquare_le:
  assumes K: Limit(K) and x: x < K and y: y < K
  and types: M(K) M(x) M(y)
  shows |ordermap(K × K, csquare_rel(K)) `⟨x,y⟩|M ≤ |succ(succ(x ∪ y))|M ⊗M
|succ(succ(x ∪ y))|M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Kunen: "... so the order type is $\leq K$ "

```

lemma ordertype_csquare_le_M:
  assumes IK: InfCardM(K) and eq:  $\bigwedge y. y \in K \implies \text{InfCard}^M(y) \implies M(y) \implies$ 
 $y \otimes^M y = y$ 
  — Note the weakened hypothesis  $\llbracket ?y \in K; \text{InfCard}^M(?y); M(?y) \rrbracket \implies ?y \otimes^M ?y = ?y$ 
  and types: M(K)
  shows ordertype(K*K, csquare_rel(K)) ≤ K
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *InfCard_rel_csquare_eq*:
assumes *IK*: $\text{InfCard}^M(K)$ **and**
types: $M(K)$
shows $K \otimes^M K = K$
(proof)

lemma *well_ord_InfCard_rel_square_eq*:
assumes *r*: $\text{well_ord}(A, r)$ **and** *I*: $\text{InfCard}^M(|A|^M)$ **and**
types: $M(A)$ $M(r)$
shows $A \times A \approx^M A$
(proof)

lemma *InfCard_rel_square_eqpoll*:
assumes $\text{InfCard}^M(K)$ **and** *types:M(K)* **shows** $K \times K \approx^M K$
(proof)

lemma *InfCard_rel_is_InfCard_rel*: $\| \text{Card}^M(i); \sim \text{Finite_rel}(M, i) ; M(i) \|$
 $\implies \text{InfCard}^M(i)$
(proof)

23.5.1 Toward's Kunen's Corollary 10.13 (1)

lemma *InfCard_rel_le_cmult_rel_eq*: $\| \text{InfCard}^M(K); L \leq K; 0 < L; M(K) ; M(L) \| \implies K \otimes^M L = K$
(proof)

lemma *InfCard_rel_cmultiplication_eq*: $\| \text{InfCard}^M(K); \text{InfCard}^M(L); M(K) ; M(L) \| \implies K \otimes^M L = K \cup L$
(proof)

lemma *InfCard_rel_cdouble_eq*: $\text{InfCard}^M(K) \implies M(K) \implies K \oplus^M K = K$
(proof)

lemma *InfCard_rel_le_cadd_rel_eq*: $\| \text{InfCard}^M(K); L \leq K ; M(K) ; M(L) \| \implies K \oplus^M L = K$
(proof)

lemma *InfCard_rel_cadd_rel_eq*: $\| \text{InfCard}^M(K); \text{InfCard}^M(L); M(K) ; M(L) \| \implies K \oplus^M L = K \cup L$
(proof)

end

23.6 For Every Cardinal Number There Exists A Greater One

This result is Kunen's Theorem 10.16, which would be trivial using AC

```
locale M_cardinal_arith_jump = M_cardinal_arith + M_jump_cardinal
begin
```

```
lemma well_ord_restr: well_ord(X, r) ==> well_ord(X, r ∩ X × X)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ordertype_restr_eq :
assumes well_ord(X,r)
shows ordertype(X, r) = ordertype(X, r ∩ X × X)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma def_jump_cardinal_rel_aux:
X ∈ PowM(K) ==> well_ord(X, w) ==> M(K) ==>
{z . r ∈ PowM(X × X), M(z) ∧ well_ord(X, r) ∧ z = ordertype(X, r)} =
{z . r ∈ PowM(K × K), M(z) ∧ well_ord(X, r) ∧ z = ordertype(X, r)}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma def_jump_cardinal_rel:
assumes M(K)
shows jump_cardinal'_rel(M,K) =
(∪ X ∈ Pow_rel(M,K). {z. r ∈ Pow_rel(M,K×K), well_ord(X,r) & z =
ordertype(X,r)})
⟨proof⟩
```

```
notation jump_cardinal'_rel (jump'_cardinal'_rel)
```

```
lemma Ord_jump_cardinal_rel: M(K) ==> Ord(jump_cardinal_rel(M,K))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare conj_cong [cong del]
— incompatible with some of the proofs of the original theory
```

```
lemma jump_cardinal_rel_iff_old:
M(i) ==> M(K) ==> i ∈ jump_cardinal_rel(M,K) ↔
(∃ r[M]. ∃ X[M]. r ⊆ K*K & X ⊆ K & well_ord(X,r) & i = ordertype(X,r))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma K_lt_jump_cardinal_rel: Ord(K) ==> M(K) ==> K < jump_cardinal_rel(M,K)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Card_rel_jump_cardinal_rel_lemma:
```

```

[| well_ord(X,r); r ⊆ K * K; X ⊆ K;
   f ∈ bij(ordertype(X,r), jump_cardinal_rel(M,K));
   M(X); M(r); M(K); M(f) |]
==> jump_cardinal_rel(M,K) ∈ jump_cardinal_rel(M,K)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Card_rel_jump_cardinal_rel: M(K) ==> Card_rel(M,jump_cardinal_rel(M,K))
⟨proof⟩

```

23.7 Basic Properties of Successor Cardinals

```

lemma csucc_rel_basic: Ord(K) ==> M(K) ==> Card_rel(M,csucc_rel(M,K))
& K < csucc_rel(M,K)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemmas Card_rel_csucc_rel = csucc_rel_basic [THEN conjunct1]

```

```

lemmas lt_csucc_rel = csucc_rel_basic [THEN conjunct2]

```

```

lemma Ord_0_lt_csucc_rel: Ord(K) ==> M(K) ==> 0 < csucc_rel(M,K)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma csucc_rel_le: [| Card_rel(M,L); K < L; M(K); M(L) |] ==> csucc_rel(M,K)
≤ L
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma lt_csucc_rel_iff: [| Ord(i); Card_rel(M,K); M(K); M(i)|] ==> i <
csucc_rel(M,K) ↔ |i|^M ≤ K
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Card_rel_lt_csucc_rel_iff:
  [| Card_rel(M,K'); Card_rel(M,K); M(K'); M(K) |] ==> K' < csucc_rel(M,K)
↔ K' ≤ K
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma InfCard_rel_csucc_rel: InfCard_rel(M,K) ==> M(K) ==> InfCard_rel(M,csucc_rel(M,K))
⟨proof⟩

```

23.7.1 Theorems by Krzysztof Grabczewski, proofs by lcp

```

lemma nat_sum_eqpoll_rel_sum:
  assumes m: m ∈ nat and n: n ∈ nat shows m + n ≈^M m #+ n
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Ord_nat_subset_into_Card_rel: [| Ord(i); i ⊆ nat |] ==> Card^M(i)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end
end

```

```

theory Aleph_Relative
imports
  CardinalArith_Relative
begin

definition
  HAleph ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  HAleph( $i,r$ )  $\equiv$  if( $\neg(Ord(i))$ ,  $i$ , if( $i=0$ , nat, if( $\neg Limit(i) \wedge i \neq 0$ ,
    csucc( $r(\bigcup_{j \in i} r^j)$ )))

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

definition
  Aleph' ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  Aleph'( $a$ )  $\equiv$  transrec( $a, \lambda i\ r.\ HAleph(i,r)$ )

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

The extra assumptions $a < length(env)$ and $c < length(env)$ in this schematic goal (and the following results on synthesis that depend on it) are imposed by $\llbracket \Lambda a_0\ a_1\ a_2\ a_3\ a_4\ a_5\ a_6\ a_7. [a_0 \in ?A; a_1 \in ?A; a_2 \in ?A; a_3 \in ?A; a_4 \in ?A; a_5 \in ?A; a_6 \in ?A; a_7 \in ?A] \implies ?MH(a_2, a_1, a_0) \longleftrightarrow ?A, Cons(a_0, Cons(a_1, Cons(a_2, Cons(a_3, Cons(a_4, Cons(a_5, Cons(a_6, Cons(a_7, ?env)))))))) \models ?p; nth(?i, ?env) = ?x; nth(?k, ?env) = ?z; ?i < length(?env); ?k < length(?env); ?env \in list(?A) \rrbracket \implies is_transrec(\#\#?A, ?MH, ?x, ?z) \longleftrightarrow ?A, ?env \models is_transrec_fm(?p, ?i, ?k).$.

```

schematic_goal sats_is_Aleph_fm_auto:
  a ∈ nat  $\implies$  c ∈ nat  $\implies$  env ∈ list(A)  $\implies$ 
  a < length(env)  $\implies$  c < length(env)  $\implies$  0 ∈ A  $\implies$ 
  is_Aleph(\#\#A, nth(a, env), nth(c, env))  $\longleftrightarrow$  A, env  $\models$  ?fm(a, c)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation is_Aleph_fm ($\cdot \aleph'(_) \ is \ \cdot$)

```

lemma is_Aleph_fm_type [TC]: a ∈ nat  $\implies$  c ∈ nat  $\implies$  is_Aleph_fm(a, c) ∈ formula
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sats_is_Aleph_fm:
  assumes f ∈ nat r ∈ nat env ∈ list(A) 0 ∈ A f < length(env) r < length(env)
  shows is_Aleph(\#\#A, nth(f, env), nth(r, env))  $\longleftrightarrow$  A, env  $\models$  is_Aleph_fm(f, r)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma is_Aleph_iff_sats [iff_sats]:
  assumes
    nth(f, env) = fa nth(r, env) = ra f < length(env) r < length(env)

```

$f \in \text{nat}$ $r \in \text{nat}$ $\text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$ $0 \in A$
shows $\text{is_Aleph}(\#\#A, fa, ra) \longleftrightarrow A$, $\text{env} \models \text{is_Aleph_fm}(f, r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

context $M_\text{cardinal}_\text{arith}_\text{jump}$
begin

lemma is_Limit_iff :
assumes $M(a)$
shows $\text{is_Limit}(M, a) \longleftrightarrow \text{Limit}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma $\text{HAleph_eq_Aleph_recursive}$:
 $\text{Ord}(i) \implies \text{HAleph}(i, r) = (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } \text{nat}$
 $\text{else if } \exists j. i = \text{succ}(j) \text{ then } \text{csucc}(r \uparrow (\text{THE } j. i = \text{succ}(j))) \text{ else } \bigcup_{j < i} r \uparrow j)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{Aleph}'_\text{eq}_\text{Aleph}$: $\text{Ord}(a) \implies \text{Aleph}'(a) = \text{Aleph}(a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

abbreviation

$\text{Aleph_r} :: [i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow i \langle \aleph _ \rightarrow \rangle$ **where**
 $\text{Aleph_r}(a, M) \equiv \text{Aleph_rel}(M, a)$

abbreviation

$\text{Aleph_r_set} :: [i, i] \Rightarrow i \langle \aleph _ \rightarrow \rangle$ **where**
 $\text{Aleph_r_set}(a, M) \equiv \text{Aleph_rel}(\#\#M, a)$

lemma $\text{Aleph_rel_def}'$: $\text{Aleph_rel}(M, a) \equiv \text{transrec}(a, \lambda i. r. \text{HAleph_rel}(M, i, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma succ_mem_Limit : $\text{Limit}(j) \implies i \in j \implies \text{succ}(i) \in j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale $M_\text{pre}_\text{aleph} = M_\text{eclose} + M_\text{cardinal}_\text{arith}_\text{jump} +$
assumes
 $\text{haleph_transrec_replacement}$: $M(a) \implies \text{transrec_replacement}(M, \text{is_HAleph}(M), a)$

begin

lemma aux :

assumes $M(a) M(f)$
shows $\exists x[M]. \text{is_Replace}(M, a, \lambda j. y. f \uparrow j = y, x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_HAleph_zero*:

assumes $M(f)$

shows $is_HAleph(M, 0, f, res) \longleftrightarrow res = nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_HAleph_succ*:

assumes $M(f) M(x) Ord(x) M(res)$

shows $is_HAleph(M, succ(x), f, res) \longleftrightarrow res = csucc_rel(M, f^*(\bigcup succ(x)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_HAleph_limit*:

assumes $M(f) M(x) Limit(x) M(res)$

shows $is_HAleph(M, x, f, res) \longleftrightarrow res = (\bigcup \{y . i \in x, M(i) \wedge M(y) \wedge y = f^i\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_HAleph_iff*:

assumes $M(a) M(f) M(res)$

shows $is_HAleph(M, a, f, res) \longleftrightarrow res = HAleph_rel(M, a, f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *HAleph_rel_closed* [intro, simp]:

assumes *function(f)* $M(a) M(f)$

shows $M(HAleph_rel(M, a, f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Aleph_rel_closed*[intro, simp]:

assumes $Ord(a) M(a)$

shows $M(Aleph_rel(M, a))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Aleph_rel_zero*: $\aleph_0^M = nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Aleph_rel_succ*: $Ord(\alpha) \implies M(\alpha) \implies \aleph_{succ(\alpha)}^M = (\aleph_\alpha^{M+})^M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Aleph_rel_limit*:

assumes $Limit(\alpha) M(\alpha)$

shows $\aleph_\alpha^M = \bigcup \{\aleph_j^M . j \in \alpha\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *is_Aleph_iff*:

assumes $Ord(a) M(a) M(res)$

shows $is_Aleph(M, a, res) \longleftrightarrow res = \aleph_a^M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

```

locale M_aleph = M_pre_aleph +
assumes
  aleph_rel_replacement: strong_replacement(M, λx y. Ord(x) ∧ y = ℙ_x^M)
begin

lemma Aleph_rel_cont: Limit(l) ==> M(l) ==> ℙ_l^M = (⋃ i < l. ℙ_i^M)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Ord_Aleph_rel:
  assumes Ord(a)
  shows M(a) ==> Ord(ℙ_a^M)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Card_rel_Aleph_rel [simp, intro]:
  assumes Ord(a) and types: M(a) shows Card^M(ℙ_a^M)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Aleph_rel_increasing:
  assumes ab: a < b and types: M(a) M(b)
  shows ℙ_a^M < ℙ_b^M
  ⟨proof⟩

end
end

```

24 Cohen forcing notions

```

theory Cohen_Posets
imports
  Forcing_Notions
  Names — only for SepReplace
  Recursion_Thms — only for the definition of Rrel
  Delta_System_Lemma.ZF_Library
begin

lemmas app_fun = apply_iff[THEN iffD1]

definition
  Fn :: [i,i,i] ⇒ i where
    Fn(κ,I,J) ≡ ⋃ {(d → J) .. d ∈ Pow(I), d < κ}

lemma FnI[intro]:
  assumes p : d → J d ⊆ I d < κ
  shows p ∈ Fn(κ,I,J)
  ⟨proof⟩


```

lemma $FnD[dest]$:

assumes $p \in Fn(\kappa, I, J)$

shows $\exists d. p : d \rightarrow J \wedge d \subseteq I \wedge d \prec \kappa$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Fn_is_function$: $p \in Fn(\kappa, I, J) \implies function(p)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma Fn_csucc :

assumes $Ord(\kappa)$

shows $Fn(csucc(\kappa), I, J) = \bigcup \{(d \rightarrow J) .. d \in Pow(I), d \lesssim \kappa\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Finite_imp_lesspoll_nat$:

assumes $Finite(A)$

shows $A \prec nat$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Fn_nat_eq_FiniteFun$: $Fn(nat, I, J) = I -|> J$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$FnleR :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$ (**infixl** \sqsupseteq 50) **where**

$f \supseteq g \equiv g \subseteq f$

lemma $FnleR_iff_subset$ [**iff**]: $f \supseteq g \longleftrightarrow g \subseteq f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$Fnlerel :: i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$Fnlerel(A) \equiv Rrel(\lambda x y. x \supseteq y, A)$

definition

$Fnle :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$Fnle(\kappa, I, J) \equiv Fnlerel(Fn(\kappa, I, J))$

lemma $FnleI[intro]$:

assumes $p \in Fn(\kappa, I, J)$ $q \in Fn(\kappa, I, J)$ $p \supseteq q$

shows $\langle p, q \rangle \in Fnle(\kappa, I, J)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $FnleD[dest]$:

assumes $\langle p, q \rangle \in Fnle(\kappa, I, J)$

shows $p \in Fn(\kappa, I, J)$ $q \in Fn(\kappa, I, J)$ $p \supseteq q$

$\langle proof \rangle$

locale $cohen_data =$

fixes $\kappa I J :: i$

assumes $zero_lt_kappa$: $0 < \kappa$

```

begin

lemmas zero_lesspoll_kappa = zero_lesspoll[OF zero_lt_kappa]

end

sublocale cohen_data ⊆ forcing_notion Fn(κ,I,J) Fnle(κ,I,J) 0
⟨proof⟩

```

24.1 MOVE THIS to an appropriate place

```

definition
  antichain ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
    antichain( $P, leq, A$ ) ≡  $A \subseteq P \wedge (\forall p \in A. \forall q \in A.$ 
       $p \neq q \longrightarrow \neg compat\_in(P, leq, p, q))$ 

definition
  ccc ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$  where
  ccc( $P, leq$ ) ≡  $\forall A. antichain(P, leq, A) \longrightarrow |A| \leq nat$ 

```

24.2 Combinatorial results on Cohen posets

```

context cohen_data
begin

```

```

lemma restrict_eq_imp_compat:
  assumes  $f \in Fn(nat, I, J)$   $g \in Fn(nat, I, J)$  InfCard(nat)
     $restrict(f, domain(f) \cap domain(g)) = restrict(g, domain(f) \cap domain(g))$ 
  shows  $f \cup g \in Fn(nat, I, J)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma compat_imp_Un_is_function:
  assumes  $G \subseteq Fn(\kappa, I, J) \wedge p, q \in G \implies q \in G \implies compat(p, q)$ 
  shows function( $\bigcup G$ )
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma filter_subset_notion: filter( $G$ )  $\implies G \subseteq Fn(\kappa, I, J)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Un_filter_is_function: filter( $G$ )  $\implies function(\bigcup G)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```

```

locale add_reals = cohen_data nat _ 2

```

```

end

```

25 Relativization of Finite Functions

```
theory FiniteFun_Relative
imports
  Synthetic_Definition
  Delta_System_Lemma.ZF_Library
  Discipline_Function
  Lambda_Replacement
  Cohen_Posets
```

```
begin
```

25.1 The set of finite binary sequences

notation $\text{nat}(\omega)$ — TODO: already in ZF Library

We implement the poset for adding one Cohen real, the set $2^{<\omega}$ of finite binary sequences.

definition

```
seqspace :: [i,i] ⇒ i (i <→ [100,1]100) where
   $B^{<\alpha} \equiv \bigcup_{n \in \alpha} (n \rightarrow B)$ 
```

lemma $\text{seqspaceI[intro]}: n \in \alpha \implies f: n \rightarrow B \implies f \in B^{<\alpha}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{seqspaceD[dest]}: f \in B^{<\alpha} \implies \exists n \in \alpha. f: n \rightarrow B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
locale M_seqsphere = M_tranci + M_replacement +
assumes
  seqspace_replacement:  $M(B) \implies \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda n z. n \in \text{nat} \wedge \text{is\_funspace}(M, n, B, z))$ 
begin
```

lemma $\text{seqspace_closed}:$
 $M(B) \implies M(B^{<\omega})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
end
```

schematic_goal $\text{seqspace_fm_auto}:$
assumes
 $i \in \text{nat} j \in \text{nat} h \in \text{nat} \text{ env} \in \text{list}(A)$
shows
 $(\exists om \in A. \text{omega}(\#\# A, om) \wedge \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) \in om \wedge \text{is_funspace}(\#\# A, \text{nth}(i, \text{env}),$
 $\text{nth}(h, \text{env}), \text{nth}(j, \text{env}))) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{env} \models (?sqsprr(i, j, h)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{ML} \rangle$

25.2 Representation of finite functions

A function $f \in A \rightarrow_{fin} B$ can be represented by a function $g \in |f| \rightarrow A \times B$. It is clear that f can be represented by any $g' = g \cdot \pi$, where π is a permutation $\pi \in dom(g) \rightarrow dom(g)$. We use this representation of $A \rightarrow_{fin} B$ to prove that our model is closed under $_ \rightarrow_{fin} _$.

A function $g \in n \rightarrow A \times B$ that is functional in the first components.

definition *cons_like* :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**

cons_like(f) $\equiv \forall i \in domain(f) . \forall j \in i . fst(f'i) \neq fst(f'j)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (*in M_seqspace*) *cons_like_abs*:

$M(f) \implies cons_like(f) \longleftrightarrow cons_like_rel(M, f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *FiniteFun_iso* :: $[i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**

FiniteFun_iso(A, B, n, g, f) $\equiv (\forall i \in n . g'i \in f) \wedge (\forall ab \in f. (\exists i \in n. g'i = ab))$

From a function $g \in n \rightarrow A \times B$ we obtain a finite function in $A -||> B$.

definition *to_FiniteFun* :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

to_FiniteFun(f) $\equiv \{f'i. i \in domain(f)\}$

definition *FiniteFun_Repr* :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

FiniteFun_Repr(A, B) $\equiv \{f \in (A \times B)^{<\omega} . cons_like(f)\}$

locale *M_FiniteFun* = *M_seqspace* +

assumes

cons_like_separation : *separation*($M, \lambda f. cons_like_rel(M, f)$)

and

to_finiteFun_replacement : *strong_replacement*($M, \lambda x y. y = range(x)$)

and

supset_separation : *separation*($M, \lambda x. \exists a. \exists b. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge b \subseteq a$)

begin

lemma *fun_range_eq*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies \{f'i . i \in domain(f)\} = range(f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FiniteFun_fst_type*:

assumes $h \in A -||> B$ $p \in h$

shows $fst(p) \in domain(h)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *FinFun_closed*:

$M(A) \implies M(B) \implies M(\bigcup \{n \rightarrow A \times B . n \in \omega\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cons_like_lt* :

```

assumes  $n \in \omega$   $f \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow A \times B$   $\text{cons\_like}(f)$ 
shows  $\text{restrict}(f, n) \in n \rightarrow A \times B$   $\text{cons\_like}(\text{restrict}(f, n))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

A finite function $f \in A -||> B$ can be represented by a function $g \in n \rightarrow A \times B$, with $n = |f|$.

```

lemma FiniteFun_iso_intro1:
assumes  $f \in (A -||> B)$ 
shows  $\exists n \in \omega . \exists g \in n \rightarrow A \times B . \text{FiniteFun\_iso}(A, B, n, g, f) \wedge \text{cons\_like}(g)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

All the representations of $f \in A -||> B$ are equal.

```

lemma FiniteFun_isoD :
assumes  $n \in \omega$   $g \in n \rightarrow A \times B$   $f \in A -||> B$   $\text{FiniteFun\_iso}(A, B, n, g, f)$ 
shows  $\text{to\_FiniteFun}(g) = f$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to_FiniteFun_succ_eq :
assumes  $n \in \omega$   $f \in \text{succ}(n) \rightarrow A$ 
shows  $\text{to\_FiniteFun}(f) = \text{cons}(f^{\cdot}n, \text{to\_FiniteFun}(\text{restrict}(f, n)))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

If $g \in n \rightarrow A \times B$ is *cons_like*, then it is a representation of *to_FiniteFun*(g).

```

lemma FiniteFun_iso_intro_to:
assumes  $n \in \omega$   $g \in n \rightarrow A \times B$   $\text{cons\_like}(g)$ 
shows  $\text{to\_FiniteFun}(g) \in (A -||> B) \wedge \text{FiniteFun\_iso}(A, B, n, g, \text{to\_FiniteFun}(g))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma FiniteFun_iso_intro2:
assumes  $n \in \omega$   $f \in n \rightarrow A \times B$   $\text{cons\_like}(f)$ 
shows  $\exists g \in (A -||> B) . \text{FiniteFun\_iso}(A, B, n, f, g)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma FiniteFun_eq_range_Repr :
shows  $\{\text{range}(h) . h \in \text{FiniteFun\_Repr}(A, B)\} = \{\text{to\_FiniteFun}(h) . h \in \text{FiniteFun\_Repr}(A, B)\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma FiniteFun_eq_to_FiniteFun_Repr :
shows  $A -||> B = \{\text{to\_FiniteFun}(h) . h \in \text{FiniteFun\_Repr}(A, B)\}$ 
(is ?Y=?X)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma FiniteFun_Repr_closed :
assumes  $M(A)$   $M(B)$ 
shows  $M(\text{FiniteFun\_Repr}(A, B))$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma to_FiniteFun_closed:
  assumes M(A) f ∈ A
  shows M(range(f))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma To_FiniteFun_Repr_closed :
  assumes M(A) M(B)
  shows M({range(h) . h ∈ FiniteFun_Repr(A,B) })
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma FiniteFun_closed[intro,simp] :
  assumes M(A) M(B)
  shows M(A -||> B)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Fnle_nat_closed[intro,simp]:
  assumes M(I) M(J)
  shows M(Fnle(ω,I,J))
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

26 Relative, Cardinal Arithmetic Using AC

```

theory Cardinal_AC_Relative
imports
  ZF_Miscellanea
  Interface
  CardinalArith_Relative

begin

locale M_AC =
  fixes M
  assumes
    choice_ax: choice_ax(M)

locale M_cardinal_AC = M_cardinal_arith + M_AC
begin

lemma well_ord_surj_imp_lepoll_rel:
  assumes well_ord(A,r) h ∈ surj(A,B) and
    types:M(A) M(r) M(h) M(B)
  shows B ⪯M A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma surj_imp_well_ord_M:

```

```

assumes wos:  $\text{well\_ord}(A, r)$   $r \in \text{surj}(A, B)$ 
and
  types:  $M(A)$   $M(r)$   $M(h)$   $M(B)$ 
shows  $\exists s[M]. \text{well\_ord}(B, s)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma choice_ax_well_ord:  $M(S) \implies \exists r[M]. \text{well\_ord}(S, r)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale M_Pi_assumptions_choice = M_Pi_assumptions + M_cardinal_AC +
assumes
  B_replacement:  $\text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = B(x))$ 
and
  — The next one should be derivable from (some variant) of B_replacement.
  Proving both instances each time seems inconvenient.
  minimum_replacement:  $M(r) \implies \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, \text{minimum}(r, B(x)) \rangle)$ 
begin

lemma AC_M:
assumes  $a \in A \wedge x. x \in A \implies \exists y. y \in B(x)$ 
shows  $\exists z[M]. z \in \text{Pi}^M(A, B)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma AC_Pi_rel: assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \exists y. y \in B(x)$ 
shows  $\exists z[M]. z \in \text{Pi}^M(A, B)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

```

context M_cardinal_AC
begin

```

26.1 Strengthened Forms of Existing Theorems on Cardinals

```

lemma cardinal_rel_eqpoll_rel:  $M(A) \implies |A|^M \approx^M A$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas cardinal_rel_idem = cardinal_rel_eqpoll_rel [THEN cardinal_rel_cong, simp]

```

```

lemma cardinal_rel_eqE:  $|X|^M = |Y|^M \implies M(X) \implies M(Y) \implies X \approx^M Y$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma cardinal_rel_eqpoll_rel_iff:  $M(X) \implies M(Y) \implies |X|^M = |Y|^M \longleftrightarrow X$ 

```

$\approx^M Y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_disjoint_Uln*:
 $[\| |A|^M = |B|^M; |C|^M = |D|^M; A \cap C = 0; B \cap D = 0; M(A); M(B); M(C); M(D)|]$
 $\implies |A \cup C|^M = |B \cup D|^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lepoll_rel_imp_cardinal_rel_le*: $A \lesssim^M B \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies |A|^M \leq |B|^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cadd_rel_assoc*: $\llbracket M(i); M(j); M(k) \rrbracket \implies (i \oplus^M j) \oplus^M k = i \oplus^M (j \oplus^M k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cmult_rel_assoc*: $\llbracket M(i); M(j); M(k) \rrbracket \implies (i \otimes^M j) \otimes^M k = i \otimes^M (j \otimes^M k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cadd_cmult_distrib*: $\llbracket M(i); M(j); M(k) \rrbracket \implies (i \oplus^M j) \otimes^M k = (i \otimes^M k) \oplus^M (j \otimes^M k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *InfCard_rel_square_eq*: $InfCard^M(|A|^M) \implies M(A) \implies A \times A \approx^M A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

26.2 The relationship between cardinality and le-pollence

lemma *Card_rel_le_imp_lepoll_rel*:
assumes $|A|^M \leq |B|^M$
and types: $M(A) M(B)$
shows $A \lesssim^M B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *le_Card_rel_iff*: $Card^M(K) \implies M(K) \implies M(A) \implies |A|^M \leq K \longleftrightarrow A \lesssim^M K$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_0_iff_0 [simp]*: $M(A) \implies |A|^M = 0 \longleftrightarrow A = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_lt_iff_lesspoll_rel*:
assumes $i: Ord(i)$ **and**
types: $M(i) M(A)$
shows $i < |A|^M \longleftrightarrow i \prec^M A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma cardinal_rel_le_imp_lepoll_rel:  $i \leq |A|^M \implies M(i) \implies M(A) \implies i \lesssim^M A$ 
assumes  $f: f \in \text{surj}^M(X, Y)$  and
types:  $M(f) M(X) M(Y)$ 
shows  $\exists g[M]. g \in \text{inj}^M(Y, X)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

26.3 Other Applications of AC

We have an example of instantiating a locale involving higher order variables inside a proof, by using the assumptions of the first order, active locale.

```

lemma surj_rel_implies_inj_rel:
assumes  $f: f \in \text{surj}^M(X, Y)$  and
types:  $M(f) M(X) M(Y)$ 
shows  $\exists g[M]. g \in \text{inj}^M(Y, X)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

Kunen's Lemma 10.20

```

lemma surj_rel_implies_cardinal_rel_le:
assumes  $f: f \in \text{surj}^M(X, Y)$  and
types:  $M(f) M(X) M(Y)$ 
shows  $|Y|^M \leq |X|^M$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

end

The set-theoretic universe.

```

abbreviation
  Universe ::  $i \Rightarrow o$  ( $\mathcal{V}$ ) where
     $\mathcal{V}(x) \equiv \text{True}$ 

```

```

lemma separation_absolute:  $\text{separation}(\mathcal{V}, P)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma univalent_absolute:
assumes  $\text{univalent}(\mathcal{V}, A, P) P(x, b) x \in A$ 
shows  $P(x, y) \implies y = b$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma replacement_absolute:  $\text{strong\_replacement}(\mathcal{V}, P)$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Union_ax_absolute:  $\text{Union\_ax}(\mathcal{V})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma upair_ax_absolute:  $\text{upair\_ax}(\mathcal{V})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma power_ax_absolute:  $\text{power\_ax}(\mathcal{V})$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale M_cardinal_UN = M_Pi_assumptions_choice _ K X for K X +
assumes
  — The next assumption is required by  $(\bigwedge x. \llbracket ?Q(x); Ord(x) \rrbracket \implies \exists y[M]. ?Q(y)$ 
 $\wedge Ord(y)) \implies M(\mu x. ?Q(x))$ 
  X_witness_in_M:  $w \in X(x) \implies M(x)$ 
  and
  lam_m_replacement:M(f)  $\implies$  strong_replacement(M,
     $\lambda x y. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in X(i), f`(\mu i. x \in X(i))`x \rangle$ )
  and
  inj_replacement:
   $M(x) \implies$  strong_replacement( $M, \lambda y z. y \in inj^M(X(x), K) \wedge z = \{\langle x, y \rangle\}$ )
  strong_replacement( $M, \lambda x y. y = inj^M(X(x), K)$ )
  strong_replacement( $M,$ 
     $\lambda x z. z = Sigfun(x, \lambda i. inj^M(X(i), K)))$ )
   $M(r) \implies$  strong_replacement( $M,$ 
     $\lambda x y. y = \langle x, minimum(r, inj^M(X(x), K)) \rangle$ ))

```

begin

lemma UN_closed: $M(\bigcup_{i \in K} X(i))$
<proof>

Kunen's Lemma 10.21

lemma cardinal_rel_UN_le:
assumes K: InfCard^M(K)
shows $(\bigwedge i. i \in K \implies |X(i)|^M \leq K) \implies |\bigcup_{i \in K} X(i)|^M \leq K$
<proof>

end

end

27 Library of basic ZF results

theory ZF_Library_Relative
imports
 Delta_System_Lemma.ZF_Library
 ZF_Constructible.Normal
 Aleph_Relative— must be before Cardinal_AC_Relative!
 Lambda_Replacement
 Cardinal_AC_Relative
 FiniteFun_Relative
begin

lemma (in M_cardinal_arith_jump) csucc_rel_cardinal_rel:
assumes Ord(κ) M(κ)

shows $(|\kappa|^{M+})^M = (\kappa^+)^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_cardinal_arith_jump$) *csucc_rel_le_mono*:
assumes $\kappa \leq \nu$ $M(\kappa) M(\nu)$
shows $(\kappa^+)^M \leq (\nu^+)^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_cardinal_AC$) *cardinal_rel_succ_not_0*: $|A|^M = \text{succ}(n) \implies M(A) \implies M(n) \implies A \neq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation *Finite_to_one_rel* ($\langle Finite'_{_to'}_{_one-'}(_, _) \rangle$)

abbreviation

Finite_to_one_r_set :: $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i \langle Finite'_{_to'}_{_one-'}(_, _) \rangle$ **where**
 $Finite_to_one^M(X, Y) \equiv Finite_to_one_rel(\#M, X, Y)$

locale $M_ZF_library = M_cardinal_arith + M_aleph + M_FiniteFun + M_replacement_extra$
begin

lemma *Finite_Collect_imp*: $\text{Finite}(\{x \in X . Q(x)\}) \implies \text{Finite}(\{x \in X . M(x) \wedge Q(x)\})$
(is $\text{Finite}(\text{?}A) \implies \text{Finite}(\text{?}B)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite_to_one_rell[intro]*:
assumes $f: X \rightarrow^M Y \wedge y. y \in Y \implies \text{Finite}(\{x \in X . f^{\cdot}x = y\})$
and types: $M(f) M(X) M(Y)$
shows $f \in Finite_to_one^M(X, Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite_to_one_rell'[intro]*:
assumes $f: X \rightarrow^M Y \wedge y. y \in Y \implies \text{Finite}(\{x \in X . M(x) \wedge f^{\cdot}x = y\})$
and types: $M(f) M(X) M(Y)$
shows $f \in Finite_to_one^M(X, Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Finite_to_one_reld[dest]*:
 $f \in Finite_to_one^M(X, Y) \implies f: X \rightarrow^M Y$
 $f \in Finite_to_one^M(X, Y) \implies y \in Y \implies M(Y) \implies \text{Finite}(\{x \in X . M(x) \wedge f^{\cdot}x = y\})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Diff_bij_rel*:

```

assumes  $\forall A \in F. X \subseteq A$ 
and types:  $M(F) M(X)$  shows  $(\lambda A \in F. A - X) \in bij^M(F, \{A - X. A \in F\})$ 
{proof}

lemma function_space_rel_nonempty:
assumes  $b \in B$  and types:  $M(B) M(A)$ 
shows  $(\lambda x \in A. b) : A \rightarrow^M B$ 
{proof}

lemma mem_function_space_rel:
assumes  $f \in A \rightarrow^M y$   $M(A) M(y)$ 
shows  $f \in A \rightarrow y$ 
{proof}

lemmas range_fun_rel_subset_codomain = range_fun_subset_codomain[OF mem_function_space_rel]

end

context M_Pi_assumptions
begin

lemma mem_Pi_rel:  $f \in Pi^M(A, B) \implies f \in Pi(A, B)$ 
{proof}

lemmas Pi_rel_rangeD = Pi_rangeD[OF mem_Pi_rel]

lemmas rel_apply_Pair = apply_Pair[OF mem_Pi_rel]

lemmas rel_apply_rangeI = apply_rangeI[OF mem_Pi_rel]

lemmas Pi_rel_range_eq = Pi_range_eq[OF mem_Pi_rel]

lemmas Pi_rel_vimage_subset = Pi_vimage_subset[OF mem_Pi_rel]

end

context M_ZF_library
begin

lemma mem_bij_rel:  $\llbracket f \in bij^M(A, B); M(A); M(B) \rrbracket \implies f \in bij(A, B)$ 
{proof}

lemma mem_inj_rel:  $\llbracket f \in inj^M(A, B); M(A); M(B) \rrbracket \implies f \in inj(A, B)$ 
{proof}

lemma mem_surj_rel:  $\llbracket f \in surj^M(A, B); M(A); M(B) \rrbracket \implies f \in surj(A, B)$ 
{proof}

lemmas rel_apply_in_range = apply_in_range[OF __ mem_function_space_rel]

```

```

lemmas rel_range_eq_image = ZF_Library.range_eq_image[OF mem_function_space_rel]

lemmas rel_Image_sub_codomain = Image_sub_codomain[OF mem_function_space_rel]

lemma rel_inj_to_Image:  $\llbracket f:A \rightarrow^M B; f \in \text{inj}^M(A,B); M(A); M(B) \rrbracket \implies f \in \text{inj}^M(A,f``A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma inj_rel_imp_surj_rel:
  fixes  $f b$ 
  defines [simp]:  $\text{ifx}(x) \equiv \text{if } x \in \text{range}(f) \text{ then } \text{converse}(f)`x \text{ else } b$ 
  assumes  $f \in \text{inj}^M(B,A)$   $b \in B$  and types:  $M(f)$   $M(B)$   $M(A)$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x \in A. \text{ifx}(x)) \in \text{surj}^M(A,B)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma function_space_rel_disjoint_Un:
  assumes  $f \in A \rightarrow^M B$   $g \in C \rightarrow^M D$   $A \cap C = \emptyset$ 
  and types:  $M(A)$   $M(B)$   $M(C)$   $M(D)$ 
  shows  $f \cup g \in (A \cup C) \rightarrow^M (B \cup D)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma restrict_eq_imp_Un_into_function_space_rel:
  assumes  $f \in A \rightarrow^M B$   $g \in C \rightarrow^M D$   $\text{restrict}(f, A \cap C) = \text{restrict}(g, A \cap C)$ 
  and types:  $M(A)$   $M(B)$   $M(C)$   $M(D)$ 
  shows  $f \cup g \in (A \cup C) \rightarrow^M (B \cup D)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_reld[dest]:  $A \lesssim^M B \implies \exists f[M]. f \in \text{inj}^M(A, B)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma lepoll_rell[intro]:  $f \in \text{inj}^M(A, B) \implies M(f) \implies A \lesssim^M B$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma eqpollD[dest]:  $A \approx^M B \implies \exists f[M]. f \in \text{bij}^M(A, B)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
lemma bij_rel_imp_eqpoll_rel[intro]:  $f \in \text{bij}^M(A, B) \implies M(f) \implies A \approx^M B$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma restrict_bij_rel:— Unused
  assumes  $f \in \text{inj}^M(A, B)$   $C \subseteq A$ 
  and types:  $M(A)$   $M(B)$   $M(C)$ 
  shows  $\text{restrict}(f, C) \in \text{bij}^M(C, f``C)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma range_of_subset_eqpoll_rel:
  assumes  $f \in \text{inj}^M(X, Y)$   $S \subseteq X$ 
  and types:  $M(X)$   $M(Y)$   $M(S)$ 
  shows  $S \approx^M f `` S$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

end

$\langle ML \rangle$

context $M_ZF_library$
begin

— MOVE THIS to an appropriate place

$\langle ML \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle \langle proof \rangle$

end

$\langle ML \rangle$

notation $is_cexp_fm (\langle \cdot \rangle^{\uparrow} \rightarrow is _ \cdot)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

abbreviation

$cexp_r :: [i, i, i \Rightarrow o] \Rightarrow i (\langle \cdot \rangle^{\uparrow} \rightarrow \cdot)$ **where**
 $cexp_r(x, y, M) \equiv cexp_rel(M, x, y)$

abbreviation

$cexp_r_set :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow i (\langle \cdot \rangle^{\uparrow} \rightarrow \cdot)$ **where**
 $cexp_r_set(x, y, M) \equiv cexp_rel(\#M, x, y)$

context $M_ZF_library$

begin

lemma $Card_cexp: M(\kappa) \implies M(\nu) \implies Card^M(\kappa^{\uparrow\nu, M})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare $conj_cong[cong]$

lemma $eq_csucc_rel_ord:$

$Ord(i) \implies M(i) \implies (i^+)^M = (|i|^{M+})^M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lesspoll_succ_rel:$

assumes $Ord(\kappa) M(\kappa)$

shows $\kappa \lesssim^M (\kappa^+)^M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $lesspoll_rel_csucc_rel:$

```

assumes  $Ord(\kappa)$ 
and  $types:M(\kappa) M(d)$ 
shows  $d \prec^M (\kappa^+)^M \longleftrightarrow d \lesssim^M \kappa$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Infinite_imp_nats_lepoll:
assumes  $Infinite(X)$   $n \in \omega$ 
shows  $n \lesssim X$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma nepoll_imp_nepoll_rel :
assumes  $\neg x \approx X M(x) M(X)$ 
shows  $\neg(x \approx^M X)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Infinite_imp_nats_lepoll_rel:
assumes  $Infinite(X)$   $n \in \omega$ 
and  $types: M(X)$ 
shows  $n \lesssim^M X$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lepoll_rel_imp_lepoll:  $A \lesssim^M B \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies A \lesssim B$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_lesspoll_rel: assumes  $0 < \kappa M(\kappa)$  shows  $0 \prec^M \kappa$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lepoll_rel_nat_imp_Infinite:  $\omega \lesssim^M X \implies M(X) \implies Infinite(X)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma InfCard_rel_imp_Infinite:  $InfCard^M(\kappa) \implies M(\kappa) \implies Infinite(\kappa)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma lt_surj_rel_empty_imp_Card_rel:
assumes  $Ord(\kappa) \wedge \alpha. \alpha < \kappa \implies surj^M(\alpha, \kappa) = 0$ 
and  $types:M(\kappa)$ 
shows  $Card^M(\kappa)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

⟨ML⟩

notation mono_map_rel ⟨mono'_map-'(____')⟩

abbreviation
mono_map_r_set ::  $[i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow i$  ⟨mono'_map-'(____')⟩ where
mono_map^M(a,r,b,s) ≡ mono_map_rel(#M,a,r,b,s)

```

```

context M_ZF_library
begin

lemma mono_map_rel_char:
  assumes M(a) M(b)
  shows mono_mapM(a,r,b,s) = {f ∈ mono_map(a,r,b,s) . M(f)}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Just a sample of porting results on *mono_map*

```

lemma mono_map_rel_mono:
  assumes
    f ∈ mono_mapM(A,r,B,s) B ⊆ C
    and types:M(A) M(B) M(C)
  shows
    f ∈ mono_mapM(A,r,C,s)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nats_le_InfCard_rel:
  assumes n ∈ ω InfCardM(κ)
  shows n ≤ κ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma nat_into_InfCard_rel:
  assumes n ∈ ω InfCardM(κ)
  shows n ∈ κ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Finite_cardinal_rel_in_nat [simp]:
  assumes Finite(A) M(A) shows |A|M ∈ ω
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Finite_cardinal_rel_eq_cardinal:
  assumes Finite(A) M(A) shows |A|M = |A|
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Finite_imp_cardinal_rel_cons:
  assumes FA: Finite(A) and a: a ∉ A and types:M(A) M(a)
  shows |cons(a,A)|M = succ(|A|M)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma Finite_imp_succ_cardinal_rel_Diff:
  assumes Finite(A) a ∈ A M(A)
  shows succ(|A - {a}|M) = |A|M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma InfCard_rel_Aleph_rel:
  notes Aleph_rel_zero[simp]
  assumes Ord(α)
  and types: M(α)

```

```

shows InfCardM( $\aleph_\alpha^M$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemmas Limit_Aleph_rel = InfCard_rel_Aleph_rel[THEN InfCard_rel_is_Limit]

bundle Ord_dests = Limit_is_Ord[dest] Card_rel_is_Ord[dest]
bundle Aleph_rel_dests = Aleph_rel_cont[dest]
bundle Aleph_rel_intros = Aleph_rel_increasing[intro!]
bundle Aleph_rel_mem_dests = Aleph_rel_increasing[OF ltI, THEN ltD, dest]

end

end

```

28 Cardinal Arithmetic under Choice

```

theory Replacement_Lepoll
imports
  ZF_Library_Relative
  Lambda_Replacement
begin

definition
  lepoll_assumptions1 ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    lepoll_assumptions1( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )  $\equiv \forall x \in S. \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda y z. y \in F(A, x) \wedge z = \langle x, y \rangle)$ 

definition
  lepoll_assumptions2 ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    lepoll_assumptions2( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )  $\equiv \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x z. z = \text{Sigfun}(x, F(A)))$ 

definition
  lepoll_assumptions3 ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    lepoll_assumptions3( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )  $\equiv \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = F(A, x))$ 

definition
  lepoll_assumptions4 ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    lepoll_assumptions4( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )  $\equiv \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, \text{minimum}(r, F(A, x)) \rangle)$ 

definition
  lepoll_assumptions5 ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
    lepoll_assumptions5( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )  $\equiv \text{strong\_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in F(A, i), f ` (\mu i. x \in F(A, i)) ` x \rangle)$ 

definition

```

lepoll_assumptions6 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions6(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda y z. y \in inj^M(F(A, x), S) \wedge z = \{x, y\})$

definition

lepoll_assumptions7 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions7(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda x y. y = inj^M(F(A, x), S))$

definition

lepoll_assumptions8 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions8(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda x z. z = Sigfun(x, \lambda i. inj^M(F(A, i), S)))$

definition

lepoll_assumptions9 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions9(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, minimum(r, inj^M(F(A, x), S)) \rangle)$

definition

lepoll_assumptions10 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions10(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda x z. z = Sigfun(x, \lambda k. if k \in range(f) then F(A, converse(f) ` k) else 0))$

definition

lepoll_assumptions11 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions11(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle if x \in range(f) then F(A, converse(f) ` x) else 0 \rangle)$

definition

lepoll_assumptions12 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions12(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda y z. y \in F(A, converse(f) ` x) \wedge z = \{x, y\})$

definition

lepoll_assumptions13 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions13(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, minimum(r, if x \in range(f) then F(A, converse(f) ` x) else 0) \rangle)$

definition

lepoll_assumptions14 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions14(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in (if i \in range(f) then F(A, converse(f) ` i) else 0), fa ` (\mu i. x \in (if i \in range(f) then F(A, converse(f) ` i) else 0)) ` x \rangle)$

definition

lepoll_assumptions15 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions15(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement$
 $(M, \lambda y z. y \in inj^M(\text{if } x \in range(f) \text{ then } F(A, converse(f) ' x) \text{ else } 0, K) \wedge$
 $z = \{\langle x, y \rangle\})$

definition

lepoll_assumptions16 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions16(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement(M, \lambda x y. y =$
 $inj^M(\text{if } x \in range(f) \text{ then } F(A, converse(f) ' x) \text{ else } 0, K))$

definition

lepoll_assumptions17 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions17(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement$
 $(M, \lambda x z. z = Sigfun(x, \lambda i. inj^M(\text{if } i \in range(f) \text{ then } F(A, converse(f)$
 $' i) \text{ else } 0, K)))$

definition

lepoll_assumptions18 :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, [i, i] \Rightarrow i, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $lepoll_assumptions18(M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r) \equiv strong_replacement$
 $(M, \lambda x y. y = \langle x, minimum(r, inj^M(\text{if } x \in range(f) \text{ then } F(A, converse(f)$
 $' x) \text{ else } 0, K)) \rangle)$

lemmas *lepoll_assumptions_defs[simp]* = *lepoll_assumptions1_def*
lepoll_assumptions2_def *lepoll_assumptions3_def* *lepoll_assumptions4_def*
lepoll_assumptions5_def *lepoll_assumptions6_def* *lepoll_assumptions7_def*
lepoll_assumptions8_def *lepoll_assumptions9_def* *lepoll_assumptions10_def*
lepoll_assumptions11_def *lepoll_assumptions12_def* *lepoll_assumptions13_def*
lepoll_assumptions14_def *lepoll_assumptions15_def* *lepoll_assumptions16_def*
lepoll_assumptions17_def *lepoll_assumptions18_def*

definition if_range_F where

[simp]: if_range_F(H,f,i) ≡ if i ∈ range(f) then H(converse(f) ' i) else 0

definition if_range_F_else_F where

if_range_F_else_F(H,b,f,i) ≡ if b=0 then if_range_F(H,f,i) else H(i)

lemma (in M_basic) lam_Least_assumption_general:

assumes

separations:

$\forall A'[M]. separation(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in if_range_F_else_F(F(A), b, f, i) \rangle)$
and

mem_F_bound: $\bigwedge x c. x \in F(A, c) \implies c \in range(f) \cup U(A)$

and

types: $M(A) M(b) M(f) M(U(A))$

shows *lam_replacement(M, λx . μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(F(A), b, f, i))*
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) lam_Least_assumption_ifM_b0:

```

fixes F
defines F ≡ λ_ x. if M(x) then x else 0
assumes
  separations:
  ∀ A'[M]. separation(M, λy. ∃ x∈A'. y = ⟨x, μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(F(A),0,f,i)⟩)
  and
  types:M(A) M(f)
  shows lam_replacement(M,λx . μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(F(A),0,f,i))
  (is lam_replacement(M,λx . Least(?P(x))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_replacement_extra) lam_Least_assumption_ifM_bnot0:
fixes F
defines F ≡ λ_ x. if M(x) then x else 0
assumes
  separations:
  ∀ A'[M]. separation(M, λy. ∃ x∈A'. y = ⟨x, μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(F(A),b,f,i)⟩)
  separation(M,Ord)
  and
  types:M(A) M(f)
  and
  b≠0
  shows lam_replacement(M,λx . μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(F(A),b,f,i))
  (is lam_replacement(M,λx . Least(?P(x))))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_replacement_extra) lam_Least_assumption_drSR_Y:
fixes F r' D
defines F ≡ drSR_Y(r',D)
assumes ∀ A'[M]. separation(M, λy. ∃ x∈A'. y = ⟨x, μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(F(A),b,f,i)⟩)
  M(A) M(b) M(f) M(r')
  shows lam_replacement(M,λx . μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(F(A),b,f,i))
⟨proof⟩

locale M_replacement_lepoll = M_replacement_extra + M_inj +
fixes F
assumes
  F_type[simp]: M(A) ⇒ ∀ x[M]. M(F(A,x))
  and
  lam_lepoll_assumption_F:M(A) ⇒ lam_replacement(M,F(A))
  and
  — Here b is a Boolean.
  lam_Least_assumption:M(A) ⇒ M(b) ⇒ M(f) ⇒
    lam_replacement(M,λx . μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(F(A),b,f,i))
  and
  F_args_closed: M(A) ⇒ M(x) ⇒ x ∈ F(A,i) ⇒ M(i)
  and
  lam_replacement_inj_rel:lam_replacement(M, λp. injM(fst(p),snd(p)))
begin

```

```

declare if_range_F_else_F_def[simp]

lemma lepoll_assumptions1:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(S)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions1( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
  {proof}

lemma lepoll_assumptions2:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(S)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions2( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
  {proof}

lemma lepoll_assumptions3:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions3( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
  {proof}

lemma lepoll_assumptions4:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(r)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions4( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
  {proof}

lemma lam_Least_closed :
  assumes  $M(A) M(b) M(f)$ 
  shows  $\forall x[M]. M(\mu i. x \in \text{if\_range\_} F \text{ else } F(F(A), b, f, i))$ 
{proof}

lemma lepoll_assumptions5:
  assumes
    types[simp]: $M(A) M(f)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions5( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
  {proof}

lemma lepoll_assumptions6:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(S) M(x)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions6( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
  {proof}

lemma lepoll_assumptions7:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(S) M(x)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions7( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
  {proof}

lemma lepoll_assumptions8:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(S)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions8( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
  {proof}

```

```

lemma lepoll_assumptions9:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(S) M(r)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions9( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions10:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(f)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions10( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions11:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(f)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions11( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions12:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(x) M(f)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions12( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions13:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(r) M(f)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions13( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions14:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(f) M(fa)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions14( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions15:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(x) M(f) M(K)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions15( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions16:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(f) M(K)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions16( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions17:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(f) M(K)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions17( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_assumptions18:
  assumes types[simp]: $M(A) M(K) M(f) M(r)$ 
  shows lepoll_assumptions18( $M, A, F, S, fa, K, x, f, r$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas lepoll_assumptions = lepoll_assumptions1 lepoll_assumptions2
    lepoll_assumptions3 lepoll_assumptions4 lepoll_assumptions5
    lepoll_assumptions6 lepoll_assumptions7 lepoll_assumptions8
    lepoll_assumptions9 lepoll_assumptions10 lepoll_assumptions11
    lepoll_assumptions12 lepoll_assumptions13 lepoll_assumptions14
    lepoll_assumptions15 lepoll_assumptions16
    lepoll_assumptions17 lepoll_assumptions18

end

end
theory Separation_Instances
imports
    Discipline_Function
    Forcing_Data
    FiniteFun_Relative
    Cardinal_Relative
    Replacement_Lepoll
begin

```

28.1 More Instances of Separation

The following instances are mostly the same repetitive task; and we just copied and pasted, tweaking some lemmas if needed (for example, we might have needed to use some closedness results).

```

declare Inl_iff_sats [iff_sats]
declare Inr_iff_sats [iff_sats]
⟨ML⟩

```

```

lemma iff_sym : P(x,a) ↔ a = f(x) ==> P(x,a) ↔ f(x) = a
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma subset_iff_sats [iff_sats]:
    [| nth(i,env) = x; nth(k,env) = z;
       i ∈ nat; k ∈ nat; env ∈ list(A)|]
    ==> subset(##A, x, z) ↔ sats(A, subset_fm(i,k), env)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition radd_body :: [i,i,i] ⇒ o where
    radd_body(R,S) ≡ λz. (exists x y. z = ⟨Inl(x), Inr(y)⟩) ∨
        (exists x' x. z = ⟨Inl(x'), Inl(x)⟩ ∧ ⟨x', x⟩ ∈ R) ∨
        (exists y' y. z = ⟨Inr(y'), Inr(y)⟩ ∧ ⟨y', y⟩ ∈ S)

```

```

⟨ML⟩

```

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) separation_is_radd_body:
 $(\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{is_radd_body}(\#\#M, A, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) radd_body_abs:
assumes $(\#\#M)(R)$ $(\#\#M)(S)$ $(\#\#M)(x)$
shows $\text{is_radd_body}(\#\#M, R, S, x) \longleftrightarrow \text{radd_body}(R, S, x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) separation_radd_body:
 $(\#\#M)(R) \implies (\#\#M)(S) \implies \text{separation}$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda z. (\exists x y. z = \langle \text{Inl}(x), \text{Inr}(y) \rangle) \vee$
 $(\exists x' x. z = \langle \text{Inl}(x'), \text{Inl}(x) \rangle \wedge \langle x', x \rangle \in R) \vee$
 $(\exists y' y. z = \langle \text{Inr}(y'), \text{Inr}(y) \rangle \wedge \langle y', y \rangle \in S))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition well_ord_body :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{well_ord_body}(N, A, f, r, x) \equiv x \in A \longrightarrow (\exists y[N]. \exists p[N]. \text{is_apply}(N, f, x, y) \wedge$
 $\text{pair}(N, y, x, p) \wedge p \in r)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) separation_well_ord_body:
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{well_ord_body}(\#\#M, A, f, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) separation_well_ord:
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda x. x \in A \longrightarrow (\exists y[\#\#M]. \exists p[\#\#M]. \text{is_apply}(\#\#M, f, x, y) \wedge$
 $\text{pair}(\#\#M, y, x, p) \wedge p \in r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition is_oibase_body :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_oibase_body}(N, A, r, x) \equiv x \in A \longrightarrow$
 $\neg (\exists y[N].$
 $\exists g[N].$
 $\text{ordinal}(N, y) \wedge$
 $(\exists my[N].$
 $\exists pxr[N].$
 $\text{membership}(N, y, my) \wedge$
 $\text{pred_set}(N, A, x, r, pxr) \wedge$
 $\text{order_isomorphism}(N, pxr, r, y, my, g)))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) separation_is_oibase_body:
 $(\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{is_oibase_body}(\#\#M, A, r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_oibase:

$$(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}$$

$$(\#\#M, \lambda x. x \in A \implies$$

$$\neg (\exists y[\#\#M].$$

$$\exists g[\#\#M].$$

$$\text{ordinal}(\#\#M, y) \wedge$$

$$(\exists my[\#\#M].$$

$$\exists pxr[\#\#M].$$

$$\text{membership}(\#\#M, y, my) \wedge$$

$$\text{pred_set}(\#\#M, A, x, r, pxr) \wedge$$

$$\text{order_isomorphism}(\#\#M, pxr, r, y, my, g)))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition is_oibase_equals :: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ where

$$\text{is_oibase_equals}(N, A, r, a) \equiv \exists x[N].$$

$$\exists g[N].$$

$$\exists mx[N].$$

$$\exists par[N].$$

$$\text{ordinal}(N, x) \wedge$$

$$\text{membership}(N, x, mx) \wedge$$

$$\text{pred_set}(N, A, a, r, par) \wedge \text{order_isomorphism}(N, par,$$

$$r, x, mx, g)$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_oibase_equals_aux:

$$(\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{is_oibase_equals}(\#\#M, A, r))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_oibase_equals:

$$(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}$$

$$(\#\#M, \lambda a. \exists x[\#\#M].$$

$$\exists g[\#\#M].$$

$$\exists mx[\#\#M].$$

$$\exists par[\#\#M].$$

$$\text{ordinal}(\#\#M, x) \wedge$$

$$\text{membership}(\#\#M, x, mx) \wedge$$

$$\text{pred_set}(\#\#M, A, a, r, par) \wedge \text{order_isomorphism}(\#\#M,$$

$$par, r, x, mx, g))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition id_body :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o$ where

$$\text{id_body}(A) \equiv \lambda z. \exists x \in A. z = \langle x, x \rangle$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_id_body:

$$(\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{is_id_body}(\#\#M, A))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) id_body_abs:  
assumes (##M)(A) (##M)(x)  
shows is_id_body(##M,A,x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  id_body(A,x)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_id_body:  
(##M)(A)  $\implies$  separation  
(##M,  $\lambda z. \exists x \in A. z = \langle x, x \rangle$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
definition rvimage_body :: [i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where  
rvimage_body(f,r)  $\equiv$   $\lambda z. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge \langle f' x, f' y \rangle \in r$ 
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_rvimage_body:  
(##M)(f)  $\implies$  (##M)(r)  $\implies$  separation(##M, is_rvimage_body(##M,f,r))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) rvimage_body_abs:  
assumes (##M)(R) (##M)(S) (##M)(x)  
shows is_rvimage_body(##M,R,S,x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  rvimage_body(R,S,x)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_rvimage_body:  
(##M)(f)  $\implies$  (##M)(r)  $\implies$  separation  
(##M,  $\lambda z. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge \langle f' x, f' y \rangle \in r$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
definition rmult_body :: [i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where  
rmult_body(b,d)  $\equiv$   $\lambda z. \exists x' y' x y. z = \langle \langle x', y' \rangle, x, y \rangle \wedge (\langle x', x \rangle \in b \vee x' = x \wedge \langle y', y \rangle \in d)$ 
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_rmult_body:  
(##M)(b)  $\implies$  (##M)(d)  $\implies$  separation(##M, is_rmult_body(##M,b,d))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) rmult_body_abs:  
assumes (##M)(b) (##M)(d) (##M)(x)  
shows is_rmult_body(##M,b,d,x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  rmult_body(b,d,x)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_rmult_body:
```

$(\#\#M)(b) \implies (\#\#M)(d) \implies separation$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists x' y' x y. z = \langle\langle x', y' \rangle, x, y \rangle \wedge (\langle x', x \rangle \in b \vee x' = x \wedge \langle y', y \rangle \in d))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *ord_iso_body* :: $[i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $ord_iso_body(A,r,s) \equiv \lambda f. \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle f^x, f^y \rangle \in s$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_is_ord_iso_body*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies separation(\#\#M, is_ord_iso_body(\#\#M, A, r, s))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *ord_iso_body_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s) (\#\#M)(x)$
shows $is_ord_iso_body(\#\#M, A, r, s, x) \longleftrightarrow ord_iso_body(A, r, s, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_ord_iso_body*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies (\#\#M)(s) \implies separation$
 $(\#\#M, \lambda f. \forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \langle x, y \rangle \in r \longleftrightarrow \langle f^x, f^y \rangle \in s)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_PiP_rel*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies separation(\#\#M, PiP_rel(\#\#M, A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_injP_rel*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies separation(\#\#M, injP_rel(\#\#M, A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_surjP_rel*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies separation(\#\#M, surjP_rel(\#\#M, A, B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_cons_like_rel*:
 $separation(\#\#M, cons_like_rel(\#\#M))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition supset_body ::  $[i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  supset_body  $\equiv \lambda x. \exists a. \exists b. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge b \subseteq a$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_supset_body:
  separation( $\#\#M$ , is_supset_body( $\#\#M$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) supset_body_abs:
  assumes ( $\#\#M$ )( $x$ )
  shows is_supset_body( $\#\#M, x$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  supset_body( $x$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_supset_body:
  separation( $\#\#M$ ,  $\lambda x. \exists a. \exists b. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge b \subseteq a$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_fst:
  ( $\#\#M$ )( $a$ )  $\implies$  separation( $\#\#M$ ,  $\lambda x. is\_fst(\#\#M, x, a)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_fst_equal:
  ( $\#\#M$ )( $a$ )  $\implies$  separation( $\#\#M$ ,  $\lambda x. fst(x) = a$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_apply:
  ( $\#\#M$ )( $f$ )  $\implies$  ( $\#\#M$ )( $a$ )  $\implies$  separation( $\#\#M$ ,  $\lambda x. is\_apply(\#\#M, f, x, a)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_equal_apply:
  ( $\#\#M$ )( $f$ )  $\implies$  ( $\#\#M$ )( $a$ )  $\implies$  separation( $\#\#M$ ,  $\lambda x. f^{\cdot}x = a$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition id_rel ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  id_rel( $A$ )  $\equiv \lambda z. \exists x[A]. z = \langle x, x \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_id_rel:
  separation( $\#\#M$ , is_id_rel( $\#\#M$ ))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) id_rel_abs:
  assumes ( $\#\#M$ )( $x$ )
  shows is_id_rel( $\#\#M, x$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  id_rel( $\#\#M, x$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_id_rel:
  separation( $\#\#M$ ,  $\lambda z. \exists x[\#\#M]. z = \langle x, x \rangle$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
definition sndfst_eq_fstsnd :: [i] ⇒ o where
  sndfst_eq_fstsnd ≡ λx. snd(fst(x)) = fst(snd(x))
⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_sndfst_eq_fstsnd:
  separation(##M, is_sndfst_eq_fstsnd(##M))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) sndfst_eq_fstsnd_abs:
  assumes (##M)(x)
  shows is_sndfst_eq_fstsnd(##M,x) ↔ sndfst_eq_fstsnd(x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_sndfst_eq_fstsnd:
  separation(##M, λx. snd(fst(x)) = fst(snd(x)))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition fstfst_eq_fstsnd :: [i] ⇒ o where
  fstfst_eq_fstsnd ≡ λx. fst(fst(x)) = fst(snd(x))
⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_fstfst_eq_fstsnd:
  separation(##M, is_fstfst_eq_fstsnd(##M))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) fstfst_eq_fstsnd_abs:
  assumes (##M)(x)
  shows is_fstfst_eq_fstsnd(##M,x) ↔ fstfst_eq_fstsnd(x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_fstfst_eq_fstsnd:
  separation(##M, λx. fst(fst(x)) = fst(snd(x)))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition fstfst_eq :: [i,i] ⇒ o where
  fstfst_eq(a) ≡ λx. fst(fst(x)) = a
⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_fstfst_eq:
```

$(\#\#M)(a) \implies separation(\#\#M, is_fstfst_eq(\#\#M, a))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $fstfst_eq_abs$:
assumes $(\#\#M)(a)$ $(\#\#M)(x)$
shows $is_fstfst_eq(\#\#M, a, x) \longleftrightarrow fstfst_eq(a, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $separation_fstfst_eq$:
 $(\#\#M)(a) \implies separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. fst(fst(x)) = a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $restrict_elem :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $restrict_elem(B, A) \equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, restrict(x, B) \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $separation_is_restrict_elem$:
 $(\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies separation(\#\#M, is_restrict_elem(\#\#M, B, A))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $restrict_elem_abs$:
assumes $(\#\#M)(B)$ $(\#\#M)(A)$ $(\#\#M)(x)$
shows $is_restrict_elem(\#\#M, B, A, x) \longleftrightarrow restrict_elem(B, A, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $separation_restrict_elem_aux$:
 $(\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies separation(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, restrict(x, B) \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $separation_restrict_elem$:
 $(\#\#M)(B) \implies \forall A[\#\#M]. separation(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, restrict(x, B) \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $separation_ordinal$:
 $separation(\#\#M, ordinal(\#\#M))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $separation_Ord$:
 $separation(\#\#M, Ord)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $insnd_ballPair :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $insnd_ballPair(B, A) \equiv \lambda p. \forall x \in B. x \in snd(p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall s \in fst(p). \langle s, x \rangle \in A)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_insnd_ballPair:
 $(\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{is_insnd_ballPair}(\#\#M, B, A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) insnd_ballPair_abs:
assumes $(\#\#M)(B)$ $(\#\#M)(A)$ $(\#\#M)(x)$
shows $\text{is_insnd_ballPair}(\#\#M, B, A, x) \longleftrightarrow \text{insnd_ballPair}(B, A, x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_insnd_ballPair_aux:
 $(\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda p. \forall x \in B. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall s \in \text{fst}(p). \langle s, x \rangle \in A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_insnd_ballPair:
 $(\#\#M)(B) \implies \forall A[\#\#M]. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda p. \forall x \in B. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall s \in \text{fst}(p). \langle s, x \rangle \in A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition bex_restrict_eq_dom :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
 $\text{bex_restrict_eq_dom}(B, A, x) \equiv \lambda dr. \exists r \in A . \text{restrict}(r, B) = x \wedge dr = \text{domain}(r)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_bex_restrict_eq_dom:
 $(\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(x) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \text{is_bex_restrict_eq_dom}(\#\#M, B, A, x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) bex_restrict_eq_dom_abs:
assumes $(\#\#M)(B)$ $(\#\#M)(A)$ $(\#\#M)(x)$ $(\#\#M)(dr)$
shows $\text{is_bex_restrict_eq_dom}(\#\#M, B, A, x, dr) \longleftrightarrow \text{bex_restrict_eq_dom}(B, A, x, dr)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_restrict_eq_dom_eq_aux:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies (\#\#M)(x) \implies \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda dr. \exists r \in A . \text{restrict}(r, B) = x \wedge dr = \text{domain}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_restrict_eq_dom_eq:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies \forall x[\#\#M]. \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda dr. \exists r \in A . \text{restrict}(r, B) = x \wedge dr = \text{domain}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition insnd_restrict_eq_dom :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
 $\text{insnd_restrict_eq_dom}(B, A, D) \equiv \lambda p. \forall x \in D. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow (\exists r \in A. \text{restrict}(r, B) = fst(p) \wedge x = \text{domain}(r))$

```

definition is_insnd_restrict_eq_dom :: [i=>o,i,i,i,i] => o where
  is_insnd_restrict_eq_dom(N,B,A,D,p) ≡
    ∃ c[N].
    ∃ a[N].
    (∀ x[N]. x ∈ D → x ∈ a ↔ (∃ r[N]. ∃ b[N]. (r ∈ A ∧ restriction(N,
      r, B, b)) ∧ b=c ∧ is_domain(N, r, x))) ∧
      is_snd(N, p, a) ∧ is_fst(N, p, c)

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_insnd_restrict_eq_dom:
  (##M)(B) ⇒ (##M)(A) ⇒ (##M)(D) ⇒ separation(##M, is_insnd_restrict_eq_dom(##M,B,A,D))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_basic) insnd_restrict_eq_dom_abs:
  assumes (M)(B) (M)(A) (M)(D) (M)(x)
  shows is_insnd_restrict_eq_dom(M,B,A,D,x) ↔ insnd_restrict_eq_dom(B,A,D,x)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_restrict_eq_dom_eq_pair_aux:
  (##M)(A) ⇒ (##M)(B) ⇒ (##M)(D) ⇒
  separation(##M, λp. ∀ x∈D. x ∈ snd(p) ↔ (∃ r∈A. restrict(r, B) = fst(p)
  ∧ x = domain(r)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_restrict_eq_dom_eq_pair:
  (##M)(A) ⇒ (##M)(B) ⇒
  ∀ D[##M]. separation(##M, λp. ∀ x∈D. x ∈ snd(p) ↔ (∃ r∈A. restrict(r, B)
  = fst(p) ∧ x = domain(r)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

definition ifrFb_body where
  ifrFb_body(M,b,f,x,i) ≡ x ∈
  (if b = 0 then if i ∈ range(f) then
  if M(converse(f) ‘ i) then converse(f) ‘ i else 0 else 0 else if M(i) then i else 0)

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

definition ifrangeF_body :: [i=>o,i,i,i,i] => o where
  ifrangeF_body(M,A,b,f) ≡ λy. ∃ x∈A. y = ⟨x,μ i. ifrFb_body(M,b,f,x,i)⟩

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_ifrangeF_body:

```

($\#\#M$)(A) \implies ($\#\#M$)(r) \implies ($\#\#M$)(s) \implies separation($\#\#M$, $is_ifrangeF_body(\#\#M, A, r, s)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) $is_ifrangeF_body_closed$: $M(r) \implies M(s) \implies is_ifrangeF_body(M, r, s, x, i) \implies M(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $ifrangeF_body_abs$:
assumes ($\#\#M$)(A) ($\#\#M$)(r) ($\#\#M$)(s) ($\#\#M$)(x)
shows $is_ifrangeF_body(\#\#M, A, r, s, x) \leftrightarrow ifrangeF_body(\#\#M, A, r, s, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $separation_ifrangeF_body$:
($\#\#M$)(A) \implies ($\#\#M$)(b) \implies ($\#\#M$)(f) \implies separation
 $(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in if_range_F_else_F(\lambda x. if (\#\#M)(x) then x else 0, b, f, i))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $ifrangeF_body2$ where
 $ifrangeF_body2(M, G, b, f, x, i) \equiv x \in$
 $(if b = 0 then if i \in range(f) then$
 $if M(converse(f) ` i) then G'(converse(f) ` i) else 0 else 0 else if M(i) then G`i$
 $else 0)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition $ifrangeF_body2$:: $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ where
 $ifrangeF_body2(M, A, G, b, f) \equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. ifrangeF_body2(M, G, b, f, x, i) \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $separation_is_ifrangeF_body2$:
($\#\#M$)(A) \implies ($\#\#M$)(G) \implies ($\#\#M$)(r) \implies ($\#\#M$)(s) \implies separation($\#\#M$, $is_ifrangeF_body2(\#\#M, A, G, r, s)$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) $is_ifrangeF_body2_closed$: $M(G) \implies M(r) \implies M(s) \implies is_ifrangeF_body2(M, G, r, s, x, i) \implies M(i)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $ifrangeF_body2_abs$:
assumes ($\#\#M$)(A) ($\#\#M$)(G) ($\#\#M$)(r) ($\#\#M$)(s) ($\#\#M$)(x)
shows $is_ifrangeF_body2(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x) \leftrightarrow ifrangeF_body2(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $separation_ifrangeF_body2$:
($\#\#M$)(A) \implies ($\#\#M$)(G) \implies ($\#\#M$)(b) \implies ($\#\#M$)(f) \implies

separation
 $(\#\#M,$
 $\lambda y. \exists x \in A.$
 $y =$
 $\langle x, \mu i. x \in$
 $\text{if_range_F_else_F}(\lambda a. \text{if } (\#\#M)(a) \text{ then } G \cdot a \text{ else } 0, b, f,$
 $i))\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition ifrFb_body3 **where**
 $\text{ifrFb_body3}(M, G, b, f, x, i) \equiv x \in$
 $(\text{if } b = 0 \text{ then if } i \in \text{range}(f) \text{ then}$
 $\text{if } M(\text{converse}(f) \cdot i) \text{ then } G \cdot \{\text{converse}(f) \cdot i\} \text{ else } 0 \text{ else if } M(i) \text{ then}$
 $G \cdot \{i\} \text{ else } 0)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition $\text{ifrangeF_body3} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{ifrangeF_body3}(M, A, G, b, f) \equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. \text{ifrFb_body3}(M, G, b, f, x, i) \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{separation_is_ifrangeF_body3}:$
 $(\#\#M)(A) \Rightarrow (\#\#M)(G) \Rightarrow (\#\#M)(r) \Rightarrow (\#\#M)(s) \Rightarrow \text{separation}(\#\#M,$
 $\text{is_ifrangeF_body3}(\#\#M, A, G, r, s))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) $\text{is_ifrFb_body3_closed}:$ $M(G) \Rightarrow M(r) \Rightarrow M(s) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{is_ifrFb_body3}(M, G, r, s, x) \Rightarrow M(i)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{ifrangeF_body3_abs}:$
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(G) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(s) (\#\#M)(x)$
shows $\text{is_ifrangeF_body3}(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x) \longleftrightarrow \text{ifrangeF_body3}(\#\#M, A, G, r, s, x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{separation_ifrangeF_body3}:$
 $(\#\#M)(A) \Rightarrow (\#\#M)(G) \Rightarrow (\#\#M)(b) \Rightarrow (\#\#M)(f) \Rightarrow$
 separation
 $(\#\#M,$
 $\lambda y. \exists x \in A.$
 $y =$
 $\langle x, \mu i. x \in$
 $\text{if_range_F_else_F}(\lambda a. \text{if } (\#\#M)(a) \text{ then } G \cdot \{a\} \text{ else } 0, b,$
 $f, i)\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
definition ifrFb_body4 where
  ifrFb_body4(G,b,f,x,i) ≡ x ∈
  (if b = 0 then if i ∈ range(f) then G‘(converse(f) ‘ i) else 0 else G‘i)
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
definition ifrangeF_body4 :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  ifrangeF_body4(M,A,G,b,f) ≡ λy. ∃x∈A. y = ⟨x,μ i. ifrFb_body4(G,b,f,x,i)⟩
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_ifrangeF_body4:
  (##M)(A) ⇒ (##M)(G) ⇒ (##M)(r) ⇒ (##M)(s) ⇒ separation(##M,
  is_ifrangeF_body4(##M,A,G,r,s))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_basic) is_ifrFb_body4_closed: M(G) ⇒ M(r) ⇒ M(s) ⇒
  is_ifrFb_body4(M, G, r, s, x, i) ⇒ M(i)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) ifrangeF_body4_abs:
  assumes (##M)(A) (##M)(G) (##M)(r) (##M)(s) (##M)(x)
  shows is_ifrangeF_body4(##M,A,G,r,s,x) ↔ ifrangeF_body4(##M,A,G,r,s,x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_ifrangeF_body4:
  (##M)(A) ⇒ (##M)(G) ⇒ (##M)(b) ⇒ (##M)(f) ⇒
  separation(##M, λy. ∃x∈A. y = ⟨x, μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F((‘)(G),
  b, f, i)⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition ifrFb_body5 where
  ifrFb_body5(G,b,f,x,i) ≡ x ∈
  (if b = 0 then if i ∈ range(f) then {xa ∈ G . converse(f) ‘ i ∈ xa} else 0 else {xa
  ∈ G . i ∈ xa})
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
definition ifrangeF_body5 :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  ifrangeF_body5(M,A,G,b,f) ≡ λy. ∃x∈A. y = ⟨x,μ i. ifrFb_body5(G,b,f,x,i)⟩
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_ifrangeF_body5:
  (##M)(A) ⇒ (##M)(G) ⇒ (##M)(r) ⇒ (##M)(s) ⇒ separation(##M,
```

```

is_ifrangeF_body5(##M,A,G,r,s))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) is_ifrFb_body5_closed: M(G) ==> M(r) ==> M(s) ==>
is_ifrFb_body5(M, G, r, s, x, i) ==> M(i)
⟨proof⟩

definition toplevel6_body :: [i,i] ⇒ o where
toplevel6_body(R) ≡ λx. domain(x) = R

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_toplevel6_body:
(##M)(A) ==> separation(##M, is_toplevel6_body(##M,A))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) toplevel6_body_abs:
assumes (##M)(R) (##M)(x)
shows is_toplevel6_body(##M,R,x) ↔ toplevel6_body(R,x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_toplevel6_body:
(##M)(R) ==> separation
(##M, λx. domain(x) = R)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) ifrangeF_body5_abs:
assumes (##M)(A) (##M)(G) (##M)(r) (##M)(s) (##M)(x)
shows is_ifrangeF_body5(##M,A,G,r,s,x) ↔ ifrangeF_body5(##M,A,G,r,s,x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_ifrangeF_body5:
(##M)(A) ==> (##M)(G) ==> (##M)(b) ==> (##M)(f) ==>
separation(##M, λy. ∃x∈A. y = ⟨x, μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(λx. {xa
∈ G . x ∈ xa}, b, f, i)⟩)
⟨proof⟩

definition ifrFb_body6 where
ifrFb_body6(G,b,f,x,i) ≡ x ∈
(if b = 0 then if i ∈ range(f) then {p∈G . domain(p) = converse(f) ` i} else 0
else {p∈G . domain(p) = i})

⟨ML⟩

definition ifrangeF_body6 :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
ifrangeF_body6(M,A,G,b,f) ≡ λy. ∃x∈A. y = ⟨x,μ i. ifrFb_body6(G,b,f,x,i)⟩

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_ifrangeF_body6:
  (<##M)(A) ==> (<##M)(G) ==> (<##M)(r) ==> (<##M)(s) ==> separation(<##M,
  is_ifrangeF_body6(<##M,A,G,r,s))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) ifrFb_body6_closed: M(G) ==> M(r) ==> M(s) ==> ifrFb_body6(G,
  r, s, x, i) ←→ M(i) ∧ ifrFb_body6(G, r, s, x, i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) is_ifrFb_body6_closed: M(G) ==> M(r) ==> M(s) ==>
  is_ifrFb_body6(M, G, r, s, x, i) ==> M(i)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas (in M_ZF_trans) a = separation_toplevel6_body
  separation_cong[OF eq_commute, THEN iffD1, OF separation_toplevel6_body]

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) ifrangeF_body6_abs:
  assumes (<##M)(A) (<##M)(G) (<##M)(r) (<##M)(s) (<##M)(x)
  shows is_ifrangeF_body6(<##M,A,G,r,s,x) ←→ ifrangeF_body6(<##M,A,G,r,s,x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_ifrangeF_body6:
  (<##M)(A) ==> (<##M)(G) ==> (<##M)(b) ==> (<##M)(f) ==>
    separation(<##M,
    λy. ∃x∈A. y = ⟨x, μ i. x ∈ if_range_F_else_F(λa. {p ∈ G . domain(p) =
    a}, b, f, i))⟩
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition ifrFb_body7 where
  ifrFb_body7(B,D,A,b,f,x,i) ≡ x ∈
  (if b = 0 then if i ∈ range(f) then
   {d ∈ D . ∃r∈A. restrict(r, B) = converse(f) ‘i ∧ d = domain(r)} else 0
   else {d ∈ D . ∃r∈A. restrict(r, B) = i ∧ d = domain(r)})

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

definition ifrangeF_body7 :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  ifrangeF_body7(M,A,B,D,G,b,f) ≡ λy. ∃x∈A. y = ⟨x,μ i. ifrFb_body7(B,D,G,b,f,x,i)⟩

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_ifrangeF_body7:
  (<##M)(A) ==> (<##M)(B) ==> (<##M)(D) ==> (<##M)(G) ==> (<##M)(r)
  ==> (<##M)(s) ==> separation(<##M, is_ifrangeF_body7(<##M,A,B,D,G,r,s)))

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) ifrFb_body7_closed: M(B)  $\implies$  M(D)  $\implies$  M(G)  $\implies$  M(r)  

 $\implies M(s) \implies$   

ifrFb_body7(B,D,G, r, s, x, i)  $\longleftrightarrow$  M(i)  $\wedge$  ifrFb_body7(B,D,G, r, s, x, i)  

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) is_ifrFb_body7_closed: M(B)  $\implies$  M(D)  $\implies$  M(G)  $\implies$   

M(r)  $\implies M(s) \implies$   

is_ifrFb_body7(M, B,D,G, r, s, x, i)  $\implies M(i)$   

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) ifrangeF_body7_abs:  

assumes ( $\#M$ )(A) ( $\#M$ )(B) ( $\#M$ )(D) ( $\#M$ )(G) ( $\#M$ )(r) ( $\#M$ )(s)  

( $\#M$ )(x)  

shows is_ifrangeF_body7( $\#M, A, B, D, G, r, s, x$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ifrangeF_body7( $\#M, A, B, D, G, r, s, x$ )  

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_ifrangeF_body7:  

( $\#M$ )(A)  $\implies$  ( $\#M$ )(B)  $\implies$  ( $\#M$ )(D)  $\implies$  ( $\#M$ )(G)  $\implies$  ( $\#M$ )(b)  $\implies$   

( $\#M$ )(f)  $\implies$   

separation( $\#M$ ,  

 $\lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in \text{if\_range\_F\_else\_F}(\text{drSR\_Y}(B, D, G), b, f, i) \rangle$   

⟨proof⟩

end

theory Replacement_Instances
imports
Discipline_Function
Forcing_Data
Aleph_Relative
FiniteFun_Relative
Cardinal_Relative
Separation_Instances

begin

```

28.2 More Instances of Replacement

This is the same way that we used for instances of separation.

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_range:  

strong_replacement( $\#M, \lambda f y. \text{is\_range}(\#M, f, y)$ )  

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_range:  

strong_replacement( $\#M, \lambda f y. y = \text{range}(f)$ )  

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_domain:  

strong_replacement( $\#M, \lambda f y. \text{is\_domain}(\#M, f, y)$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_domain:  
strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda f y. y = domain(f)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Alternatively, we can use closure under lambda and get the stronger version.

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_domain : lam_replacement(##M,  
domain)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Then we recover the original version. Notice that we need closure because we haven't yet interpreted $M_{replacement}$.

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_domain':  
strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda f y. y = domain(f)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_fst : lam_replacement(##M, fst)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_fst':  
strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda f y. y = fst(f)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_domain1 : lam_replacement(##M,  
domain)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_snd : lam_replacement(##M, snd)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_snd':  
strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda f y. y = snd(f)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_Union : lam_replacement(##M, Union)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_Union':  
strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda f y. y = Union(f)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_Un:  
lam_replacement(##M,  $\lambda p. fst(p) \cup snd(p)$ )  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_image:
lam_replacement(##M, λp. fst(p) “ snd(p))
{proof}

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_Diff:
lam_replacement(##M, λp. fst(p) - snd(p))
{proof}

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) minimum_closed:
assumes $B \in M$
shows $\text{minimum}(r, B) \in M$
{proof}

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition is_minimum' **where**
 $\text{is_minimum}'(M, R, X, u) \equiv (M(u) \wedge u \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \rightarrow v \neq u \rightarrow a \in R) \wedge \text{pair}(M, u, v, a))) \wedge$
 $(\exists x[M]. (M(x) \wedge x \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \rightarrow v \neq x \rightarrow a \in R) \wedge \text{pair}(M, x, v, a))) \wedge$
 $(\forall y[M]. M(y) \wedge y \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \rightarrow v \neq y \rightarrow a \in R) \wedge \text{pair}(M, y, v, a)) \rightarrow y = x)) \vee$
 $\neg (\exists x[M]. (M(x) \wedge x \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \rightarrow v \neq x \rightarrow a \in R) \wedge \text{pair}(M, x, v, a))) \wedge$
 $(\forall y[M]. M(y) \wedge y \in X \wedge (\forall v[M]. \exists a[M]. (v \in X \rightarrow v \neq y \rightarrow a \in R) \wedge \text{pair}(M, y, v, a)) \rightarrow y = x)) \wedge$
 $\text{empty}(M, u)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma is_minimum_eq :
 $M(R) \implies M(X) \implies M(u) \implies \text{is_minimum}(M, R, X, u) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_minimum}'(M, R, X, u)$
{proof}

context M_trivial
begin

lemma first_closed:
 $M(B) \implies M(r) \implies \text{first}(u, r, B) \implies M(u)$
{proof}

$\langle ML \rangle$
{proof}

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_minimum:
  lam_replacement(##M, λp. minimum(fst(p), snd(p)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_Upair:
  lam_replacement(##M, λp. Upair(fst(p), snd(p)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_cartprod:
  lam_replacement(##M, λp. fst(p) × snd(p))
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_vimage:
  lam_replacement(##M, λp. fst(p) -“ snd(p))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition is_omega_funspace :: [i⇒o,i,i,i]⇒o where
  is_omega_funspace(N,B,n,z) ≡ ∃ o[N]. omega(N,o) ∧ n∈o ∧ is_funspace(N, n,
  B, z)

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) omega_funspace_abs:
  B∈M ⇒ n∈M ⇒ z∈M ⇒ is_omega_funspace(##M,B,n,z) ↔ n∈ω ∧
  is_funspace(##M,n,B,z)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_omega_funspace:
  B∈M ⇒ strong_replacement(##M, is_omega_funspace(##M,B))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_omega_funspace:
  b∈M ⇒ strong_replacement(##M, λn z. n∈ω ∧ is_funspace(##M,n,b,z))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition HAleph_wfrec_repl_body where
  HAleph_wfrec_repl_body(N,mesa,x,z) ≡ ∃ y[N].
    pair(N, x, y, z) ∧
    (∃ f[N].
      (∀ z[N].
        z ∈ f ↔

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\exists xa[N]. \\
& \quad \exists y[N]. \\
& \quad \exists xaa[N]. \\
& \quad \exists sx[N]. \\
& \quad \exists r_{sx}[N]. \\
& \quad \exists f_{r_{sx}}[N]. \\
& \quad \quad pair(N, xa, y, z) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad pair(N, xa, x, xaa) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad upair(N, xa, x, sx) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad pre_image(N, mesa, sx, r_{sx}) \wedge restriction(N, \\
& f, r_{sx}, f_{r_{sx}}) \wedge xaa \in mesa \wedge is_HAleph(N, xa, f_{r_{sx}}, y))) \wedge \\
& is_HAleph(N, x, f, y))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $arity_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body: arity(HAleph_wfrec_repl_body_fm(2,0,1))$

$= 3$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $replacement_HAleph_wfrec_repl_body:$

$B \in M \implies strong_replacement(\#\#M, HAleph_wfrec_repl_body(\#\#M, B))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $HAleph_wfrec_repl:$

$(\#\#M)(sa) \implies$

$(\#\#M)(esa) \implies$

$(\#\#M)(mesa) \implies$

$strong_replacement$

$(\#\#M,$

$\lambda x z. \exists y[\#\#M].$

$pair(\#\#M, x, y, z) \wedge$

$(\exists f[\#\#M].$

$(\forall z[\#\#M].$

$z \in f \longleftrightarrow$

$(\exists xa[\#\#M].$

$\exists y[\#\#M].$

$\exists xaa[\#\#M].$

$\exists sx[\#\#M].$

$\exists r_{sx}[\#\#M].$

$\exists f_{r_{sx}}[\#\#M].$

$pair(\#\#M, xa, y, z) \wedge$

$pair(\#\#M, xa, x, xaa) \wedge$

$upair(\#\#M, xa, x, sx) \wedge$

$pre_image(\#\#M, mesa, sx, r_{sx}) \wedge$

$restriction(\#\#M, f, r_{sx}, f_{r_{sx}}) \wedge xaa \in mesa \wedge is_HAleph(\#\#M, xa, f_{r_{sx}}, y))) \wedge$

$is_HAleph(\#\#M, x, f, y))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

definition fst2_snd2
  where fst2_snd2(x) ≡ ⟨fst(fst(x)), snd(snd(x))⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_trivial) fst2_snd2_abs:
  assumes M(x) M(res)
  shows is_fst2_snd2(M, x, res) ↔ res = fst2_snd2(x)
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_fst2_snd2:
  strong_replacement(##M, is_fst2_snd2(##M))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_fst2_snd2: strong_replacement(##M, λx
y. y = ⟨fst(fst(x)), snd(snd(x))⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition fst2_sndfst_snd2
  where fst2_sndfst_snd2(x) ≡ ⟨fst(fst(x)), snd(fst(x)), snd(snd(x))⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_trivial) fst2_sndfst_snd2_abs:
  assumes M(x) M(res)
  shows is_fst2_sndfst_snd2(M, x, res) ↔ res = fst2_sndfst_snd2(x)
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_fst2_sndfst_snd2:
  strong_replacement(##M, is_fst2_sndfst_snd2(##M))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_fst2_sndfst_snd2:
  strong_replacement(##M, λx y. y = ⟨fst(fst(x)), snd(fst(x)), snd(snd(x))⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas (in M_ZF_trans) M_replacement_ZF_instances = lam_replacement_domain
  lam_replacement_fst lam_replacement_snd lam_replacement_Union
  lam_replacement_Upair lam_replacement_image
  lam_replacement_Diff lam_replacement_vimage
  separation_fst_equal separation_id_rel[simplified]
  separation_equal_apply separation_sndfst_eq_fstsnd
  separation_fstfst_eq_fstsnd separation_fstfst_eq

```

```

separation_restrict_elem
replacement_fst2_snd2 replacement_fst2_sndfst_snd2

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_replacement ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

definition RepFun_body :: i ⇒ i ⇒ iwhere
  RepFun_body(u,v) ≡ {⟨v, x⟩} . x ∈ u

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_trivial) RepFun_body_abs:
  assumes M(u) M(v) M(res)
  shows is_RepFun_body(M, u, v, res) ←→ res = RepFun_body(u,v)
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
lemma arity_body_repfun:
  arity( ·(· $\exists$ ·0 = 0··) ∧ ·(· $\exists$ ·0 = 0··) ∧ (· $\exists$ ·cons_fm(0, 3, 2) ∧ pair_fm(5, 1, 0)
  ···) = 5
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_RepFun: arity(is_RepFun_body_fm(0, 1, 2)) = 3
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) RepFun_SigFun_closed: x ∈ M ⇒ z ∈ M ⇒ {{⟨z,
x⟩} . x ∈ x} ∈ M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_RepFun_body:
  lam_replacement##M, λp . {{⟨snd(p), x⟩} . x ∈ fst(p) }
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_replacement_extra ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_Perm ##M
  ⟨proof⟩

definition order_eq_map where
  order_eq_map(M,A,r,a,z) ≡ ∃x[M]. ∃g[M]. ∃mx[M]. ∃par[M].
    ordinal(M,x) & pair(M,a,x,z) & membership(M,x,rx) &
    pred_set(M,A,a,r,par) & order_isomorphism(M,par,r,x,rx,g)

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_order_eq_map:
  A ∈ M ⇒ r ∈ M ⇒ strong_replacement##M, order_eq_map##M, A, r)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

definition banach_body_iterates where
  banach_body_iterates( $M, X, Y, f, g, W, n, x, z$ )  $\equiv$ 
     $\exists y[M].$ 
      pair( $M, x, y, z$ )  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\exists fa[M].$ 
       ( $\forall z[M].$ 
         $z \in fa \longleftrightarrow$ 
        ( $\exists xa[M].$ 
          $\exists y[M].$ 
          $\exists xaa[M].$ 
          $\exists sx[M].$ 
          $\exists r_{sx}[M].$ 
          $\exists f_{r_{sx}}[M]. \exists sn[M]. \exists msn[M]. successor(M, n, sn)$ 
         $\wedge$ 
        membership( $M, sn, msn$ )  $\wedge$ 
        pair( $M, xa, y, z$ )  $\wedge$ 
        pair( $M, xa, x, xaa$ )  $\wedge$ 
        upair( $M, xa, x, sx$ )  $\wedge$ 
        pre_image( $M, msn, sx, r_{sx}$ )  $\wedge$ 
        restriction( $M, fa, r_{sx}, f_{r_{sx}}$ )  $\wedge$ 
         $xaa \in msn \wedge$ 
        ( $empty(M, xa) \longrightarrow y = W$ )  $\wedge$ 
        ( $\forall m[M].$ 
         successor( $M, m, xa$ )  $\longrightarrow$ 
         ( $\exists gm[M].$ 
          is_apply( $M, f_{r_{sx}}, m, gm$ )  $\wedge$ 
          is_banach_functor( $M, X, Y, f, g, gm, y$ )))  $\wedge$ 
          ( $is\_quasinat(M, xa) \vee empty(M, y)$ )))  $\wedge$ 
          ( $empty(M, x) \longrightarrow y = W$ )  $\wedge$ 
          ( $\forall m[M].$ 
           successor( $M, m, x$ )  $\longrightarrow$ 
           ( $\exists gm[M].$ 
            is_apply( $M, fa, m, gm$ )  $\wedge$ 
            is_banach_functor( $M, X, Y, f, g, gm, y$ )))  $\wedge$ 
            ( $is\_quasinat(M, x) \vee empty(M, y)$ ))

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma (in  $M\_ZF\_trans$ ) banach_iterates:
  assumes  $X \in M$   $Y \in M$   $f \in M$   $g \in M$   $W \in M$ 
  shows iterates_replacement( $\#M$ , is_banach_functor( $\#M, X, Y, f, g$ ),  $W$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

definition banach_is_iterates_body where
  banach_is_iterates_body( $M, X, Y, f, g, W, n, y$ )  $\equiv$   $\exists om[M]. omega(M, om) \wedge n \in$ 

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& om \wedge \\
& (\exists sn[M]. \\
& \quad \exists msn[M]. \\
& \quad \text{successor}(M, n, sn) \wedge \\
& \quad \text{membership}(M, sn, msn) \wedge \\
& \quad (\exists fa[M]. \\
& \quad \quad (\forall z[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad z \in fa \longleftrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad (\exists x[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists y[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists xa[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists sx[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists r_{sx}[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \exists f_{r_{sx}}[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{pair}(M, x, n, xa) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{upair}(M, x, x, sx) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{pre_image}(M, msn, sx, r_{sx}) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{restriction}(M, fa, r_{sx}, f_{r_{sx}}) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad xa \in msn \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{empty}(M, x) \longrightarrow y = W) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\forall m[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{successor}(M, m, x) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\exists gm[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{fun_apply}(M, f_{r_{sx}}, m, gm) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{is_banach_functor}(M, X, Y, f, g, gm, y))) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{is_quasinat}(M, x) \vee \text{empty}(M, y))) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{empty}(M, n) \longrightarrow y = W) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\forall m[M]. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{successor}(M, m, n) \longrightarrow \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\exists gm[M]. \text{fun_apply}(M, fa, m, gm) \wedge \text{is_banach_functor}(M, \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad X, Y, f, g, gm, y))) \wedge \\
& \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad (\text{is_quasinat}(M, n) \vee \text{empty}(M, y)))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) banach_replacement_iterates:
  assumes X ∈ M Y ∈ M f ∈ M g ∈ M W ∈ M
  shows strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ ω ∧ is_iterates(##M, is_banach_functor(##M, X,
Y, f, g), W, n, y))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) banach_replacement:
  assumes (##M)(X) (##M)(Y) (##M)(f) (##M)(g)
  shows strong_replacement(##M, λn y. n ∈ nat ∧ y = banach_functor(X, Y, f,
g) ^ n (0))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma (in M_{ZF_trans}) $lam_replacement_cardinal : lam_replacement(\#\#M,$
 $cardinal_rel(\#\#M))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $trans_apply_image$ **where**
 $trans_apply_image(f) \equiv \lambda a. g. f `` (g `` a)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

schematic_goal $arity_is_recfun_fm[arity]$:
 $p \in formula \implies a \in \omega \implies z \in \omega \implies r \in \omega \implies arity(is_recfun_fm(p, a, z, r)) = ?ar$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

schematic_goal $arity_is_wfreq_fm[arity]$:
 $p \in formula \implies a \in \omega \implies z \in \omega \implies r \in \omega \implies arity(is_wfreq_fm(p, a, z, r)) = ?ar$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
schematic_goal $arity_is_transrec_fm[arity]$:
 $p \in formula \implies a \in \omega \implies z \in \omega \implies arity(is_transrec_fm(p, a, z)) = ?ar$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_{basic}) $rel2_trans_apply$:
 $M(f) \implies relation2(M, is_trans_apply_image(M, f), trans_apply_image(f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{basic}) $apply_image_closed$:
shows $M(f) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. function(g) \longrightarrow M(trans_apply_image(f, x, g))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_{basic}) $apply_image_closed'$:
shows $M(f) \implies \forall x[M]. \forall g[M]. M(trans_apply_image(f, x, g))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $transrec_apply_image_body$ **where**
 $transrec_apply_image_body(M, f, mesa, x, z) \equiv \exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, z) \wedge$
 $(\exists fa[M].$
 $(\forall z[M].$
 $z \in fa \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists xa[M].$
 $\exists y[M].$
 $\exists xaa[M].$
 $\exists sx[M].$
 $\exists r_sx[M].$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists f_r_sx[M]. \\
& \quad pair(M, xa, y, z) \wedge \\
& \quad pair(M, xa, x, xaa) \wedge \\
& \quad upair(M, xa, xa, sx) \wedge \\
& \quad pre_image(M, mesa, sx, r_sx) \wedge \\
& \quad restriction(M, fa, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge \\
& \quad xaa \in mesa \wedge is_trans_apply_image(M, \\
& \quad f, xa, f_r_sx, y))) \wedge \\
& \quad is_trans_apply_image(M, f, x, fa, y))
\end{aligned}$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_transrec_apply_image_body :
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(mesa) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{transrec_apply_image_body}(\#\#M, f, mesa))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) transrec_replacement_apply_image:
assumes $(\#\#M)(f)$ $(\#\#M)(\alpha)$
shows $\text{transrec_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_trans_apply_image}(\#\#M, f), \alpha)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) rec_trans_apply_image_abs:
assumes $(\#\#M)(f)$ $(\#\#M)(x)$ $(\#\#M)(y)$ $\text{Ord}(x)$
shows $\text{is_transrec}(\#\#M, \text{is_trans_apply_image}(\#\#M, f), x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{transrec}(x, \text{trans_apply_image}(f))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition is_trans_apply_image_body where
 $\text{is_trans_apply_image_body}(M, f, \beta, a, w) \equiv \exists z[M]. \text{pair}(M, a, z, w) \wedge a \in \beta \wedge (\exists sa[M].$
 $\exists esa[M].$
 $\exists mesa[M].$
 $\quad upair(M, a, a, sa) \wedge$
 $\quad is_eclose(M, sa, esa) \wedge$
 $\quad membership(M, esa, mesa) \wedge$
 $\quad (\exists fa[M].$
 $\quad (\forall z[M].$
 $\quad z \in fa \longleftrightarrow$
 $\quad (\exists x[M].$
 $\quad \exists y[M].$
 $\quad \exists xa[M].$
 $\quad \exists sx[M].$
 $\quad \exists r_sx[M].$
 $\quad \exists f_r_sx[M].$
 $\quad pair(M, x, y, z) \wedge$
 $\quad pair(M, x, a, xa) \wedge$
 $\quad upair(M, x, x, sx) \wedge$
 $\quad pre_image(M, mesa, sx, r_sx) \wedge$
 $\quad restriction(M, fa, r_sx, f_r_sx) \wedge$
 $\quad xa \in mesa \wedge is_trans_apply_image(M, f,$
 $x, f_r_sx, y))) \wedge$

```

is_trans_apply_image(M, f, a, fa, z)))
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_trans_apply_image:
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(\beta) \implies \text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x z .$
 $\exists y[\#\#M]. \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, z) \wedge x \in \beta \wedge (\text{is_transrec}(\#\#M, \text{is_trans_apply_image}(\#\#M, f), x, y)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) trans_apply_abs:
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(\beta) \implies \text{Ord}(\beta) \implies (\#\#M)(x) \implies (\#\#M)(z) \implies$
 $(x \in \beta \wedge z = \langle x, \text{transrec}(x, \lambda a. f ` (g `` a)) \rangle) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists y[\#\#M]. \text{pair}(\#\#M, x, y, z) \wedge x \in \beta \wedge (\text{is_transrec}(\#\#M, \text{is_trans_apply_image}(\#\#M, f), x, y)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_trans_apply_image:
 $(\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(\beta) \implies \text{Ord}(\beta) \implies$
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. x \in \beta \wedge y = \langle x, \text{transrec}(x, \lambda a. f ` (g `` a)) \rangle)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition abs_apply_pair where
 $\text{abs_apply_pair}(A, f, x) \equiv \langle x, \lambda n \in A. f ` \langle x, n \rangle \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) abs_apply_pair_rel:
assumes $M(A) M(f) M(x)$
shows $\text{Relation1}(M, A, \lambda n. a. \exists b[M]. \text{is_apply}(M, f, b, a) \wedge \text{pair}(M, x, n, b), \lambda n.$
 $f ` \langle x, n \rangle)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) abs_apply_pair_abs:
assumes $M(A) M(f) M(x) M(res)$
shows $\text{is_abs_apply_pair}(M, A, f, x, res) \longleftrightarrow res = \text{abs_apply_pair}(A, f, x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma arity_is_abs_aux: $\text{arity}((\exists \dots \gamma^0 \text{ is } 1 \cdot \wedge \text{pair_fm}(5, 2, 0) \dots)) = 7$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma arity_is_abs_apply_pair_fm :
shows $\text{arity}(\text{is_abs_apply_pair_fm}(3, 2, 0, 1)) = 4$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_abs_apply_pair:
  assumes A ∈ M f ∈ M
  shows strong_replacement(##M, is_abs_apply_pair(##M, A, f))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_abs_apply_pair:
  (##M)(A) ⟹ (##M)(f) ⟹ strong_replacement(##M, λx y. y = ⟨x, λn ∈ A.
  f ` ⟨x, n⟩⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

29 Separative notions and proper extensions

```

theory Proper_Extension
imports
  Names

```

```
begin
```

The key ingredient to obtain a proper extension is to have a *separative preorder*:

```

locale separative_notion = forcing_notion +
  assumes separative: p ∈ P ⟹ ∃q ∈ P. ∃r ∈ P. q ⊣ p ∧ r ⊣ p ∧ q ⊥ r
begin

```

For separative preorders, the complement of every filter is dense. Hence an M -generic filter can't belong to the ground model.

```

lemma filter_complement_dense:
  assumes filter(G) shows dense(P - G)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```

locale ctm_separative = forcing_data + separative_notion
begin

```

```

lemma generic_not_in_M: assumes M_generic(G) shows G ∉ M
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem proper_extension: assumes M_generic(G) shows M ≠ M[G]
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

30 A poset of successions

```

theory Succession_Poset
imports
  Replacement_Instances
  Proper_Extension
  FiniteFun_Relative

begin

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_seqspace ## M
  ⟨proof⟩

definition seq_upd :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i where
  seq_upd(f,a) ≡ λ j ∈ succ(domain(f)) . if j < domain(f) then f`j else a

lemma seq_upd_succ_type :
  assumes n ∈ nat f ∈ n → A a ∈ A
  shows seq_upd(f,a) ∈ succ(n) → A
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma seq_upd_type :
  assumes f ∈ A^{<ω} a ∈ A
  shows seq_upd(f,a) ∈ A^{<ω}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma seq_upd_apply_domain [simp]:
  assumes f : n → A n ∈ nat
  shows seq_upd(f,a)`n = a
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_in_seqspace :
  shows 0 ∈ A^{<ω}
  ⟨proof⟩

definition seqleR :: i ⇒ i ⇒ o where
  seqleR(f,g) ≡ g ⊆ f

definition seqlerel :: i ⇒ i where
  seqlerel(A) ≡ Rrel(λx y. y ⊆ x, A^{<ω})

definition seqle :: i where
  seqle ≡ seqlerel(2)

lemma seqleI[intro!]:
  ⟨f,g⟩ ∈ 2^{<ω} × 2^{<ω} ⇒ g ⊆ f ⇒ ⟨f,g⟩ ∈ seqle

```

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma seqleD[dest!]:

$$z \in \text{seqle} \implies \exists x y. \langle x,y \rangle \in 2^{<\omega} \times 2^{<\omega} \wedge y \subseteq x \wedge z = \langle x,y \rangle$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma upd_leI :
assumes  $f \in 2^{<\omega}$   $a \in 2$ 
shows  $\langle \text{seq\_upd}(f,a), f \rangle \in \text{seqle}$  (is  $\langle ?f, \_ \rangle \in \_$ )
⟨proof⟩

lemma preorder_on_seqle: preorder_on( $2^{<\omega}$ , seqle)
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_seqle_max:  $x \in 2^{<\omega} \implies \langle x, 0 \rangle \in \text{seqle}$ 
⟨proof⟩

interpretation sp:forcing_notion  $2^{<\omega}$  seqle 0
⟨proof⟩

notation sp.Leq (infixl  $\preceq_s$  50)
notation sp.Incompatible (infixl  $\perp_s$  50)

lemma seqspace_separative:
assumes  $f \in 2^{<\omega}$ 
shows  $\text{seq\_upd}(f, 0) \perp_s \text{seq\_upd}(f, 1)$  (is  $?f \perp_s ?g$ )
⟨proof⟩

definition is_seqleR ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where

$$\text{is\_seqleR}(Q, f, g) \equiv g \subseteq f$$


definition seqleR_fm ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where

$$\text{seqleR\_fm}(fg) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(0, 1, fg\# + 2), \text{subset\_fm}(1, 0))))$$


lemma type_seqleR_fm :

$$fg \in \text{nat} \implies \text{seqleR\_fm}(fg) \in \text{formula}$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_seqleR_fm :

$$fg \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{seqleR\_fm}(fg)) = \text{succ}(fg)$$

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_basic) seqleR_abs:
assumes  $M(f) M(g)$ 
shows  $\text{seqleR}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_seqleR}(M, f, g)$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition

$$\text{relP} :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$$
 where

```

$\text{relP}(M, r, xy) \equiv (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, xy) \wedge r(M, x, y))$

lemma (in M_ctm) seqleR_fm_sats :
assumes $fg \in \text{nat env} \in \text{list}(M)$
shows $\text{sats}(M, \text{seqleR_fm}(fg), env) \longleftrightarrow \text{relP}(\#\#M, \text{is_seqleR}, \text{nth}(fg, env))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) is_related_abs :
assumes $\bigwedge f g . M(f) \implies M(g) \implies \text{rel}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_rel}(M, f, g)$
shows $\bigwedge z . M(z) \implies \text{relP}(M, \text{is_rel}, z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge \text{rel}(x, y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_RRel} :: [i \Rightarrow o, [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_RRel}(M, \text{is_r}, A, r) \equiv \exists A2[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, A, A, A2) \wedge \text{is_Collect}(M, A2, \text{relP}(M, \text{is_r}), r)$

lemma (in M_basic) is_Rrel_abs :
assumes $M(A) M(r)$
 $\bigwedge f g . M(f) \implies M(g) \implies \text{rel}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_rel}(M, f, g)$
shows $\text{is_RRel}(M, \text{is_rel}, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{Rrel}(\text{rel}, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{is_seqlerel} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{is_seqlerel}(M, A, r) \equiv \text{is_RRel}(M, \text{is_seqleR}, A, r)$

lemma (in M_basic) seqlerel_abs :
assumes $M(A) M(r)$
shows $\text{is_seqlerel}(M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{Rrel}(\text{seqleR}, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition RrelP :: $[i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{RrelP}(R, A) \equiv \{z \in A \times A. \exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge R(x, y)\}$

lemma Rrel_eq : $\text{RrelP}(R, A) = \text{Rrel}(R, A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context M_ctm
begin

lemma Rrel_closed:

assumes $A \in M$
 $\bigwedge a. a \in \text{nat} \implies \text{rel_fm}(a) \in \text{formula}$
 $\bigwedge f g . (\#\#M)(f) \implies (\#\#M)(g) \implies \text{rel}(f, g) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_rel}(\#\#M, f, g)$
 $\text{arity}(\text{rel_fm}(0)) = 1$
 $\bigwedge a . a \in M \implies \text{sats}(M, \text{rel_fm}(0), [a]) \longleftrightarrow \text{relP}(\#\#M, \text{is_rel}, a)$
shows $(\#\#M)(\text{Rrel}(\text{rel}, A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```
lemma seqle_in_M: seqle ∈ M
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

30.1 Cohen extension is proper

```
interpretation ctm_separative  $2^{<\omega}$  seqle 0  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma cohen_extension_is_proper:  $\exists G. M_{\text{generic}}(G) \wedge M \neq M^{2^{<\omega}}[G]$   
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

31 The ZFC axioms, internalized

```
theory Internal_ZFC_Axioms  
imports  
Forcing_Data
```

```
begin
```

```
schematic_goal ZF_union_auto:  
Union_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  ?zfunion)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
⟨ML⟩  
notation ZF_union_fm (·Union Ax·)
```

```
schematic_goal ZF_power_auto:  
power_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  ?zfpow)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
⟨ML⟩  
notation ZF_power_fm (·Powerset Ax·)
```

```
schematic_goal ZF_pairing_auto:  
upair_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  ?zfpair)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```
⟨ML⟩  
notation ZF_pairing_fm (·Pairing·)
```

```
schematic_goal ZF.foundation_auto:  
foundation_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  ?zffound)  
⟨proof⟩
```

```

⟨ML⟩
notation ZF_foundation_fm (⟨·Foundation·⟩)

schematic_goal ZF_extensionality_auto:
  extensionality(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  ?zfext)
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
notation ZF_extensionality_fm (⟨·Extensionality·⟩)

schematic_goal ZF_infinity_auto:
  infinity_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  (?φ(i,j,h)))
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
notation ZF_infinity_fm (⟨·Infinity·⟩)

schematic_goal ZF_choice_auto:
  choice_ax(##A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (A, []  $\models$  (?φ(i,j,h)))
  ⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩
notation ZF_choice_fm (⟨·AC·⟩)

lemmas ZFC_fm_defs = ZF_extensionality_fm_def ZF.foundation_fm_def ZF_pairing_fm_def
ZF_union_fm_def ZF_infinity_fm_def ZF_power_fm_def ZF_choice_fm_def

lemmas ZFC_fm_sats = ZF_extensionality_auto ZF.foundation_auto ZF_pairing_auto
ZF_union_auto ZF_infinity_auto ZF_power_auto ZF_choice_auto

definition
ZF_fin :: i where
ZF_fin  $\equiv$  {·Extensionality·, ·Foundation·, ·Pairing·,
·Union Ax·, ·Infinity·, ·Powerset Ax·}

definition
ZFC_fin :: i where
ZFC_fin  $\equiv$  ZF_fin  $\cup$  {·AC·}

lemma ZFC_fin_type : ZFC_fin  $\subseteq$  formula
⟨proof⟩

31.1 The Axiom of Separation, internalized

lemma iterates_Forall_type [TC]:
   $\llbracket n \in \text{nat}; p \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \text{Forall}^n(p) \in \text{formula}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma last_init_eq :

```

```

assumes  $l \in list(A)$   $length(l) = succ(n)$ 
shows  $\exists a \in A. \exists l' \in list(A). l = l' @ [a]$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma take_drop_eq :
assumes  $l \in list(M)$ 
shows  $\bigwedge n . n < succ(length(l)) \implies l = take(n, l) @ drop(n, l)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma list_split :
assumes  $n \leq succ(length(rest))$   $rest \in list(M)$ 
shows  $\exists re \in list(M). \exists st \in list(M). rest = re @ st \wedge length(re) = pred(n)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_nForall:
assumes
 $\varphi \in formula$ 
shows
 $n \in nat \implies ms \in list(M) \implies$ 
 $(M, ms \models (Forall \hat{n}(\varphi))) \iff$ 
 $(\forall rest \in list(M). length(rest) = n \longrightarrow M, rest @ ms \models \varphi)$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition
sep_body_fm ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
 $sep\_body\_fm(p) \equiv (\forall (\exists (\forall \cdots 0 \in 1 \leftrightarrow \cdots 0 \in 2 \wedge incr\_bv1 \hat{2} (p) \cdots)) \cdot)$ 

lemma sep_body_fm_type [TC]:  $p \in formula \implies sep\_body\_fm(p) \in formula$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_sep_body_fm:
assumes
 $\varphi \in formula$   $ms \in list(M)$   $rest \in list(M)$ 
shows
 $(M, rest @ ms \models sep\_body\_fm(\varphi)) \iff$ 
 $separation(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ rest @ ms \models \varphi)$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition
ZF_separation_fm ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  ( $\cdot Separation'(\_) \cdot$ ) where
 $ZF\_separation\_fm(p) \equiv Forall \hat{(pred(arity(p)))(sep\_body\_fm(p))})$ 

lemma ZF_separation_fm_type [TC]:  $p \in formula \implies ZF\_separation\_fm(p) \in formula$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_ZF_separation_fm_iff:
assumes
 $\varphi \in formula$ 

```

shows

$$(M, \emptyset \models \cdot \text{Separation}(\varphi) \cdot) \\ \longleftrightarrow \\ (\forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(M). \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 \#+ \text{length}(\text{env}) \longrightarrow \\ \text{separation}(\#\# M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ \text{env} \models \varphi)) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

31.2 The Axiom of Replacement, internalized

schematic_goal *sats_univalent_fm_auto*:

assumes

$$Q_{\text{iff_sats}}: \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies \\ Q(x, z) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{Cons}(z, \text{Cons}(y, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env})))) \models Q1_fm \\ \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies \\ Q(x, y) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{Cons}(z, \text{Cons}(y, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env})))) \models Q2_fm$$

and

$$\text{asms}: \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = B \ i \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$$

shows

$$\text{univalent}(\#\# A, B, Q) \longleftrightarrow A, \text{env} \models ?ufm(i) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *univalent_fm_type* [*TC*]: $q1 \in \text{formula} \implies q2 \in \text{formula} \implies i \in \text{nat} \implies$
 $\text{univalent_fm}(q2, q1, i) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sats_univalent_fm* :

assumes

$$Q_{\text{iff_sats}}: \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies \\ Q(x, z) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{Cons}(z, \text{Cons}(y, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env})))) \models Q1_fm \\ \bigwedge x y z. x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies \\ Q(x, y) \longleftrightarrow (A, \text{Cons}(z, \text{Cons}(y, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env})))) \models Q2_fm$$

and

$$\text{asms}: \text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = B \ i \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)$$

shows

$$(A, \text{env} \models \text{univalent_fm}(Q1_fm, Q2_fm, i)) \longleftrightarrow \text{univalent}(\#\# A, B, Q) \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

definition

swap_vars :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

swap_vars(φ) \equiv

$$\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Equal}(0, 3), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(1, 2), \text{iterates}(\lambda p. \text{incr_bv}(p)^{'}2, 2, \varphi))))))$$

lemma *swap_vars_type* [*TC*] :

$$\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{swap_vars}(\varphi) \in \text{formula} \\ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$$

```

lemma sats_swap_vars :
   $[x,y] @ env \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$ 
   $(M, [x,y] @ env \models swap\_vars(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow M, [y,x] @ env \models \varphi$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  univalent_Q1 ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  univalent_Q1( $\varphi$ )  $\equiv incr\_bv1(swap\_vars(\varphi))$ 

definition
  univalent_Q2 ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  univalent_Q2( $\varphi$ )  $\equiv incr\_bv(swap\_vars(\varphi))`0$ 

lemma univalent_Qs_type [TC]:
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows univalent_Q1( $\varphi$ )  $\in formula$  univalent_Q2( $\varphi$ )  $\in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_univalent_fm_assm:
  assumes
     $x \in A$   $y \in A$   $z \in A$   $env \in list(A)$   $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows
     $(A, ([x,z] @ env) \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models (univalent\_Q1(\varphi))$ 
     $(A, ([x,y] @ env) \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (A, Cons(z, Cons(y, Cons(x, env)))) \models (univalent\_Q2(\varphi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  rep_body_fm ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  rep_body_fm( $p$ )  $\equiv Forall(Implies($ 
    univalent_fm(univalent_Q1(incr_bv( $p$ )`2), univalent_Q2(incr_bv( $p$ )`2), `0),
    Exists(Forall(
      Iff(Member(0,1), Exists(And(Member(0,3), incr_bv(incr_bv( $p$ )`2)`2))))))

lemma rep_body_fm_type [TC]:  $p \in formula \implies rep\_body\_fm(p) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas ZF_replacement_simps = formula_add_params1[of  $\varphi$  2 _ M [_,_]]
  sats_incr_bv_iff[of __ M __ []] — simplifies iterates of  $\lambda x. incr\_bv(x)`0$ 
  sats_incr_bv_iff[of __ M __ [_,_]] — simplifies  $\lambda x. incr\_bv(x)`2$ 
  sats_incr_bv1_iff[of __ M] sats_swap_vars for  $\varphi$  M

lemma sats_rep_body_fm:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula$   $ms \in list(M)$   $rest \in list(M)$ 
  shows
     $(M, rest @ ms \models rep\_body\_fm(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
    strong_replacement(##M,  $\lambda x y. M, [x,y] @ rest @ ms \models \varphi$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

definition

ZF_replacement_fm :: $i \Rightarrow i (\cdot \text{Replacement}'(_) \cdot)$ **where**
 $ZF_{\text{replacement}}(p) \equiv \text{Forall}^{\sim}(\text{pred}(\text{pred}(\text{arity}(p))))(\text{rep_body_fm}(p))$

lemma *ZF_replacement_fm_type* [TC]: $p \in formula \implies ZF_{\text{replacement}}(p)$
 $\in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_ZF_replacement_fm_iff*:

assumes

$\varphi \in formula$

shows

$(M, \emptyset \models \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot)$

\longleftrightarrow

$(\forall env \in list(M). \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + \text{length}(env) \longrightarrow$
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\#\# M, \lambda x y. M, [x, y] @ env \models \varphi))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

ZF_inf :: i **where**

$ZF_{\text{inf}} \equiv \{\cdot \text{Separation}(p) \cdot . p \in formula\} \cup \{\cdot \text{Replacement}(p) \cdot . p \in formula\}$

lemma *Un_subset_formula*: $A \subseteq formula \wedge B \subseteq formula \implies A \cup B \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ZF_inf_subset_formula* : $ZF_{\text{inf}} \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

ZFC :: i **where**

$ZFC \equiv ZF_{\text{inf}} \cup ZFC_{\text{fin}}$

definition

ZF :: i **where**

$ZF \equiv ZF_{\text{inf}} \cup ZF_{\text{fin}}$

definition

ZF_minus_P :: i **where**

$ZF_{\text{minus}}(P) \equiv ZF - \{ \cdot \text{Powerset } Ax. \}$

lemma *ZFC_subset_formula*: $ZFC \subseteq formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Satisfaction of a set of sentences

definition

satT :: $[i, i] \Rightarrow o (_ \models _ [36, 36] 60)$ **where**

$A \models \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi \in \Phi. (A, \emptyset \models \varphi)$

```

lemma satTI [intro!]:
  assumes  $\bigwedge \varphi. \varphi \in \Phi \implies A, [] \models \varphi$ 
  shows  $A \models \Phi$ 
  {proof}

lemma satTD [dest] : $A \models \Phi \implies \varphi \in \Phi \implies A, [] \models \varphi$ 
  {proof}

lemma sats_ZFC_iff_sats_ZF_AC:
   $(N \models ZFC) \longleftrightarrow (N \models ZF) \wedge (N, [] \models \cdot AC \cdot)$ 
  {proof}

lemma M_ZF_iff_M_satT:  $M_ZF(M) \longleftrightarrow (M \models ZF)$ 
  {proof}

lemma M_ZFC_iff_M_satT:
  notes iff_trans[trans]
  shows  $M_ZFC(M) \longleftrightarrow (M \models ZFC)$ 
  {proof}

end

```

32 The definition of forces

theory Forces_Definition **imports** Arities FrecR Synthetic_Definition FrecR_Arities
begin

This is the core of our development.

32.1 The relation *frecrel*

definition
 $frecrelP :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $frecrelP(M, xy) \equiv (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. pair(M, x, y, xy) \wedge is_frecR(M, x, y))$

{ML}

lemma arity_frecrelP_fm :
 $a \in nat \implies arity(frecrelP_fm(a)) = succ(a)$
{proof}

definition
 $is_frecrel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_frecrel(M, A, r) \equiv \exists A2[M]. cartprod(M, A, A, A2) \wedge is_Collect(M, A2, frecrelP(M), r)$

declare cartprod_iff_sats [iff_sats]
declare Collect_iff_sats [iff_sats]
{ML}

```

lemma arity_frecrel_fm :
  assumes a $\in$ nat b $\in$ nat
  shows arity(frecrel_fm(a,b)) = succ(a)  $\cup$  succ(b)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  names_below :: i  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\Rightarrow$  i where
  names_below(P,x)  $\equiv$  2 $\times$ ecloseN(x) $\times$ ecloseN(x) $\times$ P

lemma names_bellowD:
  assumes x  $\in$  names_below(P,z)
  obtains f n1 n2 p where
  x =  $\langle f, n1, n2, p \rangle$  f $\in$ 2 n1 $\in$ ecloseN(z) n2 $\in$ ecloseN(z) p $\in$ P
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma number2_iff :
  (A)(c)  $\implies$  number2(A,c)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists$  b[A].  $\exists$  a[A]. successor(A, b, c)  $\wedge$  successor(A, a, b)  $\wedge$  empty(A, a))
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma arity_number2_fm :
  a $\in$ nat  $\implies$  arity(number2_fm(a)) = succ(a)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma arity_is_names_below_fm :
   $\llbracket P \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; nb \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{arity}(\text{is\_names\_below\_fm}(P, x, nb)) = \text{succ}(P) \cup$ 
  succ(x)  $\cup$  succ(nb)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  is_tuple :: [i $\Rightarrow$ o,i,i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  is_tuple(M,z,t1,t2,p,t)  $\equiv$   $\exists$  t1t2p[M].  $\exists$  t2p[M]. pair(M,t2,p,t2p)  $\wedge$  pair(M,t1,t2p,t1t2p)
   $\wedge$ 
  pair(M,z,t1t2p,t)

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma arity_is_tuple_fm :  $\llbracket z \in \text{nat} ; t1 \in \text{nat} ; t2 \in \text{nat} ; p \in \text{nat} ; tup \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies$ 
  arity(is_tuple_fm(z,t1,t2,p,tup)) =  $\bigcup \{ \text{succ}(z), \text{succ}(t1), \text{succ}(t2), \text{succ}(p), \text{succ}(tup) \}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

32.2 Definition of forces for equality and membership

definition

$eq_case :: [i,i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $eq_case(t1,t2,p,P,leq,f) \equiv \forall s. s \in domain(t1) \cup domain(t2) \longrightarrow$
 $(\forall q. q \in P \wedge \langle q,p \rangle \in leq \longrightarrow (f^i \langle 1,s,t1,q \rangle = 1 \longleftrightarrow f^i \langle 1,s,t2,q \rangle = 1))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $arity_eq_case_fm :$
assumes
 $n1 \in nat \ n2 \in nat \ p \in nat \ P \in nat \ leq \in nat \ f \in nat$
shows
 $arity(eq_case_fm(n1,n2,p,P,leq,f)) =$
 $succ(n1) \cup succ(n2) \cup succ(p) \cup succ(P) \cup succ(leq) \cup succ(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$mem_case :: [i,i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $mem_case(t1,t2,p,P,leq,f) \equiv \forall v \in P. \langle v,p \rangle \in leq \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge \langle q,v \rangle \in leq \wedge \langle s,r \rangle \in t2 \wedge \langle q,r \rangle \in leq \wedge f^i \langle 0,t1,s,q \rangle = 1)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $arity_mem_case_fm :$
assumes
 $n1 \in nat \ n2 \in nat \ p \in nat \ P \in nat \ leq \in nat \ f \in nat$
shows
 $arity(mem_case_fm(n1,n2,p,P,leq,f)) =$
 $succ(n1) \cup succ(n2) \cup succ(p) \cup succ(P) \cup succ(leq) \cup succ(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$Hfrc :: [i,i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $Hfrc(P,leq,fnnc,f) \equiv \exists ft. \exists n1. \exists n2. \exists c. c \in P \wedge fnnc = \langle ft, n1, n2, c \rangle \wedge$
 $(ft = 0 \wedge eq_case(n1,n2,c,P,leq,f))$
 $\vee ft = 1 \wedge mem_case(n1,n2,c,P,leq,f))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $arity_Hfrc_fm :$
assumes
 $P \in nat \ leq \in nat \ fnnc \in nat \ f \in nat$
shows
 $arity(Hfrc_fm(P,leq,fnnc,f)) = succ(P) \cup succ(leq) \cup succ(fnnc) \cup succ(f)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_Hfrc_at :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_Hfrc_at(M, P, leq, fnnc, f, z) \equiv$

```

( empty(M,z) ∧ ¬ is_Hfrc(M,P,leq,fnnnc,f))
∨ (number1(M,z) ∧ is_Hfrc(M,P,leq,fnnnc,f))

```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma arity_Hfrc_at_fm :
assumes
  P ∈ nat leq ∈ nat fnnc ∈ nat f ∈ nat z ∈ nat
shows
  arity(Hfrc_at_fm(P,leq,fnnnc,f,z)) = succ(P) ∪ succ(leq) ∪ succ(fnnnc) ∪ succ(f)
  ∪ succ(z)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

32.3 The well-founded relation forcerel

definition

```

forcerel :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i where
  forcerel(P,x) ≡ frecrel(names_below(P,x)) ^+

```

definition

```

is_forcerel :: [i ⇒ o,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  is_forcerel(M,P,x,z) ≡ ∃ r[M]. ∃ nb[M]. tran_closure(M,r,z) ∧
    (is_names_below(M,P,x,nb) ∧ is_frecrel(M,nb,r))

```

definition

```

forcerel_fm :: i ⇒ i ⇒ i ⇒ i where
  forcerel_fm(p,x,z) ≡ Exists(Exists(And(trans_closure_fm(1, z#+2),
    And(is_names_below_fm(p#+2,x#+2,0),frecrel_fm(0,1))))) )

```

lemma arity_forcerel_fm:

```

[ p ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat ] ⇒ arity(forcerel_fm(p,x,z)) = succ(p) ∪ succ(x) ∪ succ(z)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma forcerel_fm_type[TC]:

```

[ p ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat ] ⇒ forcerel_fm(p,x,z) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

```

lemma sats_forcerel_fm:

```

assumes
  p ∈ nat x ∈ nat z ∈ nat env ∈ list(A)
shows
  sats(A,forcerel_fm(p,x,z),env) ←→ is_forcerel(##A,nth(p,env),nth(x, env),nth(z, env))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

32.4 frc_at, forcing for atomic formulas

definition

```

frc_at :: [i,i,i] ⇒ i where

```

```

frc_at(P,leq,fnnc) ≡ wfrec(frecrel(names_below(P,fnnc)),fnnc,
                           λx f. bool_of_o(Hfrc(P,leq,x,f)))

```

definition

```

is_frc_at :: [i⇒o,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
is_frc_at(M,P,leq,x,z) ≡ ∃ r[M]. is_forcerel(M,P,x,r) ∧
                           is_wfrec(M,is_Hfrc_at(M,P,leq),r,x,z)

```

definition

```

frc_at_fm :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ i where
frc_at_fm(p,l,x,z) ≡ Exists(And(forcerel_fm(succ(p),succ(x),0),
                                   is_wfrec_fm(Hfrc_at_fm(6#+p,6#+l,2,1,0),0,succ(x),succ(z))))

```

lemma *frc_at_fm type [TC]* :

```

[ p ∈ nat; l ∈ nat; x ∈ nat; z ∈ nat ] ⇒ frc_at_fm(p,l,x,z) ∈ formula
⟨ proof ⟩

```

lemma *arity_frc_at_fm* :

```

assumes p ∈ nat l ∈ nat x ∈ nat z ∈ nat
shows arity(frc_at_fm(p,l,x,z)) = succ(p) ∪ succ(l) ∪ succ(x) ∪ succ(z)
⟨ proof ⟩

```

lemma *sats_frc_at_fm* :

```

assumes p ∈ nat l ∈ nat i ∈ nat j ∈ nat env ∈ list(A) i < length(env) j < length(env)
shows
  sats(A,frc_at_fm(p,l,i,j),env) ↔
  is_frc_at(##A,nth(p,env),nth(l,env),nth(i,env),nth(j,env))
⟨ proof ⟩

```

definition

```

forces_eq' :: [i,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
forces_eq'(P,l,p,t1,t2) ≡ frc_at(P,l,(0,t1,t2,p)) = 1

```

definition

```

forces_mem' :: [i,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
forces_mem'(P,l,p,t1,t2) ≡ frc_at(P,l,(1,t1,t2,p)) = 1

```

definition

```

forces_neq' :: [i,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
forces_neq'(P,l,p,t1,t2) ≡ ¬ (∃ q ∈ P. ⟨ q,p ⟩ ∈ l ∧ forces_eq'(P,l,q,t1,t2))

```

definition

```

forces_nmemp' :: [i,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
forces_nmemp'(P,l,p,t1,t2) ≡ ¬ (∃ q ∈ P. ⟨ q,p ⟩ ∈ l ∧ forces_mem'(P,l,q,t1,t2))

```

definition

```

is_forces_eq' :: [i⇒o,i,i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
is_forces_eq'(M,P,l,p,t1,t2) ≡ ∃ o[M]. ∃ z[M]. ∃ t[M]. number1(M,o) ∧ empty(M,z)

```

\wedge
 $is_tuple(M, z, t1, t2, p, t) \wedge is_frc_at(M, P, l, t, o)$

definition

$is_forces_mem' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forces_mem'(M, P, l, p, t1, t2) \equiv \exists o[M]. \exists t[M]. number1(M, o) \wedge$
 $is_tuple(M, o, t1, t2, p, t) \wedge is_frc_at(M, P, l, t, o)$

definition

$is_forces_neq' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forces_neq'(M, P, l, p, t1, t2) \equiv$
 $\neg (\exists q[M]. q \in P \wedge (\exists qp[M]. pair(M, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in l \wedge is_forces_eq'(M, P, l, q, t1, t2)))$

definition

$is_forces_nmem' :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_forces_nmem'(M, P, l, p, t1, t2) \equiv$
 $\neg (\exists q[M]. \exists qp[M]. q \in P \wedge pair(M, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in l \wedge is_forces_mem'(M, P, l, q, t1, t2))$

definition

$forces_eq_fm :: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $forces_eq_fm(p, l, q, t1, t2) \equiv$
 $Exists(Exists(Exists(And(number1_fm(2), And(empty_fm(1),$
 $And(is_tuple_fm(1, t1\#+3, t2\#+3, q\#+3, 0), frc_at_fm(p\#+3, l\#+3, 0, 2)$
 $))))))$

definition

$forces_mem_fm :: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $forces_mem_fm(p, l, q, t1, t2) \equiv Exists(Exists(And(number1_fm(1),$
 $And(is_tuple_fm(1, t1\#+2, t2\#+2, q\#+2, 0), frc_at_fm(p\#+2, l\#+2, 0, 1))))))$

definition

$forces_neq_fm :: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $forces_neq_fm(p, l, q, t1, t2) \equiv Neg(Exists(Exists(And(Member(1, p\#+2),$
 $And(pair_fm(1, q\#+2, 0), And(Member(0, l\#+2), forces_eq_fm(p\#+2, l\#+2, 1, t1\#+2, t2\#+2)))))))$

definition

$forces_nmem_fm :: [i, i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $forces_nmem_fm(p, l, q, t1, t2) \equiv Neg(Exists(Exists(And(Member(1, p\#+2),$
 $And(pair_fm(1, q\#+2, 0), And(Member(0, l\#+2), forces_mem_fm(p\#+2, l\#+2, 1, t1\#+2, t2\#+2)))))))$

lemma $forces_eq_fm_type [TC]:$

$\llbracket p \in nat; l \in nat; q \in nat; t1 \in nat; t2 \in nat \rrbracket \implies forces_eq_fm(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $forces_mem_fm_type [TC]:$

$\llbracket p \in nat; l \in nat; q \in nat; t1 \in nat; t2 \in nat \rrbracket \implies forces_mem_fm(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma forces_neq_fm_type [TC]:
   $\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{forces\_neq\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forces_nmem_fm_type [TC]:
   $\llbracket p \in \text{nat}; l \in \text{nat}; q \in \text{nat}; t1 \in \text{nat}; t2 \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{forces\_nmem\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_forces_eq_fm :
   $p \in \text{nat} \implies l \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{nat} \implies t1 \in \text{nat} \implies t2 \in \text{nat} \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{forces\_eq\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2)) = \text{succ}(t1) \cup \text{succ}(t2) \cup \text{succ}(q) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup$ 
   $\text{succ}(l)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_forces_mem_fm :
   $p \in \text{nat} \implies l \in \text{nat} \implies q \in \text{nat} \implies t1 \in \text{nat} \implies t2 \in \text{nat} \implies$ 
   $\text{arity}(\text{forces\_mem\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2)) = \text{succ}(t1) \cup \text{succ}(t2) \cup \text{succ}(q) \cup \text{succ}(p) \cup$ 
   $\text{succ}(l)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_eq'_fm:
  assumes  $p \in \text{nat} \ l \in \text{nat} \ q \in \text{nat} \ t1 \in \text{nat} \ t2 \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_eq\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $\text{is\_forces\_eq}'(\#\# M, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_mem'_fm:
  assumes  $p \in \text{nat} \ l \in \text{nat} \ q \in \text{nat} \ t1 \in \text{nat} \ t2 \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_mem\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $\text{is\_forces\_mem}'(\#\# M, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_neq'_fm:
  assumes  $p \in \text{nat} \ l \in \text{nat} \ q \in \text{nat} \ t1 \in \text{nat} \ t2 \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_neq\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $\text{is\_forces\_neq}'(\#\# M, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_nmem'_fm:
  assumes  $p \in \text{nat} \ l \in \text{nat} \ q \in \text{nat} \ t1 \in \text{nat} \ t2 \in \text{nat} \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_nmem\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $\text{is\_forces\_nmem}'(\#\# M, \text{nth}(p, \text{env}), \text{nth}(l, \text{env}), \text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context forcing_data
begin

lemma ftype_abs:

```

```

 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_ftype}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{ftype}(x)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma name1_abs:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_name1}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{name1}(x)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma snd_snd_abs:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_snd\_snd}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{snd}(\text{snd}(x))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma name2_abs:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_name2}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{name2}(x)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma cond_of_abs:
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_cond\_of}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{cond\_of}(x)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma tuple_abs:
 $\llbracket z \in M; t1 \in M; t2 \in M; p \in M; t \in M \rrbracket \implies$ 
 $\text{is\_tuple}(\#\#M, z, t1, t2, p, t) \longleftrightarrow t = \langle z, t1, t2, p \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas components_abs = ftype_abs name1_abs name2_abs cond_of_abs
tuple_abs

lemma oneN_in_M[simp]:  $t \in M$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma twoN_in_M :  $t \in M$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma comp_in_M:
 $p \preceq q \implies p \in M$ 
 $p \preceq q \implies q \in M$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma eq_case_abs [simp]:
assumes
 $t1 \in M$   $t2 \in M$   $p \in M$   $f \in M$ 
shows
 $\text{is\_eq\_case}(\#\#M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{eq\_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mem_case_abs [simp]:
assumes

```

$t1 \in M$ $t2 \in M$ $p \in M$ $f \in M$
shows
 $\text{is_mem_case}(\#\#M, t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f) \longleftrightarrow \text{mem_case}(t1, t2, p, P, \text{leq}, f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Hfrc_abs$:
 $\llbracket fnnc \in M; f \in M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{is_Hfrc}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, fnnc, f) \longleftrightarrow Hfrc(P, \text{leq}, fnnc, f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$
lemma $Hfrc_at_abs$:
 $\llbracket fnnc \in M; f \in M ; z \in M \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{is_Hfrc_at}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}, fnnc, f, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{bool_of_o}(Hfrc(P, \text{leq}, fnnc, f))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $components_closed$:
 $x \in M \implies (\#\#M)(\text{ftype}(x))$
 $x \in M \implies (\#\#M)(\text{name1}(x))$
 $x \in M \implies (\#\#M)(\text{name2}(x))$
 $x \in M \implies (\#\#M)(\text{cond_of}(x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ecloseN_closed$:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(\text{ecloseN}(A))$
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(\text{eclose_n}(\text{name1}, A))$
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(\text{eclose_n}(\text{name2}, A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $eclose_n_abs$:
assumes $x \in M$ $ec \in M$
shows $\text{is_eclose_n}(\#\#M, \text{is_name1}, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = \text{eclose_n}(\text{name1}, x)$
 $\text{is_eclose_n}(\#\#M, \text{is_name2}, ec, x) \longleftrightarrow ec = \text{eclose_n}(\text{name2}, x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $ecloseN_abs$:
 $\llbracket x \in M; ec \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_ecloseN}(\#\#M, x, ec) \longleftrightarrow ec = \text{ecloseN}(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $freqR_abs$:
 $x \in M \implies y \in M \implies freqR(x, y) \longleftrightarrow \text{is_freqR}(\#\#M, x, y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $frecrelP_abs$:
 $z \in M \implies frecrelP(\#\#M, z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x y. z = \langle x, y \rangle \wedge freqR(x, y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $frecrel_abs$:

```

assumes
   $A \in M$   $r \in M$ 
shows
   $\text{is\_frecrel}(\#\#M, A, r) \longleftrightarrow r = \text{frecrel}(A)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma frecrel_closed:
assumes
   $x \in M$ 
shows
   $\text{frecrel}(x) \in M$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma field_frecrel :  $\text{field}(\text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P, x))) \subseteq \text{names\_below}(P, x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forcerelD :  $uv \in \text{forcerel}(P, x) \implies uv \in \text{names\_below}(P, x) \times \text{names\_below}(P, x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma wf_forcerel :
   $\text{wf}(\text{forcerel}(P, x))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma restrict_trancf_forcerel:
assumes  $\text{frecR}(w, y)$ 
shows  $\text{restrict}(f, \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P, x)) - ``\{y\})`w$ 
   $= \text{restrict}(f, \text{forcerel}(P, x) - ``\{y\})`w$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma names_belowI :
assumes  $\text{frecR}(\langle ft, n1, n2, p \rangle, \langle a, b, c, d \rangle) \quad p \in P$ 
shows  $\langle ft, n1, n2, p \rangle \in \text{names\_below}(P, \langle a, b, c, d \rangle)$  (is  $?x \in \text{names\_below}(\_, ?y)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma names_below_tr :
assumes  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, y)$ 
   $y \in \text{names\_below}(P, z)$ 
shows  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, z)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arg_into_names_below2 :
assumes  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P, z))$ 
shows  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arg_into_names_below :
assumes  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P, z))$ 
shows  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P, x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma forcerel_arg_into_names_below :
  assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,z)$ 
  shows  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P,x)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma names_below_mono :
  assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
  shows  $\text{names\_below}(P,x) \subseteq \text{names\_below}(P,y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma frecrel_mono :
  assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
  shows  $\text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,x)) \subseteq \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,y))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_mono2 :
  assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,z))$ 
  shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcerel}(P,y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_mono_aux :
  assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{frecrel}(\text{names\_below}(P,w)) \wedge$ 
  shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcerel}(P,y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_mono :
  assumes  $\langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,z)$ 
  shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,x) \subseteq \text{forcerel}(P,y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_eq_aux:  $x \in \text{names\_below}(P,w) \implies \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,z) \implies$ 
   $(y \in \text{names\_below}(P,w) \longrightarrow \langle x,y \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,w))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_eq :
  assumes  $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,x)$ 
  shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,z) = \text{forcerel}(P,x) \cap \text{names\_below}(P,z) \times \text{names\_below}(P,z)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_below_aux :
  assumes  $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,x)$   $\langle u,z \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,x)$ 
  shows  $u \in \text{names\_below}(P,z)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forcerel_below :
  assumes  $\langle z,x \rangle \in \text{forcerel}(P,x)$ 
  shows  $\text{forcerel}(P,x) - \{z\} \subseteq \text{names\_below}(P,z)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma relation_forcerel :
  shows relation(forcerel(P,z)) trans(forcerel(P,z))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Hfrc_restrict_trancl: bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, y, restrict(f,frecrel(names_below(P,x))-“{y})))
  = bool_of_o(Hfrc(P, leq, y, restrict(f,(frecrel(names_below(P,x)) ^+)-“{y})))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma frc_at_trancl: frc_at(P,leq,z) = wfrec(forcerel(P,z),z,λx f. bool_of_o(Hfrc(P,leq,x,f)))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma forcerelI1 :
  assumes n1 ∈ domain(b) ∨ n1 ∈ domain(c) p ∈ P d ∈ P
  shows ⟨⟨1, n1, b, p⟩, ⟨0,b,c,d⟩⟩ ∈ forcerel(P,⟨0,b,c,d⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma forcerelI2 :
  assumes n1 ∈ domain(b) ∨ n1 ∈ domain(c) p ∈ P d ∈ P
  shows ⟨⟨1, n1, c, p⟩, ⟨0,b,c,d⟩⟩ ∈ forcerel(P,⟨0,b,c,d⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma forcerelI3 :
  assumes ⟨n2, r⟩ ∈ c p ∈ P d ∈ P r ∈ P
  shows ⟨⟨0, b, n2, p⟩, ⟨1, b, c, d⟩⟩ ∈ forcerel(P,⟨1,b,c,d⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas forcerelI = forcerelI1[THEN vimage_singleton_iff[THEN iffD2]]
  forcerelI2[THEN vimage_singleton_iff[THEN iffD2]]
  forcerelI3[THEN vimage_singleton_iff[THEN iffD2]]

lemma aux_def_frc_at:
  assumes z ∈ forcerel(P,x) -“ {x}
  shows wfrec(forcerel(P,x), z, H) = wfrec(forcerel(P,z), z, H)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

32.5 Recursive expression of frc_at

```

lemma def_frc_at :
  assumes p ∈ P
  shows
    frc_at(P,leq,⟨ft,n1,n2,p⟩) =
    bool_of_o( p ∈ P ∧
    ( ft = 0 ∧ ( ∀ s. s ∈ domain(n1) ∪ domain(n2) →
      ( ∀ q. q ∈ P ∧ q ⊣ p → (frc_at(P,leq,⟨1,s,n1,q⟩)) = 1 ←→ frc_at(P,leq,⟨1,s,n2,q⟩)) = 1 ) )
    ∨ ft = 1 ∧ ( ∀ v ∈ P. v ⊣ p →

```

$(\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge q \preceq v \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in n2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge \text{frc_at}(P, \text{leq}, \langle \theta, n1, s, q \rangle) = 1))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

32.6 Absoluteness of frc_at

lemma *forcerel_in_M* :

assumes

$x \in M$

shows

$\text{forcerel}(P, x) \in M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relation2_Hfrc_at_abs*:

$\text{relation2}(\#\#M, \text{is_Hfrc_at}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}), \lambda x f. \text{bool_of_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, x, f)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Hfrc_at_closed* :

$\forall x \in M. \forall g \in M. \text{function}(g) \longrightarrow \text{bool_of_o}(\text{Hfrc}(P, \text{leq}, x, g)) \in M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *wfrec_Hfrc_at* :

assumes

$X \in M$

shows

$\text{wfrec_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_Hfrc_at}(\#\#M, P, \text{leq}), \text{forcerel}(P, X))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *names_below_abs* :

$\llbracket Q \in M; x \in M; nb \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_names_below}(\#\#M, Q, x, nb) \longleftrightarrow nb = \text{names_below}(Q, x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *names_below_closed*:

$\llbracket Q \in M; x \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{names_below}(Q, x) \in M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *names_below_productE* :

assumes $Q \in M$ $x \in M$

$\wedge A1 A2 A3 A4. A1 \in M \implies A2 \in M \implies A3 \in M \implies A4 \in M \implies R(A1$

$\times A2 \times A3 \times A4)$

shows $R(\text{names_below}(Q, x))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *forcerel_abs* :

$\llbracket x \in M; z \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is_forcerel}(\#\#M, P, x, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{forcerel}(P, x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *frc_at_abs*:

assumes $fnnc \in M$ $z \in M$

```

shows is_frc_at(##M,P,leq,fnnnc,z)  $\longleftrightarrow$  z = frc_at(P,leq,fnnnc)
{proof}

lemma forces_eq'_abs :
   $\llbracket p \in M ; t_1 \in M ; t_2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_forces\_eq}'(\text{##}M, P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_eq}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2)$ 
{proof}

lemma forces_mem'_abs :
   $\llbracket p \in M ; t_1 \in M ; t_2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_forces\_mem}'(\text{##}M, P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_mem}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2)$ 
{proof}

lemma forces_neq'_abs :
  assumes
     $p \in M \quad t_1 \in M \quad t_2 \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{is\_forces\_neq}'(\text{##}M, P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_neq}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2)$ 
{proof}

lemma forces_nmem'_abs :
  assumes
     $p \in M \quad t_1 \in M \quad t_2 \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{is\_forces\_nmem}'(\text{##}M, P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_nmem}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t_1, t_2)$ 
{proof}

end

32.7 Forcing for general formulas

definition
  ren_forces_nand ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{ren\_forces\_nand}(\varphi) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{Equal}(0, 1), \text{iterates}(\lambda p. \text{incr\_bv}(p) \cdot 1, 2, \varphi)))$ 

lemma ren_forces_nand_type[TC] :
   $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{ren\_forces\_nand}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$ 
{proof}

lemma arity_ren_forces_nand :
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ 
  shows  $\text{arity}(\text{ren\_forces\_nand}(\varphi)) \leq \text{succ}(\text{arity}(\varphi))$ 
{proof}

lemma sats_ren_forces_nand:
   $[q, P, \text{leq}, o, p] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$ 
   $\text{sats}(M, \text{ren\_forces\_nand}(\varphi), [q, p, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \varphi, [q, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{env})$ 
{proof}

```

```

definition
  ren_forces_forall ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
    ren_forces_forall( $\varphi$ )  $\equiv$ 
      Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(Exists(
        And(Equal(0,6),And(Equal(1,7),And(Equal(2,8),And(Equal(3,9),
          And(Equal(4,5),iterates( $\lambda p.$  incr_bv( $p$ ) $'5$  , 5,  $\varphi$ ))))))))))

lemma arity_ren_forces_all :
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows arity(ren_forces_forall( $\varphi$ )) = 5  $\cup$  arity( $\varphi$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ren_forces_forall_type[TC] :
   $\varphi \in formula \implies ren\_forces\_forall(\varphi) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_ren_forces_forall :
   $[x,P,leq,o,p] @ env \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies$ 
   $sats(M, ren\_forces\_forall(\varphi), [x,p,P,leq,o] @ env) \longleftrightarrow sats(M, \varphi, [p,P,leq,o,x]$ 
   $@ env)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  is_leq ::  $[i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_leq( $A, l, q, p$ )  $\equiv \exists qp[A]. (pair(A, q, p, qp) \wedge qp \in l)$ 

lemma (in forcing_data) leq_abs:
   $\llbracket l \in M ; q \in M ; p \in M \rrbracket \implies is\_leq(\#\#M, l, q, p) \longleftrightarrow \langle q, p \rangle \in l$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
  leq_fm ::  $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  leq_fm(leq, q, p)  $\equiv$  Exists(And(pair_fm(q#+1, p#+1, 0), Member(0, leq#+1)))

lemma arity_leq_fm :
   $\llbracket leq \in nat; q \in nat; p \in nat \rrbracket \implies arity(leq\_fm(leq, q, p)) = succ(q) \cup succ(p) \cup succ(leq)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma leq_fm_type[TC] :
   $\llbracket leq \in nat; q \in nat; p \in nat \rrbracket \implies leq\_fm(leq, q, p) \in formula$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sats_leq_fm :
   $\llbracket leq \in nat; q \in nat; p \in nat; env \in list(A) \rrbracket \implies$ 
   $sats(A, leq\_fm(leq, q, p), env) \longleftrightarrow is\_leq(\#\#A, nth(leq, env), nth(q, env), nth(p, env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

32.7.1 The primitive recursion

```

consts forces' ::  $i \Rightarrow i$ 
primrec
  forces'(Member(x,y)) = forces_mem_fm(1,2,0,x#+4,y#+4)
  forces'(Equal(x,y)) = forces_eq_fm(1,2,0,x#+4,y#+4)
  forces'(Nand(p,q)) =
    Neg(Exists(And(Member(0,2), And(leg_fm(3,0,1), And(ren_forces_nand(forces'(p)),
      ren_forces_nand(forces'(q)))))))
  forces'(Forall(p)) = Forall(ren_forces_forall(forces'(p)))

```

definition

```

forces ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  forces( $\varphi$ )  $\equiv$  And(Member(0,1), forces'( $\varphi$ ))

```

lemma forces'_type [TC]: $\varphi \in formula \implies forces'(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma forces_type[TC] : $\varphi \in formula \implies forces(\varphi) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

context forcing_data
begin

```

32.8 Forcing for atomic formulas in context

definition

```

forces_eq ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  ( $\_\_ forces_a '(\_ = \_) \rangle$  [36,1,1] 60) where
  forces_eq  $\equiv$  forces_eq'(P,leg)

```

definition

```

forces_mem ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  ( $\_\_ forces_a '(\_ \in \_) \rangle$  [36,1,1] 60) where
  forces_mem  $\equiv$  forces_mem'(P,leg)

```

definition

```

is_forces_eq ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_forces_eq  $\equiv$  is_forces_eq'(##M,P,leg)

```

definition

```

is_forces_mem ::  $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$  where
  is_forces_mem  $\equiv$  is_forces_mem'(##M,P,leg)

```

lemma def_forces_eq: $p \in P \implies p \text{ forces}_a (t1 = t2) \iff$
 $(\forall s \in domain(t1) \cup domain(t2). \forall q. q \in P \wedge q \preceq p \implies$
 $(q \text{ forces}_a (s \in t1) \iff q \text{ forces}_a (s \in t2)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma def_forces_mem:  $p \in P \implies p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall v \in P. v \preceq p \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists q. \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge q \in P \wedge q \preceq v \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 = s)))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forces_eq_abs :
 $\llbracket p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_forces\_eq}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow p \text{ forces}_a (t1 = t2)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forces_mem_abs :
 $\llbracket p \in M ; t1 \in M ; t2 \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{is\_forces\_mem}(p, t1, t2) \longleftrightarrow p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_eq_fm:
assumes  $p \in \text{nat}$   $l \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{nat}$   $t1 \in \text{nat}$   $t2 \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{nth}(p, \text{env}) = P$   $\text{nth}(l, \text{env}) = \text{leq}$ 
shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_eq\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{is\_forces\_eq}(\text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_mem_fm:
assumes  $p \in \text{nat}$   $l \in \text{nat}$   $q \in \text{nat}$   $t1 \in \text{nat}$   $t2 \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{nth}(p, \text{env}) = P$   $\text{nth}(l, \text{env}) = \text{leq}$ 
shows  $\text{sats}(M, \text{forces\_mem\_fm}(p, l, q, t1, t2), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
 $\text{is\_forces\_mem}(\text{nth}(q, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t1, \text{env}), \text{nth}(t2, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{forces\_neq} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\_\_ \text{forces}_a '(\_\_ \neq \_\_)') [36, 1, 1] 60)$  where
 $p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \neq t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 = t2))$ 

definition
 $\text{forces\_nmem} :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\_\_ \text{forces}_a '(\_\_ \notin \_\_)') [36, 1, 1] 60)$  where
 $p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \notin t2) \equiv \neg (\exists q \in P. q \preceq p \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2))$ 

lemma forces_neq :
 $p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \neq t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_neq}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma forces_nmemb :
 $p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \notin t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{forces\_nmemb}'(P, \text{leq}, p, t1, t2)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

abbreviation Forces ::  $[i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\_\_ \Vdash \_\_ \_\_ [36, 36, 36] 60)$  where
 $p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env} \equiv M, ([p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env}) \models \text{forces}(\varphi)$ 

lemma sats_forces_Member :

```

```

assumes  $x \in \text{nat}$   $y \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   

 $\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) = xx$   $\text{nth}(y, \text{env}) = yy$   $q \in M$   

shows  $q \Vdash \cdot x \in y \cdot \text{env} \longleftrightarrow q \in P \wedge \text{is\_forces\_mem}(q, xx, yy)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_Equal :  

assumes  $x \in \text{nat}$   $y \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   

 $\text{nth}(x, \text{env}) = xx$   $\text{nth}(y, \text{env}) = yy$   $q \in M$   

shows  $q \Vdash \cdot x = y \cdot \text{env} \longleftrightarrow q \in P \wedge \text{is\_forces\_eq}(q, xx, yy)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_Nand :  

assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $\psi \in \text{formula}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   $p \in M$   

shows  $p \Vdash \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi) \cdot \text{env} \longleftrightarrow$   

 $p \in P \wedge \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge \text{is\_leq}(\#\#M, \text{leq}, q, p)) \wedge$   

 $(M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}'(\varphi)) \wedge (M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}'(\psi))$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_Neg :  

assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   $p \in M$   

shows  $p \Vdash \neg\varphi \cdot \text{env} \longleftrightarrow$   

 $(p \in P \wedge \neg(\exists q \in M. q \in P \wedge \text{is\_leq}(\#\#M, \text{leq}, q, p)) \wedge$   

 $(M, [q, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}'(\varphi)))$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma sats_forces_Forall :  

assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   $p \in M$   

shows  $p \Vdash (\forall \varphi) \text{env} \longleftrightarrow p \in P \wedge (\forall x \in M. M, [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, x] @ \text{env} \models \text{forces}'(\varphi))$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

```

32.9 The arity of *forces*

```

lemma arity_forces_at:  

assumes  $x \in \text{nat}$   $y \in \text{nat}$   

shows  $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(\text{Member}(x, y))) = (\text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)) \# + 4$   

 $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(\text{Equal}(x, y))) = (\text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y)) \# + 4$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_forces':  

assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   

shows  $\text{arity}(\text{forces}'(\varphi)) \leq \text{arity}(\varphi) \# + 4$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_forces :  

assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   

shows  $\text{arity}(\text{forces}(\varphi)) \leq 4\# + \text{arity}(\varphi)$   

 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma arity_forces_le :
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$   $n \in nat$   $arity(\varphi) \leq n$ 
  shows  $arity(forces(\varphi)) \leq 4\# + n$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

```

33 The Forcing Theorems

```

theory Forcing_Theorems
  imports
    Forces_Definition

```

```
begin
```

```

context forcing_data
begin

```

33.1 The forcing relation in context

```

lemma Collect_forces :
  assumes
    fty:  $\varphi \in formula$  and
    far:  $arity(\varphi) \leq length(env)$  and
    envy:  $env \in list(M)$ 
  shows
     $\{p \in P . p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}\} \in M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma forces_mem_iff_dense_below:  $p \in P \implies p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2) \longleftrightarrow \text{dense\_below}($ 
   $\{q \in P . \exists s. \exists r. r \in P \wedge \langle s, r \rangle \in t2 \wedge q \preceq r \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (t1 = s)\}$ 
   $, p)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

33.2 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)

```

lemma strengthening_eq:
  assumes  $p \in P$   $r \in P$   $r \preceq p$   $p \text{ forces}_a (t1 = t2)$ 
  shows  $r \text{ forces}_a (t1 = t2)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

33.3 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(a)

```

lemma strengthening_mem:
  assumes  $p \in P$   $r \in P$   $r \preceq p$   $p \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2)$ 
  shows  $r \text{ forces}_a (t1 \in t2)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

33.4 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.37(b)

```
lemma density_mem:
assumes p ∈ P
shows p forcesa (t1 ∈ t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  dense_below({q ∈ P. q forcesa (t1 ∈ t2)}, p)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma aux_density_eq:
assumes
  dense_below(
    {q' ∈ P. ∀ q. q ∈ P ∧ q ⊲ q'  $\longrightarrow$  q forcesa (s ∈ t1)  $\longleftrightarrow$  q forcesa (s ∈ t2)}
    , p)
  q forcesa (s ∈ t1) q ∈ P p ∈ P q ⊲ p
shows
  dense_below({r ∈ P. r forcesa (s ∈ t2)}, q)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma density_eq:
assumes p ∈ P
shows p forcesa (t1 = t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$  dense_below({q ∈ P. q forcesa (t1 = t2)}, p)
⟨proof⟩
```

33.5 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.38

```
lemma not_forces_neq:
assumes p ∈ P
shows p forcesa (t1 = t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  (∃ q ∈ P. q ⊲ p ∧ q forcesa (t1 ≠ t2))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma not_forces_nmem:
assumes p ∈ P
shows p forcesa (t1 ∈ t2)  $\longleftrightarrow$   $\neg$  (∃ q ∈ P. q ⊲ p ∧ q forcesa (t1 ∉ t2))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma sats_forces_Nand':
assumes
  p ∈ P φ ∈ formula ψ ∈ formula env ∈ list(M)
shows
  (M, [p, P, leq, one] @ env ⊨ forces(Nand(φ, ψ)))  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
   $\neg$ (∃ q ∈ M. q ∈ P ∧ is_leq(#M, leq, q, p) ∧
    (M, [q, P, leq, one] @ env ⊨ forces(φ)) ∧
    (M, [q, P, leq, one] @ env ⊨ forces(ψ)))
⟨proof⟩
```

```

lemma sats_forces_Neg':
assumes
   $p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula$ 
shows
   $(M, [p, P, leq, one] @ env \models forces(Neg(\varphi))) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $\neg(\exists q \in M. \ q \in P \wedge is\_leq(\#M, leq, q, p) \wedge$ 
     $(M, [q, P, leq, one] @ env \models forces(\varphi)))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma sats_forces_Forall':
assumes
   $p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula$ 
shows
   $(M, [p, P, leq, one] @ env \models forces(Forall(\varphi))) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\forall x \in M. \ M, [p, P, leq, one, x] @ env \models forces(\varphi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

33.6 The relation of forcing and atomic formulas

```

lemma Forces_Equal:
assumes
   $p \in P \quad t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad env \in list(M) \quad nth(n, env) = t1 \quad nth(m, env) = t2 \quad n \in nat \quad m \in nat$ 
shows
   $(p \Vdash Equal(n, m) \ env) \longleftrightarrow p \ forces_a (t1 = t2)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Forces_Member:
assumes
   $p \in P \quad t1 \in M \quad t2 \in M \quad env \in list(M) \quad nth(n, env) = t1 \quad nth(m, env) = t2 \quad n \in nat \quad m \in nat$ 
shows
   $(p \Vdash Member(n, m) \ env) \longleftrightarrow p \ forces_a (t1 \in t2)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Forces_Neg:
assumes
   $p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula$ 
shows
   $(p \Vdash Neg(\varphi) \ env) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists q \in M. \ q \in P \wedge q \leq p \wedge (q \Vdash \varphi \ env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

33.7 The relation of forcing and connectives

```

lemma Forces_Nand:
assumes
   $p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula \quad \psi \in formula$ 
shows
   $(p \Vdash Nand(\varphi, \psi) \ env) \longleftrightarrow \neg(\exists q \in M. \ q \in P \wedge q \leq p \wedge (q \Vdash \varphi \ env) \wedge (q \Vdash \psi \ env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma Forces_And_aux:

```

assumes
 $p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula \quad \psi \in formula$
shows
 $p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) \quad env \iff (\forall q \in M. \ q \leq p \longrightarrow (\exists r \in M. \ r \in P \wedge r \leq q \wedge (r \Vdash \varphi \quad env) \wedge (r \Vdash \psi \quad env)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_And_iff_dense_below*:
assumes
 $p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula \quad \psi \in formula$
shows
 $(p \Vdash And(\varphi, \psi) \quad env) \iff dense_below(\{r \in P. \ (r \Vdash \varphi \quad env) \wedge (r \Vdash \psi \quad env)\}, p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Forces_Forall*:
assumes
 $p \in P \quad env \in list(M) \quad \varphi \in formula$
shows
 $(p \Vdash Forall(\varphi) \quad env) \iff (\forall x \in M. \ (p \Vdash \varphi ([x] @ env)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

bundle *some_rules* = *elem_of_val_pair* [dest] *SepReplace_iff* [simp del] *SepReplace_iff*[iff]

context

includes *some_rules*
begin

lemma *elem_of_valI*: $\exists \vartheta. \exists p \in P. \ p \in G \wedge \langle \vartheta, p \rangle \in \pi \wedge val(P, G, \vartheta) = x \implies x \in val(P, G, \pi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *GenExtD*: $x \in M[G] \iff (\exists \tau \in M. \ x = val(P, G, \tau))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *left_in_M* : $tau \in M \implies \langle a, b \rangle \in tau \implies a \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.8 Kunen 2013, Lemma IV.2.29

lemma *generic_inter_dense_below*:
assumes $D \in M \quad M_generic(G) \quad dense_below(D, p) \quad p \in G$
shows $D \cap G \neq \emptyset$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.9 Auxiliary results for Lemma IV.2.40(a)

lemma *IV240a_mem_Collect*:
assumes
 $\pi \in M \quad \tau \in M$
shows

$\{q \in P. \exists \sigma. \exists r. r \in P \wedge \langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau \wedge q \leq r \wedge q \text{ forces}_a (\pi = \sigma)\} \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma IV240a_mem:

assumes

$M_generic(G) p \in G \pi \in M \tau \in M p \text{ forces}_a (\pi \in \tau)$
 $\wedge q \sigma. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \implies q \text{ forces}_a (\pi = \sigma) \implies$
 $\text{val}(P, G, \pi) = \text{val}(P, G, \sigma)$

shows

$\text{val}(P, G, \pi) \in \text{val}(P, G, \tau)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma refl_forces_eq:p:P $\implies p \text{ forces}_a (x = x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma forces_memI: $\langle \sigma, r \rangle \in \tau \implies p \in P \implies r \in P \implies p \leq r \implies p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma IV240a_eq_1st_incl:

assumes

$M_generic(G) p \in G p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \sigma. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau) \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \in \text{val}(P, G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \vartheta) \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \in \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta))$

shows

$\text{val}(P, G, \tau) \subseteq \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma IV240a_eq_2nd_incl:

assumes

$M_generic(G) p \in G p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta)$

and

$IH: \bigwedge q \sigma. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in \text{domain}(\tau) \cup \text{domain}(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau) \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \in \text{val}(P, G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \vartheta) \longrightarrow \text{val}(P, G, \sigma) \in \text{val}(P, G, \vartheta))$

shows

$\text{val}(P, G, \vartheta) \subseteq \text{val}(P, G, \tau)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma IV240a_eq:

assumes

$M_generic(G)$ $p \in G$ p forces_a ($\tau = \vartheta$)
and
 $IH: \bigwedge q \sigma. q \in P \implies q \in G \implies \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies$
 $(q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau) \longrightarrow val(P, G, \sigma) \in val(P, G, \tau)) \wedge$
 $(q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(P, G, \sigma) \in val(P, G, \vartheta))$
shows
 $val(P, G, \tau) = val(P, G, \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.10 Induction on names

lemma *core_induction*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \vartheta p. p \in P \implies [\![\bigwedge q \sigma. [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(0, \tau, \sigma, q)]\!] \implies Q(1, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta p. p \in P \implies [\![\bigwedge q \sigma. [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(1, \sigma, \tau, q)]\!] \implies Q(1, \sigma, \tau, p)$
 $\wedge Q(1, \sigma, \vartheta, q) \implies Q(0, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
 $ft \in \mathcal{F} p \in P$
shows
 $Q(ft, \tau, \vartheta, p)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_induction_with_cons*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \vartheta p. p \in P \implies [\![\bigwedge q \sigma. [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\vartheta)] \implies Q(q, \tau, \sigma)]\!] \implies R(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta p. p \in P \implies [\![\bigwedge q \sigma. [q \in P ; \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta)] \implies R(q, \sigma, \tau) \wedge$
 $R(q, \sigma, \vartheta)]\!] \implies Q(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $p \in P$
shows
 $Q(p, \tau, \vartheta) \wedge R(p, \tau, \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *forces_induction*:

assumes

$\bigwedge \tau \vartheta. [\![\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in domain(\vartheta) \implies Q(\tau, \sigma)]\!] \implies R(\tau, \vartheta)$
 $\bigwedge \tau \vartheta. [\![\bigwedge \sigma. \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta) \implies R(\sigma, \tau) \wedge R(\sigma, \vartheta)]\!] \implies Q(\tau, \vartheta)$

shows

$Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge R(\tau, \vartheta)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

33.11 Lemma IV.2.40(a), in full

lemma *IV240a*:

assumes

$M_generic(G)$

shows

$(\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow (\forall p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(P, G, \tau) = val(P, G, \vartheta)))$
 \wedge
 $(\tau \in M \longrightarrow \vartheta \in M \longrightarrow (\forall p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau \in \vartheta) \longrightarrow val(P, G, \tau) \in val(P, G, \vartheta)))$
 $(\text{is } ?Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge ?R(\tau, \vartheta))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

33.12 Lemma IV.2.40(b)

lemma *IV240b_mem*:

assumes

M_generic(G) val(P,G,π) ∈ val(P,G,τ) π ∈ M τ ∈ M

and

IH: ∏σ. σ ∈ domain(τ) ⇒ val(P,G,π) = val(P,G,σ) ⇒
 $\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\pi = \sigma)$

shows

$\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\pi \in \tau)$

{proof}

end

lemma *Collect_forces_eq_in_M*:

assumes $\tau \in M \vartheta \in M$

shows $\{p \in P. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta)\} \in M$

{proof}

lemma *IV240b_eq_Collects*:

assumes $\tau \in M \vartheta \in M$

shows $\{p \in P. \exists \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta). p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau) \wedge p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \notin \vartheta)\} \in M$ **and**

$\{p \in P. \exists \sigma \in domain(\tau) \cup domain(\vartheta). p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \notin \tau) \wedge p \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \vartheta)\} \in M$

{proof}

lemma *IV240b_eq*:

assumes

M_generic(G) val(P,G,τ) = val(P,G,θ) τ ∈ M θ ∈ M

and

IH: ∏σ. σ ∈ domain(τ) ∪ domain(θ) ⇒

$(val(P,G,σ) \in val(P,G,τ) \rightarrow (\exists q \in G. q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \tau))) \wedge$
 $(val(P,G,σ) \in val(P,G,θ) \rightarrow (\exists q \in G. q \text{ forces}_a (\sigma \in \theta)))$

shows

$\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta)$

{proof}

lemma *IV240b*:

assumes

M_generic(G)

shows

$(\tau \in M \rightarrow \vartheta \in M \rightarrow val(P,G,τ) = val(P,G,θ) \rightarrow (\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau = \vartheta))) \wedge$

$(\tau \in M \rightarrow \vartheta \in M \rightarrow val(P,G,τ) \in val(P,G,θ) \rightarrow (\exists p \in G. p \text{ forces}_a (\tau \in \vartheta)))$

(is $?Q(\tau, \vartheta) \wedge ?R(\tau, \vartheta)$ **)**

{proof}

```

lemma map_val_in_MG:
  assumes
    env ∈ list(M)
  shows
    map(val(P,G),env) ∈ list(M[G])
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma truth_lemma_mem:
  assumes
    env ∈ list(M) M_generic(G)
    n ∈ nat m ∈ nat n < length(env) m < length(env)
  shows
    (exists p ∈ G. p ⊢ Member(n,m) env) ←→ M[G], map(val(P,G),env) ⊨ Member(n,m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma truth_lemma_eq:
  assumes
    env ∈ list(M) M_generic(G)
    n ∈ nat m ∈ nat n < length(env) m < length(env)
  shows
    (exists p ∈ G. p ⊢ Equal(n,m) env) ←→ M[G], map(val(P,G),env) ⊨ Equal(n,m)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arities_at_aux:
  assumes
    n ∈ nat m ∈ nat env ∈ list(M) succ(n) ∪ succ(m) ≤ length(env)
  shows
    n < length(env) m < length(env)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

33.13 The Strenghtening Lemma

```

lemma strengthening_lemma:
  assumes
    p ∈ P φ ∈ formula r ∈ P r ⊢ p
    env ∈ list(M) arity(φ) ≤ length(env)
  shows
    p ⊢ φ env ==> r ⊢ φ env
  ⟨proof⟩

```

33.14 The Density Lemma

```

lemma arity_Nand_le:
  assumes φ ∈ formula ψ ∈ formula arity(Nand(φ, ψ)) ≤ length(env) env ∈ list(A)
  shows arity(φ) ≤ length(env) arity(ψ) ≤ length(env)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma dense_below_imp_forces:
  assumes
    p ∈ P φ ∈ formula

```

env ∈ *list*(*M*) *arity*(φ) ≤ *length*(*env*)
shows
 $\text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. (q \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})\}, p) \implies (p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *density_lemma*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env} \iff \text{dense_below}(\{q \in P. (q \Vdash \varphi \text{ env})\}, p)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

33.15 The Truth Lemma

lemma *Forces_And*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \psi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $p \Vdash \text{And}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env} \iff (p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \wedge (p \Vdash \psi \text{ env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Forces_Nand_alt*:

assumes
 $p \in P \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \psi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$
shows
 $(p \Vdash \text{Nand}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env}) \iff (p \Vdash \text{Neg}(\text{And}(\varphi, \psi)) \text{ env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_Neg*:

assumes
 $\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad M_generic(G) \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{and}$
 $IH: (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi$
shows
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \text{Neg}(\varphi) \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \text{Neg}(\varphi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *truth_lemma_And*:

assumes
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \psi \in \text{formula}$
 $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad \text{arity}(\psi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env}) \quad M_generic(G)$
and
 $IH: (\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi$
 $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \psi \text{ env}) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \psi$
shows
 $(\exists p \in G. (p \Vdash \text{And}(\varphi, \psi) \text{ env})) \iff M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \text{And}(\varphi, \psi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

definition
ren_truth_lemma ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
   $\text{ren\_truth\_lemma}(\varphi) \equiv$ 
     $\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{Exists}($ 
       $\text{And}(\text{Equal}(0,5), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(1,8), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(2,9), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(3,10), \text{And}(\text{Equal}(4,6),$ 
         $\text{iterates}(\lambda p. \text{incr\_bv}(p) \cdot 5, 6, \varphi)))))))$ 

lemma  $\text{ren\_truth\_lemma\_type}[TC] :$ 
   $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{ren\_truth\_lemma}(\varphi) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{arity\_ren\_truth} :$ 
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ 
  shows  $\text{arity}(\text{ren\_truth\_lemma}(\varphi)) \leq 6 \cup \text{succ}(\text{arity}(\varphi))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{sats\_ren\_truth\_lemma}:$ 
   $[q, b, d, a1, a2, a3] @ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$ 
   $(M, [q, b, d, a1, a2, a3] @ \text{env} \models \text{ren\_truth\_lemma}(\varphi)) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(M, [q, a1, a2, a3, b] @ \text{env} \models \varphi)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{truth\_lemma}' :$ 
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{succ}(\text{length}(\text{env}))$ 
  shows
     $\text{separation}(\#\# M, \lambda d. \exists b \in M. \forall q \in P. q \leq d \longrightarrow \neg(q \Vdash \varphi ([b] @ \text{env})))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{truth\_lemma}:$ 
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula} \quad M\_generic(G)$ 
     $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
  shows
     $(\exists p \in G. p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

33.16 The “Definition of forcing”

```

lemma  $\text{definition\_of\_forcing}:$ 
  assumes
     $p \in P \quad \varphi \in \text{formula} \quad \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \quad \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
  shows
     $(p \Vdash \varphi \text{ env}) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\forall G. M\_generic(G) \wedge p \in G \longrightarrow M[G], \text{map}(\text{val}(P, G), \text{env}) \models \varphi)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemmas  $\text{definability} = \text{forces\_type}$ 

```

```
end
```

```
end
```

34 Auxiliary renamings for Separation

```
theory Separation_Rename
```

```
  imports Interface Renaming
```

```
begin
```

```
lemmas apply_fun = apply_ifff[THEN iffD1]
```

```
lemma nth_concat : [p,t] ∈ list(A) ⇒ env ∈ list(A) ⇒ nth(1 #+ length(env),[p]@  
env @ [t]) = t
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma nth_concat2 : env ∈ list(A) ⇒ nth(length(env),env @ [p,t]) = p  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma nth_concat3 : env ∈ list(A) ⇒ u = nth(succ(length(env)), env @ [pi, u])  
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition
```

```
sep_var :: i ⇒ i where
```

```
sep_var(n) ≡ {⟨0,1⟩,⟨1,3⟩,⟨2,4⟩,⟨3,5⟩,⟨4,0⟩,⟨5#+n,6⟩,⟨6#+n,2⟩}
```

```
definition
```

```
sep_env :: i ⇒ i where
```

```
sep_env(n) ≡ λ i . (5#+n)-5 . i#+2
```

```
definition weak :: [i, i] ⇒ i where
```

```
weak(n,m) ≡ {i#+m . i ∈ n}
```

```
lemma weakD :
```

```
assumes n ∈ nat k ∈ nat x ∈ weak(n,k)
```

```
shows ∃ i ∈ n . x = i#+k
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma weak_equal :
```

```
assumes n ∈ nat m ∈ nat
```

```
shows weak(n,m) = (m#+n) - m
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma weak_zero:
```

```
shows weak(0,n) = 0
```

```
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma weakening_diff :
```

```

assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{weak}(n, 7) - \text{weak}(n, 5) \subseteq \{5\# + n, 6\# + n\}$ 
(proof)

lemma in_add_del :
assumes  $x \in j\# + n$   $n \in \text{nat}$   $j \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $x < j \vee x \in \text{weak}(n, j)$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_env_action:
assumes
 $[t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\forall i . i \in \text{weak}(\text{length}(\text{env}), 5) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{nth}(\text{sep\_env}(\text{length}(\text{env})), i, [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env}) = \text{nth}(i, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ \text{env}$ 
 $@ [pi, u])$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_env_type :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{sep\_env}(n) : (5\# + n) - 5 \rightarrow (7\# + n) - 7$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_var_fin_type :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{sep\_var}(n) : 7\# + n - || > 7\# + n$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_var_domain :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{domain}(\text{sep\_var}(n)) = 7\# + n - \text{weak}(n, 5)$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_var_type :
assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
shows  $\text{sep\_var}(n) : (7\# + n) - \text{weak}(n, 5) \rightarrow 7\# + n$ 
(proof)

lemma sep_var_action :
assumes
 $[t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] \in \text{list}(M)$ 
 $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
shows  $\forall i . i \in (7\# + \text{length}(\text{env})) - \text{weak}(\text{length}(\text{env}), 5) \longrightarrow$ 
 $\text{nth}(\text{sep\_var}(\text{length}(\text{env})), i, [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ \text{env}) = \text{nth}(i, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ \text{env}$ 
 $@ [pi, u])$ 
(proof)

```

definition

```

rensep ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
rensep( $n$ )  $\equiv$  union_fun(sep_var( $n$ ), sep_env( $n$ ),  $\lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5)$ , weak( $n, 5$ ))

lemma rensep_aux :
  assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
  shows  $(\lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5)) \cup \text{weak}(n, 5) = \lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5)$ 
     $= \lambda n. (\lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5)) \cup (\lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5)) = \lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rensep_type :
  assumes  $n \in \text{nat}$ 
  shows rensep( $n$ )  $\in \lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5) \rightarrow \lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma rensep_action :
  assumes  $[t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows  $\forall i. i < \lambda n. \text{length}(env) \longrightarrow \text{nth}(\text{rensep}(\text{length}(env)), i, [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ env) = \text{nth}(i, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ env @ [pi, u])$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

definition sep_ren ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
sep_ren( $n, \varphi$ )  $\equiv$   $\varphi(\lambda n. \text{weak}(n, 5)) \circ \text{rensep}(n)$ 

lemma arity_rensep : assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq \lambda n. \text{length}(env)$ 
  shows arity(sep_ren(length(env),  $\varphi$ ))  $\leq \lambda n. \text{length}(env)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma type_rensep [TC] :
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$   $env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows sep_ren(length(env),  $\varphi$ )  $\in \text{formula}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sepren_action:
  assumes arity( $\varphi$ )  $\leq \lambda n. \text{length}(env)$ 
   $[t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] \in \text{list}(M)$ 
   $env \in \text{list}(M)$ 
   $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ 
  shows sats( $M, \text{sep\_ren}(\text{length}(env), \varphi), [t, p, u, P, \text{leq}, o, pi] @ env$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  sats( $M, \varphi, [p, P, \text{leq}, o, t] @ env @ [pi, u]$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

35 The Axiom of Separation in $M[G]$

```

theory Separation_Axiom
  imports Forcing_Theorems Separation_Rename
begin

```

```

context  $G\_generic$ 
begin

lemma  $map\_val$  :
  assumes  $env \in list(M[G])$ 
  shows  $\exists nenv \in list(M). env = map(val(P,G),nenv)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $Collect\_sats\_in\_MG$  :
  assumes
     $c \in M[G]$ 
     $\varphi \in formula$   $env \in list(M[G])$   $arity(\varphi) \leq 1 \# + length(env)$ 
  shows
     $\{x \in c. (M[G], [x] @ env \models \varphi)\} \in M[G]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem  $separation\_in\_MG$ :
  assumes
     $\varphi \in formula$  and  $arity(\varphi) \leq 1 \# + length(env)$  and  $env \in list(M[G])$ 
  shows
     $separation(\#\# M[G], \lambda x. (M[G], [x] @ env \models \varphi))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

36 The Axiom of Pairing in $M[G]$

```

theory  $Pairing\_Axiom$  imports  $Names$  begin

context  $forcing\_data$ 
begin

lemma  $val\_Upair$  :
   $one \in G \implies val(P,G,\{\langle \tau, one \rangle, \langle \varrho, one \rangle\}) = \{val(P,G,\tau), val(P,G,\varrho)\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $pairing\_in\_MG$  :
  assumes  $M\_generic(G)$ 
  shows  $upair\_ax(\#\# M[G])$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

37 The Axiom of Unions in $M[G]$

```

theory Union_Axiom
  imports Names
begin

context forcing_data
begin

definition Union_name_body :: [i,i,i,i] ⇒ o where
  Union_name_body(P',leq',τ,ϑp) ≡ (exists σ[##M].
    exists q[##M]. (q ∈ P' ∧ ⟨⟨σ,q⟩⟩ ∈ τ ∧
      exists r[##M]. r ∈ P' ∧ ⟨⟨fst(ϑp),r⟩⟩ ∈ σ ∧ ⟨⟨snd(ϑp),r⟩⟩ ∈ leq' ∧ ⟨⟨snd(ϑp),q⟩⟩ ∈ leq'))))

definition Union_name_fm :: i where
  Union_name_fm ≡
    exists(
      exists(And(pair_fm(1,0,2),
      exists (
        exists (And(Member(0,7),
        exists (And(And(pair_fm(2,1,0),Member(0,6)),
        exists (And(Member(0,9),
        exists (And(And(pair_fm(6,1,0),Member(0,4)),
        exists (And(And(pair_fm(6,2,0),Member(0,10)),
        exists (And(pair_fm(7,5,0),Member(0,11))))))))))))))))))

lemma Union_name_fm_type [TC]:
  Union_name_fm ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma arity_Union_name_fm :
  arity(Union_name_fm) = 4
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma sats_Union_name_fm :
  [| env ∈ list(M); P' ∈ M ; p ∈ M ; ϑ ∈ M ; τ ∈ M ; leq' ∈ M |] ==>
  sats(M,Union_name_fm,[⟨ϑ,p⟩,τ,leq',P']@env) ←→
  Union_name_body(P',leq',τ,⟨ϑ,p⟩)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition Union_name :: i ⇒ i where
  Union_name(τ) ≡
  {u ∈ domain(Union_name(τ)) × P . Union_name_body(P,leq,τ,u)}

lemma Union_name_M : assumes τ ∈ M
  shows Union_name(τ) ∈ M

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma Union_MG_Eq :  
  assumes a ∈ M[G] and a = val(P, G, τ) and filter(G) and τ ∈ M  
  shows ∪ a = val(P, G, Union_name(τ))  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma union_in_MG : assumes filter(G)  
  shows Union_ax(##M[G])  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
theorem Union_MG : M_generic(G) ==> Union_ax(##M[G])  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end  
end
```

38 The Powerset Axiom in $M[G]$

```
theory Powerset_Axiom  
  imports Renaming_Auto Separation_Axiom Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom  
begin
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
lemma Collect_inter_Transset:  
  assumes  
    Transset(M) b ∈ M  
  shows {x ∈ b . P(x)} = {x ∈ b . P(x)} ∩ M  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
context G_generic begin
```

```
lemma name_components_in_M:  
  assumes ⟨σ, p⟩ ∈ θ θ ∈ M  
  shows σ ∈ M p ∈ M  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma sats_fst_snd_in_M:  
  assumes  
    A ∈ M B ∈ M φ ∈ formula p ∈ M l ∈ M o ∈ M χ ∈ M  
    arity(φ) ≤ 6  
  shows {⟨s, q⟩ ∈ A × B . M, [q, p, l, o, s, χ] ⊨ φ} ∈ M  
    (is ?θ ∈ M)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma Pow_inter_MG:
```

```

assumes
   $a \in M[G]$ 
shows
   $Pow(a) \cap M[G] \in M[G]$ 
<proof>
end

context  $G_{generic}$  begin

interpretation  $mgtriv: M_{trivial} \#\# M[G]$ 
<proof>

theorem  $power\_in\_MG : power\_ax(\#\#(M[G]))$ 
<proof>

end

end

```

39 The Axiom of Extensionality in $M[G]$

```

theory  $Extensionality\_Axiom$ 
imports
   $Names$ 
begin

context  $forcing\_data$ 
begin

lemma  $extensionality\_in\_MG : extensionality(\#\#(M[G]))$ 
<proof>

end
end

```

40 The Axiom of Foundation in $M[G]$

```

theory  $Foundation\_Axiom$ 
imports
   $Names$ 
begin

context  $forcing\_data$ 
begin

```

```
lemma foundation_in_MG : foundation_ax(##(M[G]))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma foundation_ax(##(M[G]))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
end
```

41 The Axiom of Replacement in $M[G]$

```
theory Replacement_Axiom
  imports
    Least_Relative_Univ Separation_Axiom Renaming_Auto
  begin
```

⟨ML⟩

```
definition renrep_fn ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  renrep_fn(env) ≡ rsum(renrep1_fn, id(length(env)), 6, 8, length(env))
```

```
definition
  renrep ::  $[i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
  renrep( $\varphi$ , env) = ren( $\varphi$ ) ‘(6#+length(env)) ‘(8#+length(env)) ‘renrep_fn(env)
```

```
lemma renrep_type [TC]:
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$  env ∈ list( $M$ )
  shows renrep( $\varphi$ , env) ∈ formula
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma arity_renrep:
  assumes  $\varphi \in formula$  arity( $\varphi$ ) ≤ 6#+length(env) env ∈ list( $M$ )
  shows arity(renrep( $\varphi$ , env)) ≤ 8#+length(env)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma renrep_sats :
  assumes arity( $\varphi$ ) ≤ 6 #+ length(env)
    [ $P, leq, o, p, \varrho, \tau$ ] @ env ∈ list( $M$ )
     $V \in M$   $\alpha \in M$ 
     $\varphi \in formula$ 
  shows sats( $M, \varphi, [p, P, leq, o, \varrho, \tau]$  @ env) ↔ sats( $M, renrep(\varphi, env), [V, \tau, \varrho, p, \alpha, P, leq, o]$ 
  @ env)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

⟨ML⟩

```
definition renpbdy_fn ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
  renpbdy_fn(env) ≡ rsum(renpbdy1_fn, id(length(env)), 6, 7, length(env))
```

definition

renpbdy :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{renpbdy}(\varphi, \text{env}) = \text{ren}(\varphi) \cdot (6\# + \text{length}(\text{env})) \cdot (7\# + \text{length}(\text{env})) \cdot \text{renpbdy_fn}(\text{env})$

lemma

renpbdy_type [TC]: $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \text{renpbdy}(\varphi, \text{env}) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_renpbdy*: $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 6\# + \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$
 $\implies \text{arity}(\text{renpbdy}(\varphi, \text{env})) \leq 7\# + \text{length}(\text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

sats_renpbdy: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 6\# + \text{length}(\text{nenv}) \implies [\varrho, p, x, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, o, \pi] @ \text{nenv} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$
 $\text{sats}(M, \varphi, [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{nenv}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{renpbdy}(\varphi, \text{nenv}), [\varrho, p, x, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{nenv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition *renbody_fn* :: $i \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{renbody_fn}(\text{env}) \equiv \text{rsum}(\text{renbody1_fn}, \text{id}(\text{length}(\text{env})), 5, 6, \text{length}(\text{env}))$

definition

renbody :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

$\text{renbody}(\varphi, \text{env}) = \text{ren}(\varphi) \cdot (5\# + \text{length}(\text{env})) \cdot (6\# + \text{length}(\text{env})) \cdot \text{renbody_fn}(\text{env})$

lemma

renbody_type [TC]: $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \text{renbody}(\varphi, \text{env}) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *arity_renbody*: $\varphi \in \text{formula} \implies \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 5\# + \text{length}(\text{env}) \implies \text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$
 $\implies \text{arity}(\text{renbody}(\varphi, \text{env})) \leq 6\# + \text{length}(\text{env})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

sats_renbody: $\text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 5\# + \text{length}(\text{nenv}) \implies [\alpha, x, m, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{nenv} \in \text{list}(M) \implies \varphi \in \text{formula} \implies$
 $\text{sats}(M, \varphi, [\alpha, x, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{nenv}) \longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, \text{renbody}(\varphi, \text{nenv}), [\alpha, x, m, P, \text{leq}, o] @ \text{nenv})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *G_generic*
begin

```

lemma pow_inter_M:
  assumes
     $x \in M \ y \in M$ 
  shows
     $\text{powerset}(\#\#M, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = \text{Pow}(x) \cap M$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

schematic_goal sats_prebody_fm_auto:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula} [P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, p, \varrho, \pi] @ nenv \in \text{list}(M) \ \alpha \in M \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + \text{length}(nenv)$ 
  shows
     $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. \text{is\_Vset}(\#\#M, \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge \text{sats}(M, \text{forces}(\varphi), [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, \varrho, \tau] @ nenv))$ 
     $\longleftrightarrow \text{sats}(M, ?\text{prebody\_fm}, [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ nenv)$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma prebody_fm_type [TC]:
  assumes  $\varphi \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$ 
  shows  $\text{prebody\_fm}(\varphi, \text{env}) \in \text{formula}$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

declare is_eclose_fm_def[fm_definitions]
  is_eclose_fm_def[fm_definitions]
  mem_eclose_fm_def[fm_definitions]
  eclose_n_fm_def[fm_definitions]

lemma sats_prebody_fm:
  assumes
     $[P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, p, \varrho] @ nenv \in \text{list}(M) \ \varphi \in \text{formula} \ \alpha \in M \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + \text{length}(nenv)$ 
  shows
     $\text{sats}(M, \text{prebody\_fm}(\varphi, nenv), [\varrho, p, \alpha, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. \text{is\_Vset}(\#\#M, \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge \text{sats}(M, \text{forces}(\varphi), [p, P, \text{leq}, \text{one}, \varrho, \tau] @ nenv))$ 
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma arity_prebody_fm:
  assumes
     $\varphi \in \text{formula} \ \alpha \in M \ \text{env} \in \text{list}(M) \ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \ \# + \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
  shows
     $\text{arity}(\text{prebody\_fm}(\varphi, \text{env})) \leq 6 \ \# + \text{length}(\text{env})$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

definition

body_fm' :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

body_fm'(φ, env) \equiv *Exists*(*Exists*(*And*(*pair_fm*(0,1,2), *renpbdy*(*prebody_fm*(φ, env), env))))

lemma *body_fm'_type*[TC]: $\varphi \in formula \implies env \in list(M) \implies body_{fm'}(\varphi, env) \in formula$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arity_body_fm'*:

assumes

$\varphi \in formula \ \alpha \in M \ env \in list(M) \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ length(env)$

shows

$arity(body_{fm'}(\varphi, env)) \leq 5 \ #+ length(env)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_body_fm'*:

assumes

$\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \ x \in M \ [\alpha, P, leq, one, p, \rho] @ nenv \in list(M) \ \varphi \in formula \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ length(nenv)$

shows

$sats(M, body_{fm'}(\varphi, nenv), [x, \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$

$sats(M, renpbdy(prebody_{fm}(\varphi, nenv), nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), x, \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition

body_fm :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**

body_fm(φ, env) \equiv *renbody*(*body_fm'*(φ, env), env)

lemma *body_fm_type*[TC]: $env \in list(M) \implies \varphi \in formula \implies body_{fm}(\varphi, env) \in formula$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_body_fm*:

assumes

$\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \ [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv \in list(M)$

$\varphi \in formula \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ length(nenv)$

shows

$sats(M, body_{fm}(\varphi, nenv), [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$

$sats(M, renpbdy(prebody_{fm}(\varphi, nenv), nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), x, \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sats_renpbdy_prebody_fm*:

assumes

$\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \ x \in M \ [\alpha, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv \in list(M)$

$\varphi \in formula \ arity(\varphi) \leq 2 \ #+ length(nenv)$

shows

$sats(M, renpbdy(prebody_{fm}(\varphi, nenv), nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), x, \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$

$sats(M, prebody_{fm}(\varphi, nenv), [fst(x), snd(x), \alpha, P, leq, one] @ nenv)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma body_lemma:
assumes
   $\exists t p. x = \langle t, p \rangle \in M [x, \alpha, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv \in list(M)$ 
   $\varphi \in formula \text{ arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(nenv)$ 
shows
   $sats(M, body\_fm(\varphi, nenv), [\alpha, x, m, P, leq, one] @ nenv) \longleftrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists \tau \in M. \exists V \in M. is\_Vset(\lambda a. (\#\# M)(a), \alpha, V) \wedge \tau \in V \wedge (snd(x) \Vdash \varphi ([fst(x), \tau] @ nenv)))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Replace_sats_in_MG:
assumes
   $c \in M[G]$ 
   $env \in list(M[G])$ 
   $\varphi \in formula \text{ arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env)$ 
   $univalent(\#\# M[G], c, \lambda x v. (M[G], [x, v] @ env \models \varphi))$ 
shows
   $\{v. x \in c, v \in M[G] \wedge (M[G], [x, v] @ env \models \varphi)\} \in M[G]$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem strong_replacement_in_MG:
assumes
   $\varphi \in formula \text{ and } \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 \# + length(env)$ 
   $env \in list(M[G])$ 
shows
   $strong\_replacement(\#\# M[G], \lambda x v. sats(M[G], \varphi, [x, v] @ env))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

42 The Axiom of Infinity in $M[G]$

```

theory Infinity_Axiom
  imports Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom Separation_Axiom
begin

context G_generic begin

interpretation mg_triv: M_trivial##M[G]
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma infinity_in_MG : infinity_ax(\#\# M[G])
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
end

```

43 The Axiom of Choice in $M[G]$

```

theory Choice_Axiom
imports Powerset_Axiom Pairing_Axiom Union_Axiom Extensionality_Axiom
Foundation_Axiom Powerset_Axiom Separation_Axiom
Replacement_Axiom Interface Infinity_Axiom Relativization
begin

definition
induced_surj ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
induced_surj( $f, a, e$ )  $\equiv f``(\text{range}(f) - a) \times \{e\} \cup \text{restrict}(f, f``a)$ 

lemma domain_induced_surj:  $\text{domain}(\text{induced\_surj}(f, a, e)) = \text{domain}(f)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma range_restrict_vimage:
assumes function( $f$ )
shows  $\text{range}(\text{restrict}(f, f``a)) \subseteq a$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma induced_surj_type:
assumes
function( $f$ )
shows
induced_surj( $f, a, e$ ):  $\text{domain}(f) \rightarrow \{e\} \cup a$ 
and
 $x \in f``a \implies \text{induced\_surj}(f, a, e)`x = f`x$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma induced_surj_is_surj :
assumes
 $e \in a$  function( $f$ )  $\text{domain}(f) = \alpha \wedge y \in a \implies \exists x \in \alpha. f`x = y$ 
shows
induced_surj( $f, a, e$ )  $\in \text{surj}(\alpha, a)$ 
⟨proof⟩

context G_generic
begin

definition
upair_name ::  $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
upair_name( $\tau, \varrho$ )  $\equiv \text{Upair}(\langle \tau, \text{one} \rangle, \langle \varrho, \text{one} \rangle)$ 

lemma Upair_simp :  $\text{Upair}(a, b) = \{a, b\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

lemma upair_name_abs :

```

```

assumes  $x \in M$   $y \in M$   $z \in M$ 
shows  $\text{is\_upair\_name}(\#\#M, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{upair\_name}(x, y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{opair\_name} :: i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$  where
 $\text{opair\_name}(\tau, \varrho) \equiv \text{upair\_name}(\text{upair\_name}(\tau, \tau), \text{upair\_name}(\tau, \varrho))$ 

 $\langle ML \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{upair\_name\_closed} :$ 
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{upair\_name}(x, y) \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{upair\_name\_fm} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
 $\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x, y, o, z) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(x\#+2, o\#+2, 1),$ 
 $\text{And}(\text{pair\_fm}(y\#+2, o\#+2, 0), \text{upair\_fm}(1, 0, z\#+2))))$ 

lemma  $\text{upair\_name\_fm\_type}[TC] :$ 
 $\llbracket s \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; o \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{upair\_name\_fm}(s, x, y, o) \in \text{formula}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{sats\_upair\_name\_fm} :$ 
assumes  $x \in \text{nat}$   $y \in \text{nat}$   $z \in \text{nat}$   $o \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   $\text{nth}(o, \text{env}) = \text{one}$ 
shows
 $\text{sats}(M, \text{upair\_name\_fm}(x, y, o, z), \text{env}) \longleftrightarrow \text{is\_upair\_name}(\#\#M, \text{nth}(x, \text{env}), \text{nth}(y, \text{env}), \text{nth}(z, \text{env}))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{opair\_name\_abs} :$ 
assumes  $x \in M$   $y \in M$   $z \in M$ 
shows  $\text{is\_opair\_name}(\#\#M, x, y, z) \longleftrightarrow z = \text{opair\_name}(x, y)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{opair\_name\_closed} :$ 
 $\llbracket x \in M; y \in M \rrbracket \implies \text{opair\_name}(x, y) \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

definition
 $\text{opair\_name\_fm} :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$  where
 $\text{opair\_name\_fm}(x, y, o, z) \equiv \text{Exists}(\text{Exists}(\text{And}(\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x\#+2, x\#+2, o\#+2, 1),$ 
 $\text{And}(\text{upair\_name\_fm}(x\#+2, y\#+2, o\#+2, 0), \text{upair\_name\_fm}(1, 0, o\#+2, z\#+2))))$ 

lemma  $\text{opair\_name\_fm\_type}[TC] :$ 
 $\llbracket s \in \text{nat}; x \in \text{nat}; y \in \text{nat}; o \in \text{nat} \rrbracket \implies \text{opair\_name\_fm}(s, x, y, o) \in \text{formula}$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\text{sats\_opair\_name\_fm} :$ 
assumes  $x \in \text{nat}$   $y \in \text{nat}$   $z \in \text{nat}$   $o \in \text{nat}$   $\text{env} \in \text{list}(M)$   $\text{nth}(o, \text{env}) = \text{one}$ 

```

shows
 $sats(M, opair_name_fm(x,y,o,z), env) \longleftrightarrow is_opair_name(\#\#M, nth(x,env), nth(y,env), nth(z,env))$

lemma $val_upair_name : val(P, G, upair_name(\tau, \varrho)) = \{val(P, G, \tau), val(P, G, \varrho)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_opair_name : val(P, G, opair_name(\tau, \varrho)) = \langle val(P, G, \tau), val(P, G, \varrho) \rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $val_RepFun_one : val(P, G, \{f(x), one\} . x \in a) = \{val(P, G, f(x)) . x \in a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

43.1 $M[G]$ is a transitive model of ZF

interpretation $mgzf : M_ZF_trans M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

$is_opname_check :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $is_opname_check(s, x, y) \equiv \exists chx \in M. \exists sx \in M. is_check(x, chx) \wedge fun_apply(\#\#M, s, x, sx)$
 \wedge
 $is_opair_name(\#\#M, chx, sx, y)$

definition

$opname_check_fm :: [i, i, i, i] \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $opname_check_fm(s, x, y, o) \equiv Exists(Exists(And(check_fm(2\# + x, 2\# + o, 1),$
 $And(fun_apply_fm(2\# + s, 2\# + x, 0), opair_name_fm(1, 0, 2\# + o, 2\# + y))))$

lemma $opname_check_fm_type[TC] :$
 $\llbracket s \in nat; x \in nat; y \in nat; o \in nat \rrbracket \implies opname_check_fm(s, x, y, o) \in formula$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sats_opname_check_fm :$

assumes $x \in nat$ $y \in nat$ $z \in nat$ $o \in nat$ $env \in list(M)$ $nth(o, env) = one$
 $y < length(env)$

shows

$sats(M, opname_check_fm(x, y, z, o), env) \longleftrightarrow is_opname_check(nth(x, env), nth(y, env), nth(z, env))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $opname_check_abs :$

assumes $s \in M$ $x \in M$ $y \in M$

shows $is_opname_check(s, x, y) \longleftrightarrow y = opair_name(check(x), s ` x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $repl_opname_check :$

```

assumes
   $A \in M \ f \in M$ 
shows
   $\{ \text{opair\_name}(\text{check}(x), f`x) . x \in A \} \in M$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

theorem choice_in_MG:
assumes choice_ax( $\#\# M$ )
shows choice_ax( $\#\# M[G]$ )
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

44 Ordinals in generic extensions

```

theory Ordinals_In_MG
imports
  Forcing_Theorems Relative_Univ
begin

context G_generic
begin

lemma rank_val:  $\text{rank}(\text{val}(P, G, x)) \leq \text{rank}(x)$  (is ?Q(x))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma Ord_MG_iff:
assumes Ord( $\alpha$ )
shows  $\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in M[G]$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

end

```

45 The main theorem

```

theory Forcing_Main
imports
  Succession_Poset
  ZF_Miscellanea
  Internal_ZFC_Axioms
  Choice_Axiom
  Ordinals_In_MG

begin

```

45.1 The generic extension is countable

```

lemma (in forcing_data) surj_nat_MG :
   $\exists f. f \in \text{surj}(\omega, M[G])$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in G_generic) MG_eqpoll_nat:  $M[G] \approx \omega$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

45.2 The main result

```

theorem extensions_of_ctms:
  assumes
     $M \approx \omega$  Transset( $M$ )  $M \models \text{ZF}$ 
  shows
     $\exists N.$ 
     $M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models \text{ZF} \wedge M \neq N \wedge$ 
     $(\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N)) \wedge$ 
     $((M, \models \cdot AC \cdot) \longrightarrow N \models \text{ZFC})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

46 Cardinal Arithmetic under Choice

```

theory Cardinal_Library_Relative
  imports
    ZF_Library_Relative
    Delta_System_Lemma.ZF_Library
    Replacement_Lepoll
  begin

locale M_library = M_ZF_library + M_cardinal_AC
  begin

declare eqpoll_rel_refl [simp]

```

46.1 Miscellaneous

```

lemma cardinal_rel_RepFun_le:
  assumes  $S \in A \rightarrow B$   $M(S)$   $M(A)$   $M(B)$ 
  shows  $|\{S'a . a \in A\}|^M \leq |A|^M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma subset_imp_le_cardinal_rel:  $A \subseteq B \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies |A|^M \leq |B|^M$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lt_cardinal_rel_imp_not_subset:  $|A|^M < |B|^M \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies \neg B \subseteq A$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_lt_csucc_rel_iff*:

$Card_rel(M, K) \implies M(K) \implies M(K') \implies |K'|^M < (K^+)^M \longleftrightarrow |K'|^M \leq K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *inj_rel_is_fun = inj_is_fun[OF mem_inj_rel]*

lemma *inj_rel_bij_rel_range*: $f \in inj^M(A, B) \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies f \in bij^M(A, range(f))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij_rel_is_inj_rel*: $f \in bij^M(A, B) \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies f \in inj^M(A, B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj_rel_weaken_type*: $[| f \in inj^M(A, B); B \subseteq D; M(A); M(B); M(D) |] \implies f \in inj^M(A, D)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij_rel_converse_bij_rel [TC]*: $f \in bij^M(A, B) \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies converse(f) : bij^M(B, A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *bij_rel_is_fun_rel*: $f \in bij^M(A, B) \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies f \in A \rightarrow^M B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *bij_rel_is_fun = bij_rel_is_fun_rel[THEN mem_function_space_rel]*

lemma *comp_bij_rel*:

$g \in bij^M(A, B) \implies f \in bij^M(B, C) \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies M(C) \implies (f \circ g) \in bij^M(A, C)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj_rel_converse_fun*: $f \in inj^M(A, B) \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies converse(f) \in range(f) \rightarrow^M A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *M_cardinal_UN_nat = M_cardinal_UN_ω X for X*

begin

lemma *cardinal_rel_UN_le_nat*:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in \omega \implies |X(i)|^M \leq \omega$

shows $|\bigcup_{i \in \omega} X(i)|^M \leq \omega$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *M_cardinal_UN_inj = M_library +*

```

j:M_cardinal_UN_ J +
y:M_cardinal_UN_ K λk. if k∈range(f) then X(converse(f)‘k) else 0 for J K
f +
assumes
  f_inj: f ∈ inj_rel(M,J,K)
begin

lemma inj_rel_imp_cardinal_rel_UN_le:
  notes [dest] = InfCard_is_Card Card_is_Ord
  fixes Y
  defines Y(k) ≡ if k∈range(f) then X(converse(f)‘k) else 0
  assumes InfCardM(K) ∧ i. i ∈ J ⇒ |X(i)|M ≤ K
  shows |{i ∈ J. X(i)}|M ≤ K
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale M_cardinal_UN_lepoll = M_library + M_replacement_lepoll _ λ_. X +
  j:M_cardinal_UN_ J for J
begin

— FIXME: this "LEQpoll" should be "LEPOLL"; same correction in Delta System

lemma leqpoll_rel_imp_cardinal_rel_UN_le:
  notes [dest] = InfCard_is_Card Card_is_Ord
  assumes InfCardM(K) J ≤M K ∧ i. i ∈ J ⇒ |X(i)|M ≤ K
  M(K)
  shows |{i ∈ J. X(i)}|M ≤ K
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context M_library
begin

lemma cardinal_rel_lt_csucc_rel_iff':
  includes Ord_dests
  assumes Card_rel(M,κ)
  and types:M(κ) M(X)
  shows κ < |X|M ↔ (κ+)M ≤ |X|M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lepoll_rel_imp_subset_bij_rel:
  assumes M(X) M(Y)
  shows X ≤M Y ↔ (∃ Z[M]. Z ⊆ Y ∧ Z ≈M X)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The following result proves to be very useful when combining *cardinal_rel* and *eqpoll_rel* in a calculation.

lemma cardinal_rel_Card_rel_eqpoll_rel_iff:

Card_rel(M,κ) \implies M(κ) \implies M(X) $\implies |X|^M = \kappa \longleftrightarrow X \approx^M \kappa$
(proof)

lemma *lepoll_rel_imp_lepoll_rel_cardinal_rel:*
assumes *X $\lesssim^M Y$ M(X) M(Y)*
shows *X $\lesssim^M |Y|^M$*
(proof)

lemma *lepoll_rel_Un:*
assumes *InfCard_rel(M,κ) A $\lesssim^M \kappa$ B $\lesssim^M \kappa$ M(A) M(B) M(κ)*
shows *A ∪ B $\lesssim^M \kappa$*
(proof)

lemma *cardinal_rel_Un_le:*
assumes *InfCard_rel(M,κ) |A|^M ≤ κ |B|^M ≤ κ M(κ) M(A) M(B)*
shows *|A ∪ B|^M ≤ κ*
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_rel_imp_Finite: A $\approx^M B \implies \text{Finite}(A) \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies \text{Finite}(B)$*
(proof)

lemma *eqpoll_rel_imp_Finite_iff: A $\approx^M B \implies M(A) \implies M(B) \implies \text{Finite}(A) \longleftrightarrow \text{Finite}(B)$*
(proof)

lemma *Finite_cardinal_rel_iff': M(i) $\implies \text{Finite}(|i|^M) \longleftrightarrow \text{Finite}(i)$*
(proof)

lemma *cardinal_rel_subset_of_Card_rel:*
assumes *Card_rel(M,γ) a ⊆ γ M(a) M(γ)*
shows *|a|^M < γ ∨ |a|^M = γ*
(proof)

lemma *cardinal_rel_cases:*
includes *Ord_dests*
assumes *M(γ) M(X)*
shows *Card_rel(M,γ) $\implies |X|^M < \gamma \longleftrightarrow \neg |X|^M \geq \gamma$*
(proof)

end

46.2 Countable and uncountable sets

definition

countable :: i ⇒ o where
countable(X) ≡ X $\lesssim \omega$

{ML}

```

context M_library
begin

lemma countableI[intro]:  $X \lesssim^M \omega \implies \text{countable\_rel}(M, X)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma countableD[dest]:  $\text{countable\_rel}(M, X) \implies X \lesssim^M \omega$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma countable_rel_iff_cardinal_rel_le_nat:  $M(X) \implies \text{countable\_rel}(M, X)$ 
 $\longleftrightarrow |X|^M \leq \omega$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lepoll_rel_countable_rel:  $X \lesssim^M Y \implies \text{countable\_rel}(M, Y) \implies M(X)$ 
 $\implies M(Y) \implies \text{countable\_rel}(M, X)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma surj_rel_countable_rel:
   $\text{countable\_rel}(M, X) \implies f \in \text{surj\_rel}(M, X, Y) \implies M(X) \implies M(Y) \implies M(f)$ 
 $\implies \text{countable\_rel}(M, Y)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma Finite_imp_countable_rel:  $\text{Finite\_rel}(M, X) \implies M(X) \implies \text{countable\_rel}(M, X)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

lemma (in M_cardinal_UN_lepoll) countable_rel_imp_countable_rel_UN:
  assumes countable_rel(M, J)  $\wedge_{i \in J} i \implies \text{countable\_rel}(M, X(i))$ 
  shows countable_rel(M,  $\bigcup_{i \in J} X(i)$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

locale M_cardinal_library = M_library + M_replacement +
  assumes
    lam_replacement_inj_rel:  $\text{lam\_replacement}(M, \lambda x. \text{inj}^M(\text{fst}(x), \text{snd}(x)))$ 
  and
    cardinal_lib_assms1:
       $M(A) \implies M(b) \implies M(f) \implies$ 
      separation(M,  $\lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in \text{if\_range\_F\_else\_F}(\lambda x. \text{if } M(x) \text{ then } x \text{ else } 0, b, f, i) \rangle$ )
      separation(M, Ord)
  and
    cardinal_lib_assms2:
       $M(A') \implies M(G) \implies M(b) \implies M(f) \implies$ 
      separation(M,  $\lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in \text{if\_range\_F\_else\_F}(\lambda a. \text{if } M(a) \text{ then } G'a \text{ else } 0, b, f, i) \rangle$ )
  and
    cardinal_lib_assms3:
       $M(A') \implies M(b) \implies M(f) \implies M(F) \implies$ 

```

$\text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in \text{if_range_}F_\text{else_}F(\lambda a. \text{if } M(a) \text{ then } F_\{\{a\} \text{ else } 0, b, f, i\}))$
and
 cdlt_assms:
 $M(G) \implies M(Q) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda p. \forall x \in G. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow (\forall s \in \text{fst}(p). \langle s, x \rangle \in Q))$
 $M(x) \implies M(Q) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda a. \forall s \in x. \langle s, a \rangle \in Q)$
and
 $\text{cardinal_lib_assms5 :}$
 $M(\gamma) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda Z. \text{cardinal_rel}(M, Z) < \gamma)$
and
 $\text{cardinal_lib_assms6:}$
 $M(f) \implies M(\beta) \implies \text{Ord}(\beta) \implies$
 $\text{strong_replacement}(M, \lambda x y. x \in \beta \wedge y = \langle x, \text{transrec}(x, \lambda a g. f \cdot (g `` a))) \rangle)$
 $\text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \exists a. \exists b. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \neq b)$

begin

lemma $\text{countable_rel_union_countable_rel:}$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in C \implies \text{countable_rel}(M, x) \text{ countable_rel}(M, C) M(C)$
shows $\text{countable_rel}(M, \bigcup C)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

abbreviation

$\text{uncountable_rel} :: [i \Rightarrow o, i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{uncountable_rel}(M, X) \equiv \neg \text{countable_rel}(M, X)$

context $M_\text{cardinal_library}$
begin

lemma $\text{uncountable_rel_iff_nat_lt_cardinal_rel:}$
 $M(X) \implies \text{uncountable_rel}(M, X) \longleftrightarrow \omega < |X|^M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{uncountable_rel_not_empty: uncountable_rel}(M, X) \implies X \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{uncountable_rel_imp_Infinite: uncountable_rel}(M, X) \implies M(X) \implies \text{Infinite}(X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{uncountable_rel_not_subset_countable_rel:}$
assumes $\text{countable_rel}(M, X) \text{ uncountable_rel}(M, Y) M(X) M(Y)$
shows $\neg (Y \subseteq X)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

46.3 Results on Aleph_rels

lemma *nat_lt_Aleph_rel1*: $\omega < \aleph_1^M$
(proof)

lemma *zero_lt_Aleph_rel1*: $0 < \aleph_1^M$
(proof)

lemma *le_Aleph_rel1_nat*: $M(k) \implies \text{Card_rel}(M, k) \implies k < \aleph_1^M \implies k \leq \omega$
(proof)

lemma *lesspoll_rel_Aleph_rel_plus_one*:
assumes $\text{Ord}(\alpha)$
and $\text{types}: M(\alpha) \quad M(d)$
shows $d \prec^M \aleph_{\text{succ}(\alpha)}^M \longleftrightarrow d \lesssim^M \aleph_\alpha^M$
(proof)

lemma *cardinal_rel_Aleph_rel [simp]*: $\text{Ord}(\alpha) \implies M(\alpha) \implies |\aleph_\alpha^M|^M = \aleph_\alpha^M$
(proof)

lemma *Aleph_rel_lesspoll_rel_increasing*:
includes *Aleph_rel_intros*
assumes $M(b) \quad M(a)$
shows $a < b \implies \aleph_a^M \prec^M \aleph_b^M$
(proof)

lemma *uncountable_rel_iff_subset_eqpoll_rel_Aleph_rel1*:
includes *Ord_dests*
assumes $M(X)$
notes *Aleph_rel_zero[simp]* *Card_rel_nat[simp]* *Aleph_rel_succ[simp]*
shows $\text{uncountable_rel}(M, X) \longleftrightarrow (\exists S[M]. \quad S \subseteq X \wedge S \approx^M \aleph_1^M)$
(proof)

lemma *UN_if_zero*: $M(K) \implies (\bigcup_{x \in K. \text{ if } M(x) \text{ then } G ' x \text{ else } 0}) = (\bigcup_{x \in K. \text{ if } M(x) \text{ then } G ' x \text{ else } 0})$
(proof)

lemma *mem_F_bound1*:
fixes $F \quad G$
defines $F \equiv \lambda x. \text{ if } M(x) \text{ then } G ' x \text{ else } 0$
shows $x \in F(A, c) \implies c \in (\text{range}(f) \cup \text{domain}(G))$
(proof)

lemma *lt_Aleph_rel_imp_cardinal_rel_UN_le_nat*: $\text{function}(G) \implies \text{domain}(G) \lesssim^M \omega \implies \forall n \in \text{domain}(G). |G ' n|^M < \aleph_1^M \implies M(G) \implies |\bigcup_{n \in \text{domain}(G).} G ' n|^M \leq \omega$
(proof)

lemma *Aleph_rel1_eq_cardinal_rel_vimage*: $f: \aleph_1^M \rightarrow {}^M \omega \implies \exists n \in \omega. |f^{-1}\{n\}|^M = \aleph_1^M$
(proof)

```

lemma eqpoll_rel_Aleph_rel1_cardinal_rel_vimage:
  assumes  $Z \approx^M (\aleph_1^M) f \in Z \rightarrow^M \omega M(Z)$ 
  shows  $\exists n \in \omega. |f^{-1}\{n\}|^M = \aleph_1^M$ 
  {proof}

```

46.4 Applications of transfinite recursive constructions

definition

```

rec_constr ::  $[i,i] \Rightarrow i$  where
rec_constr( $f,\alpha$ )  $\equiv$  transrec( $\alpha, \lambda a. g. f'(g''a)$ )

```

The function *rec_constr* allows to perform *recursive constructions*: given a choice function on the powerset of some set, a transfinite sequence is created by successively choosing some new element.

The next result explains its use.

```

lemma rec_constr_unfold:  $rec\_constr(f,\alpha) = f'(\{rec\_constr(f,\beta). \beta \in \alpha\})$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma rec_constr_type:
  assumes  $f: Pow\_rel(M,G) \rightarrow^M G$   $Ord(\alpha)$   $M(G)$ 
  shows  $M(\alpha) \implies rec\_constr(f,\alpha) \in G$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma rec_constr_closed :
  assumes  $f: Pow\_rel(M,G) \rightarrow^M G$   $Ord(\alpha)$   $M(G)$   $M(\alpha)$ 
  shows  $M(rec\_constr(f,\alpha))$ 
  {proof}

```

```

lemma lambda_rec_constr_closed :
  assumes  $Ord(\gamma)$   $M(\gamma)$   $M(f)$   $f: Pow\_rel(M,G) \rightarrow^M G$   $M(G)$ 
  shows  $M(\lambda \alpha \in \gamma. rec\_constr(f,\alpha))$ 
  {proof}

```

The next lemma is an application of recursive constructions. It works under the assumption that whenever the already constructed subsequence is small enough, another element can be added.

— FIXME: these should be postulated in some locale.

```

lemma bounded_cardinal_rel_selection:
  includes Ord_dests
  assumes
     $\bigwedge Z. |Z|^M < \gamma \implies Z \subseteq G \implies M(Z) \implies \exists a \in G. \forall s \in Z. \langle s, a \rangle \in Q \ b \in G$ 
    Card_rel( $M, \gamma$ )
     $M(G)$   $M(Q)$   $M(\gamma)$ 
  shows
     $\exists S[M]. S : \gamma \rightarrow^M G \wedge (\forall \alpha \in \gamma. \forall \beta \in \gamma. \alpha < \beta \longrightarrow \langle S'\alpha, S'\beta \rangle \in Q)$ 
  {proof}

```

The following basic result can, in turn, be proved by a bounded-cardinal_rel selection.

lemma *Infinite_if_lepoll_rel_nat*: $M(Z) \implies \text{Infinite}(Z) \longleftrightarrow \omega \lesssim^M Z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Infinite_InfCard_rel_cardinal_rel*: $\text{Infinite}(Z) \implies M(Z) \implies \text{InfCard_rel}(M, |Z|^M)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_trans*) *mem_F_bound2*:
fixes *F A*
defines *F* $\equiv \lambda x. \text{if } M(x) \text{ then } A - \{x\} \text{ else } 0$
shows $x \in F(A, c) \implies c \in (\text{range}(f) \cup \text{range}(A))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Finite_to_one_rel_surj_rel_imp_cardinal_rel_eq*:
assumes $F \in \text{Finite_to_one_rel}(M, Z, Y) \cap \text{surj_rel}(M, Z, Y)$ $\text{Infinite}(Z)$ $M(Z)$
 $M(Y)$
shows $|Y|^M = |Z|^M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cardinal_rel_map_Un*:
assumes $\text{Infinite}(X)$ $\text{Finite}(b)$ $M(X)$ $M(b)$
shows $|\{a \cup b . a \in X\}|^M = |X|^M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

47 The Delta System Lemma, Relativized

theory *Delta_System_Relative*
imports
Cardinal_Library_Relative
begin

definition

delta_system :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
 $\text{delta_system}(D) \equiv \exists r. \forall A \in D. \forall B \in D. A \neq B \longrightarrow A \cap B = r$

lemma *delta_systemI[intro]*:
assumes $\forall A \in D. \forall B \in D. A \neq B \longrightarrow A \cap B = r$
shows *delta_system(D)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *delta_systemD[dest]*:
 $\text{delta_system}(D) \implies \exists r. \forall A \in D. \forall B \in D. A \neq B \longrightarrow A \cap B = r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma delta_system_root_eq_Inter:  
  assumes delta_system(D)  
  shows  $\forall A \in D. \forall B \in D. A \neq B \longrightarrow A \cap B = \bigcap D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
locale M_delta = M_cardinal_library +  
assumes  
  cardinal_replacement:strong_replacement(M,  $\lambda A. y. y = \langle A, |A|^M \rangle$ )  
  and  
  countable_lepoll_assms:  
   $M(G) \implies separation(M, \lambda p. \forall x \in G. x \in snd(p) \longleftrightarrow fst(p) \in x)$   
   $M(G) \implies M(A) \implies M(b) \implies M(f) \implies separation(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A.$   
   $y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in if\_range\_F\_else\_F(\lambda x. \{xa \in G . x \in xa\},$   
   $b, f, i) \rangle$   
  and  
  disjoint_separation:  $M(c) \implies separation(M, \lambda x. \exists a. \exists b. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \cap b = c)$ 
```

begin

```
lemma (in M_trans) mem_F_bound6:  
  fixes F G  
  defines F  $\equiv \lambda x. Collect(G, (\in))(x)$   
  shows  $x \in F(G, c) \implies c \in (range(f) \cup \bigcup G)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma delta_system_Aleph_rel1:  
  assumes  $\forall A \in F. Finite(A) \implies F \approx^M \aleph_1^M M(F)$   
  shows  $\exists D[M]. D \subseteq F \wedge delta\_system(D) \wedge D \approx^M \aleph_1^M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma delta_system_uncountable_rel:  
  assumes  $\forall A \in F. Finite(A) \implies uncountable\_rel(M, F) M(F)$   
  shows  $\exists D[M]. D \subseteq F \wedge delta\_system(D) \wedge D \approx^M \aleph_1^M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

end

end

48 Cohen forcing notions

```
theory Cohen_Posets_Relative  
imports  
  Cohen_Posets — FIXME: This theory is going obsolete
```

```

Delta_System_Relative
begin

locale M_cohen = M_delta +
assumes
separation_domain_pair:  $M(A) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda p. \forall x \in A. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow \text{domain}(x) = \text{fst}(p))$ 
and
separation_restrict_eq_dom_eq:
 $M(A) \implies M(B) \implies \forall x[M]. \text{separation}(M, \lambda dr. \exists r \in A. \text{restrict}(r, B) = x \wedge dr = \text{domain}(r))$ 
and
separation_restrict_eq_dom_eq_pair:
 $M(A) \implies M(B) \implies M(D) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda p. \forall x \in D. x \in \text{snd}(p) \longleftrightarrow (\exists r \in A. \text{restrict}(r, B) = \text{fst}(p) \wedge x = \text{domain}(r)))$ 
and
countable_lepoll_assms2:
 $M(A') \implies M(A) \implies M(b) \implies M(f) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in \text{if\_range\_}F\_\text{else\_}F(\lambda a. \{p \in A . \text{domain}(p) = a\}, b, f, i)\rangle)$ 
and
countable_lepoll_assms3:
 $M(A) \implies M(f) \implies M(b) \implies M(D) \implies M(r') \implies M(A') \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in \text{if\_range\_}F\_\text{else\_}F(\text{drSR\_}Y(r', D, A), b, f, i)\rangle)$ 
and
domain_mem_separation:  $M(A) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \text{domain}(x) \in A)$ 
and
domain_eq_separation:  $M(p) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \text{domain}(x) = p)$ 
and
restrict_eq_separation:  $M(r) \implies M(p) \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda x. \text{restrict}(x, r) = p)$ 

context M_cardinal_library
begin

lemma lesspoll_nat_imp_lesspoll_rel:
assumes  $A \prec \omega M(A)$ 
shows  $A \prec^M \omega$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Finite_imp_lesspoll_rel_nat:
assumes  $\text{Finite}(A) M(A)$ 
shows  $A \prec^M \omega$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma InfCard_rel_lesspoll_rel_Un:
includes Ord_dests
assumes  $\text{InfCard\_rel}(M, \kappa) A \prec^M \kappa B \prec^M \kappa$ 

```

```

and types:  $M(\kappa)$   $M(A)$   $M(B)$ 
shows  $A \cup B \prec^M \kappa$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

locale  $M\_add\_reals = M\_cohen + add\_reals$ 
begin

lemmas  $zero\_lesspoll\_rel\_kappa = zero\_lesspoll\_rel[OF zero\_lt\_kappa]$ 

end

declare (in  $M\_trivial$ )  $compat\_in\_abs[absolut]$ 

definition
 $antichain\_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\langle antichain-'( \_, \_, \_) \rangle)$  where
 $antichain\_rel(M, P, leq, A) \equiv subset(M, A, P) \wedge (\forall p[M]. \forall q[M].$ 
 $p \in A \rightarrow q \in A \rightarrow p \neq q \rightarrow \neg is\_compat\_in(M, P, leq, p, q))$ 

abbreviation
 $antichain\_r\_set :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\langle antichain-'( \_, \_, \_) \rangle)$  where
 $antichain^M(P, leq, A) \equiv antichain\_rel(\# \# M, P, leq, A)$ 

context  $M\_trivial$ 
begin

lemma  $antichain\_abs [absolut]:$ 
 $\llbracket M(A); M(P); M(leq) \rrbracket \implies antichain^M(P, leq, A) \longleftrightarrow antichain(P, leq, A)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

definition
 $ccc\_rel :: [i \Rightarrow o, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\langle ccc-'( \_, \_) \rangle)$  where
 $ccc\_rel(M, P, leq) \equiv \forall A[M]. antichain\_rel(M, P, leq, A) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\forall \kappa[M]. is\_cardinal(M, A, \kappa) \longrightarrow (\exists om[M]. omega(M, om) \wedge le\_rel(M, \kappa, om)))$ 

abbreviation
 $ccc\_r\_set :: [i, i, i] \Rightarrow o (\langle ccc-'( \_, \_) \rangle)$  where
 $ccc\_r\_set(M) \equiv ccc\_rel(\# \# M)$ 

context  $M\_cardinals$ 
begin

```

```

lemma def_ccc_rel:
  shows
     $ccc^M(P, leq) \longleftrightarrow (\forall A[M]. antichain^M(P, leq, A) \longrightarrow |A|^M \leq \omega)$ 
    ⟨proof⟩

end

context M_add_reals
begin

lemma lam_replacement_dsr_Y:  $M(A) \Longrightarrow M(D) \Longrightarrow M(r') \Longrightarrow \text{lam\_replacement}(M, drSR_Y(r', D, A))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_trans) mem_F_bound3:
  fixes F A
  defines F ≡ dC_F
  shows  $x \in F(A, c) \Longrightarrow c \in (\text{range}(f) \cup \{\text{domain}(x). x \in A\})$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccc_rel Fn_nat:
  notes Sep_and_Replace [simp]— FIXME with all SepReplace instances
  assumes M(I)
  shows  $ccc^M(Fn(\text{nat}, I, 2), Fnle(\text{nat}, I, 2))$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory ZF_Trans_Interpretations
imports
  Cohen_Posets_Relative
  Forcing_Main
  Separation_Instances
  Replacement_Instances
begin

lemmas (in M_ZF_trans) separation_instances =
  separation_well_ord
  separation_oibase_equals separation_is_oibase
  separation_PiP_rel separation_surjP_rel
  separation_id_body separation_rvimage_body
  separation_radd_body separation_rmult_body
  separation_ord_iso_body

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) lam_replacement_inj_rel:

```

shows
 $\text{lam_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda p. \text{inj}^{\#\#M}(\text{fst}(p), \text{snd}(p)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition is_order_body
where $\text{is_order_body}(M, X, x, z) \equiv \exists A[M]. \text{cartprod}(M, X, X, A) \wedge \text{subset}(M, x, A)$
 $\wedge M(z) \wedge M(x) \wedge$
 $\quad \text{is_well_ord}(M, X, x) \wedge \text{is_ordertype}(M, X, x, z)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition omap_wfrec_body **where**
 $\text{omap_wfrec_body}(A, r) \equiv (\cdot \exists \cdot \text{image_fm}(2, 0, 1) \wedge$
 $\quad \text{pred_set_fm}$
 $\quad (\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(A)))))))), 3,$
 $\quad \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(r)))))))), 0) \dots$

lemma $\text{type_omap_wfrec_body_fm} : A \in \text{nat} \implies r \in \text{nat} \implies \text{omap_wfrec_body}(A, r) \in \text{formula}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_aux} : A \in \text{nat} \implies r \in \text{nat} \implies \text{arity}(\text{omap_wfrec_body}(A, r)) = (9\# + A)$
 $\cup (9\# + r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_omap_wfrec} : A \in \text{nat} \implies r \in \text{nat} \implies$
 $\text{arity}(\text{is_wfrec_fm}(\text{omap_wfrec_body}(A, r), \text{succ}(\text{succ}(\text{succ}(r)))), 1, 0)) =$
 $(4\# + A) \cup (4\# + r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_isordermap} : A \in \text{nat} \implies r \in \text{nat} \implies d \in \text{nat} \implies$
 $\text{arity}(\text{is_ordermap_fm}(A, r, d)) = \text{succ}(d) \cup (\text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_is_ordertype} : A \in \text{nat} \implies r \in \text{nat} \implies d \in \text{nat} \implies$
 $\text{arity}(\text{is_ordertype_fm}(A, r, d)) = \text{succ}(d) \cup (\text{succ}(A) \cup \text{succ}(r))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma $\text{arity_is_order_body} : \text{arity}(\text{is_order_body_fm}(2, 0, 1)) = 3$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{replacement_is_order_body} :$
 $X \in M \implies \text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \text{is_order_body}(\#\#M, X))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in $M_pre_cardinal_arith$) $\text{is_order_body_abs} :$

$M(X) \implies M(x) \implies M(z) \implies \text{is_order_body}(M, X, x, z) \longleftrightarrow$
 $M(z) \wedge M(x) \wedge x \in \text{Pow_rel}(M, X \times X) \wedge \text{well_ord}(X, x) \wedge z = \text{ordertype}(X, x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $H_\text{order}_\text{pred}$ **where**
 $H_\text{order}_\text{pred}(A, r) \equiv \lambda x f . f `` \text{Order}.\text{pred}(A, x, r)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_basic) $H_\text{order}_\text{pred}_\text{abs} :$
 $M(A) \implies M(r) \implies M(x) \implies M(f) \implies M(z) \implies$
 $\text{is_H_order_pred}(M, A, r, x, f, z) \longleftrightarrow z = H_\text{order}_\text{pred}(A, r, x, f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

definition $\text{order_pred_wfrec_body}$ **where**
 $\text{order_pred_wfrec_body}(M, A, r, z, x) \equiv \exists y[M].$
 $\text{pair}(M, x, y, z) \wedge$
 $(\exists f[M].$
 $(\forall z[M].$
 $z \in f \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists xa[M].$
 $\exists y[M].$
 $\exists xaa[M].$
 $\exists sx[M].$
 $\exists r_{sx}[M].$
 $\exists f_{r_{sx}}[M].$
 $\text{pair}(M, xa, y, z) \wedge$
 $\text{pair}(M, xa, x, xaa) \wedge$
 $\text{upair}(M, xa, xaa, sx) \wedge$
 $\text{pre_image}(M, r, sx, r_{sx}) \wedge$
 $\text{restriction}(M, f, r_{sx}, f_{r_{sx}}) \wedge$
 $xa \in r \wedge (\exists a[M]. \text{image}(M, f_{r_{sx}}, a, y) \wedge$
 $\text{pred_set}(M, A, xa, r, a))) \wedge$
 $(\exists a[M]. \text{image}(M, f, a, y) \wedge \text{pred_set}(M, A, x, r, a)))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{wfrec_replacement_order_pred}:$
 $A \in M \implies r \in M \implies \text{wfrec_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x g z. \text{is_H_order_pred}(\#\#M, A, r, x, g, z), r)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) $\text{wfrec_replacement_order_pred}':$
 $A \in M \implies r \in M \implies \text{wfrec_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x g z. z = H_\text{order}_\text{pred}(A, r, x, g), r)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale $M_ZF_trans \subseteq M_pre_cardinal_arith \# \# M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_ordertype:

$X \in M \implies \text{strong_replacement}(\# \# M, \lambda x z. z \in M \wedge x \in M \wedge x \in \text{Pow}^M(X \times X) \wedge \text{well_ord}(X, x) \wedge z = \text{ordertype}(X, x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma arity_is_jump_cardinal_body: $\text{arity}(\text{is_jump_cardinal_body}'\text{_fm}(0, 1)) = 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_jump_cardinal_body:
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\# \# M, \text{is_jump_cardinal_body}'(\# \# M))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_pre_cardinal_arith$) univalent_aux2: $M(X) \implies \text{univalent}(M, \text{Pow_rel}(M, X \times X), \lambda r z. M(z) \wedge M(r) \wedge \text{is_well_ord}(M, X, r) \wedge \text{is_ordertype}(M, X, r, z))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in $M_pre_cardinal_arith$) is_jump_cardinal_body_abs :
 $M(X) \implies M(c) \implies \text{is_jump_cardinal_body}'(M, X, c) \longleftrightarrow c = \text{jump_cardinal_body}'\text{_rel}(M, X)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_jump_cardinal_body:
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\# \# M, \lambda x z. z \in M \wedge x \in M \wedge z = \text{jump_cardinal_body}(\# \# M, x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale $M_ZF_trans \subseteq M_pre_aleph \# \# M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$
lemma arity_is_HAleph_fm: $\text{arity}(\text{is_HAleph_fm}(2, 1, 0)) = 3$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma arity_is_Aleph: $\text{arity}(\text{is_Aleph_fm}(0, 1)) = 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_is_aleph:
 $\text{strong_replacement}(\# \# M, \lambda x y. \text{Ord}(x) \wedge \text{is_Aleph}(\# \# M, x, y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) replacement_aleph_rel:
shows $\text{strong_replacement}(\# \# M, \lambda x y. \text{Ord}(x) \wedge y = \aleph_x^M)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_aleph ##M
⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZF_trans ⊆ M_FiniteFun ##M
⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZFC_trans ⊆ M_AC ##M
⟨proof⟩

sublocale M_ZFC_trans ⊆ M_cardinal_AC ##M ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition toplevel1_body :: [i,i,i] ⇒ o where
toplevel1_body(Q,x) ≡ λa. ∀s∈x. ⟨s, a⟩ ∈ Q

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_toplevel1_body:
(##M)(A) ⇒ (##M)(B) ⇒ separation(##M, is_toplevel1_body(##M,A,B))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) toplevel1_body_abs:
assumes (##M)(A) (##M)(B) (##M)(x)
shows is_toplevel1_body(##M,A,B,x) ↔ toplevel1_body(A,B,x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_toplevel1_body:
(##M)(Q) ⇒ (##M)(x) ⇒ separation(##M, λa. ∀s∈x. ⟨s, a⟩ ∈ Q)
⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition toplevel2_body :: [i,i] ⇒ o where
toplevel2_body(x) ≡ λa. |a| < x

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_toplevel2_body:
(##M)(A) ⇒ separation(##M, is_toplevel2_body(##M,A))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) toplevel2_body_abs:
assumes (##M)(A) (##M)(x)
shows is_toplevel2_body(##M,A,x) ↔ toplevel2_body_rel(##M,A,x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_toplevel2_body:
(##M)(x) ⇒ separation(##M, λa. |a|^M < x)

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *toplevel3_body* :: $i \Rightarrow o$ **where**
toplevel3_body $\equiv \lambda x. \exists a b. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \neq b$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_is_toplevel3_body*:
separation(##M, *is_toplevel3_body*(##M))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *toplevel3_body_abs*:
assumes (##M)(x)
shows *is_toplevel3_body*(##M,x) \longleftrightarrow *toplevel3_body*(x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_toplevel3_body*:
separation(##M, $\lambda x. \exists a b. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \neq b$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *toplevel4_body* :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
toplevel4_body(R) $\equiv \lambda z. \exists a b. z = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \cap b = R$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_is_toplevel4_body*:
(##M)(A) \implies *separation*(##M, *is_toplevel4_body*(##M,A))
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *toplevel4_body_abs*:
assumes (##M)(R) (##M)(x)
shows *is_toplevel4_body*(##M,R,x) \longleftrightarrow *toplevel4_body*(R,x)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_toplevel4_body*:
(##M)(R) \implies *separation*(##M, $\lambda x. \exists a b. x = \langle a, b \rangle \wedge a \cap b = R$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *toplevel5_body* :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
toplevel5_body(R) $\equiv \lambda x. domain(x) \in R$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_is_toplevel5_body*:
(##M)(A) \implies *separation*(##M, *is_toplevel5_body*(##M,A))

$\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) toplevel5_body_abs:
  assumes (##M)(R) (##M)(x)
  shows is_toplevel5_body(##M,R,x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  toplevel5_body(R,x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_toplevel5_body:
  (##M)(R)  $\implies$  separation
  (##M,  $\lambda x.$  domain(x)  $\in$  R)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition toplevel7_body :: [i,i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  toplevel7_body(Q,x)  $\equiv$   $\lambda a.$  restrict(a, Q) = x
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_toplevel7_body:
  (##M)(A)  $\implies$  (##M)(B)  $\implies$  separation(##M, is_toplevel7_body(##M,A,B))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) toplevel7_body_abs:
  assumes (##M)(A) (##M)(B) (##M)(x)
  shows is_toplevel7_body(##M,A,B,x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  toplevel7_body(A,B,x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_toplevel7_body:
  (##M)(Q)  $\implies$  (##M)(x)  $\implies$  separation(##M,  $\lambda a.$  restrict(a, Q) = x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
definition toplevel8_body :: [i,i]  $\Rightarrow$  o where
  toplevel8_body(R)  $\equiv$   $\lambda z.$  R  $\in$  domain(z)
```

$\langle ML \rangle$

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_toplevel8_body:
  (##M)(A)  $\implies$  separation(##M, is_toplevel8_body(##M,A))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) toplevel8_body_abs:
  assumes (##M)(R) (##M)(x)
  shows is_toplevel8_body(##M,R,x)  $\longleftrightarrow$  toplevel8_body(R,x)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_toplevel8_body:
  (##M)(R)  $\implies$  separation
  (##M,  $\lambda z.$  R  $\in$  domain(z))
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *toplevel9_body* :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
toplevel9_body(*Q,x*) $\equiv \lambda z. \exists n \in \omega. \langle\langle Q, n \rangle, 1 \rangle \in z \wedge \langle\langle x, n \rangle, 0 \rangle \in z$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_is_toplevel9_body*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(B) \implies separation(\#\#M, is_toplevel9_body(\#\#M, A, B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *toplevel9_body_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(B) (\#\#M)(x)$
shows *is_toplevel9_body*($\#\#M, A, B, x$) \longleftrightarrow *toplevel9_body*(*A,B,x*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_toplevel9_body*:
 $(\#\#M)(Q) \implies (\#\#M)(x) \implies separation(\#\#M, \lambda z. \exists n \in \omega. \langle\langle Q, n \rangle, 1 \rangle \in z \wedge \langle\langle x, n \rangle, 0 \rangle \in z)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *toplevel10_body* :: $[i,i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
toplevel10_body(*A,r*) $\equiv \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, restrict(x, r) \rangle$

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_is_toplevel10_body*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies separation(\#\#M, is_toplevel10_body(\#\#M, A, r))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *toplevel10_body_abs*:
assumes $(\#\#M)(A) (\#\#M)(r) (\#\#M)(x)$
shows *is_toplevel10_body*($\#\#M, A, r, x$) \longleftrightarrow *toplevel10_body*(*A,r,x*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in** *M_ZF_trans*) *separation_toplevel10_body*:
 $(\#\#M)(A) \implies (\#\#M)(r) \implies separation(\#\#M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A. y = \langle x, restrict(x, r) \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *toplevel11_body* :: $[i,i] \Rightarrow o$ **where**
toplevel11_body(*A*) $\equiv \lambda p. (\forall x \in A. (x \in snd(p) \longleftrightarrow domain(x) = fst(p)))$

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_toplevel11_body:
  (##M)(A) ==> separation(##M, is_toplevel11_body(##M,A))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) toplevel11_body_abs:
  assumes (##M)(A) (##M)(x)
  shows is_toplevel11_body(##M,A,x) <=> toplevel11_body(A,x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_toplevel11_body:
  (##M)(A) ==> separation(##M, λp. ∀x∈A. x ∈ snd(p) <=> domain(x) = fst(p))
  ⟨proof⟩

definition toplevel12_body
  where toplevel12_body(G,p) ≡ ∀x∈G. x ∈ snd(p) <=> fst(p) ∈ x

⟨ML⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_is_toplevel12_body:
  (##M)(G) ==> separation(##M, is_toplevel12_body(##M,G))
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) toplevel12_body_abs:
  assumes (##M)(G) (##M)(x)
  shows is_toplevel12_body(##M,G,x) <=> toplevel12_body(G,x)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_ZF_trans) separation_toplevel12_body:
  (##M)(G) ==> separation
    (##M, λp. ∀x∈G. x ∈ snd(p) <=> fst(p) ∈ x)
  ⟨proof⟩

end
theory Cardinal_Preservation
imports
  Cohen_Posets_Relative
  Forcing_Main
  ZF_Trans_Interpretations
begin

context forcing_notion
begin

definition
  antichain :: i⇒o where
  antichain(A) ≡ A⊆P ∧ (∀p∈A. ∀q∈A. p ≠ q → p ⊥ q)

definition

```

```

ccc :: o where
ccc ≡ ∀ A. antichain(A) → |A| ≤ ω

end

locale M_trivial_notion = M_trivial + forcing_notion
begin

abbreviation
  antichain_r' :: i ⇒ o where
  antichain_r'(A) ≡ antichain_rel(M,P,leq,A)

lemma antichain_abs' [absolut]:
  [ M(A); M(P); M(leq) ] ⇒ antichain_r'(A) ↔ antichain(A)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

— MOVE THIS to an appropriate place

The following interpretation makes the simplifications from the locales M_trans,
M_trivial, etc., available for M[G]

sublocale forcing_data ⊆ M_trivial_notion ##M ⟨proof⟩

context forcing_data
begin

lemma antichain_abs'' [absolut]: A ∈ M ⇒ antichain_r'(A) ↔ antichain(A)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in forcing_notion) Incompatible_imp_not_eq: [ p ⊥ q; p ∈ P; q ∈ P ] ⇒
  p ≠ q
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in forcing_data) inconsistent_imp_incompatible:
  assumes p ⊢ φ env q ⊢ Neg(φ) env p ∈ P q ∈ P
  arity(φ) ≤ length(env) φ ∈ formula env ∈ list(M)
  shows p ⊥ q
  ⟨proof⟩

notation (in forcing_data) check (⟨_v⟩ [101] 100)

context G_generic begin

— NOTE: The following bundled additions to the simpset might be of use later on,
perhaps add them globally to some appropriate locale.
lemmas generic_simps = generic[THEN one_in_G, THEN valcheck, OF one_in_P]

```

```

generic[THEN one_in_G, THEN M_subset_MG, THEN subsetD]
check_in_M GenExtI P_in_M
lemmas generic_dests = M_genericD[OF generic] M_generic_compatD[OF generic]

bundle G_generic_lemmas = generic_simps[simp] generic_dests[dest]

end

sublocale G_generic ⊆ ext:M_ZF_trans M[G]
⟨proof⟩

sublocale G_generic_AC ⊆ ext:M_ZFC_trans M[G]
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in forcing_data) forces_neq_apply_imp_incompatible:
assumes
  p ⊢ ·0‘1 is 2· [f,a,bv]
  q ⊢ ·0‘1 is 2· [f,a,bw]
  b ≠ b'
  — More general version: taking general names  $b^v$  and  $b^w$ , satisfying  $p \vdash \neg\cdot 0 = 1.. [b^v, b^w]$  and  $q \vdash \neg\cdot 0 = 1.. [b^v, b^w]$ .
  and
  types:f∈M a∈M b∈M b'∈M p∈P q∈P
shows
  p ⊥ q
⟨proof⟩
  include G_generic_lemmas
  — FIXME: make a locale containg two  $M_{ZF\_trans}$  instances, one for  $M$  and
  one for  $M[G]$ 
⟨proof⟩

context G_generic_AC begin

context
  includes G_generic_lemmas
begin

  — Simplifying simp rules (because of the occurrence of "##")
lemmas sharp_simps = Card_rel_Union Card_rel_cardinal_rel Collect_abs
  Cons_abs Cons_in_M_iff Diff_closed Equal_abs Equal_in_M_iff Finite_abs
  Forall_abs Forall_in_M_iff Inl_abs Inl_in_M_iff Inr_abs Inr_in_M_iff
  Int_closed Inter_abs Inter_closed M_nat Member_abs Member_in_M_iff
  Memrel_closed Nand_abs Nand_in_M_iff Nil_abs Nil_in_M Ord_cardinal_rel
  Pow_rel_closed Un_closed Union_abs Union_closed and_abs and_closed
  apply_abs apply_closed bij_rel_closed bijection_abs bool_of_o_abs
  bool_of_o_closed cadd_rel_0 cadd_rel_closed cardinal_rel_0_iff_0
  cardinal_rel_closed cardinal_rel_idem cartprod_abs cartprod_closed
  cmult_rel_0 cmult_rel_1 cmult_rel_closed comp_closed composition_abs
  cons_abs cons_closed converse_abs converse_closed csquare_lam_closed

```

```

csquare_rel_closed depth_closed domain_abs domain_closed eclose_abs
eclose_closed empty_abs field_abs field_closed finite_funspace_closed
finite_ordinal_abs formula_N_abs formula_N_closed formula_abs
formula_case_abs formula_case_closed formula_closed
formula_functor_abs fst_closed function_abs function_space_rel_closed
hd_abs image_abs image_closed inj_rel_closed injection_abs inter_abs
irreflexive_abs is_depth_apply_abs is_eclose_n_abs is_funspace_abs
iterates_closed le_abs length_abs length_closed lepoll_rel_refl
limit_ordinal_abs linear_rel_abs list_N_abs list_N_closed list_abs
list_case'_closed list_case_abs list_closed list_functor_abs lt_abs
mem_bij_abs mem_eclose_abs mem_inj_abs mem_list_abs membership_abs
minimum_closed nat_case_abs nat_case_closed nonempty not_abs
not_closed nth_abs number1_abs number2_abs number3_abs omega_abs
or_abs or_closed order_isomorphism_abs ordermap_closed
ordertype_closed ordinal_abs pair_abs pair_in_M_iff powerset_abs
pred_closed pred_set_abs quaselist_abs quasinat_abs radd_closed
rall_abs range_abs range_closed relation_abs restrict_closed
restriction_abs rex_abs rmult_closed rtranci_abs rtranci_closed
rvimage_closed separation_closed setdiff_abs singleton_abs
singleton_in_M_iff snd_closed strong_replacement_closed subset_abs
succ_in_M_iff successor_abs successor_ordinal_abs sum_abs sum_closed
surj_rel_closed surjection_abs tl_abs tranci_abs tranci_closed
transitive_rel_abs transitive_set_abs typed_function_abs union_abs
upair_abs upair_in_M_iff vimage_abs vimage_closed well_ord_abs
mem_formula_abs fst_abs snd_abs nth_closed

```

— NOTE: there is a theorem missing from those above

```

lemmas mg_sharp_simp = ext.Card_rel_Union ext.Card_rel_cardinal_rel
ext.Collect_abs ext.Cons_abs ext.Cons_in_M_iff ext.Diff_closed
ext.Equal_abs ext.Equal_in_M_iff ext.Finite_abs ext.Forall_abs
ext.Forall_in_M_iff ext.Inl_abs ext.Inl_in_M_iff ext.Inr_abs
ext.Inr_in_M_iff ext.Int_closed ext.Inter_abs ext.Inter_closed
ext.M_nat ext.Member_abs ext.Member_in_M_iff ext.Memrel_closed
ext.Nand_abs ext.Nand_in_M_iff ext.Nil_abs ext.Nil_in_M
ext.Ord_cardinal_rel ext.Pow_rel_closed ext.Un_closed
ext.Union_abs ext.Union_closed ext.and_abs ext.and_closed
ext.apply_abs ext.apply_closed ext.bij_rel_closed
ext.bijection_abs ext.bool_of_o_abs ext.bool_of_o_closed
ext.cadd_rel_0 ext.cadd_rel_closed ext.cardinal_rel_0_iff_0
ext.cardinal_rel_closed ext.cardinal_rel_idem ext.cartprod_abs
ext.cartprod_closed ext.cmult_rel_0 ext.cmult_rel_1
ext.cmult_rel_closed ext.comp_closed ext.composition_abs
ext.cons_abs ext.cons_closed ext.converse_abs ext.converse_closed
ext.csquare_lam_closed ext.csquare_rel_closed ext.depth_closed
ext.domain_abs ext.domain_closed ext.eclose_abs ext.eclose_closed
ext.empty_abs ext.field_abs ext.field_closed
ext.finite_funspace_closed ext.finite_ordinal_abs ext.formula_N_abs
ext.formula_N_closed ext.formula_abs ext.formula_case_abs
ext.formula_case_closed ext.formula_closed ext.formula_functor_abs

```

```

ext.fst_closed ext.function_abs ext.function_space_rel_closed
ext.hd_abs ext.image_abs ext.image_closed ext.inj_rel_closed
ext.injection_abs ext.inter_abs ext.irreflexive_abs
ext.is_depth_apply_abs ext.is_eclose_n_abs ext.is_funspace_abs
ext.iterates_closed ext.le_abs ext.length_abs ext.length_closed
ext.lepoll_rel_refl ext.limit_ordinal_abs ext.linear_rel_abs
ext.list_N_abs ext.list_N_closed ext.list_abs
ext.list_case'_closed ext.list_case_abs ext.list_closed
ext.list_functor_abs ext.lt_abs ext.mem_bij_abs ext.mem_eclose_abs
ext.mem_inj_abs ext.mem_list_abs ext.membership_abs
ext.minimum_closed ext.nat_case_abs ext.nat_case_closed
ext.nonempty ext.not_abs ext.not_closed ext.nth_abs
ext.number1_abs ext.number2_abs ext.number3_abs ext.omega_abs
ext.or_abs ext.or_closed ext.order_isomorphism_abs
ext.ordermap_closed ext.ordertype_closed ext.ordinal_abs
ext.pair_abs ext.pair_in_M_iff ext.powerset_abs ext.pred_closed
ext.pred_set_abs ext.quasilist_abs ext.quasinat_abs
ext.radd_closed ext.rall_abs ext.range_abs ext.range_closed
ext.relation_abs ext.restrict_closed ext.restriction_abs
ext.rex_abs ext.rmult_closed ext.rtranci_abs ext.rtranci_closed
ext.rvimage_closed ext.separation_closed ext.setdiff_abs
ext.singleton_abs ext.singleton_in_M_iff ext.snd_closed
ext.strong_replacement_closed ext.subset_abs ext.succ_in_M_iff
ext.successor_abs ext.successor_ordinal_abs ext.sum_abs
ext.sum_closed ext.surj_rel_closed ext.surjection_abs ext.tl_abs
ext.tranci_abs ext.tranci_closed ext.transitive_rel_abs
ext.transitive_set_abs ext.typed_function_abs ext.union_abs
ext.upair_abs ext.upair_in_M_iff ext.vimage_abs ext.vimage_closed
ext.well_ord_abs ext.mem_formula_abs ext.nth_closed

```

declare sharp_simps[simp del, simplified setclass_if, simp]

— The following was motivated by the fact that $\llbracket (\#\#M[G])(?f); (\#\#M[G])(?a) \rrbracket \implies (\#\#M[G])(?f \cdot ?a)$ did not simplify appropriately
 NOTE: $\llbracket (\#\#M)(?p); (\#\#M)(?x) \rrbracket \implies is_fst(\#\#M, ?p, ?x) \leftrightarrow ?x = fst(?p)$ and $\llbracket (\#\#M)(?p); (\#\#M)(?y) \rrbracket \implies is_snd(\#\#M, ?p, ?y) \leftrightarrow ?y = snd(?p)$ not in mgzf interpretation.

declare mg_sharp_simps[simp del, simplified setclass_if, simp]

— Kunen IV.2.31

lemma forces_below_filter:

assumes $M[G]$, $map(val(P,G), env) \models \varphi$ $p \in G$
 $arity(\varphi) \leq length(env)$ $\varphi \in formula$ $env \in list(M)$
shows $\exists q \in G. q \preceq p \wedge q \Vdash \varphi$ env
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation

$fm_leq :: [i,i,i] \Rightarrow i (\cdot \preceq \cdot)$ **where**
 $fm_leq(A,l,B) \equiv leq_fm(l,A,B)$

$\langle ML \rangle$

```

lemma ccc_fun_closed_lemma_aux:
  assumes f_dot ∈ M p ∈ M a ∈ M b ∈ M
  shows {q ∈ P . q ⊢ p ∧ (M, [q, P, leq, one, f_dot, a^v, b^v] ⊨ forces(·0‘1 is 2·)))} ∈ M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccc_fun_closed_lemma_aux2:
  assumes B ∈ M f_dot ∈ M p ∈ M a ∈ M
  shows (##M)(λb ∈ B. {q ∈ P . q ⊢ p ∧ (M, [q, P, leq, one, f_dot, a^v, b^v] ⊨ forces(·0‘1 is 2·)))}) ∈ M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccc_fun_closed_lemma:
  assumes A ∈ M B ∈ M f_dot ∈ M p ∈ M
  shows (λa ∈ A. {b ∈ B. ∃q ∈ P. q ⊢ p ∧ (q ⊨ ·0‘1 is 2· [f_dot, a^v, b^v]))}) ∈ M
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma ccc_fun_approximation_lemma:
  notes le_trans[trans]
  assumes ccc^M(P, leq) A ∈ M B ∈ M f ∈ M[G] f : A → B
  shows
    ∃F ∈ M. F : A → Pow(B) ∧ (∀a ∈ A. f`a ∈ F`a ∧ |F`a|^M ≤ ω)
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

end
theory Not_CH
  imports
    Cardinal_Preservation
begin

definition
  Add_subs :: [i, i] ⇒ i where
  Add_subs(κ, α) ≡ Fn(ω, κ × α, 2)

locale M_master = M_cohen +
  assumes
    domain_separation: M(x) ⇒ separation(M, λz. x ∈ domain(z))
  and
    inj_dense_separation: M(x) ⇒ M(w) ⇒
      separation(M, λz. ∃n ∈ ω. ⟨⟨w, n⟩, 1⟩ ∈ z ∧ ⟨⟨x, n⟩, 0⟩ ∈ z)
  and
    lam_apply_replacement: M(A) ⇒ M(f) ⇒
      strong_replacement(M, λx y. y = ⟨x, λn ∈ A. f ` ⟨x, n⟩⟩)
  and

```

UN_lepoll_assumptions:
 $M(A) \implies M(b) \implies M(f) \implies M(A') \implies \text{separation}(M, \lambda y. \exists x \in A'. y = \langle x, \mu i. x \in \text{if_range_} F \text{ else_} F((\cdot)(A), b, f, i))$

```

begin

lemma (in  $M$ .FiniteFun)  $Fn\_nat\_closed$ :
  assumes  $M(A)$   $M(B)$  shows  $M(Fn(\omega, A, B))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $Aleph\_rel2\_closed$ [intro,simp]:  $M(\aleph_2^M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

locale  $M\_master\_sub = M\_master + N : M\_master N$  for  $N +$ 
  assumes
     $M\_imp\_N: M(x) \implies N(x)$  and
     $Ord\_iff: Ord(x) \implies M(x) \longleftrightarrow N(x)$ 

sublocale  $M\_master\_sub \subseteq M\_N\_Perm$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

context  $M\_master\_sub$ 
begin

lemma  $cardinal\_rel\_le\_cardinal\_rel$ :  $M(X) \implies |X|^N \leq |X|^M$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $Aleph\_rel\_sub\_closed$ :  $Ord(\alpha) \implies M(\alpha) \implies N(\aleph_\alpha^M)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $Card\_rel\_imp\_Card\_rel$ :  $M(\kappa) \implies Card^N(\kappa) \implies Card^M(\kappa)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $csucc\_rel\_le\_csucc\_rel$ :
  assumes  $Ord(\kappa)$   $M(\kappa)$ 
  shows  $(\kappa^+)^M \leq (\kappa^+)^N$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $Aleph\_rel\_le\_Aleph\_rel$ :  $Ord(\alpha) \implies M(\alpha) \implies \aleph_\alpha^M \leq \aleph_\alpha^N$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

lemmas (in  $M$ .ZFC_trans)  $sep\_instances =$ 
  separation_toplevel1_body separation_toplevel2_body separation_toplevel3_body
  separation_toplevel4_body separation_toplevel5_body separation_toplevel6_body
  separation_toplevel7_body separation_toplevel8_body separation_toplevel9_body

```

```

separation_toplevel10_body separation_toplevel11_body separation_Ord
separation_toplevel12_body separation_insnd_ballPair
separation_restrict_eq_dom_eq separation_restrict_eq_dom_eq_pair
separation_ifrangeF_body separation_ifrangeF_body2 separation_ifrangeF_body3
separation_ifrangeF_body4 separation_ifrangeF_body5 separation_ifrangeF_body6
separation_ifrangeF_body7

lemmas (in M_ZF_trans) repl_instances = lam_replacement_inj_rel
lam_replacement_cardinal[unfolded lam_replacement_def] replacement_trans_apply_image
replacement_abs_apply_pair

sublocale M_ZFC_trans ⊆ M_master ##M
⟨proof⟩

context M_ctm_AC
begin

— FIXME: using notation as if Add_subs were used
lemma ccc_Add_subs_Aleph_2: cccM(Fn(ω, ℙM × ω, 2), Fnle(ω, ℙM × ω, 2))
⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale G_generic_AC ⊆ M_master_sub ##M ##(M[G])
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_trans) mem_F_bound4:
fixes F A
defines F ≡ (·)
shows x ∈ F(A, c) ⟹ c ∈ (range(f) ∪ domain(A))
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in M_trans) mem_F_bound5:
fixes F A
defines F ≡ λ x. A `x
shows x ∈ F(A, c) ⟹ c ∈ (range(f) ∪ domain(A))
⟨proof⟩

context G_generic_AC begin

context
  includes G_generic_lemmas
begin

lemma G_in_MG: G ∈ M[G]
⟨proof⟩

lemma ccc_preserves_Aleph_succ:

```

```

assumes  $ccc^M(P, leq) \ Ord(z) \ z \in M$ 
shows  $Card^{M[G]}(\aleph_{succ(z)}^M)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

end

context  $M\_ctm$ 
begin

abbreviation
Add ::  $i$  where
Add ≡ Fn( $\omega$ ,  $\aleph_2^M \times \omega$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}$ )
end

locale add_generic = G_generic_AC Fn( $\omega$ ,  $\aleph_2^{\#M} \times \omega$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) Fnle( $\omega$ ,  $\aleph_2^{\#M} \times \omega$ ,  $\mathcal{Z}$ ) 0
sublocale add_generic ⊆ cohen_data  $\omega$   $\aleph_2^M \times \omega$   $\mathcal{Z}$  ⟨proof⟩

context add_generic
begin

notation Leq (infixl  $\preceq$  50)
notation Incompatible (infixl  $\perp$  50)
notation GenExt_at_P (_[ ]) [71,1]

lemma Add_subs_preserves_Aleph_succ:  $Ord(z) \implies z \in M \implies Card^{M[G]}(\aleph_{succ(z)}^M)$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma Aleph_rel_nats_MG_eq_Aleph_rel_nats_M:
includes G_generic_lemmas
assumes  $z \in \omega$ 
shows  $\aleph_z^{M[G]} = \aleph_z^M$ 
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation
f_G ::  $i$  ( $f_G$ ) where
 $f_G \equiv \bigcup G$ 

abbreviation
dom_dense ::  $i \Rightarrow i$  where
dom_dense( $x$ ) ≡ {  $p \in Add . x \in domain(p)$  }

— FIXME write general versions of this for Fn( $\omega, I, J$ ) in a context with a generic
filter for it
lemma dense_dom_dense:  $x \in \aleph_2^M \times \omega \implies dense(dom\_dense(x))$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dom_dense_closed[intro,simp]*: $x \in \aleph_2^M \times \omega \implies \text{dom_dense}(x) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *domain_f_G*: **assumes** $x \in \aleph_2^M$ $y \in \omega$
shows $\langle x, y \rangle \in \text{domain}(f_G)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Fn_nat_subset_Pow*: $\text{Fn}(\omega, I, J) \subseteq \text{Pow}(I \times J)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *f_G_funtype*:
includes *G_generic_lemmas*
shows $f_G : \aleph_2^M \times \omega \rightarrow 2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation

inj_dense :: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow i$ **where**
 $\text{inj_dense}(w, x) \equiv$
 $\{ p \in \text{Add} . (\exists n \in \omega. \langle \langle w, n \rangle, 1 \rangle \in p \wedge \langle \langle x, n \rangle, 0 \rangle \in p) \}$

— FIXME write general versions of this for $\text{Fn}(\omega, I, J)$ in a context with a generic filter for it

lemma *dense_inj_dense*:
assumes $w \in \aleph_2^M$ $x \in \aleph_2^M$ $w \neq x$
shows $\text{dense}(\text{inj_dense}(w, x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inj_dense_closed[intro,simp]*:
 $w \in \aleph_2^M \implies x \in \aleph_2^M \implies \text{inj_dense}(w, x) \in M$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Aleph_rel2_new_reals*:
assumes $w \in \aleph_2^M$ $x \in \aleph_2^M$ $w \neq x$
shows $(\lambda n \in \omega. f_G ` \langle w, n \rangle) \neq (\lambda n \in \omega. f_G ` \langle x, n \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition

h_G :: $i(\langle h_G \rangle)$ **where**
 $h_G \equiv \lambda \alpha \in \aleph_2^M. \lambda n \in \omega. f_G ` \langle \alpha, n \rangle$

lemma *h_G_in_MG[simp]*:
includes *G_generic_lemmas*
shows $h_G \in M[G]$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *h_G_inj_Aleph_rel2_reals*: $h_G \in \text{inj}^{M[G]}(\aleph_2^M, \omega \rightarrow^{M[G]} 2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma Aleph2_extension_le_continuum_rel:
  includes G_generic_lemmas
  shows  $\aleph_2^{M[G]} \leq 2^{\aleph_0^{M[G]}, M[G]}$ 
  (proof)

lemma Aleph_rel_lt_continuum_rel:  $\aleph_1^{M[G]} < 2^{\aleph_0^{M[G]}, M[G]}$ 
  (proof)

corollary not_CH:  $\aleph_1^{M[G]} \neq 2^{\aleph_0^{M[G]}, M[G]}$ 
  (proof)

end

definition
  ContHyp :: o where
    ContHyp  $\equiv \aleph_1 = 2^{\aleph_0}$ 

  (ML)
  notation ContHyp_rel ((CH-))
  (ML)

context M_master
begin

  (ML)
  (proof)

end

  (ML)
  notation is_ContHyp_fm ((·CH·)

theorem ctm_of_not_CH:
  assumes
     $M \approx \omega$  Transset( $M$ )  $M \models ZFC$ 
  shows
     $\exists N.$ 
     $M \subseteq N \wedge N \approx \omega \wedge \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models ZFC \cup \{\neg CH\} \wedge$ 
     $(\forall \alpha. Ord(\alpha) \longrightarrow (\alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \alpha \in N))$ 
  (proof)

end

```

49 From M to V

theory Absolute_Versions

```

imports
  Not_CH
  ZF.Cardinal_AC
begin

49.1 Locales of a class  $M$  hold in  $\mathcal{V}$ 

interpretation  $V: M\_trivial \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas bad_simps =  $V.\text{nonempty } V.\text{Forall\_in\_}M\_\text{iff } V.\text{Inl\_in\_}M\_\text{iff } V.\text{Inr\_in\_}M\_\text{iff }$ 
 $V.\text{succ\_in\_}M\_\text{iff } V.\text{singleton\_in\_}M\_\text{iff } V.\text{Equal\_in\_}M\_\text{iff } V.\text{Member\_in\_}M\_\text{iff }$ 
 $V.\text{Nand\_in\_}M\_\text{iff }$ 
 $V.\text{Cons\_in\_}M\_\text{iff } V.\text{pair\_in\_}M\_\text{iff } V.\text{upair\_in\_}M\_\text{iff }$ 

lemmas bad_M_trivial_simps[simp del] =  $V.\text{Forall\_in\_}M\_\text{iff } V.\text{Equal\_in\_}M\_\text{iff }$ 
 $V.\text{nonempty }$ 

lemmas bad_M_trivial_rules[rule del] =  $V.\text{pair\_in\_MI } V.\text{singleton\_in\_MI }$ 
 $V.\text{pair\_in\_MD } V.\text{nat\_into\_}M$ 
 $V.\text{depth\_closed } V.\text{length\_closed } V.\text{nat\_case\_closed } V.\text{separation\_closed }$ 
 $V.\text{Un\_closed } V.\text{strong\_replacement\_closed } V.\text{nonempty }$ 

interpretation  $V:M\_basic \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation  $V:M\_eclose \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas bad_M_basic_rules[simp del, rule del] =
 $V.\text{cartprod\_closed } V.\text{finite\_funspace\_closed } V.\text{converse\_closed }$ 
 $V.\text{list\_case'}\_closed V.\text{pred\_closed }$ 

interpretation  $V:M\_cardinal\_arith \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas bad_M_cardinals_rules[simp del, rule del] =
 $V.\text{iterates\_closed } V.M\_nat V.\text{trancl\_closed } V.\text{rvimage\_closed }$ 

interpretation  $V:M\_cardinal\_arith\_jump \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma choice_ax_Universe: choice_ax( $\mathcal{V}$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

interpretation  $V:M\_master \mathcal{V}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

named_theorems V_simps

— To work systematically, ASCII versions of “_absolute” theorems as those below are preferable.

lemma $eqpoll_rel_absolute[V_simps]: x \approx^{\mathcal{V}} y \longleftrightarrow x \approx y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cardinal_rel_absolute[V_simps]: |x|^{\mathcal{V}} = |x|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Card_rel_absolute[V_simps]: Card^{\mathcal{V}}(a) \longleftrightarrow Card(a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $csucc_rel_absolute[V_simps]: (a^+)^{\mathcal{V}} = a^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $function_space_rel_absolute[V_simps]: x \rightarrow^{\mathcal{V}} y = x \rightarrow y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $cexp_rel_absolute[V_simps]: x^{\uparrow y, \mathcal{V}} = x^{\uparrow y}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $HAleph_rel_absolute[V_simps]: HAleph_rel(\mathcal{V}, a, b) = HAleph(a, b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Aleph_rel_absolute[V_simps]: Ord(x) \implies \aleph_x^{\mathcal{V}} = \aleph_x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Example of absolute lemmas obtained from the relative versions. Note the *only* declarations

lemma $Ord_cardinal_idem': Ord(A) \implies ||A|| = |A|$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Aleph_succ': Ord(\alpha) \implies \aleph_{succ(\alpha)} = \aleph_{\alpha^+}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

These two results are new, first obtained in relative form (not ported).

lemma $csucc_cardinal:$
assumes $Ord(\kappa)$ **shows** $|\kappa|^+ = \kappa^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $csucc_le_mono:$
assumes $\kappa \leq \nu$ **shows** $\kappa^+ \leq \nu^+$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Example of transferring results from a transitive model to \mathcal{V}

lemma (in M_Perm) $eqpoll_rel_transfer_absolute:$
assumes $M(A) M(B) A \approx^M B$
shows $A \approx B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The “relationalized” CH with respect to \mathcal{V} corresponds to the real CH .

lemma *is_ContHyp_iff_CH*: $is_ContHyp(\mathcal{V}) \longleftrightarrow ContHyp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

50 Main definitions of the development

```
theory Definitions_Main
imports
  Not_CH
  Absolute_Versions
begin
```

This theory gathers the main definitions of the Forcing session.

It might be considered as the bare minimum reading requisite to trust that our development indeed formalizes the theory of forcing. This should be mathematically clear since this is the only known method for obtaining proper extensions of ctms while preserving the ordinals.

The main theorem of this session and all of its relevant definitions appear in Section 50.3. The reader trusting all the libraries in which our development is based, might jump directly there. But in case one wants to dive deeper, the following sections treat some basic concepts in the ZF logic (Section 50.1) and in the ZF-Constructible library (Section 50.2) on which our definitions are built.

```
declare [[show_question_marks=false]]
no_notation add (infixl  $\#+$  65)
notation add (infixl  $+_{\omega}$  65)
hide_const (open) Order.pred
```

50.1 ZF

For the basic logic ZF we restrict ourselves to just a few concepts.

thm *bij_def*[unfolded inj_def surj_def]

$$\begin{aligned} bij(A, B) \equiv \\ \{f \in A \rightarrow B . \forall w \in A. \forall x \in A. f ' w = f ' x \rightarrow w = x\} \cap \\ \{f \in A \rightarrow B . \forall y \in B. \exists x \in A. f ' x = y\} \end{aligned}$$

thm *eqpoll_def*

$$A \approx B \equiv \exists f. f \in bij(A, B)$$

thm *Transset_def*

$$\text{Transset}(i) \equiv \forall x \in i. x \subseteq i$$

thm *Ord_def*

$$\text{Ord}(i) \equiv \text{Transset}(i) \wedge (\forall x \in i. \text{Transset}(x))$$

thm *lt_def*

$$i < j \equiv i \in j \wedge \text{Ord}(j)$$

With the concepts of empty set and successor in place,

lemma *empty_def'*: $\forall x. x \notin 0$ *⟨proof⟩*

lemma *succ_def'*: $\text{succ}(i) = i \cup \{i\}$ *⟨proof⟩*

we can define the set of natural numbers ω . In the sources, it is defined as a fixpoint, but here we just write its characterization as the first limit ordinal.

thm *Limit_nat[unfolded Limit_def]* *nat_le_Limit[unfolded Limit_def]*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ord}(\omega) \wedge 0 < \omega \wedge (\forall y. y < \omega \rightarrow \text{succ}(y) < \omega) \\ \text{Ord}(i) \wedge 0 < i \wedge (\forall y. y < i \rightarrow \text{succ}(y) < i) \implies \omega \leq i \end{aligned}$$

Then, addition and predecessor are inductively characterized as follows:

thm *add_0_right add_succ_right pred_0 pred_succ_eq*

$$m +_{\omega} \text{succ}(n) = \text{succ}(m +_{\omega} n)$$

$$m \in \omega \implies m +_{\omega} 0 = m$$

$$\text{pred}(0) = 0$$

$$\text{pred}(\text{succ}(y)) = y$$

Lists on a set A can be characterized by being recursively generated from the empty list $[]$ and the operation *Cons* that adds a new element to the left end; the induction theorem for them show that the characterization is “complete”.

thm *Nil Cons list.induct*

$$[] \in \text{list}(A)$$

$$[a \in A; l \in \text{list}(A)] \implies \text{Cons}(a, l) \in \text{list}(A)$$

$$[x \in \text{list}(A); P([]); \bigwedge a l. [a \in A; l \in \text{list}(A); P(l)] \implies P(\text{Cons}(a, l))] \implies P(x)$$

Length, concatenation, and n th element of lists are recursively characterized as follows.

thm *length.simps app.simps nth_0 nth_Cons*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{length}(\emptyset) &= 0 \\ \text{length}(\text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{succ}(\text{length}(l)) \\ \emptyset @ ys &= ys \\ \text{Cons}(a, l) @ ys &= \text{Cons}(a, l @ ys) \\ \text{nth}(0, \text{Cons}(a, l)) &= a \\ n \in \omega \implies \text{nth}(\text{succ}(n), \text{Cons}(a, l)) &= \text{nth}(n, l) \end{aligned}$$

We have the usual Haskell-like notation for iterated applications of *Cons*:

lemma *Cons_app*: $[a,b,c] = \text{Cons}(a, \text{Cons}(b, \text{Cons}(c, \emptyset)))$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Relative quantifiers restrict the range of the bound variable to a class M of type $i \Rightarrow o$; that is, a truth-valued function with set arguments.

lemma $\forall x[M]. P(x) \equiv \forall x. M(x) \rightarrow P(x)$
 $\exists x[M]. P(x) \equiv \exists x. M(x) \wedge P(x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Finally, a set can be viewed (“cast”) as a class using the following function of type $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow o$.

thm *setclass_iff*

$$(\#\#A)(x) \longleftrightarrow x \in A$$

50.2 Relative concepts

A list of relative concepts (mostly from the ZF-Constructible library) follows next.

thm *big_union_def*

$$\text{big_union}(M, A, z) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in A \wedge x \in y)$$

thm *upair_def*

$$\text{upair}(M, a, b, z) \equiv a \in z \wedge b \in z \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in z \rightarrow x = a \vee x = b)$$

thm *pair_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pair}(M, a, b, z) &\equiv \\ \exists x[M]. \text{upair}(M, a, a, x) \wedge (\exists y[M]. \text{upair}(M, a, b, y) \wedge \text{upair}(M, x, y, z)) & \end{aligned}$$

thm *successor_def*[*unfolded is_cons_def union_def*]

$$\text{successor}(M, a, z) \equiv \exists x[M]. \text{upair}(M, a, x) \wedge (\forall xa[M]. xa \in z \longleftrightarrow xa \in x \vee xa \in a)$$

thm *empty_def*

$$\text{empty}(M, z) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \notin z$$

thm *transitive_set_def*[*unfolded subset_def*]

$$\text{transitive_set}(M, a) \equiv \forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow (\forall xa[M]. xa \in x \longrightarrow xa \in a)$$

thm *ordinal_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ordinal}(M, a) \equiv \\ \text{transitive_set}(M, a) \wedge (\forall x[M]. x \in a \longrightarrow \text{transitive_set}(M, x)) \end{aligned}$$

thm *image_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{image}(M, r, A, z) \equiv \\ \forall y[M]. y \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \wedge (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge \text{pair}(M, x, y, w))) \end{aligned}$$

thm *fun_apply_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{is_apply}(M, f, x, y) \equiv \\ \exists xs[M]. \\ \exists fxs[M]. \text{upair}(M, x, x, xs) \wedge \text{image}(M, f, xs, fxs) \wedge \text{big_union}(M, fxs, y) \end{aligned}$$

thm *is_function_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{is_function}(M, r) \equiv \\ \forall x[M]. \\ \forall y[M]. \\ \forall y'[M]. \\ \forall p[M]. \\ \forall p'[M]. \\ \text{pair}(M, x, y, p) \longrightarrow \\ \text{pair}(M, x, y', p') \longrightarrow p \in r \longrightarrow p' \in r \longrightarrow y = y' \end{aligned}$$

thm *is_relation_def*

is_relation(M, r) $\equiv \forall z[M]. z \in r \longrightarrow (\exists x[M]. \exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, z))$

thm *is_domain_def*

is_domain(M, r, z) $\equiv \forall x[M]. x \in z \longleftrightarrow (\exists w[M]. w \in r \wedge (\exists y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, w)))$

thm *typed_function_def*

typed_function(M, A, B, r) \equiv
is_function(M, r) \wedge
is_relation(M, r) \wedge
is_domain(M, r, A) \wedge
 $(\forall u[M]. u \in r \longrightarrow (\forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \text{pair}(M, x, y, u) \longrightarrow y \in B))$

thm *is_function_space_def*[unfolded *is_funspace_def*]
function_space_rel_def *surjection_def*

is_function_space(M, A, B, fs) \equiv
 $M(fs) \wedge (\forall f[M]. f \in fs \longleftrightarrow \text{typed_function}(M, A, B, f))$
 $A \xrightarrow{M} B \equiv \text{THE } d. \text{is_function_space}(M, A, B, d)$
surjection(M, A, B, f) \equiv
typed_function(M, A, B, f) \wedge
 $(\forall y[M]. y \in B \longrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge \text{is_apply}(M, f, x, y)))$

Relative version of the *ZFC* axioms

thm *extensionality_def*

extensionality(M) $\equiv \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. (\forall z[M]. z \in x \longleftrightarrow z \in y) \longrightarrow x = y$

thm *foundation_ax_def*

foundation_ax(M) $\equiv \forall x[M]. (\exists y[M]. y \in x) \longrightarrow (\exists y[M]. y \in x \wedge \neg (\exists z[M]. z \in x \wedge z \in y))$

thm *upair_ax_def*

upair_ax(M) $\equiv \forall x[M]. \forall y[M]. \exists z[M]. \text{upair}(M, x, y, z)$

thm *Union_ax_def*

Union_ax(M) $\equiv \forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. \text{big_union}(M, x, z)$

thm *power_ax_def*[unfolded powerset_def subset_def]

$$\text{power_ax}(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists z[M]. \forall xa[M]. xa \in z \longleftrightarrow (\forall xb[M]. xb \in xa \longrightarrow xb \in x)$$

thm *infinity_ax_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{infinity_ax}(M) \equiv \\ \exists I[M]. \\ (\exists z[M]. \text{empty}(M, z) \wedge z \in I) \wedge \\ (\forall y[M]. y \in I \longrightarrow (\exists sy[M]. \text{successor}(M, y, sy) \wedge sy \in I)) \end{aligned}$$

thm *choice_ax_def*

$$\text{choice_ax}(M) \equiv \forall x[M]. \exists a[M]. \exists f[M]. \text{ordinal}(M, a) \wedge \text{surjection}(M, a, x, f)$$

thm *separation_def*

$$\text{separation}(M, P) \equiv \forall z[M]. \exists y[M]. \forall x[M]. x \in y \longleftrightarrow x \in z \wedge P(x)$$

thm *univalent_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{univalent}(M, A, P) \equiv \\ \forall x[M]. x \in A \longrightarrow (\forall y[M]. \forall z[M]. P(x, y) \wedge P(x, z) \longrightarrow y = z) \end{aligned}$$

thm *strong_replacement_def*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{strong_replacement}(M, P) \equiv \\ \forall A[M]. \\ \text{univalent}(M, A, P) \longrightarrow (\exists Y[M]. \forall b[M]. b \in Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists x[M]. x \in A \wedge P(x, b))) \end{aligned}$$

Internalized formulas

thm *Member Equal Nand Forall formula.induct*

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \implies \cdot x \in y \cdot \in \text{formula} \\ & \llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \implies \cdot x = y \cdot \in \text{formula} \\ & \llbracket p \in \text{formula}; q \in \text{formula} \rrbracket \implies \cdot \neg(p \wedge q) \cdot \in \text{formula} \\ & p \in \text{formula} \implies (\cdot \forall p \cdot) \in \text{formula} \\ & \llbracket x \in \text{formula}; \wedge x y. \llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \implies P(\cdot x \in y \cdot); \\ & \quad \wedge x y. \llbracket x \in \omega; y \in \omega \rrbracket \implies P(\cdot x = y \cdot); \\ & \quad \wedge p q. \llbracket p \in \text{formula}; P(p); q \in \text{formula}; P(q) \rrbracket \implies P(\cdot \neg(p \wedge q) \cdot); \\ & \quad \wedge p. \llbracket p \in \text{formula}; P(p) \rrbracket \implies P((\cdot \forall p \cdot)) \rrbracket \\ & \implies P(x) \end{aligned}$$

thm *arity.simps*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{arity}(\cdot x \in y \cdot) &= \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y) \\ \text{arity}(\cdot x = y \cdot) &= \text{succ}(x) \cup \text{succ}(y) \\ \text{arity}(\cdot \neg(p \wedge q) \cdot) &= \text{arity}(p) \cup \text{arity}(q) \\ \text{arity}((\cdot \forall p \cdot)) &= \text{pred}(\text{arity}(p)) \end{aligned}$$

We have the satisfaction relation between \in -models and first order formulas (given a “environment” list representing the assignment of free variables),

thm *mem_iff_sats_equal_iff_sats_sats_Nand_iff_sats_Forall_iff*

$$\begin{aligned} &[\![\text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)]\!] \\ &\implies x \in y \longleftrightarrow A, \text{env} \models \cdot i \in j \cdot \\ &[\![\text{nth}(i, \text{env}) = x; \text{nth}(j, \text{env}) = y; \text{env} \in \text{list}(A)]\!] \\ &\implies x = y \longleftrightarrow A, \text{env} \models \cdot i = j \cdot \\ &\text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies (A, \text{env} \models \cdot \neg(p \wedge q) \cdot) \longleftrightarrow \neg((A, \text{env} \models p) \wedge (A, \text{env} \models q)) \\ &\text{env} \in \text{list}(A) \implies (A, \text{env} \models (\cdot \forall p \cdot)) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. A, \text{Cons}(x, \text{env}) \models p) \end{aligned}$$

as well as the satisfaction of an arbitrary set of sentences.

thm *satT_def*

$$A \models \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi \in \Phi. A, [] \models \varphi$$

The internalized (viz. as elements of the set *formula*) version of the axioms follow next.

thm *ZF_union_iff_sats_ZF_power_iff_sats_ZF_pairing_iff_sats_ZF.foundation_iff_sats_ZF.extensionality_iff_sats_ZF.infinity_iff_sats_sats_ZF_separation_fm_iff_sats_ZF_replacement_fm_iff_ZF_choice_iff_sats*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Union_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \cdot \text{Union } Ax \cdot \\ \text{power_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \cdot \text{Powerset } Ax \cdot \\ \text{upair_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \cdot \text{Pairing} \cdot \\ \text{foundation_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \cdot \text{Foundation} \cdot \\ \text{extensionality}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \cdot \text{Extensionality} \cdot \\ \text{infinity_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \cdot \text{Infinity} \cdot \\ \varphi \in \text{formula} &\implies \\ (M, [] \models \cdot \text{Separation}(\varphi) \cdot) &\longleftrightarrow \\ (\forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(M). & \\ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 1 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) &\longrightarrow \text{separation}(\#\#M, \lambda x. M, [x] @ \text{env} \models \varphi)) \\ \varphi \in \text{formula} &\implies \\ (M, [] \models \cdot \text{Replacement}(\varphi) \cdot) &\longleftrightarrow \\ (\forall \text{env} \in \text{list}(M). & \\ \text{arity}(\varphi) \leq 2 +_{\omega} \text{length}(\text{env}) &\longrightarrow \\ \text{strong_replacement}(\#\#M, \lambda x y. M, [x, y] @ \text{env} \models \varphi)) \\ \text{choice_ax}(\#\#A) &\longleftrightarrow A, [] \models \cdot \text{AC} \cdot \end{aligned}$$

thm *ZF_fn_def ZF_inf_def ZF_def ZFC_fin_def ZFC_def*

```

ZF_fn ≡
{·Extensionality·, ·Foundation·, ·Pairing·, ·Union Ax·, ·Infinity·,
 ·Powerset Ax·}
ZF_inf ≡ {·Separation(p) . p ∈ formula} ∪ {·Replacement(p) . p ∈ formula}
ZF ≡ ZF_inf ∪ ZF_fn
ZFC_fin ≡ ZF_fn ∪ {·AC·}
ZFC ≡ ZF_inf ∪ ZFC_fin

```

50.3 Forcing

thm *extensions_of_ctms*

```

[|M ≈ ω; Transset(M); M ⊨ ZF|]
⇒ ∃ N. M ⊆ N ∧
      N ≈ ω ∧
      Transset(N) ∧
      N ⊨ ZF ∧
      N ≠ M ∧ (∀ α. Ord(α) → α ∈ M ↔ α ∈ N) ∧ ((M, [] ⊨ ·AC·) →
      N ⊨ ZFC)

```

In order to state the defining property of the relative equipotence relation, we work under the assumptions of the locale *M_cardinals*. They comprise a finite set of instances of Separation and Replacement to prove closure properties of the transitive class *M*.

lemma (in *M_cardinals*) eqpoll_def':
assumes *M(A)* *M(B)* **shows** $A \approx^M B \longleftrightarrow (\exists f[M]. f \in bij(A, B))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Below, μ denotes the minimum operator on the ordinals.

lemma cardinalities_defs:

```

fixes M::i⇒o
shows
|A|M ≡ μ i. M(i) ∧ i ≈M A
CardM(α) ≡ α = |α|M
κ↑ν,M ≡ |ν →M κ|M
(κ+)M ≡ μ x. M(x) ∧ CardM(x) ∧ κ < x
CHM ≡ ℙ1M = ℤ↑ℵ0M,M
⟨proof⟩

```

context *M_aleph*
begin

As in the previous Lemma *eqpoll_def'*, we are now under the assumptions of the locale *M_aleph*. The axiom instances included are sufficient to state and prove

the defining properties of the relativized *Aleph* function (in particular, the required ability to perform transfinite recursions).

thm *Aleph_rel_zero Aleph_rel_succ Aleph_rel_limit*

$$\begin{aligned} \aleph_0^M &= \omega \\ \llbracket \text{Ord}(\alpha); M(\alpha) \rrbracket &\implies \aleph_{\text{succ}(\alpha)}^M = (\aleph_\alpha^{M+})^M \\ \llbracket \text{Limit}(\alpha); M(\alpha) \rrbracket &\implies \aleph_\alpha^M = (\bigcup_{j \in \alpha} \aleph_j^M) \end{aligned}$$

end

lemma *ContHyp_rel_def'*:

fixes $N::i \Rightarrow o$

shows

$$CH^N \equiv \aleph_1^N = 2^{\uparrow \aleph_0^N, N}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Under appropriate hypothesis (this time, from the locale *M_master*), CH^M is equivalent to its fully relational version *is_ContHyp*. As a sanity check, we see that if the transitive class is indeed \mathcal{V} , we recover the original CH .

thm *M_master.is_ContHyp_iff is_ContHyp_iff CH[unfolded ContHyp_def]*

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\text{master}}(M) &\implies \text{is_ContHyp}(M) \longleftrightarrow CH^M \\ \text{is_ContHyp}(\mathcal{V}) &\longleftrightarrow \aleph_1 = 2^{\uparrow \aleph_0} \end{aligned}$$

In turn, the fully relational version evaluated on a nonempty transitive A is equivalent to the satisfaction of the first-order formula $\cdot CH \cdot$.

thm *is_ContHyp_iff_sats*

$$\llbracket env \in \text{list}(A); 0 \in A \rrbracket \implies \text{is_ContHyp}(\#\#A) \longleftrightarrow A, env \models \cdot CH \cdot$$

thm *ctm_of_not_CH*

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket M \approx \omega; \text{Transset}(M); M \models ZFC \rrbracket \\ \implies \exists N. M \subseteq N \wedge \\ N \approx \omega \wedge \\ \text{Transset}(N) \wedge N \models ZFC \cup \{\neg \cdot CH \cdot\} \wedge (\forall \alpha. \text{Ord}(\alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \in M \longleftrightarrow \\ \alpha \in N) \end{aligned}$$

end

References

- [1] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, First steps towards a formalization of forcing, in: Proceedings of the 13th Workshop on Logical and Semantic Frameworks with Applications, LSFA 2018, Fortaleza, Brazil, September 26-28, 2018, pp. 119–136 (2018).
- [2] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, Mechanization of Separation in Generic Extensions, *arXiv e-prints* **1901.03313** (2019).
- [3] E. GUNTHER, M. PAGANO, P. SÁNCHEZ TERRAF, Formalization of Forcing in Isabelle/ZF, *arXiv e-prints* **2001.09715** (2020).
- [4] L.C. PAULSON, K. GRABCZEWSKI, Mechanizing set theory, *J. Autom. Reasoning* **17**: 291–323 (1996).